Germination of *Eugenia brasiliensis*, *E. involucrata*, *E. pyriformis*, and *E. uniflora* (Myrtaceae) under water-deficit conditions
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ABSTRACT – This work aims at evaluating the tolerance of seeds of *Eugenia brasiliensis* Lam., *E. involucrata* DC., *E. pyriformis* Camb., and *E. uniflora* L. (Myrtaceae) to water deficit. Germination was carried out in polyethylene glycol 6000 solutions, at different osmotic potentials (0.0, -0.5, -1.0, -1.5, -2.0, -3.0, -4.0, and -5.0 MPa). The seeds were also placed in trays containing sand as substrate, and the water was replenished at different times, for up to 34 days. Seeds were evaluated as for their ability to undergo a deficit period, and of germinating when water was made available again. In general, *Eugenia* spp. seeds were able to germinate at up to -1.5 MPa. In water potentials lower than 0.0 MPa, the beginning of germination experienced a delay, and it became better distributed throughout time. In the trays, the water restriction for up to 16 days did not limit normal seedling development. Seeds of *Eugenia* spp. were considerably tolerant to water deficit, as for both intensity and duration, which guarantees the development of seedlings and the propagation of the species.

Index terms: resistance to drought, water restriction.

Introduction

Water deficit is one of the most common environmental stresses faced by plants, and also the most influential factor in the germinative process (Stefanello et al., 2006; Rego et al., 2011). For germination to take place, enough available water in the surroundings is necessary to activate the chemical reactions related to the metabolism, so that the embryo can continue its development.

Especially at the beginning of imbibition, water potentials that are too negative tend to limit imbibition, thus preventing the events of the germinative process from occurring normally, and possibly leading to the death of the embryo (Stefanello et al., 2006). When seeds undergo a period of water shortage, the water potential of the cells decreases, consequently reducing the turgor potential, which compromises cell expansion and...
development, as well as its metabolism (Jaleel et al., 2009). Therefore, such condition inhibits the hypocotyl and primary root growth, even though the seeds are still metabolically active, and consequently prompt to germinate (Santos et al., 2011).

The capacity of germinating under water stress has ecological advantages for some species, related to the delay of the phenomena. Once germination becomes better distributed along a more extended period, seedlings have a higher probability of finding favorable environmental conditions to establish themselves and grow (Fanti and Perez, 2004; Rosa et al., 2005).

The genus Eugenia, belonging to the Myrtaceae family, is among the most popular species employed in urban landscaping, commercial fruit production (in natura or industrially processed), and medicine making (Schmeda-Hirschmann et al., 1987). Once seeds of Eugenia are sensitive to desiccation, their extended storage is generally not recommended (Delgado and Barbedo, 2012). Even though they are dispersed with high initial water content, they might face field conditions which are adverse to seedling development, such as xeric periods, in which water availability in the soil is a limiting factor that can cause stress.

The present work aimed at verifying the ability of different species of Eugenia of tolerating water-deficit conditions.

Material and Methods

Ripe fruits (with the typical coloration of each species) of Eugenia brasiliensis Lam., E. involucrata DC., E. pyriformis Camb., and E. uniflora L. were harvested in October and November 2017, at Fontes do Ipiranga State Park, located in São Paulo city, Brazilian state of São Paulo - 23°38’S; 46°37’W; 785 m altitude.

The fruits were picked from three (E. brasiliensis), two (E. involucrata), four (E. pyriformis), and three (E. uniflora) matrices. To do so, the soil surrounding each tree crown was covered with a plastic mesh, and all dispersed fruits were collected daily, for approximately seven consecutive days. After harvested, the fruits were immediately taken to the laboratory, where a preliminary selection was performed, in which fruits that happened to be too immature, or had been damaged by birds, insects, or microorganisms were discarded.

The seeds were manually removed from the fruits with the aid of a sieve, under running water. After being washed, they were placed on a filter paper, so the excess of residual water on the surface could be blotted. Next, they were packed in polyethylene-made, perforated plastic bags and stowed at 10 °C, until the moment of use (no more than seven days).

The seeds were characterized as for their water content (expressed in wet bases percentage), through the oven method at 103 ± 3 °C for 17 hours (ISTA, 2017). The water potential (in MPa) was also gauged with a WP4 Dewpoint Potentiometer (Decagon Devices, Pullmann, USA). In these trials, four replications were performed, each with ten seeds cut in half.

Aqueous solutions of polyethylene glycol 6000 (PEG) at different concentrations were prepared for testing the osmotic potential conditions of 0.0 (pure water, used as the control), -0.5, -1.0, -1.5, -2.0, -2.5, -3.0, -3.5, -4.0, -4.5, -5.0, -5.5, -6.0, -6.5, -7.0, -7.5, -8.0, -8.5, -9.0, -9.5, and -10.0 MPa, according to methodology proposed by Michel and Kaufmann (1973). Aliquots of 1.92 mL were analyzed with the potentiometer, straight from the containers, or over 0.433 g of germination paper cut in 2.5 cm discs. For every osmotic potential concentration, four replications were performed.

Seeds from the four species were sown in paper rolls (four replications with 16 seeds each), moistened with water (0.0 MPa) or PEG solutions at different concentrations to promote the osmotic potentials of -0.5, -1.0, -1.5, -2.0, -3.0, -4.0, and -5.0 MPa. The rolls were packed in plastic bags, and then incubated inside a germination chamber at 25 ºC, under continuous white-light illumination. Every week, for 60 days, seed status was assessed, and those presenting radicle with at least 2.0-mm length were considered germinated. The normal seedling formation of E. brasiliensis and E. pyriformis was also evaluated, according to the guidelines of Delgado and Barbedo (2012).

By the end of the experiment, the non-germinated seeds in each treatment were sorted into two groups. Seeds in group 1 were maintained under a water-deficit condition; whereas seeds in group 2 were rinsed so they could be totally cleansed of any PEG solution residues, and then placed in a water-moistened substrate. The final analysis was carried out after 30 days.

Seeds of the four species were germinated inside gerboxes (11.0 cm x 11.0 cm x 3.5 cm) containing sand moistened with water. Due to their variation in size, E. pyriformis seeds were divided into two groups: the small (from 0.5 to 1.0 cm) and the large ones (from 1.0 to 2.0 cm).

Initially, the empty gerboxes were individually weighed with an analytical scale (tare, T). Then, each one was filled with 300 g of sand saturated with tap water, and the weight of the set gerbox + sand was gauged (initial weight, W_i). Finally, after the addition of the seeds, the gerboxes were weighed again (initial weight + seeds, W_s).

After those procedures, the gerboxes containing the seeds were divided into watering-frequency treatments, according to which the sand was re-moistened every 2 (T2), 4 (T4), 6 (T6), 8 (T8), 10 (T10), 12 (T12), 14 (T14), 16 (T16), and 34 (T34) days. Before the water was added to the substrate, seeds were removed, and the gerboxes were weighed (final weight, W_f).
Then, the seeds were returned to their original gerbox, and the set was weighed again (final weight + seeds, W_{fi}). The difference between W_{i} and W_{fi}, corresponding to the amount of water lost during the period, was used to refill, so that the gerboxes could regain the initial weight, at the same sand initial saturation.

The water potential of the substrate (WP4) was analyzed at the beginning of the experiment, before the first watering, and at the end of the process. The evaluations were carried out before the water refill (except for the initial condition, assessed after sand saturation). At the end of the experiment, the substrate moisture was also evaluated through the oven method at 103 ± 3 °C for 17 h (ISTA, 2017). Each treatment was composed of three replications, with nine seeds each.

After the usual experimental time (34 days), there were some still non-germinated seeds, probably due to the little remaining water in the sand. So, the substrate started being moistened whenever necessary, for 30 days, to check the viability of these seeds when water became available in the environment.

All the experiments were conducted in a completely randomized design. The results were subjected to analysis of variance (F-test at a 5% probability level), and the means were compared by the Tukey’s test (Santana and Ranal, 2004).

**Results and Discussion**

The water content of the analyzed specimens (Table 1) varied from 50.6% (E. brasiliensis) to 79.0% (E. pyriformis). Such high numbers are common among recalcitrant seeds, and they have been observed in many species of the genus Eugenia (Delgado and Barbedo, 2012). Also, values similar to the ones presented on this work were detected by other authors in E. brasiliensis and E. uniflora (Amador and Barbedo, 2015). On the other hand, Lamarca et al. (2011) and Amador and Barbedo (2011) found lower values in E. pyriformis.

As affirmed by Barbedo et al. (2013), variations in the water content of seeds of a particular species might indicate differences in the maturation stages at the moment when they were detached from the parent plant. This fact could even be responsible for the level of recalcitrance of the seeds. A new approach on recalcitrant seeds proposed by Barbedo (2018) considers these maturation disparities as the result of environmental factors, which can either anticipate or delay the moment of dispersion. Therefore, seeds with a high water content tend to be physiologically more immature, even if they were scattered naturally.

The evaluation of water potential (Ψ_{w}) of the different PEG solutions (Figure 1) produced results close to the targeted values. This approximation became even more evident when the solutions were applied to the germination paper. The lower the water potential from -4.5 MPa, the higher the difference between expected and real water potential because of the higher solution viscosity. According to Michel and Kaufmann (1973), viscosity exponentially increases with the PEG concentration due to structural changes in the polymer. Thus, the more negative the potential, the higher the PEG concentration and, consequently, the more viscous the solution.

In this study, the PEG solutions were always used in association with the germination paper. That being the case, the water potential measured when the solutions were applied directly to the substrate were equivalent to the expected values. On account of that, it can be assumed that the seeds were exposed to the targeted potential, so it was possible to obtain the intended gradient of water deficit.

E. involucrata seeds subjected to water potentials no lower than -1.0 MPa germinated at above 70%. However, more negative potentials inhibited the phenomenon (Figure 2). In E. uniflora seeds, no difference in germination was noticed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Water content (%)</th>
<th>Water potential (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E. brasiliensis</td>
<td>50.6±1.0</td>
<td>-0.53±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. involucrata</td>
<td>62.2±0.9</td>
<td>-0.49±0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. pyriformis</td>
<td>79.0±0.9</td>
<td>-0.47±0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. uniflora</td>
<td>57.4±1.4</td>
<td>-0.55±0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Real water potential of the PEG-6000 solutions (assessed by PEG solutions in a vial with and without germination paper, in a potentiometer) in contrast with the targeted water potential (i.e. the expected values according to PEG solution concentration).
at 0.0 and -0.5 MPa. Nevertheless, when they were exposed to -1.0 and -1.5 MPa, the germination rate stayed between 39% and 61%. Negative water potentials also compromised the germination and normal seedlings formation of *E. brasiliensis* and *E. pyriformis*. In the former, potentials above -1.5 MPa produced germination between 44% and 63%; but only 19% of seedling formation was observed at -0.5 MPa. In *E. pyriformis*, seed germination was close to zero at -0.5 MPa, and no normal seedling was formed. No species germinated at potentials more negative than -1.5 MPa. Interestingly enough, this value corresponds to the permanent wilting point for several species (Taiz and Zeiger, 2004). It is important to emphasize that the germination criterion adopted by this work was based on the primary root growth, that is, on a process similar to what happens to adult plants.

The low germination percentages of *E. pyriformis* might
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**Figure 2.** Germination of seeds of *Eugenia brasiliensis*, *E. involucrata*, *E. pyriformis*, and *E. uniflora*, and emerged normal seedlings of *E. brasiliensis* and *E. pyriformis* subjected to the following water stress regime: -0.0, -0.5, -1.0, -1.5, -2.0, -3.0, -4.0, and -5.0 MPa. The tables contain the results of the last evaluation of analysis of variance of germination (*E. brasiliensis* (Eb): F= 59.12, p<0.05; *E. involucrata* (Ei): F= 81.71, p<0.05; *E. pyriformis* (Ep): F= 31.40, p<0.05; *E. uniflora* (Eu): F= 47.29, p<0.05) and normal seedlings (*E. brasiliensis* (Eb): F= 127.06, p<0.05; *E. pyriformis* (Ep): F= 32.40, p<0.05). They also present the results of the Tukey’s test at a 5% probability level (means followed by the same letter within each species do not differ from one another).
indicate that the seeds from that particular crop were in a more immature state when dispersed. Underdeveloped seeds are usually more sensitive to under-optimum germination conditions (Barbedo et al., 2013).

As for the germination in water, in *E. involucrata* and *E. uniflora* the process was concentrated in the first 21 days, whereas in *E. brasiliensis* and *E. pyriformis* it prolonged to 56 and 35 days, respectively (Figure 3). Lower potentials caused the seeds to start sprouting later and for a more extended period. So, the more negative the water potential of the substrate was, the longer it took for seeds to emit the primary root, which dispersed the germination through time.

*E. uniflora* seeds (Group 2) that had been subjected to the water potentials of -2.0 and -3.0 MPa without sprouting exhibited a high germination rate, even reaching 100% when placed in a substrate moistened with water (Figure 4). Even the seeds that had been previously tested for more negative potentials (-4.0 and -5.0 MPa) germinated above 50% when they were returned to the condition of 0.0 MPa. The restitution of the ability to produce normal seedlings in these conditions was observed for potential values as low as -4.0 MPa, which proves that the seeds did not germinate during the water deficit treatments, but remained viable and capable of resuming the process once water was available. Similar

---

**Figure 3.** Germination frequency over time of *Eugenia brasiliensis*, *E. involucrata*, *E. pyriformis*, and *E. uniflora* in the treatments -0.0 MPa, -0.5 MPa, -1.0 MPa, -1.5 MPa, and -2.0 MPa. The values are expressed as the average number of germinated seeds.
behavior was noticed in *E. involucrata* but, at potentials below -4.0 MPa, the germination decreased, as well as the normal seedling formation.

*E. brasiliensis* seeds from the treatments at -2.0 and -3.0 MPa presented germination rates below 35% and 15%, respectively, and those from the lower osmotic-potential solutions did not germinate at all when restored to a water-moistened substrate. On that account, it is possible to infer that the seeds had their viability compromised by the water-deficit treatments they were exposed to. Such outcome became more evident once 100% of the seeds from the control treatment germinated, and even some germination was observed at -1.5 MPa.

As for *E. pyriformis* seeds, the ones that had not germinated in the PEG solutions above -2.0 MPa started to do so, and to produce normal seedlings, when water was provided. An example was the seeds treated at -1.0 MPa, which showed germination close to 0% in the PEG solution, but were able to achieve 30% and produce normal seedlings once in water. Notwithstanding, considering that 40% of the lot was already dead by the beginning of the new trial, it is possible to affirm that those seeds, despite viable, had been extremely affected by the treatment. Besides, no species germinated when the seeds had been maintained in a PEG-moistened substrate for more than 30 days (Group 1).

In general, when variations in the substrate water availability occurred, regardless of the watering routine, the germination of *Eugenia* seeds reached more than 70% (Figure 5). In *E. involucrata*, *E. pyriformis*, and *E. uniflora*, no difference in germination was noticed among the treatments.

The large seeds of *E. pyriformis* germinated above 88% in all treatments, whereas the small ones sprouted at percentages from 40 to 50%, in T2 and T16, and even at lower rates, in the other treatments. It is important to remark that the *E. pyriformis* seeds that were not sorted by size exhibited germination inferior to values observed in other studies. Lamarca et al. (2011) and Teixeira and Barbedo (2012), for example, obtained germination close to 100% for this species.

Considering that in the beginning of the experiment the substrate was saturated with water, it is possible to affirm that the variations in water availability did not stop or significantly compromise the germination of the seeds. However, normal seedling development was affected. So, it is likely that the seeds were able to use the initial water just to start germination. Besides that, in the cases which there was a longer interval between waterings, the substrate got drier, triggering protective mechanisms to prevent damages by water loss. These seeds are said to be recalcitrant (Delgado and Barbedo, 2012), meaning that, evolutionally, they did not invest in tolerance to desiccation to maintain their viability during the seasons of water shortage. On the other hand, they might have developed specific ways of preventing water loss in tissues that are vital for continuing with the proper seedling growth, when the water availability in the environment becomes favorable.

The frequency distribution over time (Figure 6) showed
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that *Eugenia* seeds endured up to 34 days of draught. On the other hand, they did not tolerate the excess of water very well. When the waterings were applied in alternated days, or even every four days, the germination results were less expressive, in both speed and percentage, than those produced by the seeds irrigated in turns of six or more days. Therefore, the mechanisms that preserve water in essential tissues were more efficient than the ones preventing water excess.

---

**Figure 5.** Germination (G) and normal seedlings (NS) of *Eugenia brasiliensis* (G: $F=2.81$, $p<0.05$; NS: $F=7.25$, $p<0.05$), *E. involucrata* (G: $F=1.20$, $p=0.35691$; NS: $F=5.90$, $p<0.05$), *E. pyriformis* (large seeds G: $F=0.48$, $p<0.85421$; NS: $F=6.36$, $p<0.05$; small seeds G: $F=2.11$, $p<0.08956$; NS: $F=1.76$, $p<0.15271$), and *E. uniflora* (G: $F=0.37$, $p<0.92012$; NS: $F=8.50$, $p<0.05$), subjected to different regimes of water oscillation and substrate water refilling. Means followed by the same letter within each species do not differ from each other, according to the Tukey’s test at a 5% significance level.
Figure 6. Germination frequency over time of *Eugenia brasiliensis*, *E. involucrata*, *E. pyriformis* (large and small seeds), and *E. uniflora* under different water oscillation regimes (2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, and 34 days). The values are expressed as the average number of germinated seeds.
The *Eugenia* seeds analyzed exhibited differences concerning the resistance to water deficits, which became evident not only because of the capacity of germinating at a broad spectrum of water potentials but also due to the survival of the plants in these conditions.

Studies developed by Delgado and Barbedo (2012) demonstrated that, considering the same water content, embryos from different *Eugenia* species showed high variation in the water potential, which could explain the behavioral differences perceived among them, regarding their endurance to desiccation and water content. Braz and Mattos (2010) noticed that the germination of *E. umbelliflora* decreased by 50% (in contrast with the control treatment), when the seeds were submitted to the water potential of -0.37 MPa. On the other hand, the same seeds displayed low sensitiveness to desiccation and water content. Based on this behavior, one can predict that *E. uniflora* and *E. involucrata* seeds would be less affected by water shortages at the beginning of germination, and that seeds would be able to resume the germination process once water becomes available. *E. brasiliensis* seeds, in their turn, would guarantee the development of some specimens, as long as the period of scarcity was not too long.

### Conclusions

Seeds of *Eugenia* spp. showed interspecific variations regarding the resistance to water deficits, both in intensity and duration. However, in all the species analyzed, the attempt to preserve the vital tissues was clear, thus allowing the development of seedlings and the propagation of the species.
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