INEFFICACY OF THE ASSOCIATION N-METHYL GLUCAMINE AND TOPICAL MILTEFOSINE IN THE TREATMENT OF EXPERIMENTAL CUTANEOUS LEISHMANIASIS BY *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) *amazonensis*
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ABSTRACT: Pentavalent antimonial (SbV) is the first treatment for cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL). Other drugs present similar side effects and higher cost. Oral miltefosine is effective to treat kala-azar. The aim of the present study was to compare the efficacy of glucamine (SbV) plus topical miltefosine with glucamine in the treatment of CL. Eighty isogenic C57BL/6 mice were inoculated with *Leishmania* (*Leishmania*) *amazonensis* and divided into two groups: one group was treated with SbV associated with miltefosine, and the other group received SbV plus saline solution. Groups were evaluated according to the diameter of the inoculated foot pad, the culture, and the parasite count using the limiting dilution assay. There was not statistical difference. The efficacy of glucamine in CL treatment did not increase when associated with topical miltefosine.
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INTRODUCTION

Cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is caused by the parasitism of vertebrate host’s macrophages by *Leishmania*. There is an annual incidence of 1.5 million human cases worldwide. Besides skin lesions, *Leishmania* may damage the mucous membrane (mucocutaneous leishmaniasis), causing high morbidity and mortality (10, 13). Cases of (CL) have been already notified in all states of Brazil.

The first drug for the treatment of leishmaniasis is pentavalent antimonial, whose mechanism of action is unknown (2, 3). It requires parenteral administration and presents high toxicity. In addition, this drug is not always effective, showing 20%–45% recurrence (4, 10, 13-17). Amphotericin B and pentamidine (2, 9) constitute the second choice for leishmaniasis treatment; however, they are expensive and toxic, require parenteral administration, and present therapeutic fails as well.

Miltefosine (hexadecylphosphocholine) is a phosphorylcholine ester of hexadecanol, an acyl-phospholipid used in the treatment of cutaneous metastasis of breast cancer (8, 23). It was effective in the treatment of experimental visceral leishmaniasis, acting on the main enzymes of the lipid metabolism of parasites (6, 7, 12). There was a direct action of such drug on macrophages and T cells, stimulating the secretion of IFN-\(\gamma\) and TNF-\(\alpha\) and inducing respiratory burst as well as nitric oxide release, activating the main *in vitro* macrophages leishmanicidal mechanisms. *In vivo*, miltefosine leishmanicidal potential seems not to depend on the response of T cells nor on the activation of macrophages, since intracellular death of parasites was noticed in mice showing T cells deficiency (11, 12).

Oral miltefosine has been efficient in the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis, including cases of resistance to antimonial and immunosuppression (11). Nowadays, miltefosine is used for the treatment of kala-azar in India. In a non-controlled study, cure was obtained in 94% of CL cases when miltefosine was used at the dose of 100–150mg/day for 4 weeks (21). Topical miltefosine was also efficient in decreasing the number of parasites and in healing lesions in BALB/C and C57BL/6 mice infected with *Leishmania* (18).

The present study compared a group of leishmaniasis mice treated with N-methyl glucamine associated with topical miltefosine to another group treated with N-methyl glucamine only, aimed at verifying if the association of such two drugs is more efficient in CL treatment.
MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present study was controlled and randomized. It used isogenic male C57BL/6 mice and parasites of *Leishmania (L.) amazonensis* promastigotes (MHOM/BR/PH8) identified by isoenzymes and monoclonal antibodies.

Eighty mice were inoculated in their right foot pad with $3 \times 10^6$ promastigotes at the stationary growth phase (1, 25) and were randomly divided into two groups: Glucamine Group, treated with Sb$^V$ (N-methyl glucamine), subcutaneously, at the dose of 400mg/kg body weight/day (26) for 28 days; and Miltefosine Group, treated with Sb$^V$, at the same dose, associated with topical miltefosine, for 28 days. Miltefosine in a 6% solution was administered as one drop once a day during the first week and every 12 hours from the second week (23). According to the drug use instructions, the application site was gently rubbed and kept uncovered.

Before treatment, the mice foot pads were measured using a Mitutoyo Pachymeter and no differences were noticed between groups. After treatment, they were measured again and material was aspirated for culture. The amount of amastigote in the inoculated paws was calculated using the limiting dilution assay (24): two mice of each group had their foot pads washed in alcohol, dissected, triturated in aseptic chamber, and cultured in ELISA well-plates using ten successive dilutions. The number of positive wells was subjected to statistical analysis using the software ELIDA® (24). Student’s t test (SPSS 9.0) and chi-square test (Epi Info 6.04) were used ($\alpha$=0.05, p<0.05).

RESULTS

After treatment, Glucamine Group presented smaller average diameter of inoculated paws than Miltefosine Group (30.7mm and 33.15mm, respectively) (p>0.05; Figure 1).

Analysis of cultures after treatment indicated 75% positivity in Glucamine Group and 80% in Miltefosine Group, without statistically significant difference (p>0.005; Figure 2).

In a parasitological analysis using the limiting dilution assay, Miltefosine Group presented a higher average number of parasites, but there was not significant difference between groups (Table 1 and Figure 3).
Figure 1. Diameters (mm) of mice foot pads inoculated with N-methyl glucamine only (Glucamine Group) and with N-methyl glucamine plus topical miltefosine (Miltefosine Group) before and after treatment (p>0.05).

Figure 2. Positive or negative *Leishmania* amastigote forms in cultures from mice treated with N-methyl glucamine only (Glucamine Group) and with N-methyl glucamine plus topical miltefosine (Miltefosine Group) after treatment (p>0.005).
Figure 3. Average number of promastigote forms calculated using limiting dilution assay in mice treated with N-methyl glucamine only (Glucamine Group) and with N-methyl glucamine plus topical miltefosine (Miltefosine Group) (p>0.05).

Table 1. Average number of promastigotes calculated using limiting dilution assay in mice treated with N-methyl glucamine only (Glucamine Group) and with N-methyl glucamine plus topical miltefosine (Miltefosine Group); (p>0.05).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Average number of promastigotes</th>
<th>Number of positive wells (ELISA plates)</th>
<th>Shunting line standard</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucamine Group</td>
<td>5.2+4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9.5+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Miltefosine Group</td>
<td>5.6+4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7.2+3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>5.4+4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7.8+3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The numbers 3 and 4 were added to the means in order to facilitate the statistical analysis.

DISCUSSION

Control of mucocutaneous leishmaniasis (MCL) and cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL) is very difficult since many factors are involved. The transmission types vary with the large number of different *Leishmania* species, vectors and natural reservoirs. A vaccine of confirmed efficacy has not been developed yet. Currently, treatment is the unique effective measure against such disease. Antimonials, which is a drug of first line used since 1912 and administered by parenteral via, leads to frequent and severe side effects; also, the parasite can be resistant to it (2-4, 10, 13-17).
The *Leishmania* species tested in the present experiment, *Leishmania* (L.) *amazonensis*, is one of the three species that most frequently cause CL in Brazil. Generally, this parasite causes only cutaneous lesions, but 1% of the cases may manifest as diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis (DCL), in which the patient has a specific deficiency of the immune response mediated by the host cells. A DCL patient presents negative *Leishmania* test, high number of parasites, and absence of response or cure with specific treatment. Thus, this species seems not to respond well to antimonials (2, 4, 17), making necessary the search for drugs that are efficient in the treatment of CL or DCL caused by such species.

Associations of drugs have helped to combat diseases caused by intracellular microorganisms that constitute worldwide public health problems like leprosy and tuberculosis; such associations represent a hope for the treatment of MCL. Therefore, the use of oral and topical drugs that cause fewer and less important side effects has to be investigated.

Due to its leishmanicidal and immunomodulating actions, miltefosine has been a promising drug for the treatment of leishmaniasis. It can be orally administered, was considered safe and efficient in the treatment of visceral leishmaniasis (5-7, 11, 12, 21, 22), and was efficient in experimental cutaneous leishmaniasis treatment when used topically (18).

In the present study, two evaluations (clinical and parasitological) were carried out to verify the efficacy of the therapeutic schemes used. Clinical evaluation is a method of low precision since the presence or absence of cutaneous lesions is not always associated with the presence and the quantity of parasites, e.g. big and disfiguring lesions of human mucous membrane may present small number of parasites whereas healed cutaneous lesions may present *Leishmania* forms (10, 13, 17).

As already mentioned, in a previous study using topical miltefosine for the treatment of experimental leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania major* and *Leishmania mexicana*, good results were obtained (18). Therefore, it seems to be the first time this topical drug has been used for *Leishmania* (L.) *amazonensis*. The different results found in the current study could be justified by the different species studied. Some reports show good response to miltefosine in CL patients (20), which seems to vary according to the species: a study in humans infected with *L. (V.) panamensis* and *L. (V.) braziliensis* showed 91% cure of CL cases caused by *L. (V.) panamensis*. However, in the current study, miltefosine was not effective against *L. (L.) amazonensis*. This finding highlights the importance of considering the species specificity when treating leishmaniasis.
panamensis; there was only 33% of response to treatment in cases caused by L. (V.) braziliensis (19).

There is not evident advantage in using the association of glucamine with topical miltefosine for the treatment of experimental leishmaniasis caused by *Leishmania* (*L.*) *amazonensis*. The data obtained in the present experiment suggested that further studies are needed to confirm such results as well as that other *Leishmania* species causing MCL have to be tested.
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