Polymerase chain reaction genotyping of Epstein-Barr virus in scraping samples of the tongue lateral border in HIV-1 seropositive patients
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The Epstein-Barr virus (EBV) is the etiological agent of oral hairy leukoplakia (OHL), an oral lesion with important diagnostic and prognostic value in acquired immunodeficiency disease syndrome. The two EBV genotypes, EBV-1 and EBV-2, can be distinguished by divergent gene sequences encoding the EBNA-2, 3A, 3B, and 3C proteins. The purpose of this study was to identify the EBV genotype prevalent in 53 samples of scrapings from the lateral border of the tongue of HIV-1 seropositive patients, with and without OHL, and to correlate the genotypes with presence of clinical or subclinical OHL with the clinic data collected. EBV-1 and EBV-2 were identified through PCR and Nested-PCR based on sequence differences of the EBNA-2 gene. EBV-1 was identified in the 31 samples (15 without OHL, 7 with clinical OHL and 9 with subclinical OHL), EBV-2 in 12 samples (10 without OHL, 1 with clinical and 1 subclinical OHL), and a mixed infection in 10 samples (2 without OHL, 3 with clinical and 5 with subclinical OHL). The presence of EBV-1 was higher in women, but a significant statistical result relating one the EBV genotypes to the development of OHL was not found. We conclude that the oral epithelium in HIV-1 seropositive patients can be infected by EBV-1, EBV-2 or by a mixed viral population.
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Little is known about the biological differences between the two types of EBV, particularly how they differ in their pathogenic potential in OHL pathogenesis, and the interaction between EBV proteins and the host proteins. EBV genotyping studies associated with OHL are rare worldwide (Triantos et al. 1998, Walling et al. 1999, Palefsky et al. 2002), and it is not yet established if there is prevalence of one of the genotypes in OHL cases from HIV-1 seropositive Brazilian patients.

The aim of this study was to verify the EBV genotypes present in samples obtained by scraping the lateral border of the tongue of HIV-1 seropositive patients, with and without clinical OHL, who previously tested as positive for EBV DNA, using PCR methodology based on genomic differences of the EBNA-2 gene.

**PATIENTS, MATERIALS AND METHODS**

*Specimens* - We analyzed 53 scraping samples from the lateral border of the tongue of HIV-1 seropositive patients, treated with HAART, with and without OHL, previously tested by PCR and Nested-PCR with positive results for EBV DNA using the protocol described by Durmaz et al. (1998). The diagnostic criterion for the subclinical form and for confirmation of clinical lesions of OHL was based on cytopathological abnormalities, through the identification of nuclear alterations characteristic of the cytopathic effect of EBV on keratinocytes: Cowdry A inclusion, ground glass, and nuclear beading (Fraga-Fernandez & Vicandi-Plaza 1992, Migliorati et al. 1993, Dias et al. 2000, Milagres et al. 2007).

**EBNA-2 gene amplification** - DNA extraction was performed by the method described by Boom et al. (1990), including a modification by Araújo et al. (2001). The amplification was carried out by PCR using the E2P1 and E2P2 primer sets as described for EBV-1 and EBV-2 types, respectively, by Durmaz et al. (1998). For amplification of a 506 bp fragment of the EBNA-2 gene, the protocol was followed with some modification. PCR was performed in 0.5 ml microcentrifuge tubes in a final mixture of 50 µl containing 0.125 µl (5U/µl) of Taq Platinum DNA Polymerase (Invitrogen, Brazil), 1.5 mM MgCl₂, (Invitrogen™, Brazil), 0.2 mM dNTP’s (Invitrogen™, Brazil), 5 µl 10X buffer (Invitrogen™, Brazil), and 2 µl (20µM/µL) of the above-mentioned primers. After denaturation of the DNA template at 94°C/1 min, the PCR cycling conditions (PTC 100/Peltier Effect Cycling, Programmable Thermo Controller) included 40 cycles of denaturation at 94°C/30 s, annealing at 58°C/20 s, and extension at 72°C/1 min, following 1 cycle of final extension at 72°C/7 min.

**Nested-PCR** - The typing method used the AP1 and AP2 pair of primers for EBV-1, and BP1 and BP2 for EBV-2, as described by Durmaz et al. (1998). Nested-PCR was performed with 2.5 µl of the PCR product diluted 1:100, in a final mixture of 50 µl containing the same reagents and concentrations described before. The nested-PCR conditions were modified as follow: after denaturation of the template DNA at 94°C/1 min, the Nested-PCR was performed for 25 cycles (PTC 100/Peltier Effect Cycling, Programmable Thermo Controller) of denaturation at 94°C/30 s, annealing at 58°C/20 s, and extension at 72°C/75 s, following a final extension of 1 cycle at 72°C/7 min. The EBV-1 and EBV-2 amplicons corresponded to fragments of 497 and 150 bp, respectively (Figure). The PCR and nested-PCR amplicons were revealed on 2% agarose gel, followed by ethidium bromide staining (0.5 mg/ml), and visualization under UV light (Transluminator Labnet National Labnet Company). All known precautions were rigorously taken to avoid sample-sample contamination and PCR product carryover. Milli-Q water was used as negative control in all steps for the DNA extraction as a precaution to avoid false-positive results.

**RESULTS**

Fifty-three scraping samples were collected from the right lateral border of the tongue of HIV-1 seropositive patients: 29 (54.7%) and 24 (45.3%) were from males and...
female patients, respectively. The patient age varied from 20 to 70 years (40.6 years, average). The total sample representation in relation to age is presented in Table I. Considering the form of HIV-1 infection in female patients, 22 (91.7%) samples were from patients infected through heterosexual relationships, one (4.2%) from use of intravenous drugs, and one (4.2%) from blood transfusion. In relation to the form of HIV-1 infection in male patients, 26 (89.7%) samples were from patients infected through homosexual relations, two (6.9%) from heterosexual relations, and one (3.4%) sample was from a patient who did not know how he was infected with HIV-1.

In 27 (50.9%) of the 53 total samples analyzed, OHL was not detected by either clinical exams or by conventional cytopathology. Eleven (20.8%) samples were from patients who had clinical OHL: six (25%) female patients and five (17.2%) male patients. Fifteen (28.3%) samples were from patients who had subclinical OHL: seven (29.2%) female patients, and eight (27.6%) male patients.

From the 31 samples in which the presence of EBV-1 was detected alone, 19 (61.3%) were from female patients, and 12 (38.7%) from male patients. Considering the 12 samples in which EBV-2 was positive, three (25%) were from female patients, and nine (75%) from male patients. Taking into consideration the 10 samples with mixed infection, two (20%) were from female patients and eight (80%) from male patients. There was no significant statistical difference in the occurrence of EBV genotypes between male and female patients (Table II).

Considering the correlation between the genotypes and the detection of OHL from the 31 samples in which EBV-1 alone was detected, 15 (48.4%) patients did not present OHL, seven (22.6%) had clinical OHL, and nine (29.0%) had subclinical OHL. From the samples where EBV-2 was detected, 10 (83.3%) patients did not present OHL, one (8.3%) had clinical OHL, and one (8.3%) had subclinical OHL. From the samples where both genotypes were detected, two (20.0%) patients did not present OHL, three (30.0%) had clinical OHL, and five (50.0%) had subclinical OHL. There was no significant statistical difference between the occurrence of EBV genotypes in patients with or without clinical or subclinical OHL (Table III).

### Table I
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total of samples</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 - 30</td>
<td>4 (16.7%)</td>
<td>6 (20.7%)</td>
<td>10 (18.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31 - 40</td>
<td>10 (18.9%)</td>
<td>6 (20.7%)</td>
<td>16 (30.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41 - 50</td>
<td>5 (20.8%)</td>
<td>12 (41.4%)</td>
<td>17 (32.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51 - 60</td>
<td>4 (16.7%)</td>
<td>4 (13.8%)</td>
<td>8 (15.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61 - 70</td>
<td>1 (4.2%)</td>
<td>1 (3.4%)</td>
<td>2 (3.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24 (45.3%)</td>
<td>29 (54.7%)</td>
<td>53 (100%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table II
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EBV genotypes</th>
<th>Gender</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV-1</td>
<td>19 (35.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV-2</td>
<td>3 (5.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mixed infection</td>
<td>2 (3.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24 (45.3%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### DISCUSSION
The identification of EBV strains has been used in many studies to investigate issues concerning the biology of infection and the nature of viral persistence (Sitki-Green et al. 2002). The different EBV genotypes have been investigated as the possible source of differences in the prognosis of various diseases, such as nasopharyngeal carcinoma and gastric adenocarcinoma (Higa et al. 2001, Busson et al. 2004, Williams & Crawford, 2005). OHL is a lesion associated with the EBV replicative cycle and its pathogenesis is not yet totally resolved. In addition, it is not known if any EBV genotype is associated with or influences the development of the clinical or subclinical appearance of OHL.

As previously described in this study, the diagnostic criterion for OHL was based on the cytopathological diagnosis through the identification of nuclear alterations that represent the cytopathic effect of EBV on keratinocytes: Cowdry A inclusion, ground glass, and nuclear beading. Although these alterations are observed in both histopathological and cytopathological exams, the latter has been considered the best method for the diagnosis of clinical and subclinical OHL (Fraga-Fernandez & Vicandi-Plaza 1992, Migliorati et al. 1993, Dias et al. 2000, Milagres et al. 2007).

The prevalence of clinical OHL (20.8%) was similar to what was described by previous studies (Greenspan et al. 2004). However, studies that only consider clinical criteria to establish the diagnosis of OHL have lower prevalence results (Walling et al. 1994, 2004b, Webster-Ciriaque & Raab-Traub, 1998). In this study, subclinical OHL was observed in 28.3% (15/53) of the patients because cytopathologic criteria were used to confirm the presence of clinical OHL and to detect subclinical OHL. Because both clinical and subclinical OHL were considered, the prevalence rate established in this study was...
higher than that in some previous studies (49.3%) (Dios et al. 2000, Schmidt-Westhausen et al. 2000, Greenspan et al. 2004). The cytopathologic criterion used to confirm the presence of clinic OHL is important for two reasons: (i) it is used to differentiate clinical OHL from other white oral lesions; (ii) it is used to detect subclinical OHL, because when its presence is not considered, the real prevalence of OHL in the HIV-1 seropositive individuals after introduction of HAART may be neglected. Interestingly, the prevalence of subclinical OHL was higher than clinical OHL. The low prevalence of clinical OHL is expected in users of HAART (Tappuni et al. 2001, Greenspan et al. 2004). However, it is not clear whether subclinical OHL is a phase before or after the emergence of clinical OHL, or whether it has some prognostic value (Dias et al. 2000, 2001). More studies need to be done in order to answer this question.

According to Correa et al. (2004), several studies have shown discrepancies between frequencies of EBV genotypes based on the analysis of certain genes such as EBNA-2, 3A, 3B, and 3C. When applying PCR on viral DNA from cell culture isolation of clinical samples, in most of the cases, only one genotype, usually EBV-1, is detected. In contrast, when applying PCR directly on clinical samples, co-infection with both EBV genotypes was observed. The discrepancies may be attributed to biological differences between genotypes, in which the EBV-1 is more efficient than EBV-2 in the immortalization of growing B-cells in vitro. In attempt to avoid this tendency, the present study used PCR methodology directly in the same sample collected for cytopathology, through storage in a TBS filled tube of the active point of the endocervical brush used for scraping of the tongue.

The highest prevalence of EBV-1 occurs in the Western countries (Khanim et al. 1996, Higa et al. 2001, Chabay et al. 2004). In this study, EBV-1 was the most common genotype detected in 80.4% (41/53) of the samples. In contrast to some studies, which proposed that in HIV-1 infected individuals there is high prevalence of the EBV type 2 infection or superinfection with both type 1 and 2 (Sculley et al. 1990, van Baarle et al. 2000), our study identified EBV-2 in 43.1% of cases (22/53). However, mixed infections were detected in 18.9% (10/53) of samples analyzed, similar to the study by Trianotos et al. (1998) (Table II).

When we compared the groups of men and women, the occurrence of EBV-2 was proportionally higher in men (17/22) than in women (5/22), (p < 0.001; χ²=13). This may be related to the fact that 89.7% of the men were infected through homosexual relations. In accordance with Yao et al. (1998) and van Baarle et al. (2000), there is a high prevalence of EBV-2 in HIV-1 seropositive male homosexual patients. These authors concluded that EBV-2 infection is more prevalent among homosexual men and it is caused by sexual transmission: (i) a significant association was found between EBV-2 infection and a greater number of partners; (ii) HIV-1 seropositive homosexual men have higher EBV-2 prevalence than do HIV-1 seronegative homosexual men. A more likely explanation may be that HIV-positive persons tend to have sex with HIV-seropositive persons, leading to more EBV-2 exposure for those who are HIV-seropositive (van Baarle et al. 2000).

Comparing the genotyping result from the samples provided by patients with clinical or subclinical OHL and without OHL, we found no significant statistical difference between the prevalence of genotypes. A detailed statistical analysis could not be done due to the reduced number of samples. However, we could observe that in the samples where both EBV genotypes were found, subclinical OHL was present in 50% of the patients, and clinical OHL in 30%. These data might suggest that there is a synergism between the genotypes and the occurrence of OHL, because 80% of the patients from this group presented some form of OHL (Table III). Some studies described that the viral variants, intrastrain recombination, and deletion are more important in the pathogenesis of the diverse diseases associated with EBV than the genotypes per se (Walling et al. 1994, Oshima et al. 1999, Sikti-Green et al. 2002). However, the role of the EBV genotypes in the pathogenesis of OHL deserves further investigation.

In relation to the age and gender of patients, there is a balance between male and female patients and the patients in different ages. This data represents the actual image of HIV-1/AIDS epidemic in Brazil, and the increase of its incidence in women and across almost all age groups (MS 2005).

It would be interesting to develop a study comparing samples of the lateral border scrapings with the blood samples of these patients in order to evaluate the genotypes found. Furthermore, it is necessary to investigate the transitoriness of a particular genotype during the patient’s life phases, because there may be a possibility that in some moments there is a stronger incidence of EBV-1, and in others, of EBV-2. In addition, the constant re-infections may influence the identified genotype and benefit intra-recombining strains and mutations that may or may not influence the emergence of OHL.

Like other studies reported in the literature, it was not possible to be certain about the association between the genotypes and the development of OHL. We suggest that prospective studies of clinical follow up through cytopathology, together with genotyping and genomic sequencing from collected samples, should be performed to fully address the links between EBV and the development of OHL.
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