Embolization by micro navigation for treatment of persistent type 2 EndoLeaks after endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair
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Abstract

Background: Endovascular repair has become established as a safe and effective method for treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysms. One major complication of this treatment is leakage, or endoleaks, of which type 2 leaks are the most common. Objective: To conduct a brief review of the literature and evaluate the safety and effectiveness of embolization by micronavigation for treatment of type 2 endoleaks. Method: A review of medical records from patients who underwent endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms identified 5 patients with persistent type 2 endoleaks. These patients were submitted to embolization by micronavigation. Results: In all cases, angiographic success was achieved and control CT scans showed absence of type 2 leaks and aneurysm sacs that had reduced in size after the procedure. Conclusion: Treatment of type 2 endoleaks using embolization by micronavigation is an effective and safe method and should be considered as a treatment option for this complication after endovascular repair of abdominal aortic aneurysms.
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Resumo

Contexto: O reparo endovascular se estabeleceu como uma modalidade segura e efetiva no tratamento do Aneurisma de Aorta Abdominal. Uma das principais complicações deste tipo de tratamento é o Vazamento ou Endoleak, sendo o do tipo 2 o mais frequente deles. Objetivo: Fazer uma breve revisão de literatura e avaliar a segurança e a efetividade da embolização por micronavegação para o tratamento do Vazamento tipo 2. Método: A revisão dos prontuários dos pacientes submetidos ao Reparo Endovascular do Aneurisma de Aorta abdominal identificou cinco pacientes que apresentavam Endoleak tipo 2 persistente. Esses pacientes foram submetidos à embolização por micronavegação. Resultados: Em todos os casos, houve sucesso angiográfico e as tomografias de controle evidenciavam ausência de Vazamento tipo 2 e diminuição do saco aneurismático, após o procedimento. Conclusão: O tratamento do Endoleak tipo II por embolização por micronavegação é um método efetivo e seguro, sendo considerado uma opção para esta complicação após o Reparo Endovascular do Aneurisma de Aorta Abdominal.
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INTRODUCTION

Endovascular repair has become established as a safe and effective treatment for abdominal aortic aneurysms. One of the principal complications of this type of treatment is leaks, or endoleaks, defined as persistence of blood flow inside the aneurysm sac, in other words, outside of the lumen of the endoprosthesis.1-3

Leaks can be classified into five types, the most common of which is type 2. A type 2 leak is the result of retrograde flow into the aneurysm sac from aortic branches and as such is unrelated to the prosthesis itself. The natural history of this type of endoleak is still uncertain and both the appropriate time for treatment and the management approach itself are debatable, since up to 50% of cases undergo spontaneous resolution. However, persistent type 2 leaks (duration > 6 months) and leaks associated with increased in aneurysm sac size are generally treated because they are linked with adverse events.

Techniques that have been described in the literature include arterial ligature, employing open surgery or laparoscopy, and embolization accomplished via transabdominal or translumbar puncture or by micronavigation.4

The objective of this article is to present a brief review of the literature and to evaluate the safety and efficacy of embolization by micronavigation for treatment of type 2 leaks.

METHODS

A review was conducted of medical records from patients who had undergone endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair at the Instituto Dante Pazzanese de Cardiologia between January and December 2012. Five patients were identified who had exhibited a persistent type 2 endoleak for more than 6 months during follow-up. At this point the decision was taken to attempt invasive treatment of the leaks, as recommended in current literature and with the objective of avoiding complications caused by persistent blood flow into the aneurysm sac. Technical success was defined as absence of leakage shown by a control angiography at the end of the procedure. Treatment success was defined as absence of leakage for at least 6 months shown by control tomographic examinations.

RESULTS

Four male patients and three female patients with ages varying from 61 to 85 were treated. The following prostheses were employed for endovascular aneurysm repair: two Talent prostheses (Medtronic), one Anaconda prosthesis (Terumo), one Zenith prosthesis (Cook) and one Excluder prosthesis (Gore).

Three-dimensional reconstructions of the most recent angiotomographies were consulted and leaks were confirmed by selective angiographic examinations for all patients. Although this procedure increases the risk of complications related to employing iodinated contrast, it enables better planning of the intervention, including definition of the materials and techniques to be used, thereby reducing complications related to the procedure and increasing the likelihood of success. The arteries identified on imaging exams as being responsible for leaks were the inferior mesenteric artery in two cases, the lumbar arteries in two cases and the branch of the superior gluteal artery in one case.

Prior to their procedures, all patients underwent clinical assessment and the risks and benefits of the treatment were explained to them before they signed consent forms. For all patients, the procedure started with puncture of the most appropriate common femoral artery for accessing the target vessel, followed by placement of a valved introducer using the Seldinger technique. The access vessel was then selectively catheterized using a diagnostic catheter over a 0.035’ hydrophilic guide wire; where the target was the inferior mesenteric, the superior mesenteric artery was selected, whereas the internal iliac artery was used to access the lumbar and gluteal arteries. The introducer was changed for a 45 cm Destination 6F (Terumo) sheath over a 0.035’ rigid guide wire and then micronavigation was initiated with a 130 cm, 2.4F Progreat (Terumo) catheter.

After reaching the artery responsible for leakage, the microcatheter was advanced up to the origin inside the aneurysm, thereby enabling embolization not only of the target artery, but also of the central point of leakage inside the aneurysm sac. The concern with embolization of the artery and of the central point of leakage is due to the fact that this space created by the blood flow could be a predisposing factor for further leaks, because of the difference in pressure compared to the other branch coming from the aneurysm sac.

The embolization material used in all cases was an oil-based iodinated contrast medium (Lipiodol – Guerbet) combined with n-Butyl cyanoacrylate monomer tissue adhesive (Histoacryl – B.Braun), which polymerizes rapidly on contact with blood. Care must be taken when handling these materials because n-Butyl cyanoacrylate also polymerizes...
rapidly on contact with the ions in saline solutions. One should therefore only employ glucose solution during the procedure. Additionally, injection of the embolic material should be accompanied by a rapid backward movement of the microcatheter, to avoid its distal extremity becoming glued to the embolization site. The lumen of the microcatheter should also be swiftly washed with glucose solution to avoid it becoming obstructed by remnants of embolic material.

In all cases, angiographic success was achieved and control tomographies for all patients showed an absence of the type 2 leak and reduction in aneurysm sac size. Figure 1 shows an initial outpatients follow-up tomography for one of the patients and Figures 2, 3, 4, 5 and 6 show the procedure step-by-step. Figure 7 shows confirmation of treatment success.

**DISCUSSION**

Endoleaks are a common complication of Endovascular Abdominal aortic aneurysm repair (EVAR), affecting around 15 to 40% of patients who undergo this treatment. Type 2 endoleaks are the most common and can account for up to 30% of all leaks. The mechanism by which Type 2 endoleaks are formed is still not clear. After EVAR, a communication is formed between arterial branches that come from the territory that was operated on, such as the inferior mesenteric and/or lumbar branches. Generally, one of the branches is the source of the leak, which drains via one or more of the other branches, thereby closing a circuit of perpetual blood flow through the aneurysm sac. This mechanism is dependent of local factors of vascular resistance, interarterial connections and other factors that have not yet been fully explained.

The natural history of this type of endoleak is controversial and the great majority follow a benign course, resolving spontaneously during follow-up. Malignity, coronary disease and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are linked with high rates of spontaneous closure. Up to 55% of cases in which leaks persist for more than 6 months are associated with growth in aneurysm sac size. However, aneurysm rupture related to this type of leak is rare, occurring in just 2 to 6% of cases.

It is because of all of these reasons that the ideal approach to management of type 2 endoleaks is still a controversial subject. Some authors are in favor of intervention for all persistent leaks that last for more than 6 months, irrespective of whether the aneurysm sac has expanded. The reasons given for choosing this early intervention option are the risk of continued growth and rupture, the risk of aortic remodeling, leading to the emergence of other leaks (types 1 or 3), and the need for repeated follow-up examinations using contrast tomography. However, there are other authors who are not in favor of immediate treatment, arguing that the majority of type 2 leaks resolve spontaneously, with rates of around 50% at 6 months. Additionally, the costs and risks imposed by radiographic follow-up are very often less than those associated with early intervention. In our sample of

---

**Figure 1.** Computed tomography showing type 2 endoleak via the inferior mesenteric artery. Contrast can be seen outside of the endoprosthesis lumen and within the aneurysm sac.

**Figure 2.** Endovascular access to the superior mesenteric artery.
patients, treatment was only conducted on patients whose type 2 leaks had remained for more than 6 months, irrespective of growth in aneurysm sac size.

Of the many approaches described in the literature, the endovascular approach has been the most studied and is widely used, because of its low invasivity and good efficacy and safety. Other treatment possibilities for type 2 leaks include ligature of branches using open or laparoscopic surgery and embolization of the aneurysm sac by direct puncture guided by tomography. These methods are basically employed in cases for which micronavigation is unsuccessful or unavailable. There are many reports in the literature attesting to the efficacy of laparoscopic methods for ligature of the inferior mesenteric artery and the lumbar arteries. Combination laparoscopic and endovascular methods have also been described. Transabdominal or translumbar approaches are also possible and the choice depends on whether the leak in the aneurysm sac is located anteriorly or
CONCLUSIONS

Treatment of type 2 leaks using embolization by micronavigation is an effective and safe method and should be considered as an option for treating this complication of endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm repair. However, its use requires sufficient training in advanced endovascular techniques. More studies are needed in order to better elucidate the pathophysiology and natural history of type 2 leaks and also to define precise criteria for indicating invasive treatment or radiological monitoring.
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