DISTINCT ULTRASTRUCTURAL ASPECTS IN DIFFERENT BIOPSIES OF A SINGLE PATIENT WITH DIFUSE CUTANEOUS LEISHMANIASIS
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The authors investigated the relation between parasites and host-cells in active and regressed lesions of a patient with diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis, evaluating the frequency of different cell types, and the location and integrity of amastigotes. No correlation was found between parasite integrity and size of parasitophorous vacuoles. They observed ultrastructural findings characterizing a cell mediated immune response: macrophages lysis, parasitic destruction inside macrophages, close contact between parasitized macrophages and lymphocytes and between parasites and lymphocytes, lymphocytic infiltration and fibrosis. They suggest that in DCL there is a limited cellular immune response, although insufficient to control infection.
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Diffuse cutaneous leishmaniasis (DCL) has clinical and immunopathological aspects very different from cutaneous leishmaniasis (CL). The patients with DCL present widespread specific immunosupression of the cell-mediated immune response and are resistant to specific treatment (Convit et al., 1972).

The literature generally states that the histological picture of DCL consists exclusively of parasite-loaded macrophages (Balzer et al., 1960; Convit & Pinardi, 1974; Medina & Romero, 1957). However, Bittencourt & Freitas (1983) demonstrated variability of the histopathological pattern showing the presence of mononuclear infiltrate, fibrosis and necrosis and differences in the intensity of parasitism among different lesions in the same patient even without treatment. Bryceson (1969) in Ethiopia observed a tuberculin pattern in relapses of DCL after treatment. An infiltration of the epidermis and parasitized macrophages was also previously described (Bittencourt & Guimarães, 1968).

The purpose of this study is to investigate ultrastructurally the relationship of parasite and host cells in different lesions, evaluating the frequency of different cell types as well as location and integrity of amastigotes.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The patient – A 32 year old man with disseminated large, non-ulcerated erythematous nodules, most on the face, arms, legs and abdomen which had been present for four years. Frequently there was coalescence of these nodules forming infiltrated plaques. Less frequently were observed flat lesions with a wrinkled surface. The patient never used specific therapy for leishmaniasis and stated that some lesions involuted spontaneously. There was no mucosal infiltration. Skin test and in vitro lymphocyte proliferation to Leishmania antigen were always negative. The indirect immunofluorescent anti-Leishmania test was positive, titer up to 1/16,000. Leishmaniasia was isolated by culture and characterized as Leishmania amazonensis by monoclonal antibody reactivity pattern (serodeme), by isoenzyme profiles (zymodeme) and by KDNA restriction fragments profiles (schizodeme) (Barral, 1988). The patient was treated with pentavalent antimonials (20 mg / per kg / per day).

Biopsies – Four biopsies were done before treatment, three in large erythematous nodules and one in a lesion which is regressed, flat with a wrinkled surface. One other biopsy was done
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in an erythematous lesion which had decreased after 24 days of treatment with antimonials pentavalent therapy.

**Ultrastructural microscopy** — The skin samples including epidermis and dermis were processed as previously reported (Barral-Netto, et al., 1987) and examined with Zeiss E-109 microscope at 50 KV. In order to make a quantitative evaluation of the parasitized and non-parasitized inflammatory cells, a differential counting of 200 cells on average was made using one or more ultra thin sections. The parasites were also counted, taking into consideration their location and integrity. The parasitophorous vacuoles were subdivided into small (which could be filled by only one parasite), medium (by 2 to 6 parasites) and large size (filled by more than 6 parasites). The following criteria were used as indicators of degeneration of *Leishmania*:
1. Irregular forms of the parasites.
2. Dilatation of the flagellar pocket.
3. Increase in number of size of the multivesicular bodies and lipid globets.
4. Dilatation of the endoplasmatic reticulum or mitochondria.
5. Reduction in number of ribosomes (Alexander & Vikerman, 1975; Hentzer & Kobayasi, 1977; Wery & Grooth-Lasseel, 1966). One of the following aspects was used as criteria for *Leishmania* destruction:

1. Presence of dark electron dense homogeneous parasites lacking the details of organelles.
2. Rupture of plasmatic membrane.
3. Lysis of the cytoplasm (Sandbank & Ben-David, 1979; Wery & Grooth-Lasseel, 1966). The following morphological indicators of macrophage activation were used: the presence of microvilli and pinocytic vacuoles, cytoplasmic expansion with increased number of organelles, decrease in the chromatin, increase in the number or size of lysosomes and evidence of enhanced non-parasitic phagocytosis (Adams, 1976; North, 1978).

**RESULTS**

A great variation in the ultrastructural pattern was observed even in sections of one single lesion.

**Active lesions** — A marked predominance of macrophages and a heavy macrophage parasitism was observed in all three lesions (Table 1). There was a predominance of damaged parasites and the parasitism was more intense in macrophages than in the interstitium (Table II). Rarely amastigotes were seen inside neutrophils and eosinophils. The lymphocytes represented 13% of the inflammatory cells. A close contact between parasitized macrophage and lymphocytes (Fig. 1) and between lymphocytes and amastigotes (Fig. 2) was observed. Plasma cells and eosinophils were rarely observed. The size of the parasitophorous vacuoles varied from area to area but extravacuolar cytoplasmatic localization of amastigotes was not frequently observed. No correlation was found between parasitic integrity and size of vacuoles (Table III — Fig. 1). Thirty five per cent of macrophages, parasitized or not, were necrotic. In areas, focal agglomerations of necrotic cells were observed in all three biopsies. Foci of collagen deposition were seen only in one biopsy, associated with a marked predominance of dead parasites and larger areas of necrosis (Table I). Less than 1% of macrophages showed morphological signs of activation. Epithelioid cells and giant cells were rarely observed. Many well preserved parasites in the cytoplasm of keratinocytes and in macrophages between keratinocytes were seen in two biopsies (Fig. 3).

**Regressed lesions** — In these lesions there was a great decrease in parasitism and presence of fibrosis (Table I). The collagen deposition was more marked in the spontaneously involuted lesion (Fig. 4) in which there was a predominance of parasites in the interstitium, numerous neutrophils (Table I) and large areas of necrosis. In the regressed lesions it were also observed scarce giant and epithelioid cells and a close contact between lymphocytes and lysed and parasitized macrophage (Fig. 4).

**COMMENTS**

A great variation was observed in the ultrastructural aspects in both active and regressed lesions. Besides the parasite-loaded macrophages with large parasitophorous vacuoles, macrophages with small vacuoles and with few parasites, foci of necrosis, infiltration of lymphocytes and areas of collagen deposition were also found. These differences were more marked between the active and the regressed lesions. In these latter lesions there was a marked reduction in the number of macrophages and in the intensity of parasitism, and a more marked fibrosis was observed. Fibrosis is described in CL and in experimentally infected resistant inbred mice but not in the BALB/c mice.
**TABLE I**

Frequency of inflammatory cells, tissular parasitism and fibrose in the lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th>% of inflammatory cells</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Fibrose</th>
<th>Ama/Cell</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mo</td>
<td>Mop</td>
<td>Neu</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Other</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Active</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>4:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spontaneously regressed</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>+++++</td>
<td>1:1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regressed after treatment</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>2:1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Mo – non parasitized macrophages; Mop – parasitized macrophages; Neu – neutrophils; L – lymphocytes; Ama – amastigotes.

**TABLE II**

Parasite integrity related to its location in the lesions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite location</th>
<th>Lesions</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Active</td>
<td>Preserved</td>
<td>Damaged</td>
<td>Spontaneous regressed</td>
<td>Preserved</td>
<td>Damaged</td>
<td>Regressed after treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intracel.</td>
<td>189 (18%)</td>
<td>878 (82%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>6 (100%)</td>
<td>11 (34%)</td>
<td>21 (66%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Extracel.</td>
<td>48 (11%)</td>
<td>436 (89%)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37 (100%)</td>
<td>5 (63%)</td>
<td>3 (37%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1: active lesion. There are lymphocytes around and in close contact with parasitized well preserved macrophage. See degenerated amastigotes within a large parasitophorous vacuole. X 3.000.
Fig. 2: active lesion. A degenerated amastigote in close contact with a lymphocyte. X 7.000.

Fig. 3: epidermis of the active lesion. See well preserved amastigotes within the keratinocyte. X 3.000.
(Andrade et al., 1984; Bretana et al., 1983). However it was observed in the immunized BALB/c mice (Barral-Netto et al., 1987). Fibrosis in parasitic infections is related to immune host response (Andrade et al., 1984). In the regressive lesions a marked increase of neutrophils was also observed, probably correlated with the presence of a more marked necrosis.

A marked predominance of damaged parasites was observed in the regressive lesions (Table II). In contrast to CL (Hassan et al., 1984), apoptosis was not seen but lytic parasitized macrophages were often observed. Besides, well preserved macrophages with damaged parasites were also found.

In contrast with these observations, some authors have shown ultrastructurally that amastigotes in DCL remain well preserved within the macrophages and that parasite damage occurs only after treatment (Bretafia et al., 1983; Zaar et al., 1982). This same aspect was observed experimentally in both susceptible and resistant mice but in the resistant strain parasitized macrophages were frequently necrotic and degenerated amastigotes were often found in the interstitial tissue (Andrade et al., 1984).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>VP size</th>
<th>Parasite's condition (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well preserved</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Large</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Small</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TABLE III
Parasite's integrity related to the size of parasitophorous vacuoles

VP – Parasitophorous vacuoles.

The close contact between lymphocytes and parasitized macrophages were seen in both types of lesions. The macrophages frequently appeared lytic. Macrophage lysis is generally attributed to T cytotoxic lymphocytes. However Pham & Mauel (1987) demonstrated in vitro that lymphocytes with L3T4 phenotypes besides killing amastigotes can produce macrophage lysis. Otherwise the close contact between T helper lymphocytes and parasitized macrophages increase the leishmanicidal effect of those cells (Panosian et al., 1984).

According to some author, well preserved parasites were found in large parasitophorous
vacuoles while is small vacuoles the damaged parasites predominate (Alexander & Vickerman, 1975; Veress et al., 1981). In Table III we can see that no correlation was observed between the size of these vacuoles and the parasitic integrity. Although eosinophils are frequently observed in infected BALB/c and in C3H mice (Grimaldi et al., 1984; Pompeu et al., 1987) in the present study they were rarely found.

An interesting finding was the presence of well preserved amastigotes in the interior of keratinocytes in the epidermis and also in macrophage lying between these cells. This aspect was observed only when there was a heavy dermal infiltration of loaded parasitized macrophages. The presence of parasites in the epidermis was previously observed on the light microscopic level and considered an invasion of the epidermis by parasitized macrophages (Bittencourt & Guimarães, 1968). Epithelial cells are not generally considered to have phagocytic properties, but studies employing ferritin have demonstrated the capacity of phagocytosis by keratinocytes (Sagebriel, 1972).

*Leishmania amazonensis* was isolated in this patient and this species is implicated as the agent of diffuse leishmaniasis in Brazil (Lainson, 1981). In the susceptible BALB/c mice this species causes disease similar to DCL (Perez et al., 1978). Despite the clinical presentation and immunological aspects of this patient typical of DCL there are distinct ultrastructural findings characterizing a cell mediated immune response which include: macrophage lysis, parasitic destruction inside macrophages, close contact between parasitized macrophages and lymphocytes and between parasites and lymphocytes, lymphocytic infiltration and presence of fibrosis. Giant cells and activated macrophages were seen, although rarely. These aspects are generally seen only in CL (Hassan et al., 1984; Ridley & Wells, 1986).

The presence of active or regressive lesions in different areas of the body, as well as lesion regression in the absence of treatment, suggest that lymphocyte maldistribution is not involved in this process.

It seems that in DCL there is a limited cellular immune response, insufficient to control the infection, but able to promote the spontaneous regression of some lesions.
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