The diagnosis of malaria has classically been made following the observation of parasites in thick blood smears stained with Giemsa (Ross 1903) and the determination of the malaria species is essential for the correct treatment, prognosis and monitoring of the patient (WHO 1988). However, the sensitivity of this technique depends on the experience of the microscopist and it can detect between five-50 parasites per microliter of blood (Moody 2002). The time that is required to examine a slide and the low sensitivity of this technique makes this method impractical for the processing of large numbers of samples. Even when evaluated at qualified centers, the thick blood film technique cannot always differentiate between Plasmodium species with similar morphology, which is the case for Plasmodium vivax and Plasmodium ovale (Di Santi et al. 2004).

The increased movement of people due to tourism and business travel into areas with potential malaria transmission requires the attention of haemotherapy services and increased epidemiological surveillance in endemic and non-endemic areas. At blood banks, individuals who report themselves as displaced to endemic areas for malaria are excluded. Nevertheless, this measure may not prevent transfusion transmission because there is often scarce knowledge of malaria in areas without active transmission and because asymptomatic infections in semi-immune individuals are common (Sáez-Alquézar et al. 1998, Kitchen & Chiodini 2006). According to the Brazilian guidelines (ANViSA 2004) (resolution number 153), endemic areas have been classified as low, medium or high risk areas according to the Annual Parasite index. Donor candidates from areas of medium and low risk are given thick blood smear or rapid malaria tests before being accepted as donors. Malaria tests are not required in non-endemic areas and this may result in transfusion transmission. The greatest barrier to efficient testing in these regions is the lack of tests that can be applied to large number of samples.

The importance of malaria detection and timely treatment resides primarily in the fact that asymptomatic carriers can serve as a source of infection. This promotes the spread of the disease, which can be the result of both natural and induced transmission. The National Program for Malaria Control in Brazil detected 154 autochthonous...
cases outside the Amazon Region in 2008 and most of these occurred in the Atlantic forest area, where symptomless cases have been described (MS 2010, Couto et al. 2010). Over the past 10 years, four cases of transfusional malaria caused by asymptomatic donors who were displaced to the Atlantic rain forests were confirmed and these cases included one death from infection with *Plasmodium malariae* (Kirchgatter et al. 2005, Scuracchio et al. 2009). Asymptomatic cases of malaria have also been described in the Brazilian Amazon Region (Oliveira et al. 1995, Alves et al. 2002). Regardless of the level of endemicity, the description of asymptomatic cases in areas of transmission represents a challenge for control strategies, as programs are based on the detection and treatment of symptomatic cases.

Rapid diagnostic tests (RDTs) have emerged as an alternative technique for the detection of parasite antigens (Avila et al. 2002) because they are fast and are easy to perform and interpret. However, their high cost and low sensitivity limit their application (Murray et al. 2003, Belizário et al. 2005, Seed et al. 2005). Immunoassays for antigen detection in whole blood or plasma have been developed, but the sensitivity of these techniques allows the detection of 100-1,000 parasites per microliter of blood, which is lower than that of the thick blood films evaluated by experienced microscopists (Huong et al. 2002).

Of the available methods for antibody detection, the most commonly used are the indirect fluorescent antibody test (IFAT) and the enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA). The IFAT is difficult to apply to situations involving large numbers of samples, and although the ELISA is sensitive and suitable for processing large amounts of samples, standardised commercial kits are lacking. Therefore, the use of an immunochromatographic test to detect malaria-specific antibodies may be an alternative technique for the processing of large numbers of samples, which is necessary for blood therapy services and epidemiological studies (Park et al. 2003). The SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv (Standard Diagnostics, Inc, Suwon, Korea) is a rapid test for the qualitative detection of IgG, IgM and IgA antibodies specific for *Plasmodium falciparum* and *P. vivax* antigens. This test uses a recombinant merozoite surface protein (MSP) to detect antibodies against these two species of human parasites in blood, serum or plasma. In a study with samples from patients with positive thick blood smears or polymerase chain reactions (PCR), this test had a sensitivity of 94% (Costa-Nascimento et al. 2007). As serological tests have good sensitivity in immune individuals, the association of this test with these molecular methods could make it suitable for use in epidemiological studies with large numbers of samples. However, it is important to note that serological tests are suitable for epidemiological studies, but are not suitable for screening donors at blood banks in endemic regions. On the other hand, in non-endemic areas or areas with low prevalence, molecular tests associated with serological assays may be an optimal choice for use in outbreaks and for the screening of donors.

The PCR has high sensitivity and specificity, as well as advantages in the diagnosis of mixed malaria infections (Barker et al. 1994), the detection of asymptomatic infections and the screening of blood donors (Kitchen & Chiodini 2006, Fugikaha et al. 2007). A highly sensitive and specific nested PCR was developed to detect each of the four *Plasmodium* species that infect humans and this assay can detect one parasite per microliter (Snounou et al. 1993).

The real-time PCR is a fast, automated, accurate and efficient technique for applications with large numbers of samples. This assay demonstrates a high degree of sensitivity and specificity when compared to microscopy (Swan et al. 2005). Moreover, this technique has advantages over the nested PCR. For example, the real-time PCR involves only a single processing step, whereas the nested PCR requires at least two. The real-time PCR is performed in a closed system, which reduces the potential for contamination and dispenses handling of toxic reagents and the agarose gel electrophoresis. Moreover, the results are obtained four times faster than those from a nested PCR and this technique allows the simultaneous detection and quantification of parasites (Perandin et al. 2004). Farcas et al. (2004) demonstrated a correlation between the degree of parasitemia obtained by thick blood smear and the number of gene copies amplified by real-time PCR. Using the thick blood film as a reference, a comparative study between the nested PCR, the multiplex PCR and the real-time PCR found that the latter demonstrated better performance in terms of sensitivity and specificity (Boonma et al. 2007).

Pooled samples were processed as a platform in the study by Bharti et al. (2009), in which two groups of sera with a 1% and 5% prevalence of malaria were tested by PCR. The results found that the PCR was able to detect infection in grouped samples using both arrays. As these authors tested the plasma from malaria patients, they suggested that field studies using clinical blood samples would be necessary to validate this platform for pooled samples. Here, we present our results for the analysis of pooled clinical isolates of *Plasmodium* using molecular and serological tools. This study served as a comparative analysis between molecular techniques (real-time PCR and nested PCR) and a rapid test for the detection of specific antibodies. The results contribute to the development of a screening platform for malaria diagnosis that could be used to test large numbers of samples grouped in pools. By using clinical samples that were diagnosed using the thick smear reference test, we have established a feasible and reproducible alternative technique for use in large-scale studies and with pooled samples that should reduce the time and cost of processing.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

We assayed clinical samples that were diagnosed using the thick smear reference test (with different parasitemias) according to the prevalence of the species in Brazil (75% *P. vivax*, 22% *P. falciparum* and 3% *P. malariae*). We also collected 48 negative samples from individuals with no history of travel to endemic areas and no previous malaria infections. However, four of these individuals had tested positive for other diseases, including toxoplasmosis, leishmaniasis, hepatitis B and Chagas disease. The
blood samples were collected in ethylenediamine tetraacetic acid (EDTA) anticoagulant tubes and the plasma was separated and stored at -20°C until future use.

**Preparation of the pools** - In addition to the individual processing, samples were also pooled into groups of 10 samples. These pools contained one-three samples that were positive for the different *Plasmodium* species, as well as nine-seven negative samples, and each was placed in a total volume of 3 mL. The rational for pooling 10 samples in each assay was based on the model proposed by Dorfman (1943) for syphilis screening, which consisted of a two-stage minipool algorithm for the processing of 10 samples. This model was also used successfully to screen blood donors for other diseases (Taylor et al. 2010). From a total of 15 pools, 12 contained one positive and nine negative samples each, two contained two positive and eight negative samples and one pool contained three positive and seven negative samples. Pools with only negative samples were used as negative controls. The positive samples used in each of the pools are described in Table I.

**DNA extraction** - Both the individual samples and the pools were lysed with 1% saponin (Sigma-Aldrich, Inc, St Louis, MO, USA) prior to DNA extraction with the QIAamp DNA Blood Mini Kit (Qiagen®, Valencia, CA, USA) in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The DNA was eluted in a final volume of 50 μL and was stored at -20°C. After the extraction, the DNA was quantified (Eppendorf® BioPhotometer) to determine the amount of DNA and to estimate the level of contamination by proteins. All of the samples had a ratio between 1.5-2 and each had an adequate purity for analysis.

**Real-time PCR** - The assay used for genus-specific amplification described by Gama et al. (2007) was modified by reducing the reagent volume and increasing the number of cycles to obtain a protocol with a lower cost and a greater sensitivity. The genus-specific M60 and M61 primers and the M62 probe targeted the gene encoding the small subunit 18S rRNA of *Plasmodium*. Briefly, the reaction was prepared using 2.5 μL of genomic DNA, 12.5 μL of 2x TaqMan® universal PCR master mix, 500 nM of each primer (M60 and M61) and 300 nM of the M62 probe labeled with FAM™ and TAMRA™ (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). The amplification and detection were performed under the following conditions: an initial cycle at 50°C for 2 min, a cycle at 95°C for 15 min that was followed by 40 cycles at 94°C for 30 s and a final cycle at 60°C for 1 min. The samples were assayed in duplicate using the ABI Prism 7300 system (Applied Biosystems).

**Analytical sensitivity of the positive control** - The sensitivity was assessed using in vitro cultured *P. falciparum* (kindly provided by Dr Maria de Fátima Ferreira da Cruz, Fiocruz, RJ, Brazil) that was serially diluted with uninfected human whole blood (by factors of 10). Also, clinical samples of *P. vivax* and *P. malariae* (with parasitemias calculated per mm³) were used for serial dilution to obtain 1 parasite/mm³ blood. The samples were assayed in triplicate and reproducible *P. falciparum* culture dilutions containing 1 parasite/mm³ were chosen as positive controls.

**Specificity** - To assess the specificity, DNA samples from other parasites and viruses (*Toxoplasma*, *Leishmania*, *Trypanosoma* and hepatitis B) were used.

**Negative control and internal control** - Ultra-pure water or DNA from negative samples were used as negative controls for the real-time PCR. In addition, an internal control was included to test the quality of the DNA through amplification of a sequence of the human β-globin gene by conventional PCR using the primers GH-20 (59-GAAGAGCCAAGGCAGGTAC) and

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample number</th>
<th><em>Plasmodium</em> species</th>
<th>TBS parasitaemia&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; (mm³)</th>
<th>Symptoms</th>
<th>Place of infection</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>03</td>
<td><em>P. vivax</em></td>
<td>2,880</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Angola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>04</td>
<td><em>P. vivax</em></td>
<td>6,480</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Angola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td><em>P. malariae</em></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>São Paulo (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td><em>P. malariae</em></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>São Paulo (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td><em>P. vivax</em></td>
<td>240</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>São Paulo (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em></td>
<td>4,799</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Angola</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em></td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td><em>P. falciparum</em></td>
<td>32,400</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Mato Grosso (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td><em>P. vivax</em></td>
<td>1,920</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>São Paulo (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td><em>P. vivax</em></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>São Paulo (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td><em>P. vivax</em></td>
<td>120</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>São Paulo (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td><em>P. vivax</em></td>
<td>960</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td><em>P. vivax</em></td>
<td>8,760</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Pará (Brazil)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>: according to World Health Organization criteria. The initial diagnosis was based on microscopy. TBS: thick blood smear.
PCO4 (59-CAACTTCATCCACGTTCACC). The reaction conditions were identical to a previously published protocol (Melana et al. 2001).

**Nested PCR** - The protocol used for the nested PCR was based on that from Snounou et al. (1993) and used genus-specific (rPLU5 and rPLU6) and species-specific (rFLA1, rFLA2, rMAL1, rMAL2, rOVA1, rOVA2, rVIV1 and rVIV2) primers. Briefly, the 25 μL of reaction volume per tube consisted of 250 nm of each primer, 125 μM dNTPs, 2 mM MgCl₂, 50 mM KCl, 10 mM Tris pH 8.3, 0.4 U Taq polymerase and 1 μL of genomic DNA. The samples were subjected to an initial denaturation at 95°C for 5 min, a step at 58°C for 2 min, a step at 72°C for 2 min that was followed by 24 cycles at 94°C for 1 min, 58°C for 2 min, 72°C for 2 min and a final step at 72°C for 5 min in an Eppendorf Mastercycler machine. The second reaction was performed with 30 amplification cycles. All PCR assays included positive controls (genomic DNA from *P. vivax*, *P. falciparum* and *P. malariae*) and negative controls (ultrapure water). The fragments were separated by electrophoresis on a 1.5% agarose gel in Tris-borate-EDTA buffer and were visualised with ethidium bromide (Biorad, Hercules, CA, USA) or Blue Green (LGC Biotecnologia, Brazil) and ultraviolet light.

**Antibody detection** - All of the serum samples that tested positive for malaria by thick blood smear as well as a panel of 10 negative samples were tested individually using the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. The test uses recombinant MSP antigens of *P. falciparum* and *P. vivax* and was performed on pools containing positive and negative samples.

**RESULTS**

**Standardisation of the positive controls in the real-time and the nested PCR** - The cultured samples of *P. falciparum* that were serially diluted 10 fold had parasitemias that ranged from 3,600-0.36 parasites/mm³. The real-time PCR was able to amplify each of the samples and the lowest dilution (3,600 parasites/mm³) was found to have a cycle threshold (Ct) value of 21.67, whereas the highest dilution (0.36 parasite/mm³) was found to have a Ct of 34.22 (Fig. 1). We used a *P. falciparum* culture sample that contained 1 parasite/mm³ as a positive control and this was reproducible in all of the trials. The nested PCR was able to amplify samples diluted to 3.6 parasites/mm³ (Fig. 1).

**Standardisation of the negative controls** - Each of the 48 samples that were negative for malaria, including those positive for other diseases, were tested by real-time PCR and produced negative results. Eight samples with Ct values above 38 (considered negative) as well as the four samples positive for other diseases were tested by nested PCR. There was no amplification in any of these, which confirmed the results of the real-time PCR. Using an algorithm to generate 10 random samples (Windows Excel 2007) from the 44 negative malaria samples, we applied these samples to the immunochromatographic SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test and each of the 10 serum samples produced a negative result.

**Internal control** - The DNA quality was assessed using the β-globin (*Homo sapiens*) gene as an internal control. The results obtained by conventional PCR for this gene were adequate, as each of the isolates were amplified, which indicated that the genomic DNA was extracted properly.

**Real-time PCR cut-off determination** - The cut-off point was determined using a receiver operating characteristic (ROC) curve (Greiner et al. 2000) of the 49 positive clinical samples and the 48 negative malaria samples as assayed by real-time PCR. This resulted in a Ct value of 37.28 (Fig. 1), a sensitivity (Se) of 93.88% and a specificity (Sp) of 100%.

**Performance of the real-time PCR, the nested PCR and the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test compared with the thick blood film** - One of the 50 samples positive for malaria by microscopy was excluded from the individual analysis due to problems with the DNA extraction,
Comparative aspects between the molecular and serological testing of pooled samples - We processed 15 pools containing positive and negative samples, as described previously. By real-time PCR, 13/15 pools (86.66%) had Ct values lower than 37.28, which was regarded as the cut-off in this study (Fig. 4). Also, there was gene amplification in the same 13 pools by nested PCR (86.66%). The immunochromatographic SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test had a sensitivity of 73.33% and detected antibodies in 11 of these samples. The real-time PCR amplified 100% of the P. falciparum samples, 90% of the P. vivax samples and 100% of the P. malariae samples. The nested PCR amplified 100% of the P. falciparum samples and 60% of the P. vivax samples. In two of the sample pools, the nested PCR detected P. malariae (pool 9) instead of P. vivax and detected the presence of both P. falciparum and P. ovale (pool 14). Thus, the ability of this technique to detect infection increased to 80%. The nested PCR found concordance in one of the three pools containing samples

Comparative performance of the molecular and serological tests - The molecular tests demonstrated a statistically significant greater sensitivity (p ≤ 0.001, $\chi^2$ test) than the serological test. There were no significant differences in sensitivity between the real-time PCR and the nested PCR (p = 1.000, Fisher’s exact test).

Concordance between molecular and serological tests - The real-time PCR and the nested PCR demonstrated an excellent concordance of 96.72% and a Kappa ($\kappa$) value of 0.9116 (0.7911-1). The real-time PCR and the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test demonstrated a concordance of 81.4%, which was considered regular with a $\kappa$ value of 0.578 (0.353-0.803). The nested PCR and the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test demonstrated a regular concordance of 80.36% and a $\kappa$ value of 0.5361 (0.2904-0.7818). The $\kappa$ values were considered significant at a 95% CI and the interpretation of the values was based on those of Pereira (1995) and Andrade and Zicker (1997).

Comparative aspects of the molecular and serological tests considering individual samples - Of 35 samples diagnosed as P. vivax by thick blood film and tested using the molecular and serological tools (Fig. 2), three samples (8.57%) had Ct values above the cut-off by real-time PCR. The nested PCR also failed to amplify three samples (8.57%). There was concordance between two of the three negative samples by real-time PCR. Fourteen sera samples (30.43%) did not react to the antigens in the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test. Eleven P. falciparum samples and three P. malariae samples, which were diagnosed by thick blood film, were assayed by real-time PCR, nested PCR and the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test. The real-time PCR results demonstrated amplification for all these samples (Fig. 3). The nested PCR also amplified each of the samples for both species and was also able to detect a mixed infection (P. falciparum plus P. vivax) in one sample. The immunochromatographic SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test failed to detect antibodies in two of the P. falciparum samples and this test detected antibodies against P. vivax in one of three P. malariae samples (Table II).
positive for *P. malariae* by microscopy. The SD Bioline test detected antibodies in five *P. vivax* samples and three *P. falciparum* samples (Table III).

**DISCUSSION**

The standard reference method for malaria diagnosis is the thick blood film, but the reduced sensitivity of this test at low parasitemias and the reduced ability of this test to detect mixed infections limit its use in epidemiological or clinical studies and in blood therapy services with large numbers of samples. Because the thick blood film technique requires long periods of observation by highly trained professionals (Kain et al. 1998), there is a need for molecular methodologies based on the amplification of DNA (Snounou et al. 1993, Kimura et al. 1997, Rubio et al. 1999). Gama et al. (2007) modified a real-time PCR protocol (Lee et al. 2002) into a conventional genus-specific PCR that could detect 0.5 parasites/mm³. Because this modified technique was found to have the same threshold of detection as the real-time PCR, this protocol was adopted for our study.

We used DNA from a *P. falciparum* culture diluted to 1 parasite/mm³ as a positive control and this was reproducible in each of the real-time PCRs performed. Although we have assayed the clinical samples by serial dilution, the potential bias introduced during the parasitemia counting created a limitation for the use of these field isolates as positive controls. According to the WHO methodology that uses the number of parasites per 100 leucocytes divided by 100 and multiplied by 6,000 leucocytes/mm³ (OPAS 1975), the parasitemias of the two isolates used (1 *P. vivax* and 1 *P. malariae*) would be 240 and 120 parasites/mm³, respectively. However, as these two isolates were from asymptomatic patients with a very low parasitemia, the parasites were detected only after observing 10,000 leucocytes (4 parasites in the *P. vivax* sample and 2 in the *P. malariae* sample). Therefore, these clinical isolates had parasitemias of 2.4 and 1.2 parasites/mm³, respectively.

The cut-off value for this study was calculated based on the ROC curve and resulted in a Ct value of 37.28. This value indicated a performance that was superior to the values obtained by other authors (Perandin et al. 2004, Veron et al. 2009).

The comparison between the real-time PCR and the thick blood smear, which is considered the reference test for malaria detection, demonstrated a Se of 93.88% and a Sp of 100%. Other authors have reported slightly higher Se values of 96.6% (Boonma et al. 2007), 98.5% (Han et al. 2007) and 99.41% (Khairnar et al. 2009).

![Fig. 4: real-time polymerase chain reaction performed with positive and negative pooled samples with positive control of 1 parasite/mm³ (▲).](image)

**TABLE II**

Results of real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), nested PCR and immunochromatographic SD Bioline Malaria *Pf/Pv* in blood samples positive for *Plasmodium falciparum*, *Plasmodium vivax* and *Plasmodium malariae* by microscopy assayed individually

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microscopy (n)</th>
<th>Nested PCR*</th>
<th>SD Bioline <em>Pf/Pv</em></th>
<th>Real-time PCR*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Po + Pf</em> (1/35)</td>
<td><em>Pv + Pf</em> (1/35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pm</em> (1/35)</td>
<td><em>Pf</em> (3/35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Neg (3/35)</td>
<td>Neg (9/35)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pf + Pv</em> (1/11)</td>
<td><em>Pf + Pv</em> (1/11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><em>Pv</em> (1/11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neg (1/11)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pm</em> (3)</td>
<td><em>Pm</em> (3/3)</td>
<td><em>Pv</em> (1/3)</td>
<td><em>Plasmodium</em> (3/3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Neg (2/3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neg (48)</td>
<td>Neg (12/12)</td>
<td>Neg (10/10)</td>
<td>Neg (48/48)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total (97) | 61 | 59 | 97

*a*: specie-specific; *b*: genus-specific; *Pf*: *P. falciparum*; *Pm*: *P. malariae*; *Po*: *P. ovale*; *Pv*: *P. vivax*. Negative (Neg) samples were assayed for specificity analyses.
The specificity of our results using these techniques reached 100%, which is greater than the previously described values 89.4% (Boonma et al. 2007), 94.3% (Han et al. 2007) and 90.88% (Khairnar et al. 2009).

The nested PCR in our study had a Se of 93.88%. This is comparable to the published value of 94.3% (Han et al. 2007) and is generally greater than the values reported by other authors. Others have found a Se of 96% in cases where the parasitemia was ≥ 500 parasites/mm³ and a Se of only 20% in cases where the parasitemia was under 500 parasites/mm³ (Coleman et al. 2006). These authors reported a Sp of 98%, which is lower than the 100% Sp obtained in our tests. The nested PCR used in this study (Snounou et al. 1993) provided a more accurate differentiation of the Plasmodium species, which contributed to the detection of mixed infections that were not found by microscopy. This advantage of the nested PCR makes this protocol suitable for use following evaluation by genus-specific real-time PCR (Gama et al. 2007) and makes the screening of large numbers of samples more efficient and economical.

In regard to the failures of the molecular detection techniques, three samples diagnosed as P. vivax by thick blood smear tested negative by real-time PCR, as these had Ct values above the cut-off. However, it is important to note that two of these samples had very low parasitemias; one had a parasitemia of 240 parasites/mm³ and one had a parasitemia of 120 parasites/mm³ by thick blood smear, according to the WHO criteria. Therefore, according to our calculation cited above, these samples had parasitemias of 2.4 and 1.2 parasites/mm³ and only one P. vivax sample with a Ct value above the cut-off could be demonstrated as having failed detection by the molecular techniques.

The SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test demonstrated a Se of 69.56% and a Sp of 100%. The results described by Jeremiah et al. (2007) on P. falciparum samples demonstrated Se of 47% and a Sp of 100%. In our experience, the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test failed to detect antibodies to P. vivax or P. falciparum in 14 samples. Of these, five were from prime-infected patients who had been administered treatment at the onset of symptoms and this treatment may explain the absence of specific antibodies detected. In a sample diagnosed with P. vivax by microscopy, the nested PCR detected P. ovale that was associated with P. falciparum. This may justify the single positive result for P. falciparum, as the device uses P. vivax recombinant antigens that are unable to react with the anti-P. ovale antibodies. In another sample that was positive for P. vivax by microscopy, the nested PCR detected P. malariae and this may explain why this sample was not reactive in the antibody test. The comparison of the Se between the molecular and the serological tests showed a statistically significant difference and a regular concordance. The RDT had a Se of 69.56% and a Sp of 100%, while the ELISA test developed by Oh et al. (2008) that used recombinant P. vivax MSP1 and circumsporozoite surface antigens had a Se of 53% and a Sp of 94% when compared with microscopy and the nested PCR. Upon comparison of the two molecular assays, there was no statistically significant difference and there was an excellent concordance, which is similar to results of Perandin et al. (2004). The pooled

### TABLE III

Results of real-time polymerase chain reaction (PCR), nested PCR and immunochromatographic SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv in pooled samples performed with positive (Plasmodium falciparum, Plasmodium vivax, Plasmodium malariae or Plasmodium ovale) and negative samples diagnosed individually by microscopy (WHO criteria)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assembly of the pools (microscopy as reference)</th>
<th>Real-time PCR a</th>
<th>Nested PCR b</th>
<th>SD Bioline Pf/Pv</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pf (960/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (240/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (8,760/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pm (120/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
<td>Neg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (1,200/mm³) + 2 Pm (120/mm³) + Neg (7)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (120/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (4,800/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (6,480/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (240/mm³) + Pf (1,200/mm³) + Neg (8)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (32,400/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pm (120/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pf (2,880/mm³) + Neg (9)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Po + Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Pf (120/mm³; 960/mm³) + Neg (8)</td>
<td>Plasmodium</td>
<td>Pf</td>
<td>Pf</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a: genus-specific; b: specie-specific; Neg: negative; Pf: P. falciparum; Pm: P. malariae; Po: P. ovale; Pv: P. vivax.
tests that were performed with positive and negative samples demonstrated a Se of 86.66% for the two molecular techniques and the thick blood smear was used as a reference for each individual sample. In pools with negative samples, the Sp was 100% by real-time PCR. In studies using grouped samples, Taylor et al. (2010) obtained a Se of 95% and a Sp of 99% in pools of four samples. These authors obtained a detection threshold of 4 parasites/mm³ using a pool with one positive and nine negative samples. A study by Bharti et al. (2009) used a modification of the nested PCR technique previously described (Snounou et al. 1993) in serum samples were grouped into pools of between 10-100 samples and the Se was 80% in the pools of 10 samples. In our pooled tests, we used positive control samples with 1 parasite/mm³ that validated the results obtained by the molecular assays. From a total of 15 pools used, only two were found to be negative by both PCR protocols and each of these contained positive samples that also failed in regards to gene amplification by individual processing. Similar to the individual samples, these results from the pooled samples suggest that factors such as the parasitemia counting of the clinical samples may have influenced the results, as these isolates were diluted as many as 10 times for the assembly of the pools.

One limitation of our study is that despite the use of the P. falciparum cultures as positive control, testing a greater number of clinical samples with low parasitemias may corroborate the ability of our protocol to detect 1 parasite/mm³ from field isolates. Surprisingly, the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test demonstrated a slightly greater sensitivity for the pooled samples.

The diagnosis of malaria is a great challenge for the malaria control programs and the limitations of the reference test enhance this difficulty. This diagnostic challenge intensifies when large numbers of samples require processing. Despite their high accuracy, reproducibility and sensitivity, molecular techniques carry a high cost and are best suited for processing samples individually. This makes efficient diagnosis of malaria problematic in epidemiological studies and in the screening of donors for transfusion therapies.

This study presents the results of a screening platform for the molecular and serological diagnosis of malaria on a large scale. Based on these results, we support the use of real-time PCR for the genus-specific screening of samples followed by the use of nested PCR for the identification of the Plasmodium species avoiding the use of the nested PCR for negative samples. The rapid SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test for antibody detection could be recommended as a complementary method for the detection of malaria infection but not as a unique or primary method.

Our results from the evaluation of pooled samples indicate the suitability of these techniques for use in large-scale studies with significant impacts on cost and time. Cost estimates indicate a saving of more than 50% when the pooled technique is used instead of individual processing (Westreich et al. 2008, Taylor et al. 2010).

Because the results of the pooled assays were comparable to those of the individual analyses, this diagnostic platform could be applied for use on grouped blood samples for haemotherapy services. These molecular techniques may improve detection in areas of low endemicity where cases of transfusion malaria have been reported (Kirchgatter et al. 2005) and where the risk of such transmission is associated with asymptomatic infections mainly caused by P. malariae that are difficult to detect by thick blood smear. The application of real-time PCR in haemotherapy, as well as in the control of autochthonous outbreaks, where large number of samples need to be screened is essential to optimise malaria diagnoses.

In conclusion, the real-time PCR performed on pooled samples, which contained both Plasmodium-positive and negative samples, was shown to be a faster and cheaper technique for processing samples on a large scale. Moreover, this technique did not compromise performance and it efficiently detected malaria even among asymptomatic patients with low parasitemias. The methods used in this study were compared statistically and there was good agreement between the two molecular techniques and a regular agreement between these and the SD Bioline Malaria Pf/Pv test. Thus, a platform for large-scale malaria diagnosis was validated through the use of an initial screening by real-time PCR on pooled samples to detect Plasmodium, which was followed by the nested species-specific PCR after opening the positive pools. The applicability of this study could be associated with numerous aspects related to malaria control, including epidemiological studies in endemic areas, the monitoring and the surveillance of autochthonous outbreaks in non-endemic regions and areas with low endemicity and the screening of blood donors from large-scale sample populations.
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