Estimated Financial Impact of *Trypanosoma vivax* on the Brazilian Pantanal and Bolivian Lowlands
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The financial impact of the first outbreak of *Trypanosoma vivax* in the Brazilian Pantanal wetland is estimated. Results are extended to include outbreaks in the Bolivian lowlands providing a notion of the potential influence of the disease and an analytical basis. More than 11 million head of cattle, valued at more than US$3 billion are found in the Brazilian Pantanal and Bolivian lowlands. The total estimated cost of the 1995 outbreak of *T. vivax* is the sum of the present values of mortality, abortion, and productivity losses and treatment costs, or about 4% of total brood cow value on affected ranches. Had the outbreak gone untreated, the estimated losses would have exceeded 17% of total brood cow value.
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The Brazilian Pantanal and Bolivian Lowlands are located in the center of South America. Covering more than 250,000 km², the region is dominated by extensively managed cattle ranches and approximately 11 million head of cattle. In addition to common management practices, topography and weather patterns, the ranches in the Brazilian Pantanal and Bolivian lowlands trade with one another, share markets and transportation routes, and disease management strategies. Outbreaks of disease in the Pantanal are likely to spread to the Bolivian lowlands and the converse. The risk profile and effect of disease outbreaks are likely to be similar across the two regions.

*Trypanosoma vivax* was identified in the Brazilian Pantanal for the first time in 1995, in an outbreak on seven ranches in the northern subregion of Poconé, State of Mato Grosso (Silva et al. 1995, 1996). Subsequent outbreaks were reported in the Bolivian lowlands and the southern Pantanal (Silva et al. 1998a, b). In order to provide a notion of the potential influence of the disease and an analytical basis for future work, the financial impact of this outbreak is estimated and, then, results are extrapolated to the broader region.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study site - In June 1995, ranchers reported symptoms typical of the disease including: progressive emaciation, anaemia, fever, lethargy, and loss of appetite and dysentery (Figure). The ranches were small by local standards, maintaining an average herd of 343 head per ranch. All ranches were located along the principal transportation route to Bolivia and regional markets. Samples were collected from each ranch and examined by microhematocrit test. All ranches and 34.5% of the animals sampled (10/29) tested positively for *T. vivax*. Ranchers reported nine brood cow deaths and 19 calf abortions prior to diagnosis and treatment. All remaining cattle were treated with diminazine and survived (Silva et al. 1996).

Productivity effects of *T. vivax* - *T. vivax* results in financial losses to the rancher in terms of lost productivity including abortion, infertility, and death of cows and calves (Ogwu et al. 1984, 1986, Ogwu & Njoku 1987). Perhaps due to the timing of the high vector season and the principal breeding period, Pantanal brood cows have been observed to lose one year of productivity due to *T. vivax* infection; we assume this outbreak renders an estimated 34.5% of all cattle on the seven ranches infertile for one year. Financially, the effect is the same as predicting an abortion for all infected cattle for the year following an outbreak. However, not all of the cattle infected this year would have calved successfully next year. The estimated annual calving rate in the Pantanal is 37.3% and 26.7% of these calves can be expected to die on average (Almeida et al. 1996). As a result, an estimated 9.6% (27.3% of 34.5%) of all brood cattle...
on the affected ranches can be expected to lose a year of productivity.

_Treatment costs and benefits_ - When _T. vivax_ was diagnosed on the seven ranches, all animals on each of the ranches were treated with a diminazine aceturate. The costs of this treatment strategy include animal collection, needles and syringes, and the drug itself. Treatment costs about US$5.38 per head-treatment.

The benefits of treating _T. vivax_ are calculated as the present value of the cattle production saved by implementing the treatment. If the diminazine treatment had not been administered in a timely manner, at least 34.5% of the cattle on the seven ranches would have died. Treatment benefits are measured by the observed rate of infection in the outbreak multiplied by the number of brood cows potentially affected and the productivity losses averted due to treatment.

_Discounted value of cattle production_ - An investment is an arrangement in which an individual agrees to pay something (e.g. time, money, effort) today in exchange for an expected stream of benefits sometime in the future. When the costs and benefits of an exchange take place at different points in time, it is appropriate to discount or adjust the value of future benefits to compare them with present costs. The discount or interest rate used in calculations reflects the time value of the investment. Here, we assign a discount rate of 8% as roughly reflective of the returns to alternative investments available to the rancher.

Theoretically speaking, the market price of a heifer should reflect its anticipated productivity and be equivalent to the net present value of the expected returns to its productivity. The expectation of disease loss should decrease market prices _ceteris paribus_. When a brood cow dies before its productive life has ended, the rancher loses the future market value of a cull cow plus the future market value of any calves the cow might have had. When a brood cow loses a year of productivity (one calf) due to infection with the disease, the rancher loses the present value of a calf sold three to four years from infection (about one year calving and two to three years to market). The financial losses due to the outbreak of _T. vivax_ in the Pantanal are equivalent to the present value of the sum of these anticipated future returns calculated from the date of first investment (purchase of a brood heifer). Many Pantanal ranchers “grow” their own breeding stock. Since they must decide whether to sell their heifers or breed them, the market price of that animal category reflects the opportunity cost of the rancher’s decision to breed his own cattle.
Cattle prices - According to convention, the rancher’s best guess of future prices are current prices. Here, the primary concern is with the prices of three products: productive age brood cows, old brood cows (13-14 yr old), and 2-3 yr old thin cattle. At the time of the outbreak, the local price of a productive brood cow in a very thin market was about US$200. The 2-3 yr old thin cattle price was US$220 and the old or unproductive cull cow price was US$110.

Typical herd profile - In order to calculate the present value of lost productivity due to premature death of brood cows, it is necessary to know the age of the animal at its death and how many calves it was likely to produce with the rest of its life. Since this information was not available, combining information of the typical age profile of a Pantanal herd with the typical pregnancy rate by age, we can estimate the likely number of calves forgone for death at a given age. With this information we can estimate the present value of lost productivity due to this T. vivax outbreak. Since it is likely that the disease is distributed more or less evenly throughout the population due to its recent arrival in the region, it is logical to estimate probable losses based upon the median age of the herd.

RESULTS

Died of T. vivax before treatment - At least nine brood cows died prior to treatment for T. vivax. Rancher interviews indicated that all of these animals demonstrated the symptoms typical of the disease and often prompted rancher action to treat the ensuing outbreak. The financial losses due to the death of a brood cow are equivalent to the discounted value of that cow and its expected production. Using the data presented above, the present value of a 4 yr old Pantanal brood cow is US$385 if it produces four calves which are sub-
native losses based upon the median age of the herd.

T. vivax outbreak. Since it is likely that the disease is distributed more or less evenly throughout the population due to its recent arrival in the region, it is logical to estimate probable losses based upon the median age of the herd.

Fertility loss - Pantanal brood cows have been observed to lose one year of productivity due to T. vivax infection. If the cow is 10 yr old (six years from original investment), the loss of one year of productivity is roughly equivalent to the discounted value of a calf sold four years in the future (ten years from original investment); one year to calve and three years of growth. Of the 2,222 brood cows, 213 (9.6%) can be expected to lose a year of productivity for an estimated loss of US$21,705 or US$102 per cow.

Treatment costs and benefits - Treatment represents an additional (unanticipated) investment to the rancher. The present value of treatment costs for the 2,400 cattle treated, on average, six years post investment are estimated as US$8,131, or US$3.39 per head.

However, fertility losses were observed in infected and treated animals. As a result, the net benefits of treatment can be calculated as the total benefits less treatment costs and fertility losses, or US$87,337 (US$39.30 per cow) and the estimated return to investment in treatment is 10.7:1.

Extrapolation of results - The total estimated present value of the 2,222 brood cows on seven ranches in the Poconé subregion of the Brazilian Pantanal is US$855,470. The total estimated cost of the 1995 outbreak of T. vivax on these ranches is the sum of the present values of mortality, abortion, and productivity losses and treatment costs, or US$32,631 (US$14.68 per brood cow or 4% of total brood cow value). If there were 11 million head of cattle in the region and all of the observed and assumed conditions in Pocone held for the rest of the region, the herd would be worth about $4 billion and the anticipated losses to T. vivax would exceed $160 million. Had the outbreak gone untreated, the estimated losses would have exceeded US$140,000 (US$63.76 per brood cow or 17% of total brood cow value) on the seven ranches, $200 million in the Pantanal and $700 million region-wide.

CONCLUSIONS

An estimate of the financial impact of an outbreak of T. vivax in the Poconé subregion of the
Brazilian Pantanal in 1995 was calculated. In total, seven ranches and 2,400 potentially affected adult cattle provided the boundaries of analysis for this estimate. Costs of the outbreak fell into four categories: mortality, abortion, fertility, and treatment. The cost of fertility losses generated the greatest proportion of the estimated costs of the disease. Since cattle and calves represent investments to the rancher and the impact of *Trypanosoma vivax* is not necessarily concurrent with either investment or infection, a present valuation of the costs of the disease was appropriate. A number of simplifying assumptions were necessary due to data restrictions in order to complete our estimations including: discount rate, cattle prices, and average brood cow age.

Although this outbreak had a small, albeit measurable, impact on the affected ranches, diagnosis and treatment of the disease is cost effective. Further, extending results to include the entire region results in fairly large damage estimates. Due to the history of the disease in other parts of the world, it is likely that future outbreaks will increase regionally warranting further research into potential control strategies for trypanosomes in the Brazilian Pantanal and the Bolivian lowlands.
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