The in vivo antimalarial activity of methylene blue combined with pyrimethamine, chloroquine and quinine
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The effectiveness of methylene blue (MB) combined with pyrimethamine (PYR), chloroquine (CQ) or quinine (Q) was examined in a classical four-day suppressive test against a causative agent of rodent malaria, Plasmodium berghei. A marked potentiation was observed when MB was administered at a non-curative dose of 15 mg/kg/day in combination with PYR (0.19 mg/kg/day) or Q (25 mg/kg/day). No synergy was found between MB (15 mg/Kg) and CQ (0.75 mg/Kg). Our results suggest that the combination of MB with PYR or Q may improve the efficacy of these currently used antimalarial drugs.
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With almost 3.3 billion people living in endemic areas, malaria remains a major global health issue (WHO 2011). In response to the emergence of resistance strains of malaria, new antimalarials that are combinable with classical treatments are urgently needed (WHO 2001, 2010). Methylene blue (MB) is the oldest and least expensive drug ever used in antimalarial therapy and has been proven safe and effective in patients (Guttman & Ehrlich 1891, Ferreira 1893, Meissner et al. 2005, 2006). In vitro studies showed that the antiplasmodial activity of MB could be enhanced when this drug was combined with additional antimalarials (Vennerstrom et al. 1995, Akoachere et al. 2005, Garavito et al. 2007a). In many countries, MB has already been approved by regulatory agencies for the treatment of methemoglobinemia and for ifosfamide-induced encephalopathy in cancer treatment (Schirmer et al. 2003, Mandi et al. 2005). Thus, MB could easily be reintroduced into antimalarial therapeutic schemes.

The purpose of our study was to determine whether MB in combination with the low-cost drug pyrimethamine (PYR) is able to inhibit parasitaemia in rodent malaria models and to compare the effectiveness of this treatment with that of combinations of MB with quinine (Q) or chloroquine (CQ) diphosphate.

The four-day suppressive test for the quantitative assessment of blood schizontocidal activity was performed according to the method of Peters and Robinson (1999). Male Swiss albino mice (Bioterio DFUNC) were inoculated with 10⁶ Plasmodium berghei ANKA-parasitised red blood cells (RBCs). Batches of 10 animals per group were then randomly distributed after infection and orally treated (0.1 mL) at the same time daily for four consecutive days beginning on the day of infection. A control group received 0.9% saline solution and a reference group was administered CQ orally at 3 mg/kg/day. The percentage of parasitised erythrocytes was determined in Giemsa-stained blood smears made from peripheral blood on day four post-infection. The percent inhibition of parasitaemia was calculated by the following formula:

\[
\text{Percent inhibition of parasitaemia} = \frac{\text{parasitaemia in control} - \text{parasitaemia in drug-treated}}{\text{parasitaemia in control}} \times 100
\]

The ED₅₀ and ED₉₀ values were estimated with a non-linear regression logistic dose-response model. For the interaction study, batches of five animals (infected as described above) were orally treated for four consecutive days with the individual drugs at two different doses and with the combination of MB (15 mg/Kg) and PYR (0.19 mg/Kg), Q (25 mg/Kg) or CQ (0.75 mg/Kg). Statistical analysis was performed with GraphPad Prism 5.01 software (GraphPad Software, San Diego).

All drugs were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (L’Isle d’Abeau Chesnes, France) and dissolved in water. The animals were treated according to the French and Colombian legislation on laboratory animal use and care.

The results are reported in Table and Figure. PYR was the most active compound, with an ED₉₀ less than half that of CQ and almost 150 times lower than that of Q. Under these conditions, Q showed an ED₉₀ close to the 116 mg/Kg found by Peters (1987). In a previous study, we obtained an equivalent ED₅₀ for CQ against P. berghei ANKA of 0.53 mg/Kg (Garavito et al. 2008). The ED₉₀ of CQ reported in Table was similar to the ED₉₀ of 3.3 mg/Kg previously demonstrated (Peters 1987). The combination of 15 mg/Kg of MB with 0.75 mg/Kg of CQ did not reveal any interaction (data not shown), in accordance with the in vitro data recorded previously (Garavito et al. 2007a).
The combination of 15 mg/Kg of MB with 0.19 mg/Kg of PYR resulted in an inhibition of parasitaemia of 78.7% ± 9.7%, displaying an activity superior to that expected if the effect of the two drugs was additive (63% inhibition). We reported a synergistic effect between MB and Q used against the CQ-resistant P. falciparum strain FcM29 in vitro (Garavito et al. 2007a, Garavito 2007).

Combining 15 mg/Kg of MB with 25 mg/Kg of Q led to an inhibition of 78.8% ± 9.7%. This activity was greater than expected if the effect of both drugs was additive (63% inhibition). We reported a synergistic effect between MB and Q used against the CQ-resistant P. falciparum strain FcM29 in vitro (Garavito et al. 2007a, Garavito 2007), whereas Akoachere et al. (2005) found additivity in a CQ-resistant P. falciparum K1 strain.

The antimalarial activity of MB has been attributed to antioxidant defences that specifically target glutathione reductase (GR), a crucial enzyme for P. falciparum (Ginsburg & Atamna 1994, Atamna et al. 1996, Atamna & Ginsburg 1997, Färber et al. 1998), or inhibit the glutathione-dependent degradation of haeme (Garavito et al. 2007b). In a previous study, we demonstrated that MB inhibited almost 60% of parasite growth during the late ring/early trophozoite stages, when intense digestion of haemoglobin occurs; this inhibition of parasite growth correlates with a peak expression of plasmodial GR at the 24th h of the intraerythrocytic cycle (PlasmoDB 2005, Garavito et al. 2007a). MB reaches maximum plasma concentrations 1-2 h following oral administration at a dose of 100 mg in humans. Its plasmatic half-life is approximately 5-6.5 h and renal excretion is low, at approximately 20-30%. The rapid antimalarial effect of MB, combined with its pharmacokinetic properties, including a short half-life, might promote the slow development of resistance because exposure of parasites to sub-therapeutic levels of the drug would be very brief; this effect has been shown to occur with artemisinin.

Q is relatively inexpensive and remains the preferred anti-malarial drug for the treatment of severe and complicated malaria in most African countries. Although Q resistance is not yet a significant problem, drug failure may occur as a result of poor compliance with the prolonged treatment course and problems with tolerability. An alternative is the development of shorter-course treatments in combination with therapies that have the potential to improve compliance and treatment outcomes (Achan et al. 2011). To treat malaria in the first trimester of pregnancy, the WHO recommends the use of Q plus clindamycin; unfortunately, this combination is too expensive for most affected people (Achan et al. 2011). Q is quickly absorbed after oral or parenteral administration, readily crossing the placental barrier and detectable in cerebral spinal fluid. Q has a half-life of between 9.7-18 h (3.2 h in healthy paediatric subjects and 12.1 h in patients infected with malaria). We have previously shown that Q targeted the late ring/early trophozoite stages, when digestion of haemoglobin occurs, but had a greater impact on the haeme-glutathione interaction than on haemozoin formation (Garavito 2007, Garavito et al. 2007b).

Although the combination of Q with MB was effective in our experiment, as expected, this combination has some drawbacks that preclude its therapeutic use, such as the fact that both drugs target similar developmental stages of the parasite with similar mechanisms of action. Further, both drugs exhibit similarly short half-lives, requiring multiple administrations, which can lead to poor compliance. Finally, Q is approximately 10 times more expensive than PYR or MB.

PYR was discovered more than 60 years ago as a result of an intense antimalarial research program conducted by the United States army to find an alternative to Q during World War II (Plowe 2001). PYR has been used for decades because of its high therapeutic index and very low cost. Unfortunately, used alone, PYR generates a high rate of resistance and must therefore be combined with another antimalarial. It has been hypothesised that PYR’s mechanism of action mainly involves its interference in folate metabolism through the inhibition of dihydrofolate reductase (DHFR) (Peterson & Wellems 1988).

### TABLE

Dose of tested drugs able to inhibit 50% or 90% of *Plasmodium berghei* growth

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th><em>P. berghei</em> ED$_{50}$ (mg/kg/day)</th>
<th><em>P. berghei</em> ED$_{90}$ (mg/kg/day)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methylene blue</td>
<td>12.80</td>
<td>45.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>2.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrimethamine</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>4.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quinine</td>
<td>33.57</td>
<td>93.55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In vivo combination study of methylene blue (MB) with pyrimethamine (PYR) and quinine (Q). The upper part of the figure shows the combinations, the lower part shows the drug alone at two concentrations. Results show means standard deviation. ED$_{50}$, ED$_{90}$: dose that inhibits 50% or 90% of parasite growth; *: expected inhibition if both drugs were additive (white bars); **: p-value comparing MB and MB + PYR < 0.0001; ***: p-value comparing MB and MB + Q < 0.0001.
Recently, Legorreta-Herrera et al. (2010) provided new details on the effect of PYR by highlighting its production of free radicals in rodent models of malaria. These authors hypothesised that PYR induces oxidative stress in *Plasmodium yoelii*-infected RBCs; in support of this proposed mechanism, increased redox enzyme activities (superoxide dismutase and glutathione peroxidase) were demonstrated in both erythrocytes and the liver. PYR also increases nitric oxide levels in the serum and upregulates mRNA concentrations of superoxide dismutase, glutathione peroxidase, catalase and iNOS in the spleen. PYR is also well absorbed when administered orally, reaching maximum plasma concentrations 2–6 h after administration and exhibiting a plasma half-life of 80–96.5 h.

A rapid analysis of the structure-activity relationship between PYR and MB emphasises the complementary and synergic mechanisms of action of these drugs. PYR is a pyrimidine compound that interacts with the active site of the DHFR protein, establishing hydrogen bond interactions and the number of flexible bonds plays a crucial role in drug resistance (Yuvaniyama et al. 2003). MB is a phenothiazine compound that exhibits a planar geometry in its “blue form” and a partial planar geometry, uncharged and colourless, in its “Leuco form”. To date, crystallographic data for the MB-GR complex have not been reported, although due to a high number of aromatic and non-polar residues in the region, stacking-π and van der Waals interactions occur in the interdimeric cavity of GR (Sarma et al. 2003, Schirmer et al. 2011). From a chemical research standpoint, fragment-base discovery is an exciting and novel method of lead generation (Warr 2009). Fragments are small, low-molecular-weight molecules that usually form part of a drug compound. Based on fragment-base discovery, the pyrimidine-diamine system of PYR may be found to serve as an effective scaffold upon which to build further antimalarial drug development. In addition, both phenothiazine and quinoline templates have been promoted as “privileged structures” that can help in the modification and improvement of existing active molecules (Wermuth 2004).

MB has been reported not only to exhibit non-competitive inhibitor, but also as a substrate reduced by flavoenzymes that produce reactive oxygen species (Buchholz et al. 2008). The complementary mechanisms of PYR and MB seem clear; both compounds generate oxidative stress, but in different ways, whereas MB also induces indirect structural changes in the interdimeric region of GR. Both MB and PYR target the crucial redox machinery of *Plasmodium*, but at two different stages of the intraerythrocytic development: PYR acts against young schizonts (Maerki et al. 2006), whereas MB is effective against the late ring/early trophozoite stages (Garavito 2007a). Therefore, in theory, the effects of these drugs should be synergistic. This premise is corroborated by the experimental data obtained herein. Moreover, the effective therapeutic half-life of MB is much shorter than that of PYR and, consequently, selection for resistance should be less intense. Finally, both compounds are affordable for afflicted populations, with the combination approximately 30 times less expensive than the combination of artesunate and PYR (Baird 2005). These results confirm that the combination of MB and PYR, two established, reliable and effective molecules, should be considered with renewed interest as a new, low-cost antimalarial therapy.
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