Performance of indirect immunofluorescence assay, immunochromatography assay and reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction for detecting human respiratory syncytial virus in nasopharyngeal aspirate samples
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Comparison of the use of indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA), immunochromatography assay (ICA-BD) and reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) for detecting human respiratory syncytial virus (HRSV) in 306 nasopharyngeal aspirates samples (NPA) was performed in order to assess their analytical performance. By comparing the results obtained using ICA-BD with those using IFA, we found relative indices of 85.0% for sensitivity and 91.2% for specificity, and the positive (PPV) and negative (NPV) predictive values were 85.0% and 91.2%, respectively.

The relative indices for sensitivity and specificity as well as the PPV and NPV for RT-PCR were 98.0%, 89.0%, 84.0% and 99.0%, respectively, when compared to the results of IFA. In addition, comparison of the results of ICA-BD and those of RT-PCR yielded relative indices of 79.5% for sensitivity and 95.4% for specificity, as well as PPV and NPV of 92.9% and 86.0%, respectively. Although RT-PCR has shown the best performance, the substantial agreement between the ICA-BD and IFA results suggests that ICA-BD, also in addition to being a rapid and facile assay, could be suitable as an alternative diagnostic screening for HRSV infection in children.
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Human respiratory syncytial virus (HRSV) is the major cause of severe lower respiratory tract disease in children (Law et al. 2002, Simeos & Carbonell-Estrany 2003, Reina et al. 2004), and been most severe in premature infants and those with congenital immunodeficiency, heart disease and/or bronchopulmonary dysplasia (Welliver 2003). According to WHO (2003), HRSV is responsible for 160,000 deaths per year.

The most common technique for diagnosing HRSV infection is the culturing of respiratory specimens. However, the accuracy of this technique is dependent on the processing and storage conditions of clinical samples, and it is also a burdensome and time-consuming approach (Borek et al. 2006). In the 1990s, with the advent of monoclonal antibodies, indirect immunofluorescence assay (IFA) was employed for detecting viral antigens in nasopharyngeal aspirate samples (NPA), and since then it has often been reported as the most sensitive and rapid testing procedure for identifying HRSV infection (Casiano-Colén et al. 2003, Ohm-Smith et al. 2004). However, this technique requires adequate equipment and highly trained technicians in order to obtain accurate results. Enzyme immunoassays and molecular based techniques such as reverse transcription-polymerase chain reaction (RT-PCR) have been shown to be useful in detecting respiratory viruses in a clinical setting (Dayan et al. 2002, Falsey et al. 2002, 2003, Reina et al. 2004, Shirato et al. 2007). Rapid test kits are also commercially available for detecting specific respiratory viruses such as influenza A&B and HRSV (Krilov et al. 1994). The rapid and precise diagnosis of HRSV is crucial for the management of the patient so as to provide appropriate treatment and to avoid the misuse of antibiotics as well as to quarantine the infected patients to prevent nosocomial virus spreading and transmission (Hall 2001).

The aim of the present study is to compare the performance of rapid immunochromatography assay (ICA-BD) and RT-PCR with that of IFA in detecting HRSV in NPA collected from children during the respiratory virus season, in São Paulo and Jundiaí cities, state of São Paulo, Brazil.

PATIENTS, MATERIALS AND METHODS

Specimen collection and processing - Paired samples of nasal swab (NS) and NPA (n = 306) were collected from 306 children under five years old who had presented with acute respiratory illnesses and were admit-
RNA extraction and RT-PCR - Viral RNA was extracted from the Trizol-treated NPA according to manufacturer's instructions. The RT-PCR assay was carried out using a high-capacity cDNA archive kit (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, USA) following the manufacturer's technical recommendation. cDNA was amplified by using forward (+) primer GAB (5'-YCAVTTTGAGTTCAACTT-3') for G protein nucleotides 504-524 (Peret et al. 2000), and reverse (-) primer FV (5'-GTATGACACTGGTTATACCAACC-3') for F protein nucleotides 163-186 (Zheng et al. 1996). Reverse (+) primer F_AB (5'-CAACTCCATTGTTATTGGCC-3') was used for intergenic G-F region nucleotides 3-22 (Peret et al. 1998) in nested-PCR when necessary. For RT-PCR, 5 µL of cDNA were added to a total of 50 µL of reaction mixture and amplified using thermocycler MJ-PTC200 (MJ Research Inc, Waltham, USA). Amplicons were visualized by electrophoresis (Bio-Rad, Laboratories, model 200/2.0, Hercules, USA) in 2% agarose gel (UltraPure agarose, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) and staining with 0.8% ethidium bromide.

Statistical analysis - The results of ICA-BD and RT-PCR were compared to those of IFA. Relative indices for sensitivity, specificity, prevalence, accuracy as well as positive and negative predictive values for ICA-BD-HRSV and RT-PCR were determined in relation to IFA, and also for ICA-BD versus RT-PCR (Galen & Gambia 1975). The efficiency was calculated for each of the aforementioned correlation by applying the kappa (κ) index of agreement and testing its consistency (Fleiss 1981), and the values obtained were ranked according to following definitions: values ranging from 0 to 0.2 = poor agreement; from 0.21 to 0.40 = slight agreement; from 0.41 to 0.60 = moderate agreement; from 0.61 to 0.80 = substantial agreement; and from 0.81 to 1.0 = almost perfect agreement. Also, the positivity presented by RT-PCR and ICA-BD was compared to that by IFA using the z-test of proportions (Massad et al. 2003).

RESULTS

A total of 306 paired specimens were tested. HRSV was found to be present in 113 samples by IFA (36.9%), 113 by ICA-BD (36.9%), and 132 (43.1%) by RT-PCR. Seventeen samples were found to be positive for HRSV by IFA but negative by ICA-BD assay, whereas 17 other samples were found to be positive by ICA-BD assay but negative by IFA (Table I). Two out of 96 HRSV-positive samples as shown by both IFA and ICA-BD were found negative by RT-PCR after several repeats. Among the 132 HRSV-positive samples as shown by RT-PCR, 17 (12.9%) were tested negative by ICA-BD but positive by IFA, and another 11 (8.3%) were found negative by IFA but positive by ICA-BD. Out of 176 HRSV-negative samples as shown by IFA and ICA-BD, 10 (5.7%) were tested positive by RT-PCR (Table I).

The comparison between ICA-BD and IFA showed that the relative indices for sensitivity and specificity of ICA-BD were 85.0% and 91.2%, respectively and the positive predictive value (PPV) and the negative predic-
tive value (NPV) were 85.0% and 91.2%, respectively (Table II). The agreement $\kappa$ index for ICA-BD relative to IFA was 0.76 (substantial strength agreement), with $Z_o = 8.0$ and $Z_{critical} = 1.96$ ($p < 0.001$). In addition, when comparing RT-PCR with IFA, we observed relative indices for sensitivity and specificity of 98.0% and 89.0%, respectively, and PPV and NPV of 84.0% and 99.0%, respectively. The agreement $\kappa$ index for RT-PCR relative to IFA was 0.85 (almost perfect strength agreement), with $Z_o = 9.5$ and $Z_{critical} = 1.96$ ($p < 0.001$). On the other hand, the comparison between RT-PCR and ICA-BD showed that the relative indices for sensitivity and specificity were 79.5% and 95.4%, respectively, and PPV and NPV of 92.9% and 86.0%, respectively. The agreement $\kappa$ index for RT-PCR relative to ICA-BD was 0.77 (substantial agreement), with $Z_o = 8.6$ and $Z_{critical} = 1.96$ ($p < 0.001$).

Among the six (1.9%) HRSV-negative samples as shown by both RT-PCR and IFA (Table I) but that were tested positive by ICA-BD, three of them were shown by IFA to be positive for parainfluenza 2, adenovirus, and influenza A, respectively.

### DISCUSSION

NPA collected during the HRSV season in São Paulo, Brazil (March-June) were evaluated. The presence of HRSV in NPA can be detected by several methods such as the IFA and virus isolation in cell lineage cultures, and the latter has been considered a reference assay for detecting the virus either in samples from adults or those from young children. The culture technique requires a fully equipped laboratory with skilled professionals and has a long turnaround time. Similarly, IFA is also technically challenging and requires equipment with high accuracy and prompt specimen processing (Halstead et al. 1990, Borek et al. 2006).

The technical limitations of viral culture and IFA prompted us to perform this study in which we evaluated a commercially available ICA-BD to determine if it can be used as an alternative diagnostic method for detecting HRSV infection. The $\kappa$ index of 0.76 relative to IFA showed that the results obtained by ICA-BD were in substantial agreement with those obtained by IFA. In order to confirm the discordant results obtained by IFA versus those by ICA-BD, we performed RT-PCR. As previously reported (Falsey et al. 2002, 2003, Kuroiwa et al. 2004), RT-PCR yields the best result (43.1% of positivity for HRSV vs. 36.9% by IFA and 36.9% by ICA-BD). Six samples that were tested positive by ICA-BD (1.9%) are false-positives as they were shown to be HRSV-negative by both RT-PCR and IFA, and three of them showed positive results for parainfluenza 2, adenovirus, and influenza A by IFA, respectively. Neither blood contamination nor high viscosity was observed in these samples, and no other cross reactivity-inducing factors in ICA-BD could be elucidated. By using an immunomembrane filter-based rapid test, Reina et al. (2004) found that 0.25% of all samples (representing 0.7% of HRSV-positive NPA) were true false-positives. On the other hand, two samples that were tested negative by RT-PCR were tested positive by both IFA and ICA-BD, presumably due to inhibition of polymerization during PCR process-
ing. Unfortunately, these two samples could neither be inoculated into cell culture nor analyzed, thus no further data could be generated using these specimens.

The PCR performed in this study identified 10 samples of which the HRSV diagnosis would have been missed by IFA and ICA-BD, and this observation agrees with previously reported data. In a study by Shirato et al. (2007), 20.0% of HRSV-negative NPA as shown by ICA were tested positive by RT-PCR and RT-LAMP. Nascimento et al. (2008) observed that among the 26 NPA that showed inconclusive results with IFA, five were shown to be HRSV positive by both one-step RT-PCR and semi-nested RT-PCR. As described by other authors, 5.7% (10/176) of probable false-negatives on both IFA and ICA-BD were observed when compared to RT-PCR. These might be occurred due to the presence of small amount of free antigen in the original specimen, or to the timing of the NPA collection regarding to clinical stage of HRSV infection.

In a study by Ohm-Smith et al (2004), which analyzed a small number of pediatric specimens using ICA-BD, they found the relative index for sensitivity to be 72.0% and concluded that this assay was the least sensitive technique for detecting HRSV compared to other rapid immunoassays, IFA and cell cultures. In addition, other studies conducted on larger numbers of pediatric specimens using rapid ICA yielded relative indices for sensitivity ranging from 71.0% to 89.2% (Aldous et al. 2004, Reina et al. 2004, Zheng et al. 2004), which agree with the findings of the present study.

Based on the ICA-BD results of the present study and those reported by Ohm-Smith et al. (2004), we believe that the presence of HRSV in NPA could be overlooked if only one technique is used for diagnosis. Therefore, confirming the results using a second assay will help the clinicians with the diagnosis, especially for cases where the results obtained by ICA-BD are negative (Aldous et al. 2004). Furthermore, culturing respiratory specimens in vitro is highly recommended when negative results are obtained by conventional immunoassays.

Nonetheless, at diagnostic facilities that lack the equipment required to perform the conventional immunoassays, viral cell culture and RT-PCR for detecting infections by respiratory viruses (minimally-equipment laboratories, hospitals or emergency rooms in out-patient units), the rapid and easy-to-perform ICA-BD assay is a suitable alternative method for detecting HRSV in NPA. Samples that are tested negative by ICA-BD can then be sent to laboratories equipped to perform the more complicated diagnostic assays to confirm results and to determine if other respiratory viruses are present. This diagnostic strategy is relevant for monitoring patient treatment and to prevent nosocomial virus transmission.
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