Ultrastructural Details of Cryptosporidium parvum Development in Calf Intestine
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Cryptosporidium parvum and C. muris appear to be different species found in calves, with different oocysts size and distribution on the gastrointestinal tract. This work presents new images of C. parvum ultrastructure in calf intestine, mainly its development in nonmicrovillous cells and the presence of microtubular structures in the membrane enveloping the macrogamonts and immature oocysts.
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Bovine cryptosporidiosis was first described by Panciera et al. (1971). The first bovine ultrastructural descriptions appear in 1978, clearly showing an extracytoplasmatic but intracellular position in the epithelial cells (Pohlenz et al. 1978, Pearson & Logan 1978). This position described by some authors as unique is commonly found in some species of the genera Eimeria, Epielimeria and Goussia which parasitize both freshwater and marine fish (Lukes 1992).

The differences between Cryptosporidium muris and C. parvum were well established by Upton and Current (1985) based on the different oocysts and sporozoite sizes, being C. muris oocysts larger.

Ultrastructural studies by different authors clearly showed morphological differences between Cryptosporidium isolated from mammals, namely the presence of mitochondria in C. muris, clearly demonstrated by Uni et al. (1987) but never detected by other authors in other isolates ascribed to C. parvum.

For several years we have been working with a strain of Cryptosporidium isolated from dairy cattle at a farm where almost 100% of suckling calves were spontaneously infected during the first two weeks of life. Oocysts size and morphology are similar to that of C. parvum. Extensive study has been performed on this isolate and we now have detailed information about its ultrastructural characteristics.

The present work focus on a better understanding of the ultrastructure of C. parvum.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Histological samples for our electron microscopic study were recovered from a 7 day old calf (Holstein) killed after suffering signs of meningitis. Tissue samples were fixed in glutaraldehyde and processed for electron microscopy according to standard methods (Rosales et al. 1993a).

Cryptosporidium infection was first detected in calf faeces by optical microscopy and afterwards by the histological study of lung and intestinal tissues.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Developing Cryptosporidium forms were viewed by optic and electron microscopy both in small and large intestine. From the electron micrographs we have selected the one showing the most informative aspects of the parasite and of the host cell-parasite interactions.

Fig. 1 shows a zoite just attached to a Paneth intestinal cell. A three-membrane complex is tightly adhered to certain zones of the parasite (arrow). The three membrane layers show the same width, and a fourth more electrodense membrane appears at the apical end (arrowhead). A membrane fusion image is seen at the parasite-cell contact with some serrations at the right-hand corner. There is no indication of an envelope of host-cell origin in this form, although a dense band in the parasite-cell interface is clearly visible. In more differentiated merogonic forms (Fig. 2P,E), meronts are almost invariably enveloped by two membranes and also included in the two membrane units of presumably host origin and a vacuolate zone (known as feeder organelle) becomes evident in this region (Fig. 3F), but never so folded and organized as
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Fig. 5: portion of a mature type I meront. E: enveloping membranes with dense granular intermembrane material (arrow); L: longitudinal ridges in the pellicular merozoite membranes; M: microvilli; P: two membrane pellicle. Bar = 1 mm. Fig. 6: section through a macrogamont showing an undulated contour. Wall-forming bodies type I (W₁) adheres to the periphery. Bar = 1 mm. Fig. 7: section through a macrogamont with an undulated contour. N: nucleus; W₁: wall-forming body type I; W₂: wall-forming body type II. Bar = 1 mm. Fig. 8: portion of a macrogamont fixed to an enterocyte showing an undulating contour in some zones. W₁: wall-forming body type I; W₂: wall-forming body type II. Bar = 1 mm. Fig. 9: free Cryptosporidium form in lumen intestine. Although its content is degenerated, the enveloping membranes are well preserved in a zone (arrows) showing a peculiar disposition. Bar = 1 mm. Fig. 10: portion of a macrogamont growing in an enterocyte. Microtubular structures (arrows) are evident. Bar = 0.769 mm. Fig. 11: portion of a macrogamont. Its enveloping membranes were accidentally displaced by sample processing, and some microtubules (arrow) are visible on the parasite pellicle. E₁: enveloping membranes of the macrogamont; E₂: enveloping membranes of other adjacent Cryptosporidium form; P: parasite pellicle; R: rough endoplasmic reticulum; W₁: wall-forming body type I. Bar = 0.769 mm.
described by other authors (Fayer et al. 1990).

Membrane-bound structures are usual between the parasites and their envelopes (Fig. 4). When the merozoites are well formed, a dense granular intermembrane material is clearly visible (Fig. 5E, arrowhead). This material was described by Pohlenz et al. (1978), who did not believe that these membranes were of host origin. We also noticed that some Cryptosporidium forms had an undulated contour (Figs 6-9). Longitudinal and transverse cuts under higher magnification revealed fundamentally in macrogamonts and maturing oocysts, ordered microtubular structures (Figs 10, 11, arrowheads). This sort of structure, being a physical support, appears to offer strength to the developing parasite. Due to the absence of this microtubular support in the microvillous surface these microtubules may be of parasite origin, as they resemble the subpellicular microtubules in other coccidia.

Although in the last few years we have obtained many ultrastructural images of this Cryptosporidium in cultured MDCK cells (Rosales et al. 1993b), chick embryos (Rosales et al. 1992) and in calf tissues (Mascaró et al. 1993), we have never found, either in sporozoites or in merozoites cuts, micronemes arranged in parallel stacks or in zigzag patterns as so clearly described by other authors (Current & Reese 1986, Liebler et al. 1986, Fayer et al. 1990, Bonnin et al. 1990, Flanigan et al. 1991, Aji et al. 1991, Rondanelli et al. 1993).

In our isolate the micronemes are never orderly (Fig. 5) and thus provide a quite different ultrastructural image.

This isolate can be cultivated in MDCK cells (Rosales et al. 1993a) and in mouse peritoneal macrophages (Martinez et al. 1992), does not grow as well in chick embryos (Rosales et al. 1992) as does other C. parvum isolates (Current & Long 1983), and the enzymatic studies revealed the absence of detectable hexokinase (Entrala & Mascaró 1997). To further characterize potential strain differences, it will be necessary to use standard methodologies to compare different isolates of C. parvum, at the ultrastructural as well as the immunological and enzymatic levels.
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