A numerical investigation into the effects of parabolic curvature on the buckling strength and behaviour of stiffened plates under in-plane compression

Abstract
The main targets of this research are mainly divided into two parts: (1) identifying the effects of parabolic curvature on the buckling strength and behaviour of stiffened plates under in-plane compression, (2) generating practical graphs for extracting eigenvalue buckling stress of parabolic curved stiffened plate to dimensionless parameters. A parametric model for study of the problem is created. The model includes different parameters related to plate, stiffeners and also parabolic curvature. Three distinct sensitivity cases are assumed. In each sensitivity case, many different models are analysed and their buckling strengths are obtained using a finite element commercial program (ANSYS). Buckling strength and behaviour of all models with different ratios of parabolic curvature are compared to each other.
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1 INTRODUCTION
Un-stiffened and stiffened plates are the primary supporting elements in the construction of thin-walled structures. There are many different combinations of plates and stiffeners, depending on the types of structures and also loads applied to them. Stiffeners may be attached to the plate in either one direction or orthogonal directions, and as a result, unidirectional or orthogonal stiffened plates are produced.

There are numerous studies on the buckling strength and behaviour of flat stiffened plates. Murray [13] studied the buckling strength of flat stiffened plates under in-plane compression and also in bending. Later, Smith et al. [15], Bonello and Chryssanthopouls [2] and also Rigo et al. [14] paid attention to the buckling/ultimate strength aspects of stiffened plates under in-plane compression or bending. Byklum et al. [4] could derive semi-analytical formulations in order to estimate the buckling strength of stiffened plates under in-plane compression. Some researchers such as Hu et al. [11] applied physical testing program to identify the strength characteristics of axially-loaded stiffened plates. Grondin and his collaborators, [10] focused
NOTATION

\( \{ R \}_{\text{ref}} \) Arbitrary reference level of external load
\( \{ K_n \}_{\text{ref}} \) Stress stiffness matrix
\( [K] \) Conventional stiffness matrix
\( \lambda \) Load factor
\( [\delta D] \) Buckling displacements / mode
\( [D]_{\text{ref}} \) Displacements of the reference configuration
\( [K]_{\text{net}} \) Total (or) net stiffness
\( a \) Plate length
\( b \) Projected plate width
\( t \) Plate thickness
\( c \) Maximum height of curvature from the base plane
\( d \) Distance between cross-sectional centers of any two neighboring longitudinal stiffeners
\( A \) Cross-sectional area of longitudinal stiffeners
\( l \) Sectional modulus of longitudinal stiffeners
\( E \) Young's modulus
\( \nu \) Poisson's ratio
\( \sigma_Y \) Material yield stress
\( \beta, \alpha \) Dimensionless stiffening intensity factor
\( \sigma_{CR}^{\text{Curved}} \) Critical buckling stress for curved stiffened plate
\( \sigma_{CR}^{\text{Flat}} \) Critical buckling stress for flat stiffened plate
\( R \) Radius Parameter, \( b^2/4c \)
\( D \) Flexural rigidity of plate

on this problem applying the finite element method. Experimentally validated numerical simulations were applied by Ghavami and Khedmati, [9] to derive the full-range strength behaviour of stiffened plates.

Above-mentioned literature survey was a representative selection of extensive research works that are done on flat stiffened plates. Flat stiffened plates are commonly used in the most parts of thin-walled structures. In addition to flat configuration, the stiffened plates may be produced also in curved way. In curved regions of thin-walled structures, curved stiffened plates are to be fitted. Some examples of thin-walled structures with applications of curved stiffened plates are submarines, ships and semi-submersibles.

As examples of researches made in the field of curved stiffened plates and shells, reference may be made to the computerised research study by Bushnell, [3] on the buckling strength of cylindrical shells. Das et al. [7] also could derive buckling and ultimate strength criteria for stiffened cylindrical shells under combined loadings. A parametric instability analysis of stringer stiffened circular cylindrical shells under axial compression and external hydrostatic pressure was also made by Khedmati and his research collaborators, [12] applying finite element method.
The curved stiffened plates that are mostly used in the constructions of submersibles and submarines have a cylindrical form of curvature. On the contrary, deck and side structures of ships have generally complex curvatures. For instance, the deck plate of the ships is curved in one direction at both fore and aft regions of ship, while it is curved in two directions at the mid-length region, Figure 1. The curvature of stiffened plates in the ship deck structures is of parabolic type in either longitudinal or transverse directions. Transverse and longitudinal curvatures of ship deck plating are so-called ‘camber’ and ‘sheer’, respectively.

Since the deck is distant from sectional neutral axis of ship, the state of stresses created in it would be critical. That is why special attention should be paid to the strength evaluation of deck structures. The need to this attention is magnified when remembering the fact that the curvature of deck plate is of parabolic type. To the knowledge of the authors, it can be definitely stated that study of the effects of parabolic curvatures on the strength of stiffened plates is left un-assessed and thus outside the scope of extensive works made by previous researchers. That is why, the present research may be assumed as a starting point to get insights into above-mentioned problem of interest to structural designers.

The main target of this research is to identify the effects of parabolic curvature on the buckling strength and behaviour of stiffened plates under in-plane compression. A parametric model for study of the problem is created. The model includes different parameters related to plate, stiffeners and also parabolic curvature. Three distinct sensitivity cases are assumed. In each sensitivity case, many different models are analysed and their buckling strengths are obtained using a MACRO computer code developed within ANSYS environment [1]. Buckling strength and behaviour of all models with different ratios of parabolic curvature are compared to each other.
2 FORMULATION OF EIGENVALUE BUCKLING ANALYSIS

Eigenvalue buckling analysis is performed in this study. The necessary steps in performing eigenvalue buckling analysis or linear bifurcation analysis are briefly explained in the following [6].

The first step is to load the structure by an arbitrary reference level of external load, \( \{ R \}_{ref} \) and perform a standard linear analysis to determine element stresses within the models like the membrane stresses in a plate. For stresses associated with load \( \{ R \}_{ref} \), the stress stiffness matrix \( [K\sigma]_{ref} \) can be evaluated. The effects of membrane stresses on the lateral deflection are accounted for by the matrix \( [K\sigma]_{ref} \) which augments the conventional stiffness matrix \( [K] \). The matrix \( [K\sigma]_{ref} \) is a function of the elements’ geometry, displacement field and state of membrane stresses.

For a generic load level, obtained by multiplying the reference load by the scalar \( \lambda \), the stress stiffness matrix can be written

\[
[K\sigma] = \lambda [K\sigma]_{ref} \quad \text{when} \quad \{ R \} = \lambda \{ R \}_{ref}
\]  

(1)

Equations (1) imply that multiplication of all loads \( R_i \) in \( \{ R \} \) by \( \lambda \) also multiplies the intensity of the stress field by \( \lambda \) but does not alter the distribution of stresses. Because the problem is presumed linear, the conventional stiffness matrix \( [K] \) does not depend on loading. Let buckling displacements \( \{ \delta D \} \) take place relative to displacements \( \{ D \}_{ref} \) of the reference configuration.

Then because the external loads do not change at a bifurcation point, we have

\[
\left\{ \begin{array}{l}
([K] + \lambda_{Cr} [K\sigma]_{ref}) \{ D \}_{ref} = \lambda_{Cr} \{ R \}_{ref} \\
([K] + \lambda_{Cr} [K\sigma]_{ref}) \{ (D)_{ref} + \{ \delta D \} \} = \lambda_{Cr} \{ R \}_{ref}
\end{array} \right. 
\]

(2)

Subtraction of the first equation from the second yields

\[
([K] + \lambda_{Cr} [K\sigma]_{ref}) \{ \delta D \} = 0 
\]

(3)

Eq. (3) is an eigenvalue problem whose smallest root \( \lambda_{Cr} \) defines the smallest level of external load for which there is a bifurcation, namely

\[
\{ R \}_{Cr} = \lambda_{Cr} \{ R \}_{ref} 
\]

(4)

The eigenvector \( \{ \delta D \} \) associated with \( \lambda_{Cr} \) is the buckling mode. Because the magnitude of \( \{ \delta D \} \) is indeterminate in a linear buckling problem, it defines shape but not amplitude. Actually the terms in the parentheses in Eq. (3) comprise a total or net stiffness \( [K]_{net} \). Because forces \( [K]_{net} \{ \delta D \} \) are zero, it can be said the stresses of critical intensity reduce net stiffness to be singular with respect to buckling mode \( \{ \delta D \} \). Mathematically, \( [K]_{net} \) has a zero determinant. So the linear bifurcation problem reduces to the following eigenvalue problem

\[
|[K] + \lambda_{Cr} [K\sigma]_{ref}| = 0 
\]

(5)
3 FINITE ELEMENT MODELLING

3.1 General

Figure 2 shows the finite element model adopted for study of buckling strength of curved stiffened plates. Different parameters are incorporated into the model in order to clarify the effects of making some variations in them on the buckling strength of curved stiffened models. These parameters include

- plate length,
- projected plate width,
- plate thickness,
- maximum height of curvature from the base plane,
- distance between cross-sectional centres of any two neighbouring longitudinal stiffeners,
- cross-sectional area of longitudinal stiffeners,
- sectional modulus of longitudinal stiffeners

![Figure 2 Finite Element model of curved stiffened plate and incorporating parameters.](image)

Buckling strengths of stiffened plates are compared with each other in two different cases of curved and flat expanded configurations. Parabolic curvature is defined using two parameters;
projected plate width and maximum height of curvature from the base plane. In order to
genralise the results, all parameters are transformed to dimensionless forms.

The list of dimensionless parameters and their ranges of variation are given in Table 1. In
this table, $Z_c$, $c/b$ and $b^2/(4ct)$ are respectively representing stiffened plate length, stiffened
plate curvature and stiffened plate thickness. Finally, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are dimensionless parameters
defining the stiffening weight or intensity of curved stiffened plate. Since there is not any
design graph in the literature, to the knowledge of the authors, about buckling strength of
stiffened plates having parabolic curvature, thus such dimensionless coefficients are defined in
similar formats to those for stiffened plates having cylindrical curvatures. For a rapid review of
research works performed on the elastic buckling of stiffened plates with cylindrical curvature,
reference [5] may be used.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Dimensionless Parameters</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10, 20, 40, \ldots, 10240</td>
<td>$Z_c = \frac{a b^2}{C b^2} \sqrt{1 - \nu^2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01, 0.03, 0.05, 0.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>555.56, 625, 714.29, 833.33, 1000</td>
<td>$C = \frac{b^2}{4ct}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5, 2</td>
<td>$\alpha = \frac{A}{D}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20, 80</td>
<td>$\beta = \frac{E}{D}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The ranges of variations for the values of these dimensionless parameters in Table 1 are so
carefully defined that all probable cases are covered. In order to reach these ranges, statistical
data of deck structures in many different merchant ships are gathered and according to them
and also other aspects, some logical ranges are assumed.

A combination of $\alpha = 0.5$ and $\beta = 20$ could represent a state of light stiffening for the curved
stiffened plates, while another combination of $\alpha = 2$ and $\beta = 80$ may be relevant to the state of
heavy stiffening for them.

The material used has a modulus of elasticity $E=210$ GPa, Poisson’s ratio $\nu=0.3$ and yield
stress $\sigma_Y=250$ MPa.

The effects of welding residual stresses on the buckling/ultimate strength of welded stiffened
curved plates built in steel are negligible. They may reduce the buckling/ultimate strength of
welded structures by few percents. In practice, these effects are not considered in modeling
and analysis of welded stiffened steel plates.

On the other hand, in the case of welded stiffened curved plates in aluminum alloys, such
affects are considerable and thus they have to be considered when modeling and analysis.

The analysed models are considered to represent the regions of continuous deck stiffened
plate structures that are surrounded by other supporting members along their length and
breadth. In other words, some supporting members are located along the stiffened plate edges.
Therefore, simply supported boundary conditions in addition to straight-edge conditions are
considered along all four edges of the curved stiffened plate models. The boundary conditions
are represented in Figure 3. In the figure 3, x-axis and y-axis are respectively representing the longitudinal and transverse directions of the deck structure. Also, the longitudinal in-plane compression is applied to one of the edges of the model, while keeping the opposite edge un-moved, Figure 3.

![Figure 3 Boundary and loading conditions on the model.](image)

### 3.2 Cases studied for sensitivity analysis

Because of the large number of variable parameters involved in the problem, only three different cases are considered:

**Case 1.** The dimensionless stiffened plate thickness parameter is assumed constant and light stiffening condition is considered. Then, the sensitivity of the buckling stress ratio (curved/flat) is studied for different dimensionless stiffened plate curvature parameter and dimensionless stiffened plate length parameter.

**Case 2.** The dimensionless stiffened plate curvature parameter is assumed constant and light stiffening condition is considered. Then, the sensitivity of the buckling stress ratio (curved/flat) is studied for different dimensionless stiffened plate thickness parameter and dimensionless stiffened plate length parameter.

**Case 3.** The dimensionless stiffened plate curvature parameter and dimensionless stiffened plate thickness parameter are both assumed constant. Then, the sensitivity of the buckling stress ratio (curved/flat) is studied for different stiffening intensity and dimensionless stiffened plate length parameter.

### 3.3 Model verification

In order to validate the modelling scheme, several cases were investigated. One representative verification example and relevant results are shown in Fig. 4. The model is a cylindrically curved plate with one longitudinal stiffener, Fig. 4(a) [5]. The model is analysed for different cases. Geometric dimensions of the model for different analysed cases are given in Table 2. The boundary as well as loading conditions are exactly the same as those which were explained in previous section based on Fig. 3. Figure 4(b) shows the comparison of the results obtained using FEM and also reference [5]. A good agreement is observed among the results.
4 RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

An extensive number of models as described above, was analysed using the MACRO code run within ANSYS environment. The code enables the user to perform all pre-processing and post-processing activities in a very simple and fast way. In what follows, the results for three sensitivity cases are explained and relevant interpretations are supplied. For a more complete set of finite element results, see Edalat [8].

4.1 Sensitivity case study No. 1

Obtained results for this sensitivity case study are all shown in the Figs. 5 to 9. Vertical axis in these figures represents the relative buckling strength ratio. This ratio is calculated by dividing the critical buckling strength of any curved stiffened plate to the critical buckling strength of its corresponding flat stiffened plate obtained through expansion, i.e. \( \sigma_{CR}^{Curved} / \sigma_{CR}^{Flat} \).

With looking at the figures 5 to 9, similar trends or tendencies are observed among the results. Also, similar buckling modes are more or less extracted out of the finite element analyses, irrespective of the value for dimensionless stiffened plate thickness parameter. Typical examples of the first buckling modes at the selected points of the figure 5 are shown in Table 3. As can be observed, for any specific value of the dimensionless stiffened plate curvature parameter \( (c/b) \), with the increase in the length of curved stiffened plate, the number of buckling half-waves in longitudinal direction is increased, Table 3.
Figure 5 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $R/t = 1000$.

Figure 6 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $R/t = 833.33$.

Figure 7 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $R/t = 714.28$.

Figure 8 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $R/t = 625$.

Figure 9 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $R/t = 555.55$. 

Table 3 Buckling modes at selected points in Figure 6.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>c / b</th>
<th>Position(A)</th>
<th>Position(B)</th>
<th>Position(C)</th>
<th>Position(D)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
From the viewpoint of the curvature effects on the buckling strength of stiffened plate, five different regions may be recognised on the curves shown in Figs. 5 to 9. These regions are explained below:

**First region:** from the origin to the line A in Figs. 5 to 9. In this region, the curvature of any magnitude has more or less similar effects on the buckling strength of curved stiffened plate. It should be noted that due to existing relations among the dimensionless parameters, models with the same values of $Z_c$ but having different values of $c/b$ would have completely different overall dimensions.

**Second region:** from the vertical line A to the vertical line B in Figs. 5 to 9. This region accommodates some type of irregularities among the curves. Clearly speaking, the upper and lower bounds in this region are the curves corresponding to $c/b = 0.03$ and $c/b = 0.01$, respectively. Other curves are located in between.

**Third region:** from the vertical line B to the vertical line C in Figs. 5 to 9. This region again accommodates some type of irregularities among the curves. This time, the upper and lower bounds in this region are the curves corresponding to $c/b = 0.05$ and $c/b = 0.01$, respectively. Other curves are located in between.

**Fourth region:** from the vertical line D to the end in Figs. 5 to 9. In this region, the curves are arranged from bottom to top regularly as their corresponding curvature is increased. All of the curves in the Figs. 5 to 9 show similar trends. They have initially a convex regime upwards with slight rates of variations, then they get rapid increases and finally they become concave with a descending tendency. The inflexion points on these curves have different locations; generally their longitude is increased with the increase in the curvature of stiffened plate. It can be understood from these set of figures that the longer the stiffened plates, the greater the effects of parabolic curvature on their buckling strength.

### 4.2 Sensitivity case study No. 2

Figures 10 to 13 show the obtained results for this sensitivity case study. These sets of curves show how the relative buckling strength ratio of stiffened plates is affected by changing the $R/t$ parameter when the $c/b$ parameter is kept constant. In this part of sensitivity studies $R$ is constant and thus, the change in $R/t$ means change in thickness $t$.

The results that are obtained in this sensitivity case study can be categorised into two different groups. A group of the stiffened plates with low parabolic curvature ($c/b = 0.01$) that its corresponding set of curves is shown in Fig. 10 and another group of the stiffened plates with more increased parabolic curvature ($c/b = 0.03, 0.05, 0.1$) for which the sets of curves are given in Figs. 11, 12 and 13. This type of division is rising from the trends of the curves showing the effects of curvature on the buckling strength of stiffened plates.

As it can be seen from the figure 10, neglecting a short convex regime at the beginning of the set of curves, with the increase in the plate thickness, the strengthening effect of parabolic curvature on the buckling strength of stiffened plates is decreased.
Other sets of curves shown in Figs. 11, 12 and 13 generally consist of three entirely clear regions. At the first region, the curves are coinciding more or less on each other. This shows the fact that in this region, the changes made in the plate thickness does not result in any significant effect on the relative buckling strength ratio of the stiffened plates. Leaving the first region, another intermediate region is seen in which with any increase in the plate thickness, the relative buckling strength ratio is further increased. Finally, there is a third region where an opposite trend to the one explained in previous region, is seen. This mean that the greater the plate thickness, the lesser the relative buckling strength ratio of the stiffened plate. Concentrating on the figures 11, 12 and 13, it is revealed that as the parabolic curvature of stiffened plate is increased, the overall length of first and second regions is increased, while the third region is shortened. This feature is accompanied by more scattering of the curves in the third region.
4.3 Sensitivity case study No. 3

In this group of sensitivity studies, the effects of change in the magnitude of parabolic curvature on the increase of the relative buckling strength ratio is investigated for the stiffened plates of the same overall dimensions and boundary conditions but with different stiffening intensities. To achieve this objective, two different sets of curves are derived. The thickness of the plate is changed in these two sets as it is \( R/t = 714.28 \) and \( R/t = 555.55 \). In each set, the curvature is changed among the values of \( c/b = 0.01, c/b = 0.05 \) and \( c/b = 0.1 \). Two stiffening intensities as described in Section 3.1 are applied in both sets of the curved stiffened plate calculations. As summary to above sentences, it should be simply stated that the Figs. 14, 15 and 16 are to be compared with each other, while on the other side, the Figs. 17, 18 and 19 may also be checked against each other.

Figure 14 shows the trends of the relative buckling strength ratio versus the length for curved stiffened plates of \( c/b = 0.1 \) and \( R/t = 714.28 \). Two different cases of light and heavy stiffening intensities are considered. As can be seen, the effects of parabolic curvature on the relative buckling strength ratio of curved stiffened plates, for which \( \log(Z_c) \leq 2.2 \), in case of light stiffening is much higher than that in case of heavy stiffening. For the curved stiffened plates of larger length parameter, the stiffening intensity does not have any significant effect on the relative buckling strength ratio.

With increasing the parabolic curvature of stiffened plates while keeping their thickness parameter unchanged (Figs. 15 and 16), it is observed that as the length parameter is increased, the relative buckling strength ratio gets a rapid ascending trend versus the length parameter in both cases of light and heavy stiffening arrangements. The ascending rate of the curve is much higher when light stiffening intensity is adopted. Simply speaking, the larger the length parameter, the more increased the relative buckling strength ratio. Also the larger the length parameter, the greater the strengthening effect of light stiffening intensity on the relative buckling strength ratio.

Similar trends and behaviours to those explained above are observed for the curved stiffened plates with larger thickness parameter \( (R/t = 555.55) \), as indicated in the Figs. 17, 18 and 19.
Figure 15 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $\frac{C}{b} = 0.05$ and $\frac{R}{t} = 714.28$.

Figure 16 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $\frac{C}{b} = 0.1$ and $\frac{R}{t} = 714.28$.

Figure 17 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $\frac{C}{b} = 0.01$ and $\frac{R}{t} = 555.55$.

Figure 18 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $\frac{C}{b} = 0.05$ and $\frac{R}{t} = 555.55$.

Figure 19 Relative buckling strength ratio trends for the plate with $\frac{C}{b} = 0.1$ and $\frac{R}{t} = 555.55$. 

5 CONCLUSION

Buckling strength and behaviour of stiffened plates with parabolic curvature was investigated in details. Different parameters were changed systematically and the effects rising due to their variation on the buckling strength and behaviour of curved stiffened plates were studied. The following conclusions were reached:

- Parabolic curvature has significant strengthening effect on the buckling strength of stiffened plates. The magnitudes of such effects are dependent on both plate and stiffener dimensional parameters.

- It was understood that the longer the stiffened plates, the greater the effects of parabolic curvature on their buckling strength.

- Neglecting a short range of stiffened plate dimensions, generally with the increase in the plate thickness, the strengthening effect of parabolic curvature on the buckling strength of stiffened plates is decreased.

- The effects of parabolic curvature on the relative buckling strength ratio of curved stiffened plates, for which $\log(Z_c) \leq 2.2$, in case of light stiffening is much higher than that in case of heavy stiffening.

- With increasing the parabolic curvature of stiffened plates while keeping their thickness parameter unchanged, the relative buckling strength ratio gets a rapid ascending trend versus the length parameter in both cases of light and heavy stiffening arrangements. The ascending rate of the curve is much higher when light stiffening intensity is adopted.
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