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The response to intra- and interspecific faecal assembling signals was tested in Rhodnius prolixus. Papers impregnated with excrement of *R. prolixus* induced the aggregation of larvae of this species, but also of those of Triatoma infestans. However, faeces belonging to *T. infestans* were not able to assemble larvae of *R. prolixus*. On the other hand, there was no response of *R. prolixus* to putative chemical factors from their cuticle (footprints), in contrast to *T. infestans*. Results are discussed as related to the ecology of both species.
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*Rhodnius prolixus* is an hematophagous bug distributed over Venezuela, Colombia, and it is also important in some regions of Central America, specially Guatemala, Honduras and El Salvador. In Venezuela and Colombia, *R. prolixus* inhabits mainly wild environments, such as the tops of palm trees. In Central America, these bugs are adapted to domestic environments (Schofield 1994). However, these reports of *R. prolixus* in sylvatic habitats, especially in palm trees, might be misidentifications of morphologically similar species such as *R. robustus* in Venezuela and Northern Brazil, or *R. pallescens* in Colombia. In Central America, *R. prolixus* is adapted to domestic environments. No sylvatic populations of these bugs have been found in Central America, and the status of “sylvatic” *R. prolixus* in Venezuela remains uncertain (Anonymous 2000).

Aggregation behaviour, as a response to chemical signals, has been reported in several species of Triatominae (Velázquez Antich 1968, Baldwin et al. 1971, Schofield & Patterson 1977, Ondarza et al. 1986, Cruz-López et al. 1993, Lorenzo Figueiras et al. 1994). Schofield and Patterson (1977) have reported an assembly pheromone in the larval faeces of *R. prolixus* that attracts unfed larvae and arrests the locomotion of fed larvae.

In addition to dry faeces, a chemical mark left behind by bugs on walked substrates (footprints) also promotes aggregation in *T. infestans* (Lorenzo Figueiras & Lazzari 1998a). It has been proposed that this species presents a chemical substance in its cuticle that impregnates surfaces and provokes assembling in the bugs. This signal constitutes an assembling mark acting as a “chemical footprint”.

The specificity of the faeces signals has been studied by Cruz-López et al. (1993), who reported that an interspecific aggregation signals are present in the excrement of larvae and adults of five species of the subfamily Triatominae (*T. mazzotti, T. longipennis, T. pallidipennis, T. barberi* and *R. prolixus*). Furthermore, Lorenzo Figueiras and Lazzari (1998b) showed that an aggregating substance is present in the dry faeces of *T. infestans, T. sordida* and *T. guasayana*, which acts both, intra- and interspecifically.

The chemical cues that induce assembling in triatomines have been repeatedly signalled as potential tools for controlling triatomines. In addition, their study becomes relevant to understand the relationship between different triatomine species with their habitat.

The present work was undertaken to examine the response of *R. prolixus* to aggregation substances present in their faeces and to compounds left on papers by means of physical contact (footprints). Furthermore, we analyzed the cross-response of both *R. prolixus* and *T. infestans* to their aggregation signals.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiments were conducted with 3rd instar larvae of *R. prolixus* and 4th instar larvae of *T. infestans*. All the experimental insects were used ten days after the ecdisis.

To test the response of the bugs to the faeces, these were collected on pieces of filter paper (3 x 2 cm) during four days after feeding, avoiding any physical contact with the insect’s body. The faeces collected were used 24 h later. To analyse the response of the bugs to their potential footprints, a group of 20 unfed larvae that had their anus sealed with wax, were placed in a plastic container with pieces of filter paper (3 x 2 cm) inside and allowed to walk freely. After ten days, these papers were examined to confirm the absence of faecal spots and used for the experiments.

The experimental device employed to test both kinds of cues consisted of a circular glass arena 13 cm in diameter divided in three equal virtual sectors (Lorenzo Figueiras et al. 1994). The assays were carried out using a piece of filter paper (3 x 2 cm) placed on each sector. One
were not able to assemble larvae of an unpaired t-test. The aggregation response was compared using a G-test for goodness of fit to a random distribution (i.e., 1/3 for the experimental sector and 2/3 for control sectors). The aggregation response was studied performing two series of assays, differing in the fasting period of the experimental insects. Bugs were fed during the previous instar and used for assays either 6 or 20 days after ecdysis. For each experimental series the distribution of the insects in the arena was statistically analyzed by means of a G-test for goodness of fit to a random distribution (i.e., 1/3 for the experimental sector and 2/3 for control sectors). The aggregation response was compared using an unpaired t-test.

**RESULTS**

Fig. 1 depicts the aggregation responses of *R. prolixus* to its own faeces as well as to excrements belonging to *T. infestans*. As previously shown for this species and for *T. infestans* (Schofield & Patterson 1977, Lorenzo Figueiras et al. 1994), *R. prolixus* significantly aggregated around papers impregnated with homospecific dry excrement (G-test, \( P < 0.001 \)). Furthermore, a significant assembling behaviour was observed when we tested the response of larvae of *T. infestans* to faeces of *R. prolixus* (Fig. 2, G-test, \( P < 0.001 \)). However, faeces belonging to *T. infestans* were not able to assemble larvae of *R. prolixus* (Fig. 1, G-test, NS).

The aggregation level induced in the two named species by faeces belonging to *R. prolixus* was significantly different (t-test; \( t = 2.78; \) df = 11; \( P = 0.0179; 68.7\% \) in *T. infestans* and 58.3\% in *R. prolixus*). Remarkably, *T. infestans* showed a stronger response than *R. prolixus* to the excrements of the later. Indeed, *T. infestans* showed a tendency to aggregate around papers impregnated with faeces of *R. prolixus*, similar to that reported for their own faeces (t-test; \( t = 1.286; \) df = 15; \( P = 0.2178, \) NS, Lorenzo Figueiras et al. 1994).

A random distribution was observed when we tested the larvae of *R. prolixus* to its supposed footprints (G-test, NS, \( P > 0.05 \)), independently of the bugs’ nutritional stage.

**DISCUSSION**

The results presented here extend our knowledge about the assembling behaviour of triatomines bugs. We confirmed that an assembling substance is present in the dry faeces of *R. prolixus*, which also acts in an interspecific fashion with *T. infestans*. Remarkably, faeces of *R. prolixus* induce assembling of *T. infestans*, but the inverse is not true. This difference between the species could be related with their natural history. *R. prolixus* lives in palm trees, associated to avian nests, and the use of this signal could be less important in the orientation to refuges, than in *T. infestans*. The fact that larvae of *R. prolixus* did not assemble on faeces of *T. infestans* agrees with a weaker response of that species even to their own faeces, when compared with other triatomines, such as *T. infestans*. Besides, the aggregation response of the two species to faeces belonging to *R. prolixus* was significantly different; *T. infestans* evincing a stronger response than *R. prolixus*.

To comprehend the reasons for this differential response, we can speculate about the different levels on which the response can be modulated. On the one hand, the chemical composition of faeces determines their at-
tractiveness. On the other hand, the sensory sensitivity of the insect can make it more or less prone to respond. Both factors can be modulated independently by natural selection, as variables related to the adaptation to their particular environments. The interaction here described seems to agree more with the second possibility, i.e. differences in sensitivity, than with the first one. Whereas *T. infestans* would be a species that detects and makes use of faecal signals to find a shelter, independently of their origin (intra- or interspecific), *R. prolixus* exhibits a low tendency to respond, even when excrement belongs to conspecifics. Furthermore, *R. prolixus* also fails to respond to another assembling cue, i.e., cuticular footprints (Lorenzo Figueiras & Lazzari 1998a), whose presence has been also confirmed in other triatomines (Pires et al. 1999, Vitta et al. 1999). Looking at the habitats of both species, a clear difference appears in the spatial relation between refuges and hosts. In domestic habitats, typically inhabited by *T. infestans*, potential shelters are present in walls and ceilings, i.e., bugs should displace relatively afar to contact a host and to return to a protected place. In this scenario, distant guiding cues are relevant, not only to approach a host, but also to find the return path to a refuge. In the case of *R. prolixus*, bird nests and palm trees offer protection relatively close to the food source. So, this species could simply use the same host cues that guided the approach to it, for moving away of the host to reach a shelter, by inverting the sign of orientation (e.g., negative instead positive thermotaxis or chemotaxis).

Recently, the entity of wild and domestic *Rhodnius* strains has been revised by Soares et al. (1999). These studies indicate that colonies could be contaminated by different *Rhodnius* strains. Nevertheless, the same conjectures about the relationship between chemical communication and habitat can be applied to ancient non-domestic *Rhodnius*. Although some of them moved towards human housings and originated the present arrange of species and strains of the genus, the assembling mechanisms could have been conserved if it evolved earlier than the process of domiciliation.

Cruz-López et al. (1993) reported the existence of an interspecific assembling pheromone in the faeces of larvae and adults of *T. mazzottii, T. longipennis, T. pallidipennis, T. barberi* and *R. prolixus*. They showed that the faeces of the five species did not exhibit differences in attractiveness for the insects. These authors also noted that faeces belonging to *R. prolixus* induced the weakest assembling response.

These results add new information about the interspecific aggregation behaviour of *T. infestans* that we started to study with other two species: *T. sordida* and *T. guasayana* (Lorenzo Figueiras & Lazzari 1998b). The excrement of the two species induces aggregation in larvae of *T. infestans*. Again, the fact that the chemical factor present in the faeces acts in an interspecific fashion is relevant in two senses. On the one hand, because the individuals of *T. infestans* could find and exploit refuges used by conspecifics as well as by members of other species inhabiting the same area (e.g. *T. sordida* and *T. guasayana*, but not *R. prolixus*). On the other hand, because along their evolution triatomines either did not need or have not been able to develop discrete communicating signals of species-specific action. This fact could represent an advantage in the use of assembling cues as baits in capture traps or artificial refuges, since they would be effective for more than one triatomine species, facilitating their use.
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