ASSESSMENT OF CYTOKINE VALUES IN SERUM BY RT-PCR IN HIV-1 INFECTED INDIVIDUALS WITH AND WITHOUT HIGHLY ACTIVE ANTI-RETROVIRAL THERAPY (HAART)
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ABSTRACT: A cross-sectional study was performed on HIV-1 infected individuals with or without antiretroviral treatment (ARV) in the AIDS Day Hospital, Botucatu Medical School, UNESP. Between August 2004 and October 2005, 73 HIV-1 infected individuals were divided into three groups: infected individuals with or without AIDS who had never received ARV (G1 = 15); patients on HAART that had had plasma HIV-1 RNA viral load (VL) equal to or greater than 50 copies/mL (G2 = 27); and patients on HAART with undetectable VL for at least the past six months (G3 = 31). There was also an additional group that comprised blood donors without any sign of the disease and with negative HIV serum tests (G4 = 20), which was the control group. Serum cytokine levels (values in pg/mL) were measured by enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) and specific mRNA expression by reverse transcription polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR). Both techniques were performed on the four groups for TNF-α, IL-2, INF-γ, IL-4 and IL-10. All patients were submitted to VL determination and CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T lymphocyte counts. The analysis of the results revealed a significant comparison among groups for both methods and an association between the latter (> 80% – r² > 0.80). There was only one exception, in control individuals for IL-2 by ELISA. The cytokine profiles, in both methods, for the three patient groups, were mature Th-0. The behaviors of IL-2 and INF-γ required emphasis due to consequent expression of dominant Th profile. Both methods showed low IL-2 and high mean values of INF-γ in the three groups. Several authors have recently drawn attention to the substantial apoptosis of infected and non-infected CD4⁺ T cells, mainly during primary infection, persisting only in those with INF-γ phenotype producer and not IL-2. HIV infected individuals submitted to HAART are expected to produce IL-2 in an attempt to present Th-1 profile, but in most cases this did not occur.
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INTRODUCTION

Highly active anti-retroviral therapy (HAART) causes important interference in the natural history of HIV-1 infection. Haase (9) reported the impact of this treatment on suppressing viral replication that could be observed by VL determination. However, even with this vigorous action, the treatment did not completely eliminate the virus. Pierson et al. (20), considering the half-life of latently infected cells which are HIV-1 reservoirs, applied a mathematical model and concluded that more than 60 years of treatment would be required to eradicate this compartment. Regarding HIV surrogate markers, VL has been of great use, as the reduction in number of RNA copies per mL of plasma, often not detectable, has become an eloquent indicator of therapeutic action. The clinical evolution of concomitant patients shows increased survival, easier control of opportunistic infections, and a reduction in the number of deaths for patients under treatment (16). According to Gougeon et al. (7) the other surrogate marker, represented by CD4^+T/mm^3, which can give information on suppression level or immune system recovery level in patients under treatment, is influenced by complex mechanisms with complicate interpretation. Carcelain et al. (2), discussing immune reconstitution during HIV infection under HAART, mentioned three mechanisms involved in CD4^+T lymphocyte recuperation, which are: redistribution of memory CD4^+T cells from tissues where they have been previously sequestered; regeneration of virgin thymus cells; and reduction of inflammatory process. In this manner, CD4^+T count does not reflect the real number of virgin, memory, or recirculating CD4^+T cells after the reduction of therapeutic viral replication. Additionally, quantitative evaluation of these cells does not inform us which cytokines they are producing. Haase (9), referring to naïve CD4^+T cell repopulation during treatment, mentioned that they slowly increase, but only many months after therapy introduction. According to the latter author, immunity regeneration is slow, variable and partial.

The main problem concerning HIV-1 infected individuals, when they are submitted to HAART, is the evaluation of immune function under this therapy interference. It is necessary to search for other markers to notice whether or not there is immunological recovery (4, 5). Cytokine levels and the knowledge of their respective profiles can be evolution markers of immune response during ARV treatment.
Clerici and Shearer (3), in 1993, were the first to propose the use of cytokines as progression markers for AIDS, which can be indicated by a drop in IL-2 and INF-γ and a concomitant increase in IL-4 and IL-10. Meira et al. (14, 15), in previous publications, demonstrated the importance of cytokines as evolution markers, mainly regarding HAART. Imami et al. (11), in 1999, studied Th-1/Th-2 cytokine profiles in nine HIV patients before and during HAART by amplification and detection of specific cDNA, using real-time PCR. They suggested that the determination of cytokine profiles in HIV-1 in these two periods could complement information from VL and CD4+T/mm³ cell count (11). This permitted to verify how the treatment affected the immune recovery in these patients.

The objectives of the current study were: to evaluate the role of serum cytokines levels (TNF-α, INF-γ, IL-2, IL-4, and IL-10) and mRNA expression by real-time PCR, compare these two methods and examine Th-1, mature Th-0, and Th-2 cytokine profiles. Therefore, a cross-sectional study was employed to analyze three patient groups: “treatment virgins”; HAART with detectable VL; and HAART without detectable VL.

PATIENTS AND METHODS

Patients
Between August 2004 and October 2005, 73 HIV-1 infected individuals, ill and not ill, were monitored at the Specialized Outpatient Service and Day Hospital for AIDS patients, part of the medical complex of Botucatu Medical School, UNESP. All persons had a history compatible with HIV-1 infection, with either positive ELISA or Western blot. Among them, 38 were female and 35 male and their ages ranged from 22 to 66 years old (mean: 40 years). Twenty normal blood donors from the Botucatu Hemocenter were also included, 16 were male and four female and aged between 19 and 62 years old (mean: 37 years).

Methods

- Groups
Group 1 (G₁): 15 HIV-1 infected individuals, with or without AIDS, who had never received ARV. These patients had not yet been indicated for ARV, or had had HIV-1 infection diagnosed a few days before inclusion in the present study. Individuals from
this group were the only ones who were not on ARV treatment, thus could be considered as representatives of the natural history of HIV infection. Patients in the other two groups were under the influence of treatment.

Group 2 (G$_2$): 27 HIV-1 infected individuals, sick or not, on ARV treatment, five with two nucleoside/nucleotide reverse transcriptase inhibitors (NRTI) and one non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI); and 22 on HAART with two NRTI, or one NRTI and one NNRTI, and one protease inhibitor (PI), and VL equal to or greater than 50 copies of plasma RNA/mL.

Treatment duration in this group varied between three and 145 months (mean: 53.6 months; median: 42 months).

Group 3 (G$_3$): 31 HIV-1 infected individuals on ARV treatment, 16 on HAART with two NRTI, or one NRTI and one NNRTI, and one PI, and 15 with two NRTI and one NNRTI. All G$_3$ patients had undetectable VL for at least the past six months.

Treatment in this group varied between five and 108 months (mean: 48.1 months; median: 42 months). In both groups G$_2$ and G$_3$, all individuals were under ARV treatment and classified as positive (G$_2$) or undetectable (G$_3$) VL, which indicates a clear distinction between them concerning viral activity and, consequently, presents an important factor that must be considered for the interpretation of HIV-1 pathogenicity (12).

Group 4 (G$_4$): 20 blood donors without any clinical complaints and negative for anti-HIV-1/2 antibodies. None of them presented any sign of the disease.

**Parameters considered**

- **Clinical parameters**
  All 73 infected individuals were submitted to clinical observation that included HIV-associated opportunistic diseases at the time of blood collection for cytokine detection.

- **Laboratorial parameters**
  The 73 persons (G$_1$, G$_2$, and G$_3$) were submitted at same time to: VL determination; CD4$^+$T and CD8$^+$T/mm$^3$ lymphocyte count; and TNF-$\alpha$, INF-$\gamma$, IL-2, IL-4 and IL-10 serum cytokine determination by amplification and detection of cytokine-specific cDNA through RT-PCR.
• HIV-1 plasma viral load determination
Infected individuals were tested for HIV-1 plasma VL by the bDNA HIV-1 RNA QT system. The low limit for detection by this method was considered 50 copies/mL.

• CD4⁺T and CD8⁺T/mm³ lymphocyte counts
The numbers of CD4⁺ and CD8⁺ T cells were measured from all HIV-infected patients in G₁, G₂, and G₃ using four-color flow cytometry and the commercially available Multitest IMK® kit (Becton Dickinson, USA) (13).

• Serum cytokine determination
We collected 8 mL of blood, in a dry tube, from 73 HIV-1 (G₁, G₂, and G₃) and 20 normal (G₄) individuals. The serum was immediately separated, aliquoted and stored at –70°C. Serum cytokine determination was performed between four and 12 weeks after storage. TNF-α, INF-γ, IL-2, IL-4 and IL-10 cytokines were determined by ELISA, with Human Quantikine® kits (R&D Systems, USA). Initially, 96 microplates were sensitized with anti-cytokine monoclonal antibody (TNF-α, INF-γ, IL-2, IL-4 and IL-10). Subsequently, 200 μL of test serum, positive and negative controls, was added (dilution 1:2) to the samples that, afterwards, were incubated at 37°C for periods ranging from 30 to 60 minutes, depending on the cytokine. Four washes were carried out with detergent solution containing 2-chloroacetamide (0.1%). This was repeated until the phase preceding substrate addition. Then, biotin marked plates received streptavidin-peroxidase. After incubation, a substrate containing hydrogen peroxide (0.02%) and tetramethylbenzene (2%) was added. The reaction was interrupted at room temperature with 2N sulfuric acid. Results were evaluated by optical density (OD) on a Multiskan analyser (EFLAB, Finland) reader at 450 nm. Serum cytokine concentrations were calculated from a standard curve (15, 17). The detection limits of serum cytokines were 15.6 to 1,000 pg/mL for TNF-α and IFN-γ, 31.2 to 2,000 pg/mL for IL-2, 1.6 to 5,000 pg/mL for IL-4 and 3.2 to 10,000 pg/mL for IL-10.

• Cytokine dosage by amplification and specific cDNA detection using real-time PCR
Peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMC) were obtained from heparinized blood by means of standard Histopaque-1077® (Sigma-Aldrich, USA) gradient centrifugation (1). Total RNA was extracted from PBMC immediately after the collection utilizing Trizol® reagent (Invitrogen, USA) according to manufacturer
instructions. DNase digestion of RNA solution was carried with DNase (RQ1 RNase-Free DNase®, Promega, USA). The Platzer and Blankstein (21) technique was employed to obtain cDNA. Semi-quantitative RT-PCR was performed in real time (7300 Real Time PCR System®, Applied Biosystems, USA) using Lux primers (Certified Lux® Primer Set, Invitrogen, USA) for the following cytokines: IL-2 (GenBank accession number NM 000586); IL-4 (GenBank accession number NM 000589); IL-10 (GenBank accession number NM 000572); INF-γ (GenBank accession number NM 000619); and TNF-α (GenBank accession number NM 000594); β-actin (GenBank accession number NM 001101-2) – used for housekeeping reactions. Platinum PCR Supermix® (Invitrogen, USA) was utilized for the PCR reaction. Samples were distributed on 96-well plates and amplified in real time under the following incubation conditions: 2 minutes at 50°C and 95°C, 45 cycles at 95°C for 15 seconds, 55°C and 72°C for 30 seconds during which fluorescence data were obtained. The threshold cycle (Ct) reflects the cycle number at which the fluorescence generated within a reaction cross the threshold. The Ct value assigned to a particular well thus reflects the point during the reaction at which a sufficient number of amplicons have accumulated, in that well, to be at a statistically significant point above the baseline (18). One member of the normal group (G₄) was randomly selected for serial dilutions of cDNA, which was used as a standard cytokine quantification reference. Results expressed as Ct values for β-actin and cytokines, for each individual, were corrected based on values from the normal individual according to the standard real-time calculation.

**Statistical analysis**

Mean (\(\overline{X}\)), standard deviation (SD) and median (Md) were calculated for each group. Comparison among groups G₁, G₂, G₃ and G₄ – regarding serum cytokines, amplification and detection of specific cDNA – was made by non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test (26), with H and p statistics (chi-square distribution) and considering differences between group means (p < 0.05). Similarity between ELISA and RT-PCR methods was studied by linear correlation coefficient between two measurements and after the linear regression equation Y = f (X), where Y = RT – PCR and X = ELISA, with the respective coefficient of determination (r²). A correlation was considered significant when r² > 0.60 and high when r² > 0.80. CD4⁺T and CD8⁺T/mm³ lymphocyte counts and VL determination values (by non-parametric
Kruskal-Wallis test) were compared among groups G₁, G₂ and G₃ (26). Serum and real-time PCR cytokine normal values were obtained by $\bar{X} + 1SD$ of their respective values from the control group (G₄) (26). Thus, Th-1, mature Th-0 and Th-2 subsets were defined by their normal values according to Spellberg and Edwards (22). These authors considered mature Th-0 profile in situations where the CD4⁺T cells produced elevated levels of INF-γ and IL-4. Other authors have also reported Th-0 profile (6, 8, 19). The proportions of PI regimens in G₂ and G₃ and treatment duration in each group submitted to HAART (G₂ and G₃) were compared by the $\chi^2$ (chi-square) test (26).

RESULTS

HAART

• ARV treatment scheme
Twenty-two patients from G₂ received protease inhibitors associated with two NRTI or one NNRTI and one NRTI. The other five patients in this group were treated with two NRTI and one NNRTI. Sixteen G₃ patients received protease inhibitors associated with two NRTI or one NNRTI and one NRTI. The other fifteen received two NRTI and one NNRTI. There was statistical difference between groups in relation to protease inhibitor ($\chi^2_1 = 6.99; p < 0.01; G₂ > G₃$).

• Treatment duration
Comparison between treatment groups showed no statistical difference with respect to duration. Seventeen G₂ patients were treated for a period of three to 60 months and the other ten, for a period of 61 to 145 months. Twenty-two G₃ patients were treated for three to 60 months and the other nine, for 61 to 145 months ($\chi^2_1 = 0.41; \text{not significant}$).

CD4⁺ and CD8⁺T lymphocyte counts
Median (Md), mean ($\bar{X}$) and standard deviation (SD) values of CD4⁺T lymphocytes/mm³ in the patient groups were:
- G₁: Md = 313; $\bar{X} = 383$; and SD = 229;
- G₂: Md = 334; $\bar{X} = 334$; and SD = 186;
- G₃: Md = 419; $\bar{X} = 470$; and SD = 247.
Comparison between groups showed no statistical difference ($H = 4.371; p > 0.10$; $G_1 = G_2 = G_3$). Median (Md), mean ($\bar{X}$) and standard deviation (SD) values of CD8$^+$ T lymphocytes/mm$^3$ were:

- $G_1$: Md = 739; $\bar{X}$ = 951; and SD = 619;
- $G_2$: Md = 777; $\bar{X}$ = 886; and SD = 473;
- $G_3$: Md = 729; $\bar{X}$ = 745; and SD = 315.

Comparison between groups showed no statistical difference ($H = 1.281; p > 0.10$; $G_1 = G_2 = G_3$).

**VL behavior**

VL mean for $G_1$ patients was 31,231 copies/mL (log 4.49), and for $G_2$ patients was 8,779 copies/mL (log 3.94).

**Comparison and correlation of cytokine levels in serum (ELISA) and by amplification and specific cDNA detection using real-time PCR (RT-PCR)**

Figure 1 shows the distribution of cytokine values (TNF-$\alpha$, INF-$\gamma$, IL-2, IL-4 and IL-10) obtained through serum dosage by ELISA. The graph shows individual values for each cytokine and the horizontal line in each column refers to the median values obtained from the respective study group. Analysis of this figure suggests that TNF-$\alpha$ values decrease in the comparison among patient groups ($G_1$ to $G_3$), but remain elevated in relation to controls ($G_4$). The drop may be due to the antiretroviral treatment in $G_2$ and $G_3$. INF-$\gamma$ presented opposite behavior and increased from $G_1$ to $G_3$, which could also be due to the antiretroviral treatment. IL-2 however, remained low, not differing from normal values ($G_4$), and presented the same median level in the four groups. This fact could be associated with a possible incapacity of CD4$^+$ T cells, in HIV-1 infected individuals, to produce IL-2, even in patients under treatment or with undetectable VL. The latter finding suggests that a potent combined antiretroviral treatment is effective as it improves the life condition of patients, permits better control of opportunistic infections and increases survival in infected individuals. However, this type of treatment is not completely efficacious, since incapacity to perform HIV-1 clearance, or increase IL-2 production, suggests an inability to induce adaptive immune recovery.
Figure 1. Distribution of serum cytokine values per group (ELISA).

= median

G1: 15 HIV-1 patients virgin to treatment; G2: 27 HIV-1 patients under HAART with detectable VL; G3: 31 HIV-1 patients under HAART with undetectable VL; G4: 20 normal blood donor volunteers.

Figure 2 presents cytokine level distribution in mononuclear cells by RT-PCR. Median values of TNF-α and INF-γ for each group (G₁ to G₃) show a similar behavior to Figure 1, which reveals similarity between both methods (ELISA and RT-PCR). There was a small increase in IL-2 levels in treatment virgin patients (G₁) when compared to persons under treatment that presented detectable VL (G₂), while there was a decrease in individuals under treatment with undetectable VL (G₃). Statistical
analysis revealed that IL-2 values were equal in these groups. In this sense, IL-2 behavior was the same in both measurement methods, which displays an association between them. If its behavior in patients is judged, there is no desirable IL-2 production even with antiretroviral treatment and when VL is reduced.

Figure 2. Distribution of mononuclear cell cytokine values per group (RT-PCR).
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G1: 15 HIV-1 patients virgin to treatment; G2: 27 HIV-1 patients under HAART with detectable VL; G3: 31 HIV-1 patients under HAART with undetectable VL; G4: 20 normal blood donor volunteers.
Table 1 demonstrates the statistical results from the comparison among groups regarding cytokine levels by both methods (ELISA and RT-PCR). Groups were compared by analysis of variance using the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis method. All values show statistical significance, except for IL-2 by ELISA. This method was chosen the most consistent and, therefore most rigorous, mainly because there was no normal serum cytokine values determined by RT-PCR (26).

Table 1. Comparison and correlation of cytokine values in serum (ELISA) and in mononuclear cells (RT-PCR) in HIV-1 infected patients and normal individuals distributed into groups

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYTOKINES</th>
<th>ELISA</th>
<th>ELISA</th>
<th>RT-PCR</th>
<th>RT-PCR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>H = 49.897</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>G₁ &gt; (G₂ = G₃) &gt; G₄</td>
<td>(G₁ = G₂ = G₃) &gt; G₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF-γ</td>
<td>H = 47.678</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>G₂ &gt; G₁ &gt; G₄</td>
<td>(G₁ = G₂ = G₃) &gt; G₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>H = 4.094</td>
<td>p &gt; 0.10</td>
<td>G₁ = G₂ = G₃ = G₄</td>
<td>(G₁ = G₂ = G₃) &gt; G₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>H = 47.658</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>G₁ = G₂ = G₃ &gt; G₄</td>
<td>(G₁ = G₂ = G₃) &gt; G₄</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>H = 48.066</td>
<td>p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>(G₁ = G₂ = G₃) &gt; G₄</td>
<td>(G₁ = G₂ = G₃) &gt; G₄</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Equation: ELISA (X) / RT-PCR (Y); Y = 10.72 + 0.12 X

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CYTOKINES</th>
<th>Linear correlation</th>
<th>Determination</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>r = 0.93; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>r² = 0.87</td>
<td>Positive correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>INF-γ</td>
<td>r = 0.89; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>r² = 0.79</td>
<td>Positive correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-2</td>
<td>r = 0.46; p &lt; 0.05</td>
<td>r² = 0.21</td>
<td>Weak correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-4</td>
<td>r = 0.93; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>r² = 0.86</td>
<td>Positive correlation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IL-10</td>
<td>r = 0.91; p &lt; 0.001</td>
<td>r² = 0.82</td>
<td>Positive correlation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G₁: 15 HIV-1 patients virgin to treatment; G₂: 27 HIV-1 patients under HAART with detectable VL; G₃: 31 HIV-1 patients under HAART with undetectable VL; G₄: 20 normal blood donor volunteers; r: linear correlation coefficient; r²: determination coefficient.
Both methods were studied by linear correlation between two measurements followed by linear regression, which revealed an association among almost all cytokines (except for IL-2). Consequently, ELISA and RT-PCR methods demonstrated analogous behaviors.

Tables 2 and 3 respectively display medians, means and standard deviations of cytokine values obtained in serum by both ELISA and amplification and detection of specific cDNA by RT-PCR. The tables also demonstrate the normal values obtained in the same manner (mean + 1 SD) from the control group (G4) as well as the Th subset from each group.

Table 2. Distribution of serum cytokine values by group (ELISA)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Serum cytokines (pg/mL)</th>
<th>Th subset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Md / X / SD</td>
<td>Md / X / SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>674 / 619 / 168</td>
<td>314 / 382 / 163</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>404 / 463 / 186</td>
<td>531 / 555 / 199</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>389 / 486 / 265</td>
<td>589 / 610 / 178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>97.5 / 98 / 25</td>
<td>209 / 214 / 64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>278</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1: 15 HIV-1 patients virgin to treatment; G2: 27 HIV-1 patients under HAART with detectable VL; G3: 31 HIV-1 patients under HAART with undetectable VL; G4: 20 normal blood donor volunteers; Md: median; X: mean; SD: standard deviation.

Table 3. Distribution of mononuclear cell cytokine values by group (RT-PCR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Groups</th>
<th>Mononuclear cell cytokine (% mRNA expression)</th>
<th>Th subset</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TNF-α</td>
<td>IFN-γ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Md / X / SD</td>
<td>Md / X / SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>62 / 58 / 18</td>
<td>46 / 46 / 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>49 / 50 / 21</td>
<td>66 / 62 / 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>46 / 52 / 26</td>
<td>63 / 68 / 25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>(X G4 + 1SD)</td>
<td>–1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

G1: 15 HIV-1 patients virgin to treatment; G2: 27 HIV-1 patients under HAART with detectable VL; G3: 31 HIV-1 patients under HAART with undetectable VL; G4: 20 normal blood donor volunteers; Md: median; X: mean; SD: standard deviation.
Definition of Th-1, mature Th-0 and Th-2 subsets (22)

Given that no normal standard exists for serum cytokine levels obtained by ELISA or for mononuclear cell cytokine by RT-PCR, there was a need to establish a normal value for each method. For this, 20 normal individuals – who had no clinical sign of the disease and were tested negative for HIV-1 infection – were recruited for $G_4$ group. To find normal values for each cytokine, means were calculated for this control group and were added to one standard deviation; this result was considered normal. Cytokine profiles were made by comparing normal values with those from $G_1$, $G_2$, and $G_3$ in each method (ELISA or RT-PCR). Results showed that mature Th-0 was the dominant profile in both serum levels (ELISA) and mononuclear cell determinations (RT-PCR) (Tables 2, 3 and 4).

Table 4. Serum and mononuclear cell cytokine subsets according to normal values, obtained by $\bar{x} + 1SD$ of their respective normal group values ($G_4$)*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subset</th>
<th>IFN-(\gamma) (pg/mL)</th>
<th>IL-2 (pg/mL)</th>
<th>IL-4 (pg/mL)</th>
<th>IL-10 (pg/mL)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(% mRNA)</td>
<td>(% mRNA)</td>
<td>(% mRNA)</td>
<td>(% mRNA)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th1</td>
<td>&gt; 278</td>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>&gt; 152</td>
<td>&lt; 12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature Th0</td>
<td>&gt; 278</td>
<td>&gt; 5</td>
<td>(\leq) 152</td>
<td>(\leq) 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Th2</td>
<td>&lt; 278</td>
<td>&lt; 5</td>
<td>&lt; 152</td>
<td>&lt; 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Adapted from Spellberg and Edwards (22).

DISCUSSION

Cytokines levels obtained by ELISA in serum and by RT-PCR in mononuclear cells were compared among groups. This comparison revealed statistical significances for all cytokines, except for IL-2. It was the only cytokine that, although statistically significant, did not distinguished groups by ELISA in serum; this ascertaining drew attention to IL-2. In addition, normal individuals presented lower levels of cytokines by both methods, with the exception of IL-2 by ELISA. Furthermore, significance was found for all proteins by ELISA and RT-PCR in linear correlation. However, IL-2 significance level ($p$) was lower. These findings suggest that similarity indeed exists between the two methods.

In an earlier publication, Meira et al. (15) exposed the serum cytokine behavior in a cross-sectional study, in which 79 HIV-1/AIDS patients were distributed into three
groups following the same criteria applied to the current study. They observed that no patient in any group had a Th-1 or a virgin Th-0 profile, because mature Th-0 predominated in all groups (22). Low proportions of Th-2 profile were also recorded in a few patients from each group. The absence of Th-1 and the presence of Th-2 profile in the three groups implied that there was no increase of IL-2 and INF-γ in these patients, even after treatment. In the present study, IL-2 also showed positive correlation with INF-γ and CD4⁺T cells and negative correlation with VL, TNF-α, IL-4 and IL-10, supporting the proposal that IL-2 could be an important marker for immune recuperation in HIV-1 infections, along with VL and CD4⁺T determination. In this sense, only CD4⁺T cell count without cytokine levels may hinder immune recovery interpretation under ARV treatment, as this process can increase these cell counts but without a corresponding rise in IL-2.

Jansen, Baarle and Miedema (12), regarding HIV-1 infection evolution, once asked: what is in control? Could it be HIV-specific CD4⁺T cells? Or viremia (VL)? They reported that in infected individuals with increased VL, virgin and HIV-specific CD4⁺T memory cells are continually activated and preferentially induced to differentiate into INF-γ producers (12), namely Tem2 cells (IL-2⁺INF-γ⁺CD45RA⁻CCR7⁻). The latter cells do not have the capacity to produce IL-2 and proliferate; they are only capable of producing INF-γ. The current study findings agree with these previous investigations (12, 25).

The interpretation of present results took into account the behaviors of IL-2 and INF-γ, and, therefore, their repercussions on dominant Th profile. Additionally, it was necessary to consider that mean values of serum IL-2, obtained by ELISA, were lower than normal in the three patient groups. RT-PCR method, in turn, found low means that were statistically equal among groups, but a little higher than the control individuals. In contrast, INF-γ mean values were always greater by both methods, more than twice the normal mean value. Consequently, mature Th-0 profile was predominant in G₁, G₂ and G₃ in both studied methods. This observation coincides with the analysis made by Jansen, Baarle and Miedema (12), in which virgin or HIV-specific CD4⁺T memory cells are continually activated. Immune activation increases VL, causing a depletion in CD4⁺T cells and, therefore, in AIDS (12). On one hand, these authors inferred the behavior of individuals with rapid progression from those with increased VL, whose virgin or HIV-specific CD4⁺T memory cells are continually
activated, inducing differentiation only into INF-γ producers (IL-2^+INF-γ^+ CD45RA^− CCR7^−) (12). And, on the other hand, they deduced the behavior from long-term non-progressors (LTNP) individuals, which present low viremia and their CD4^+T cells have an IL-2^+ INF-γ^+ CD45RA^-CCR7^+ phenotype producer. The CD4^+T cells – IL-2 and both IL-2 and INF-γ producers – are found in LTNP, but not in those with rapid progression (24).

Hel, McGhee, and Mestecky (10), referring to the mystery of HIV progression, mentioned other agents like *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*, cytomegalovirus and the herpes viruses, as examples of pathogens that had afflicted humans in the distant past and had sufficient time to pass through mutual adaptations, both in the infection and immune processes. HIV-1, however, has been infecting humans for few decades and has not yet had enough time to develop these mutual adaptations. For this reason, the infection that causes AIDS is recently in a wild and aggressive phase. Quoting these authors (10): “There is an irrefutable proof of a drop in CD4^+T cells early in HIV-1 infection, mainly in lymphatic and intestine tissues”. These cells are destructed by apoptosis, in which INF-α participates in the elimination of thymocytes. There are many mechanisms, induced by HIV, that lead to cell death, from the virus protease to IL-7 in the thymus including the syncytium formation (23).

Hel, McGhee and Mestecky (10) mentioned that the eradication of initial viremia from HIV-1 infection by natural resistance, early in anti-retroviral treatment or even by the use of vaccines that can protect the CD4^+T memory, may result in immune recovery.

**CONCLUSIONS**

The results of the current study allow us to state that there is an association between the two methods employed to measure cytokine levels, except for IL-2 values. The different behaviors between ELISA and RT-PCR regarding this cytokine cannot be explained based on result analysis. Therefore, other studies are necessary to investigate this unexpected behavior.

Judging by the persistently low level of IL-2, even in individuals under HAART for several years and with undetectable viral load, these patients do not show any evidence of immune recuperation.
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