ABSTRACT

Purpose: To analyze the components of the acoustic signal of swallowing using a specific software. Methods: Fourteen healthy subjects ranging in age from 20 to 50 years (mean age 31±10 years), were evaluated. Data collection consisted on the simultaneous capture of the swallowing audio with a microphone and of the swallowing videofluoroscopic image. The bursts of the swallowing acoustic signal were identified and their duration and the interval between them were later analyzed using a specific software, which allowed the simultaneous analyses between the acoustic wave and the videofluoroscopic image. Results: Three burst components were identified in most of the swallows evaluated. The first burst presented mean time of 87.3 milliseconds (ms) for water and 78.2 ms for the substance. The second burst presented mean time of 112.9 ms for water and 85.5 ms for the pasty substance. The mean interval between first and second burst was 82.1 ms for water and 95.3 ms for the pasty consistency, and between second and third burst was 339.8 ms for water and 322.0 ms for the pasty consistency. Conclusion: The software allowed the visualization of three bursts during the swallowing of healthy individuals, and showed that the swallowing signal in normal subjects is highly variable.

RESUMO

Objetivo: Analisar os componentes do sinal acústico da deglutição com o auxílio de software específico. Métodos: Foram avaliados 14 indivíduos saudáveis com idades variando entre 20 e 50 anos (média de 31±10 anos). A coleta de dados consistiu da captura simultânea do áudio da deglutição, por meio de um microfone, associado ao registro da imagem videofluoroscópica da deglutição. A identificação dos componentes de som e a quantificação dos tempos foram analisados posteriormente com o auxílio de software específico que possibilitou a análise simultânea entre o onda acústica e a imagem videofluoroscópica. Resultados: Foram identificados três componentes de som (burst) na maioria das deglutições avaliadas. O primeiro burst apresentou tempo médio de 87.3 milissegundos (ms) para água e 78.2 para substância pastosa. O segundo burst retornou um tempo médio de 112.9 ms para água e 85.5 ms para consistência pastosa. O intervalo médio entre o primeiro e segundo burst foi 82.1 ms (água) e 95.3 ms (consistência pastosa), e entre o segundo e terceiro foi 339.8 ms e 322.0 ms, respectivamente para água e consistência pastosa. Conclusão: O software permitiu a visualização de três componentes do som durante a deglutição de indivíduos saudáveis e mostrou que o sinal da deglutição em indivíduos normais é altamente variável.
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INTRODUCTION

Cervical auscultation is a noninvasive method used to assess the sounds of swallowing with an amplification instrument such as a stethoscope. Although it has been commonly used during the clinical assessment of oropharyngeal dysphagia, its clinical application as a reliable tool is still controversial, due to the subjectivity in the interpretation of results and the lack of methodological standardization. However, when associated with other parameters of clinical swallowing examination, its importance as an early warning system for identifying patients at high risk for aspiration/penetration has been shown by several investigators.

The videofluoroscopy of swallowing is currently considered the best method for qualitative and objective evaluation of the dynamics of swallowing, allowing visualization of all phases. Nevertheless, the exam has some limitations, such as patient exposure to radiation, the need to move the patient to the radiology service, and the high cost of the procedure. These factors limit the periodic assessment for monitoring swallowing therapy. In order to reduce the subjectivity of traditional cervical auscultation and to aid the assessment of swallowing dysfunction, a new and interesting instrument called digital cervical auscultation is being developed.

Even though the first studies with digital cervical auscultation date back to 1965, several gaps still need to be filled due to the great irregularity and variability of the acoustic signal detected. Thus, for this instrument to gain credibility, a better understanding of the variables of the acoustic signal, of its normal patterns and of the generating sources is needed.

The purposes of recent research in this area are varied. While some investigators try to determine the optimum site for placing the acoustic detector, others wish to understand the generation of the signal, and others yet investigate the acoustic characteristics of the swallowing signal. Regarding the acoustic characteristics of the swallowing signal, it has been reported that, in normal subjects, swallowing mainly consists of two distinct temporal components, identified by an audible double click, with a considerable individual variability. Another study reported the presence of three swallowing sounds. Another research quantified the major components of the swallowing signal of normal individuals and their duration, using swallowing videofluoroscopy as a support. The authors identified six sound components with inter- and intra-individual variability, and only three of them were more systematic.

Along the same research line, another study also detected six sound components, and none of them occurred in all swallows. In addition, using swallowing nasolaryngoscopy during sound capture, these authors did not detect a consistent correlation between any of these components and a physiological event specific of swallowing.

In view of the above considerations, the purpose of the present study was to analyze the components of the acoustic signal of swallowing using a specific software.

METHODS

Subjects

Fourteen healthy subjects – four men and ten women – with ages between 20 and 50 years (mean=31±10 years) were evaluated. Based on a questionnaire, subjects with previous or current difficulties in swallowing, digestive problems, or under treatment with medication that might cause swallowing difficulties were excluded from the sample.

The study project was approved by the Research Ethics Committee of the Medical School of Ribeirão Preto, Universidade de São Paulo (USP) (process number 10073/2008). All subjects included in the study protocol were fully informed and signed the free and informed consent term.

Procedures

The assessment consisted of simultaneous capture of the videofluoroscopic image of swallowing and of the sound of swallowing. The audio signal was captured using a dynamic microphone placed on the region of the cricoid cartilage (inferior lateral margin). The microphone was connected to a Wattsom sound board for pre-amplification and gain control. The audio output of the sound board was directly connected to the recorder.

In order to increase the reliability of the identification and interpretation, the audio signal and the videofluoroscopy images were obtained simultaneously. The real-time capture and analysis of sound and image has enabled us to determine precisely the moment in physiology when the swallowing bursts occur, avoiding them to be confused with other local noises (Figure 1).

Figure 1. Work area of the software for the simultaneous analysis of video and audio signal

Both signals were digitized and stored on a Philips® DVD recorder model DVDR3455H/78. The digitization quality was 29.97 frames per second for the video and 44,100 samples per second for the acoustic signal, which was converted into 22,050 Hz by editing. The video signal came from the videofluoroscopy exam, which was performed using an Arcomax Philips®
instrument (Philips Medical Systems, model BV Pulsera, Veenpluis, The Netherlands). All exams were monitored by a speech-language pathologist with experience in videofluoroscopy along with a bioengineer responsible for the perfect functioning of the sound capture equipment.

The following materials were used to prepare the samples of different consistencies: a disposable plastic cup, a disposable 20 ml syringe, a disposable plastic spoon, water at natural temperature, food thickener, and barium. The liquid consistency sample consisted of liquid barium (100% barium sulfate, Bariogel®, Laboratório Cristália, Itapira, SP, Brazil), and the pasty consistency sample was prepared with 50 ml liquid barium added of 4.5 g thickener (Thick & Easy®, Hormel Health Labs, Austin, MN, USA).

Each subject was observed while sitting on a chair positioned laterally to the image intensifier. The lateral images were obtained from the mouth, pharynx and proximal esophagus. The subjects were evaluated during six swallows, i.e. three in pasty consistency and three in liquid consistency. Three spoonfuls (7 ml each) of pasty consistency were offered first, followed by three swallows of liquid consistency offered in a disposable cup (10 ml in each cup). For analysis and later interpretation, a total of 84 swallows were divided into two groups: swallows of pasty consistency (G1) and swallows of liquid samples (G2).

Thirteen of the 84 swallows were discarded due to different factors, such as dislocation of the microphone causing interference by external sounds or even reducing the amplitude of the signal in such a way that the noise present stood out in relation to the desired signal, or due to lack of synchronization between the RX technician and the operator of the digitizer, causing incomplete recording of some exams. Thus, a total of 71 swallows was analyzed, 39 for G1 and 32 for G2.

The acoustic signal and the videofluoroscopic exam were analyzed simultaneously in order to reduce subjectivity in the detection of the swallowing bursts. A software was developed for this purpose and, in addition to allowing analysis of the video frame by frame, it also provided tools for visualization of each signal’s wave shape. The software functions in an integrated manner, synchronizing video and audio for each swallow. Advancing through each video frame makes it possible to determine the portion of the signal and the corresponding physiological event at that specific time. However, it should be emphasized that, in this study, we did not calculate the correlation with the physiology of swallowing, and the videofluoroscopic image was used only to facilitate the correct location of the swallowing signal, preventing confusion with artifacts.

By using frame-by-frame advancement with the aid of the software, it was possible to correlate the videofluoroscopic image with the acoustic signal, and thus identify exactly the sound components (also called “bursts”) in the wave shapes of the signal and to measure the time interval between them.

Based on the tools, the times of the main bursts (bursts 1 and 2) were computed. The third burst could not be fully identified due to the difficulty demarcating the end of this component, which is often mixed with the signal generated by expiration; hence, only its beginning could be determined. Based on these markings, it was possible to estimate the interval between bursts.

Figure 1 presents a sample screen of the program with the videofluoroscopic image and the shape of the signal wave. The image shows the signal of the exam in its total time and also the specific portion (in white) of the video frame in question.

Figure 2 shows a hypothetical schematic swallowing signal, in order to illustrate and facilitate the understanding of the morphology of the signal.

Statistical analysis

The data obtained for different consistencies were statistically analyzed using the Student t-test, with level of significance set at 0.05.

RESULTS

In five (7%) of the 71 swallows analyzed, only two sound components could be detected, whereas three sound components were detected in the remaining 66 swallows (93%). Times of the major bursts (burst 1 and burst 2) were computed, while only the beginning of the third burst was recorded.

The durations computed for each time point did not differ significantly between liquid and pasty consistency, with p=0.4817 for burst 1 and p=0.2590 for burst 2. The first sound component (burst 1) lasted 87.27±36.40 ms for the liquid consistency and 78.20±37.53 ms for the pasty consistency, and the second sound component (burst 2) had mean duration of 112.93±62.94 ms for the liquid consistency and of 85.50±52.20 ms for the pasty consistency (Table 1).

Table 1. Duration of swallows (milliseconds)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Burst 1</th>
<th>Burst 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>G1</strong></td>
<td>78.20</td>
<td>85.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>G2</strong></td>
<td>87.27</td>
<td>62.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
<td>71.63</td>
<td>73.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SD</strong></td>
<td>37.53</td>
<td>52.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median</strong></td>
<td>66.73</td>
<td>66.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mode</strong></td>
<td>66.73</td>
<td>66.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Minimum</strong></td>
<td>33.37</td>
<td>33.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximum</strong></td>
<td>166.83</td>
<td>266.93</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** SD = standard deviation; G1 = swallows of pasty consistency; G2 = swallows of liquid samples.

Two time intervals between signal components occurring
during swallowing were also identified and computed. These intervals (in milliseconds) correspond to the time between burst 1 and burst 2 (1-2) and between burst 2 and the beginning of burst 3 (2-3). The mean 1-2 interval was 82.13±66.22 ms for the liquid consistency and 95.33±48.66 ms for the pasty consistency, and the mean 2-3 interval was 339.84±127 ms for water and 322±145 ms milliseconds for the pasty consistency (Figure 3).
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**Note:** G1 = swallows of pasty consistency; G2 = swallows of liquid samples

**DISCUSSION**

The first difficulty encountered in the present study was the choice of the capture method. According to authors\(^8\), the most appropriate acoustic detector for capturing swallowing sounds is the accelerometer, because of its broad range of response and low level of attenuation. However, a revision of this methodology in another study obtained better results with the microphone, compared to the accelerometer\(^4\). The latter authors justified the difference in results as being due to the fact that the authors of the former study\(^5\) amplified only the signal of the accelerometer, thus causing a premature rejection to the microphone.

Based on these literature data, we started our data collection using an electret microphone, but met with many problems, such as signal saturation, which could be explained by the fact that the swallowing signal contains an abrupt variation of amplitude at any given time. Many analogue-to-digital converters are equipped with gain controls in order to minimize variations of this type but, in our case, these controls jeopardize the quality of the signal. For this reason, it was decided to use a dynamic microphone connected to a pre-amplifier of professional use and to the line entry of the digital-to-analogue converter (sound board or DVD recorder when used together with videofluoroscopy). Thus, it was possible to avoid saturation of the signal and to obtain a broad range of frequency response and a low level of attenuation.

The acoustic detector was fixed to the area close to the lateral margin of the trachea immediately inferior to the cricoid cartilage. This has been considered to be an optimum region in previous studies\(^5,6\), because it presents the highest mean magnitude of the signal and the lowest standard deviation of the maximum peaks of swallowing sound.

The present study was innovative in terms of analysis. For the first time an integrated software specifically developed for working simultaneously with video and audio was used. Other studies have used separate commercial softwares for sound and video, performing an approximate synchrony based on the time recorded by each software.

The correlation of the acoustic wave and videofluoroscopic images provided greater reliability in the analysis of the swallowing sound, allowing visualization of the act of swallowing, avoiding, thus, assessment of artifacts.

In most of the 71 swallows evaluated, three sound components were identified, while in five swallows (7%) only two sound components were identified, in agreement with other literature reports\(^14,17,18\). The lack of detection of all sound components is explained by the fact that the rapid passage of the food bolus causes the fusion of the minimum intervals between components.

Regarding the duration of each parameter analyzed, slightly lower values were obtained for the pasty consistency. Analysis of these data reveals wide signal variability, as well as absence of a significant difference in burst duration between different consistencies. Thus, it is not possible to state that consistency influences burst duration. A larger sample of individuals and swallows is needed in order to confirm this difference.

Although in the present study three sound components (three bursts) were observed in most swallows, it was possible to measure the duration of only two of them (Figure 3).

A study in literature\(^17\) has also determined three acoustic bursts, but only quantified the first two. In the present study, the first two bursts showed a shorter interval than that observed in this other research (100 to 150 ms) and a longer interval between the second and the third burst (300 to 400 ms). However, regarding the duration of components, the findings were not exactly the same, although the same pattern was followed, i.e., a shorter first component and a second longer one\(^11\).

Although authors\(^8,18\) have identified six sound components, they emphasized that only three of them occurred in a more systematic manner. Also, they did not detect similar values, but rather a considerable variability of the findings in each study. However, again, a temporal relation between studies was observed. As mentioned earlier, although the numbers are not equal, they follow a pattern, with a shorter first burst together with the first interval, and a longer second burst similar to the second interval.

Hence, it is observed that the swallowing signal is highly variable both in the present study and in previous literature reports. However, all studies present the same patterns, i.e., a short first burst and a longer second burst. A larger population needs to be evaluated for the standardization and utilization of these signals.

**CONCLUSION**

The software allowed the detection of swallowing components, and showed that the swallowing signal, in normal subjects, is highly variable.
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