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ABSTRACT: The presence of Staphylococcus aureus in the nasal cavities and 
pericatheter skin of peritoneal dialysis patients put them at high risk of developing 
peritonitis. However, it is not clear whether the presence of coagulase-negative 
staphylococci (CNS) in the nasal passages and skin of patients is related to 
subsequent occurrence of peritoneal infection. The aim of the present study was to 
verify the relationship between endogenous sources of S. aureus and CNS and 
ocurrence of peritonitis in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis. Thirty-two 
patients on peritoneal hemodialysis were observed for 18 months. Staphylococcus 
species present in their nasal passage, pericatheter skin and peritoneal effluent 
were identified and compared based on drug susceptibility tests and dendrograms, 
which were drawn to better visualize the similarity among strains from 
extraperitoneal sites as well as their involvement in the causes of infection. Out of 
288 Staphylococcus strains isolated, 155 (53.8%) were detected in the nasal cavity, 
122 (42.4%) on the skin, and 11 (3.8%) in the peritoneal effluent of patients who 
developed peritonitis during the study. The most frequent Staphylococcus species 
were CNS (78.1%), compared with S. aureus (21.9%). Among CNS, S. epidermidis 
was predominant (64.4%), followed by S. warneri (15.1%), S. haemolyticus 
(10.7%), and other species (9.8%). Seven (64%) out of 11 cases of peritonitis 
analyzed presented similar strains. The same strain was isolated from different 
sites in two (66%) out of three S. aureus infection cases. In the six cases of S. 
epidermidis peritonitis, the species that caused infection was also found in the 
normal flora. From these, two cases (33%) presented highly similar strains and in 
three cases (50%), it was difficult to group strains as to similarity. Patients 
colonized with multidrug-resistant S. epidermidis strains were more predisposed to 
infection. Results demonstrated that an endogenous source of S. epidermidis could 
cause peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients, similarly to what has been observed 
with S. aureus.
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INTRODUCTION

Peritonitis has been the main complication, cause of technical failure and mortality factor for patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis (13). Peritoneal infection rate varies according to the dialysis service, which reflects that different criteria of selection and treatment are used. The continuation of peritoneal dialysis techniques in outpatient clinics has been hindered by failures in the method, which in approximately 40% of cases are due to peritonitis (13).

The most common etiological agents of peritonitis in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis are microorganisms of the *Staphylococcus* genus, and among them CNS are most frequent (6, 18).

Although there is evidence of a link between *S. aureus* carrier status and risk of infection (12, 16, 19), it is not clear whether there is a relationship between the presence of CNS on the skin or nasal passage of patients and subsequent peritonitis. The aim of the present study was to verify whether endogenous sources of *S. aureus* and CNS are related to occurrence of peritonitis in patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis at the Dialysis Unit of the University Hospital, Botucatu School of Medicine, São Paulo State University (UNESP), Botucatu, São Paulo State, Brazil.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients

Patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis at the Dialysis Unit of the University Hospital, Botucatu School of Medicine, UNESP, Brazil, were evaluated and those who have not presented peritonitis during the previous 3 months and have not received antibiotics in the month prior to the study were included. Those that did not fill in the study protocol or could not continue the follow-up treatment because they were transferred to another dialysis unit or to hemodialysis, or due to death, were excluded from the study. After this selection, a prospective study was carried out with 32 patients who were observed for at least 12 months.

Data collection

During selection of patients, some data were collected such as their age, sex (male or female), race (white or non-white); associated conditions (like diabetes mellitus); peritoneal dialysis method (manual or automatic); and treatment period (in months).
Definition of peritonitis
Peritonitis was defined as the occurrence of turbid peritoneal effluent accompanied by at least one of the following signs or symptoms: abdominal pain, painful abrupt abdominal decompression, nausea, vomiting, or fever. Any of these signs alone was considered to indicate peritonitis if there was concomitantly increased peritoneal (predominantly polymorphonuclear) cellularity in the dialysis effluent to more than 100 cells/mm³.

Nasal and pericatheter skin collection
Samples were collected from the nasal cavities and skin adjacent to the catheter. The first sampling was performed on the first day of the study; the second, after 60 days; and the third, 9-12 months after the first collection. In peritonitis patients, peritoneal effluent samples were also collected and cultured. Sterile swabs moistened with sterile saline were introduced into the anterior nasal cavity by performing delicate circular movements, a methodology directed by the preference of the patients. Samples from the pericatheter region were collected by rubbing moistened swabs over the skin adjacent to the catheter.

The collected material was stored in dry sterile tubes and immediately sent to the Bacteriology Laboratory, Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Institute of Biosciences, UNESP, Botucatu, Brazil, where they were cultured in plates containing Columbia agar base medium supplemented with 5% defibrinated sheep or rabbit blood. Then, samples were grown on Baird-Parker agar medium, which is selective for staphylococci. After incubation at 37°C for 24 hours, microorganisms were isolated and identified.

Peritoneal effluent collection
When peritonitis was diagnosed, 40 ml of peritoneal effluent was aseptically collected from the patient’s last drainage. It was then centrifuged and the sediment was inoculated into blood culture medium for automatic processing of incubation (BACTEC). Microorganisms from positive flasks were isolated and identified according to Koneman et al. (10).

Staphylococcus identification
Following microbiological identification, colonies were Gram-stained to observe their purity, morphology and specific staining. After identification of their characteristics, strains were subjected to catalase tests to confirm their family as Micrococcaceae (10).

Staphylococcus genus was differentiated from Micrococcus genus based on oxidation test; glucose fermentation; resistance to bacitracin (0.04 U), indicated by the formation of an inhibition halo of 9-mm maximum diameter; and susceptibility to furazolidone (100 μg), characterized by a halo larger than 15 mm in diameter (4).

Coagulase test was performed to differentiate between S. aureus and CNS (10). Coagulase-negative staphylococci species were identified using a simplified method proposed by Cunha et al. (5), which consists of a battery of biochemical tests using xylose, sucrose, trehalose, mannitol, maltose and lactose, anaerobic growth in thioglycolate medium, reduction of nitrate, and production of hemolysins, urease and ornithine decarboxylase.

**Antibiotic susceptibility test (Kirby-Bauer method)**

The isolates susceptibility to antimicrobial drugs was tested using the agar disk diffusion technique and impregnated disks as per the National Committee for Clinical Laboratory Standards [NCCLS] (15). For inocula preparation, cultures were previously incubated for 4 to 6 hours in BHI broth and adjusted to a turbidity of 0.5 on the McFarland scale. The following antibiotic disks were used: penicillin G (10 IU), oxacillin (1 μg), cephalothin (30 μg), netilmicin (30 μg), ofloxacin (5 μg), and vancomycin (30 μg). Drug sensitivity and resistance were interpreted according to the values defined by the NCCLS (15). The international reference strain S. aureus ATCC 25923 was used as control.

Similarity between strains isolated from different anatomical sites and those from peritoneal effluent was determined based on the inhibition halos obtained with the agar disk diffusion technique. Strains resistant to more than one of the drugs tested were classified as multidrug resistant.

**Determination of the minimum inhibitory concentration (E-test)**

The minimum inhibitory concentration of Staphylococcus strains was determined by the E-test (AB Biodisk, Solna, Sweden), a quantitative method that uses a
transparent strip of inert plastic with drug concentrations ranging from 0.002 to 256 μg/ml. The following antibiotics were used: penicillin, levofloxacin, netilmicin, and vancomycin. This technique was used to interpret similarities among *Staphylococcus* strains isolated from peritoneal effluent of peritonitis patients and among staphylococci isolated from other sites. The proportion of strains susceptible and resistant to each drug was defined according to the NCCLS (15). The international reference strain *S. aureus* ATCC 29213 was used as control.

**Association between carrier status and occurrence of staphylococcal peritonitis**

Patients were evaluated and classified as follows: peritonitis patients nasal carrier of the *Staphylococcus* species that caused infection; non-peritonitis patients nasal carrier of *Staphylococcus*; peritonitis patients nasal non-carrier of the *Staphylococcus* species that caused infection; non-peritonitis patients nasal non-carrier of *Staphylococcus*.

Sensitivity and the positive predictive value (PPV) were analyzed when a patient presented *Staphylococcus* in the normal flora.

**Statistical analysis**

Proportions were compared using the chi-square test. To evaluate the association between carrier status and occurrence of peritonitis, sensitivity (S) and positive predictive value (PPV) were calculated using the following formulae:

\[
S = \frac{A}{A+C}
\]

\[
PPV = \frac{A}{A+B},
\]

where S = proportion of carriers of the *Staphylococcus* species that caused peritonitis; PPV = probability of peritonitis being caused by the species found in the patient nasal cavity or pericatheter skin; A = *Staphylococcus* species found in the peritoneal effluent and in the nasal cavity or pericatheter skin of the patient; B = *Staphylococcus* species present in the nasal cavity or pericatheter skin but absent in the peritoneal effluent of the patient; C = *Staphylococcus* species found in the peritoneal effluent but absent in the nasal cavity or pericatheter skin of the patient.
Logistic regression analysis was used to evaluate independent risk factors for peritonitis caused by endogenous *Staphylococcus* strains. Models were fitted using SAS v. 8.2 software through GENMOD processor.

Similarity among strains (cluster dendrograms) was analyzed in order to group strains based on the drug susceptibility tests. The UPGMA method with Euclidean distance was used (7).

**RESULTS**

**Samples**

Thirty-two patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis between January 2003 and July 2004 were studied. During this period, 96 nasal and 96 pericatheter skin samples were collected.

**Characteristics of the population studied**

Table 1 summarizes the characteristics of the patients studied. Age ranged from 21 to 85 years old, (mean ± SD = 54.7 ± 17 years old); 17 (53.1%) patients were younger than 60 years old and 15 (46.9%) were older than or 60 years old. There was predominance of white patients (27; 84.4%); twenty were male (62.5%), and 13 (40.6%) were diabetic.

Twenty-four patients underwent manual dialysis technique while eight (25%) received automated dialytic treatment. Considering the 32 patients included in the study, dialysis time (mean ± SD) was 34.6 ± 20 months.

**Staphylococcus identification**

Out of the 288 *Staphylococcus* strains isolated, 155 were from the nasal cavity, 122 from the skin, and 11 from peritoneal effluent of peritonitis patients. Sixty-three (21.9%) were identified as *S. aureus* and 225 (78.1%) as CNS, which included 145 (64.4%) *S. epidermidis*, 34 (15.1%) *S. warneri*, 24 (10.7%) *S. haemolyticus*, and 22 (9.8%) other CNS species (Table 2).

Among the 155 *Staphylococcus* strains isolated from the nasal cavity, 33 (21.3%) were identified as *S. aureus* and 122 (78.7%) as CNS, out of which *S. epidermidis* was the most frequent (78 isolates; 64%), followed by *S. warneri* (21 isolates; 17.2%), and *S. haemolyticus* (11 isolates; 9%).
From the 122 strains isolated from the pericatheter skin, 27 (22.1%) were identified as *S. aureus* and 95 (77.9%) as CNS. The most frequent CNS were *S. epidermidis* (61 isolates; 64.2%), *S. haemolyticus* (13 isolates; 13.7%), and *S. warneri* (11 isolates; 11.6%).

Out of the 11 peritonitis cases, three (27.3%) were caused by *S. aureus* and eight (72.7%) by CNS, including *S. epidermidis* (6 cases; 75%) and *S. warneri* (2 cases; 25%) (Table 2).

### Frequency of patients with multidrug-resistant *Staphylococcus* strains

*In vitro* resistance to more than one antimicrobial drug was observed in strains from 25 (78%) patients. Multidrug-resistant *S. epidermidis* strains were detected in 17 (53.1%) patients; other multidrug-resistant CNS were found in six (18.75%) patients, and *S. aureus* strains resistant to more than one drug were observed in two (6.2%) patients. Difference among these groups was significant (*p*<0.05).

### Evaluation of risk factors for staphylococcal peritonitis

Analysis of risk factors revealed a greater predisposition to infection by *S. aureus* strains in older (*p*=0.05) and non-white (*p*=0.05) patients. Among the patients colonized with *S. epidermidis* strains, peritonitis was only observed in those who were carrier of multidrug-resistant strains (*p*=0.05).

### Association between carrier status and occurrence of staphylococcal peritonitis

Nine patients presented 11 staphylococcal peritonitis cases, of which two occurred during the observation period. Association analysis showed that eight patients, who continued with some *Staphylococcus* species, developed peritonitis, while one patient developed peritonitis due to a *Staphylococcus* species that was not present in the nasal cavity or even on the skin. Twenty-three patients were classified as nasal carriers of *Staphylococcus* and did not develop peritonitis during the 12 months of the study period. None of the patients who did not develop peritonitis were classified as nasal non-carriers of *Staphylococcus*; at the end of the study, some *Staphylococcus* species were detected in their nasal cavity.

In *Staphylococcus* carriers, PPV was 26%. Sensitivity of patients who developed peritonitis was 89%. Specificity and negative predictive value were zero.
Figures 2-6 show dendrograms drawn based on the inhibition halos obtained using the agar disk diffusion technique for visualization of clusters of Staphylococcus strains.

Figure 2 shows dendrograms for S. aureus strains isolated from peritoneal effluent, anterior nasal cavity and pericatheter skin of two patients who developed peritonitis. In patients 19 and 22, there was grouping, therefore similarity, between the strain isolated from peritoneal effluent and that isolated from the anterior nasal cavity and pericatheter skin. Inhibition halos obtained for the strain isolated from the skin and peritoneal effluent of patient 22 were identical for all drugs tested, while a difference of 1 mm in the inhibition halos was observed for one of the drugs tested in patient 19. Dendrograms in Figures 3-6 included CNS strains isolated from peritoneal effluent, anterior nasal cavity and pericatheter skin of six patients who developed peritonitis, and showed that groupings (similarities) between strains from peritoneal effluent and those from the other collection sites were variable; the greatest similarity was observed between strains isolated from the anterior nasal cavity in patient 28 and that isolated from the pericatheter skin in patient 6 (Figure 3). In patient 28, the CNS strain isolated from the nasal cavity, which grouped with the agent of peritonitis, had a difference of 1 mm in its inhibition halos with three of the six drugs tested (Figure 3). In patient 6, the difference in inhibition halos was 1 mm with two drugs and 2 mm with three drugs (Figure 3). In patient 8, the difference in inhibition halos ranged from 3 to 4 mm, which indicates a slightly more distant grouping (Figure 4). In the remaining patients the possibility of grouping was still more distant, with a difference in inhibition halos of up to 9 mm between CNS isolated from peritoneal effluent and those from other sites [anterior nasal cavity samples in patient 10 or anterior nasal cavity and pericatheter skin, simultaneously, in patient 31] (Figure 5).

In patient 2, no grouping was observed between strains isolated from peritoneal effluent and strains isolated from other sites. Only a distant grouping was noticed; therefore, no similarity among strains was observed and very different inhibition halos were verified for all drugs tested (Figure 6).

Analysis of dendrograms drawn with the values obtained in the E-test for S. aureus and CNS confirmed the results obtained in the agar disk diffusion method (data not shown).
Table 1: Characteristics of the 32 patients included in the study.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Number (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (years), mean ± SD</td>
<td>54.75 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20 (62.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>12 (37.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Race</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White</td>
<td>27 (84.4)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-white</td>
<td>5 (15.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>13 (40.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No</td>
<td>19 (59.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dialysis technique</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manual</td>
<td>24 (75)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Automated</td>
<td>8 (25)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SD = standard deviation.
*p<0.05.

Table 2: Distribution of *Staphylococcus* strains isolated from the nasal cavity, pericatheter skin and peritoneal effluent of 32 patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Species</th>
<th>Nasal cavity</th>
<th>Skin</th>
<th>Peritoneal effluent</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>S. aureus</em></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. epidermidis</em></td>
<td>78</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>145</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. warneri</em></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. haemolyticus</em></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. saprophyticus</em></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. capitis</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. simulans</em></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. lugdunensis</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. hominis</em></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>S. schleiferi</em></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total | 155 | 122 | 11 | 288 |
Figure 1: Association between carrier status and occurrence of staphylococcal peritonitis in 32 patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis.

Figure 2: Similarity dendrograms drawn based on inhibition halos obtained by using the agar disk diffusion technique for *S. aureus* isolated from the nasal cavity, pericatheter skin and peritoneal effluent of two patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis.
Figure 3: Similarity dendrograms drawn based on inhibition halos obtained by using the agar disk diffusion technique for coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from the nasal cavity, pericatheter skin and peritoneal effluent of two patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis.
Figure 4: Similarity dendrogram drawn based on inhibition halos obtained by using the agar disk diffusion technique for coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from the nasal cavity, pericatheter skin and peritoneal effluent of one patient undergoing peritoneal dialysis.

Figure 5: Similarity dendrograms drawn based on inhibition halos obtained by using the agar disk diffusion technique for coagulase-negative staphylococci isolated from the nasal cavity, pericatheter skin and peritoneal effluent of two patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis.
DISCUSSION

Carriers of *S. aureus* in the nasal cavities and pericatheter skin are considered to be at higher risk of developing peritonitis (12, 16, 19). However, it is not clear whether the presence of CNS on the skin or in the nasal cavities of patients is related to subsequent peritonitis.

The present study observed 32 patients undergoing peritoneal dialysis for 18 months to determine the *Staphylococcus* species present in their nasal cavity, pericatheter skin and peritoneal effluent as well as the similarity among strains isolated from these different anatomical sites. The population studied consisted of adult individuals, predominantly whites undergoing manual dialysis.

Out of the 288 *Staphylococcus* strains isolated, 53.8% were found in the nasal cavity, 42.4% on the skin and 3.8% in the peritoneal effluent of patients who developed peritonitis during the study. The *Staphylococcus* species most frequently found were CNS (78.1%), followed by *S. aureus* (21.9%), which are similar to the results reported by Eisenberg *et al.* (6).
Among CNS, *S. epidermidis* was the species most frequently isolated (64.4%), followed by *S. warneri*, *S. haemolyticus* and other species. Since the identification of CNS is not a routine procedure, few studies have identified species isolated from patients on peritoneal dialysis. In the study by Eisenberg *et al.* (6), *S. epidermidis* accounted for 75% of the CNS isolated. Identification of *Staphylococcus* species is not only epidemiologically important but may also contribute to the correlation between species with pathogenic potential and their susceptibility profile to antimicrobial drugs.

Although CNS infections are considered to be associated with few unforeseen complications and usually show a benign course, alarming rates of relapse in cases of peritonitis caused by these microorganisms have been reported (20).

To determine the relationship between carrier status and occurrence of peritonitis, various methods have been proposed in an attempt to establish similarity between strains isolated from nasal cavities and/or other extraperitoneal anatomical sites and those isolated from peritoneal effluent (1, 9, 16). The main objective of analyzing the resistance profile to antimicrobial drugs using the agar diffusion technique was to determine similarity among strains isolated from the skin, nasal cavity and peritoneal effluent of patients who developed peritonitis during the study period. In addition, the E-test method was used to confirm the data obtained and to increase the analyses reliability. Dendrograms were also drawn with the aim of grouping strains based on the results of drug susceptibility tests, which permitted visual evaluation of proximity among strains and showed grouping of samples belonging to extraperitoneal sites and the causes of infection.

Among the peritonitis cases studied, 73% were caused by CNS, 75% by *S. epidermidis*, and the remaining by *S. warneri*. Similar results were reported by West *et al.* (20), who analyzed 41 cases of CNS-induced peritonitis, from which *S. epidermidis* was identified in 70%, and *S. warneri* in 7%. These authors also reported that CNS were responsible for 53.2% of peritonitis cases, 82.9% of them being caused by *S. epidermidis*. These frequencies agree with other studies that considered this species to be of high clinical relevance and to be predominantly found on the skin and nasal cavity of humans. These results suggest that the incidence of infection by these microorganisms reflects contamination of the dialysis fluid with organisms present on the body surface of the patients.
Fontán et al. (8), studying 146 cases of peritonitis, found that two of every three cases caused by \textit{S. aureus} were associated with the presence of this species in the patient nasal cavity. According to these authors, the data obtained supported the concept that \textit{Staphylococcus} carriers present a significant risk of developing peritonitis, although studies such as that by Lubrich-Birkner et al. (11) did not find a correlation between \textit{Staphylococcus} carrier status and infection by the colonizing species. In the present study, the same species was isolated from the flora in two (66%) of the three cases caused by \textit{S. aureus}. The same species in normal flora was observed in the six cases of peritonitis caused by \textit{S. epidermidis}; two cases (34%) showed high similarity, and in three (50%) cases, the possibility of grouping was remote. However, in two cases of peritonitis caused by \textit{S. warneri}, the isolated strains did not present similarities, although the same species was isolated from the normal flora.

Statistical analysis revealed a predisposition to infection in patients colonized with multidrug-resistant \textit{S. epidermidis} strains. According to Archer and Climo (3), there is an association between the increased frequency of CNS in the etiology of infections and the resistance of these microorganisms to antimicrobial agents. Strains isolated from clinical samples have frequently shown resistance to commonly used antibiotics (9). Investigators have emphasized that these microorganisms are reservoirs of various resistance genes since they correspond to the largest proportion of the skin microflora and, consequently, are more subject to the selective pressure of commonly used antimicrobial drugs (2). The high rate of transmission of plasmids between these microorganisms and the abusive use of antimicrobial drugs has markedly contributed to the selection of multidrug-resistant strains and to the transference of resistance genes (14, 17).

The chance of isolating the same species that caused infection from the flora of patients who presented episodes of staphylococcal peritonitis was 89%. Since the population studied included carriers of several \textit{Staphylococcus} species, the estimated probability of occurrence of peritonitis caused by the same species found in the nasal cavity or pericatheter skin of the patient was 26%.

Results of the present study reinforce the influence of an endogenous source of \textit{S. epidermidis} on the occurrence of peritonitis in peritoneal dialysis patients as has been observed for \textit{S. aureus}.
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