Germline transformation of *Aedes fluviatilis* (Diptera:Culicidae) with the *piggyBac* transposable element
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The technique to generate transgenic mosquitoes requires adaptation for each target species because of aspects related to species biology, sensitivity to manipulation and rearing conditions. Here we tested different parameters on the microinjection procedure in order to obtain a transgenic Neotropical mosquito species. By using a transposon-based strategy we were able to successfully transform *Aedes fluviatilis* (Lutz), which can be used as an avian malaria model. These results demonstrate the usefulness of the *piggyBac* transposable element as a transformation vector for Neotropical mosquito species and opens up new research frontiers for South American mosquito vectors.
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The insertion of foreign genes into the genome of an organism is an important tool to address the expression of a particular gene, to study parasite-vector interactions and also for practical uses. The first stable transformation of a mosquito was achieved by Coates et al. (1998) and by Jasinskiene et al. (1998) who expressed a species-specific eye colour gene, in *Aedes aegypti* (Linnaeus). A great achievement was obtained with the use of the green fluorescent protein (GFP), as a selective marker, because it has the advantage to express in a variety of species, including insects (Pinkerton et al. 2000, Horn & Wimmer 2000, Catteruccia et al. 2000, Kokoza et al. 2001), and on early developmental stages. Furthermore, with the discovery that the transposon *piggyBac* was able to work in different organisms [insects (Handler & Harrell 1999, Handler & MacCombs 2000, Grossman et al. 2001, Peloquin et al. 2000, Tamura et al. 2000, Ito et al. 2002, Moreira et al. 2002, Kim et al. 2004, Franz et al. 2006) and even in mouse (Ding et al. 2005), planaria (Gonzalez-Estevez et al. 2003), and *Plasmodium falciparum* (Balu et al. 2005)], a wide horizon has opened on the transformation of insects of both agricultural and medical/veterinary importance.

Although several mosquito species have been transformed so far, there are differences related to each species and consequently, adaptation of the transformation technique is required.

*Aedes fluviatilis* (Lutz) is a zoophilic and anthropophilic species, with a geographical distribution comprizing the Southern part of Mexico to the Northern part of Argentina, east of Andes. This species has been used in laboratory as a safe avian malaria vector (Tason de Camargo & Krettli 1978), as it does not naturally transmit dengue or yellow fever.

Here we report the first successful stable transformation of *Ae. fluviatilis*, by using the *piggyBac* transposable element, being the first report of genetically manipulated mosquitoes in Latin America.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Ae. fluviatilis* mosquitoes were reared at 27°C and 80% humidity under a 12 h light/dark cycle. For adults, 10% sucrose solution was offered *ad libitum* and females were fed on mouse blood. Larvae were fed on fish food (Goldfish Colour, Alcon).

The transformation plasmids [*piggyBac* 3xP3-EGFP (Horn & Wimmer 2000) and phsp-Helper plasmid] were purified by using a Plasmid Maxi-Prep kit (Qiagen). Plasmids were mixed to a final concentration of 0.3 μg/μl (*piggyBac*) and 0.2 μg/μl (Helper plasmid) in injection mixture.

Three days old females were fed on mouse blood and two days after, eggs were collected by forced egg laying. Thirty to 45 min embryos were lined up with their posterior ends towards the same side onto a square of filter paper soaked with isotonic buffer (150 mM NaCl, 5 mM HEPES, pH 6.8) plus 5% (vol/vol) of green food colour to help visualization of injection mixture.

Microinjections were performed by using a Femtojet injector (Eppendorf) and an inverted microscope (TS100, Nikon) attached to a micromanipulator (Narishige). Microinjection parameters were: Heat = 750, Fil = 4, Vel = 40, Del = 125, Pull = 125 and the tip was broken by touching the first embryo to be injected.

Surviving injected larvae were maintained in filtered...
tap water until adulthood. Injected males were mated with colony-originated females and injected females with colony counterparts forming injected G0 families. Four egg batches were collected from each family and the larvae screened on a FITC-fluorescence microscope (Nikon TS-100F). Transgenic lines expressing the EGFP marker were crossed with colony insects for expansion. EGFP specific oligonucleotides (EGFPfors: 5'-ggtgcccatcctggtcagc-3' and EGFPrev: 5'-gcggtcacgaactccagcagg-3') were used to identify the presence of the transgene with the following polymerase chain reaction (PCR) (95°C 2 min, 30 cycles of 95°C 1 min, 63°C 1 min and 72°C 1 min, followed by 72°C, 5 min).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In order to adapt the microinjection technique, which has been used to other Culicidae species we tested different parameters to obtain the best larval hatching after the microinjection procedure and consequently, to increase the chances of transformation. First, we have tested the possibility of maintaining the embryos on ice to reduce embryo aging and consequently to expand the injection time, but that procedure drastically reduced larval hatching even in control embryos, which were not injected (data not shown). We tested post-injection manipulations of mosquito embryos, in order to obtain higher larval hatching. We compared the transference of embryos to a damp filter paper with the use of a fine paintbrush prior to transfer the eggs to water or by dipping the slide containing eggs in ddH2O three times, to remove oil excess. The highest larval hatching was obtained when the slide containing eggs was dipped in water to remove oil excess and the embryos were transferred to a Petri dish filled with water and with filter paper on its border.

With the 84 adults that survived microinjection, 15 G0 families were formed and after screening of more than 17,000 larvae (G1), four transgenic lines were obtained (Table), resulting in a transformation frequency of 27%, based on G0 families. Fig. 1A shows the typical phenotypic characteristic of transformed mosquitoes with the use of 3xP3 promoter (Horn & Wimmer 2000) when driving the expression of EGFP. Larval, pupal and adult eyes, larval neural tube and larvae anal papillae exhibits a strong green fluorescence [similar to previously shown for Ae. aegypti (Kokoza et al. 2001) and for Anopheles stephensi (Liston) (Ito et al. 2002)], which is detectable even on first instar larvae. The presence of the EGFP gene in transgenic larvae is shown on Fig. 1B, by PCR, in comparison to non-transformed individuals.

This work shows the functionality of the piggyBac transposable element, as a transformation vector, in another mosquito species. Our findings open new possibilities to genetic manipulate South American mosquito species to better understand their interaction with parasites they transmit, in our particular conditions. Work is in progress in adapting this technology to transform a Brazilian malaria vector, Anopheles aquasalis (Curry), which shall express a Plasmodium-blocking molecule.

![Fig. 1: expression of the enhanced green fluorescent protein (EGFP) gene in transgenic Aedes fluviatilis. A: larvae and pupae of both transgenic (T) and non-transgenic mosquitoes (C); B: ethidium bromide stained 1% agarose gel, showing the amplification of the EGFP gene, by polymerase chain reaction, with EGFP specific primers; m: DNA marker (New England Biolabs 100 pb); n: no DNA added; C: non-transgenic larvae; T: transgenic larvae; p: piggyBac 3xP3/EGFP DNA.](image-url)
TABLE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Experiment</th>
<th>Embryos</th>
<th>Larvae</th>
<th>Surviving</th>
<th>Fertile</th>
<th>Transgenic (GFP)</th>
<th>Transformation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>(n)</td>
<td>adults</td>
<td>families</td>
<td>families</td>
<td>frequency</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 1</td>
<td>254</td>
<td>140 (100)</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control 2</td>
<td>236</td>
<td>83 (64)</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>n/d</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Injected</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>108 (25)</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>27%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* a: control 1 are embryos that were collected and directly placed in water for hatching. Control 2 were embryos submitted to all procedures before injection (see text), but not injected; b: for larval hatching calculation, Control 1 embryos were considered as 100% hatching; c: transformation frequency was calculated based on fertile families; n/d: numbers not determined.
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