Leishmanial Infection: Analysis of its First Steps. A Review
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The first steps in leishmaniasis are critical in determining the evolution of the disease. Major advances have recently been done in understanding this crucial moment. Fundamental research in parasite-vector interaction, parasite biology, insect saliva, and vertebrate host response have shed new light and uncovered a most fascinating and complex moment in leishmaniasis. We review here some of these aspects and we try to connect them in a logical framework.
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Leishmaniasis is a serious and sometimes fatal disease causing much suffering in human beings. However, from the strict biological point of view, man can be seen as just one of the actors of the drama. The others are the parasite, the insect, and the double: the mouse.

In the initial scenario of human leishmaniasis there are then three central players: the parasite, the insect, and the human being. The biological conversation among these players evolves dynamically. The initial steps are fundamental in determining the fate of drama or disease. In most of these crucial moments, due to ethical imperatives, the mouse double comes in scene. Thus, the initial steps of Leishmania infection in humans are really largely unknown. We try to infer from the subtleties of the conversation what will happen in the next act.

We must, however, be sure that chance and actors’ hidden strategies could radically change our deterministic thought. Finally, the double could not act exactly as the man.

THE PARASITE AND THE INSECT

The genus Leishmania, a group of protozoan flagellates of the family Trypanosomatidae, order Kinetoplastida, has two morphological forms in its lifecycle – the amastigotes and the promastigotes. The amastigotes live inside lisosomal vacuoles present in phagocytic cells of the vertebrate host, namely monocytes and macrophages, which are ingested by the female phlebotomine sand fly when it takes an infecting bloodmeal (Lainson et al. 1987, Chang et al. 1990, Alexander et al. 1999). Once in the insect midgut the parasite transforms into the promastigote, the extracellular form, which may be found free or attached to the intestinal cuticle or microvilli (Walters et al. 1989a, b, Killick-Kendrick 1990a).

Diptera of the subfamily Phlebotominae are the only vectors of the various Leishmania species, with the genus Phlebotomus hosting the Old World species and Lutzomyia the species of the New World (Shaw & Lainson 1987, Killick-Kendrick 1990b). In these blood-sucking insects the parasite develops a complex series of morphological (Adler & Theodor 1931, Walters et al. 1989a, b, Killick-Kendrick 1990a) and functional (Sacks 1989) modifications. Shortly, the parasite differentiates from a nondividing metacyclic promastigote stage that is unable to attach to the gut wall, to a nondividing metacyclic promastigote stage that is unable to attach to the gut midgut and migrates to the mouth parts (Adler & Theodor 1931, Killick-Kendrick 1987, Walters et al. 1989a, b, Killick-Kendrick 1990a, Pimenta et al. 1992, Mahoney et al. 1999). Sacks and Perkins (1985) reported, for example, that clones of L. (L.) amazonensis in Lu. longipalpis were not able to infect BALB/c mice when inoculation was carried out 3 days after the insect’s infection, but the inoculum became progressively infective from the 4th until the 7th day of development in the sand fly midgut. Coincidentally, the maximum infective capacity occurs at the time of a new bloodmeal. When the infected phlebotomine probes a new host, it regurgitates about 1 to 1000 metacyclics, closing the life cycle (Adler & Theodor 1935, Warburg & Schlein 1986). It seems clear that this coordination of events takes the parasite to an optimum opportunity to infect the vertebrate host and perpetuate its cycle.

THE HOST RESPONSE

When the haematophagous insect bites a vertebrate host (Figs 1, 2) it introduces its mouthparts into the skin, lacerates blood vessels causing hemorrhages and then feeds in the haemorrhagic pool formed (Ribeiro 1987). In the generation of leishmaniasis primate blood lake not only the mechanical action of insect proboscis but also enzymatic action of insect saliva, hyaluronidase (Charlab et al. 1999) for example, could be acting. This is a complex interaction. It was described that the site of inoculation (dermal or subcutaneous) influences the development of the lesion (Poultier & Pandolph 1982, Nicolas et al. 2000). In this particular situation, the short length of the...
Phlebotomine proboscis determines that only the superficial vessels of the dermis, at the dermal-epidermal interface, can be reached. Without the vasodilator activity of its saliva, the sand fly would hardly achieve the dermal capillaries (Ribeiro et al. 1984). At this point, a battle takes place between the host resistance and the insect’s strategies to obtain blood. At the host’s side, the natural defense mechanisms will be activated due to the presence, at the site of inoculation, of agents like complement system, thrombin, kinins, platelets, natural antibodies, phagocytes, etc. By the vector’s side, the pharmacological agents of the saliva are maxadilan, adenosine, interleukin 2 (IL-2) binding factor, apyrase, prostaglandins, etc. (Ribeiro 1987, Lonardoni et al. 2000) production stimulators (Soares et al. 1998), that counterbalance this response. In general, the vectorial strategy of inhibiting host coagulation system, as host procoagulant and inflammatory response are closely linked (Bernard et al. 2001), reduces the host sensitization and inflammation, both of major importance for parasite transmission (Gillespie et al. 2000). It has been shown, for example, that the previous sensitization of mice with P. papatasii sonicated salivary glands is capable of eliminating the enhancing effects of saliva on L. (L.) major infection, notably the precocious epidermal generation of IL-4 and IL-5 (Belkaid et al. 1998). However, in an apparent case of conflict of interests, P. papatasii, due to increased blood flow in inflammatory area, benefits from induced host delayed type hypersensitivity response (Belkaid et al. 2000). Strong correlation has been also found between delayed type hypersensitivity response to Leishmania antigens and anti-salivary gland IgG1 and IgE levels from children living in endemic areas of visceral leishmaniasis (Barral et al. 2000, Gomes et al. 2002). Thus, previous non-infected phlebotomine bites may confer a degree of protection against infection (Kamhawi 2000). Effective vaccination approaches able to protecting the host against Leishmania infection, using maxadilan (Morris et al. 2001) or other protective proteins in the insect saliva (Valenzuela et al. 2001), have been reported in mice.

In a subsequent moment, the establishment of a T-helper (TH) 1 response which protects against intracellular pathogens, or a TH2 host response that is linked to allergy or protection against extracellular pathogens, will be essential for the control (resistance) or, on the other side, the more or less intense development of the infection (Reiner & Locksley 1995).

Basically, three hypothesis have been proposed for explaining the TH1/TH2 imbalance in experimental leishmaniasis (Reiner & Locksley 1995): (1) different peptides stimulate distinct groups of TH1 or TH2 clones, (2) a particular pattern of cytokines and cofactors, produced by innate immune system accessory cells, would be the reason for the divergence, (3) under stimulation, T cells of different mice strains would have an innate tendency for the development of one of the two poles of the response (clones of T cells derived from C57BL/6 mice for example, would take the TH1 direction while cells from BALB/c would go for the TH2 pole). Reinforcing the first hypothesis, a LACK (Leishmania homologue of mammalian receptors for activated C kinase 1) peptide was identified in L. major which, recognized by BALB/c VJ4Vαβ8 CD4+ cells, induces IL-4 secretion by these cells 12 to 14 h after infection, driving the immune response to the TH2 side (Launois et al. 1997, 1999). However, this response can be changed to the TH1 side with low antigen dose (Menon & Bretscher 1998), early presence of IL-12 or IFN-γ (Scott 1991, Scharton-Kersten & Scott 1995, Doherty & Coffman 1996) or IL-4 injection in the first 12 h of infection (Himmelrich et al. 2000, Biedermann et al. 2001). Notwithstanding, it is controversial whether the cytokines that induce TH1 or TH2 differentiation instruct the development of naïve T helper cells or select from previous committed TH1 or TH2 cells (Coffman & Reiner 1999). It was thought that a divergent production of IL-12 by dendritic cells of BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice could explain the TH1 and TH2 response in these mice (von Stebut et al. 1998). Afterwards it was found that dendritic cells of both lineages were able to produce IL-12 in...
response to *L. major* infection (von Stebut et al. 2000).

So far it has been given more emphasis in searching peptides that, recognized by the adaptive immune system, will direct the immune response to the TH1 or TH2 side. Recently, however, it has been shown that a recombinant leishmanial protein, a homologue of eukaryotic ribosomal elongation and initiation factor 4a (LeIF), induces the production of IL-12 and IL-18 by APC cells (Skeiky et al. 1998, Borges et al. 2001). LeIF also directs the adaptive immune response to the TH1 side in BALB/c mice (Skeiky et al. 1998). Moreover, contrary to previously held beliefs, due to IL-12 action, LeIF converts TH2 cell populations from *L. (L.) major* infected BALB/c mice to IFN-γ producers (Skeiky et al. 1998). These findings expand the classical group of the so called pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMP), mainly applicable to homopolymers that are integral components of bacterial and fungal cell walls (Borges et al. 2001). The classical PAMP group includes: LPS, peptidoglycan, lipoteichoic acid, mannans, bacterial DNA, double strand RNA, and glucans (Medzhitov & Janeway 2000). The PAMP are recognized in the host by pattern-recognition receptors (PRR). These receptors are chiefly present in APC cells as, for example, monocytes, macrophages, B cells, dendritic cells (Medzhitov & Janeway 2000) and neutrophils (Hayashi et al. 2003). Toll-like receptors allow immediate effector cell response upon PAMP recognition. *Leishmania*, with its characteristic down regulating effects on monocytes/macrophages (see below), seems to avoid or overcome this immediate response. Accordingly, *L. (L.) major* was shown to induce the transcription of IL-1α through an adapter protein, MyD88 (myeloid differentiation factor 88), known to interact with Toll-like receptors (Hawn et al. 2002). Hence, signaling pathways of Toll-like receptors are involved in the inflammatory response to *Leishmania* infection. On the other hand, *Leishmania* had inhibitory effects acting on RNA transcription and translation, as RNA levels in infected macrophages were lower than controls, and no protein was detected in supernatants (Hawn et al. 2002). *Leishmania* does not have a true cellular wall, but it has an analogous structure, its LPG coat, fully developed in metacyclic forms (Pimenta et al. 1991). LPG molecules from both procyclics and metacyclics were found to directly activate NK cells through Toll-like receptor-2 (Becker et al. 2003). Interestingly, *L. major* metacyclic LPG had a more intense stimulatory effect than procyclic LPG. Both IFN-γ and TNF-α were detected in culture supernatants of stimulated cells (Becker et al. 2003). Therefore, IFN-γ production by NK cells could be via IL-12 and IL-18, derived from PAMP stimulated APC cells (Borges et al. 2001), or directly by PAMP binding to its own PRR in NK cells (Becker et al. 2003). The recent report of NK1 and NK2 subsets reminiscent of TH1 and TH2 cells (Perrett et al. 1998), and the findings of Borges et al. (2001) and Becker et al. (2003), address an important role for NK cells at the initial phases of *Leishmania* infection – that of immunomodulatory cells.

It seems thus clear that the pattern of innate immunity at the initial phases of infection is of major importance for the definition of a TH1 or TH2 response (Scott 1991, Scharton-Kersten & Scott 1995, Doherty & Coffman 1996, Menon & Bretscher 1998). At this initial point, characterization of the infected antigen presenting cell is one of the fundamental aspects, since the interaction of this cell with the lymphocyte will be the basis for the development of the acquired immune response.

In the haemorrhagic pool formed at the site of insect bites (Fig. 2), parasite growth is mainly allowed by a phagocytic cell – the monocyte, although it is not the most abundant phagocyte. Neutrophils are short lived cells present in higher numbers, but likely to play a major role in parasite elimination instead of its hosting (Chang 1981). However, neutrophils may play other roles, such as secreting cytokines like IL-12 and TGF-β (Tacchini-Cottier et al. 2000) and may also present antigens via class II MHC in the presence of GM-CSF (Gosselin et al. 1993). It is possible that apoptotic infected neutrophils may yet constitute an important initial source of *Leishmania* antigen for dendritic cells (de Almeida 2002). Macrophages are not present at the hemorrhagic pool and are scarce in the normal, non-inflamed surrounding skin (Urmacher 1997). Mature epidermal dendritic cells may not be able to ingest promastigotes (von Stebut et al. 1998, 2000), or do it in a limited fashion (Konecny et al. 1999, Marovich et al. 2000), even when parasites are readily accessible, as in case of excessive inocula (Lira et al. 2000). However, these cells phagocytose and harbour amastigotes (von Stebut et al. 1998). These findings may be explained by a sequential model of infection with initial parasitism of monocytes/macrophages and then of dendritic cells.

![Fig. 2: illustration of phlebotomine feeding and promastigote regurgitation in the dermal blood lake. The immunoadherence of erythrocytes to promastigotes is shown with initial transference, at left of the insect proboscis, of a promastigote to a monocyte and, at the right to a neutrophil. At the dermo-epidermal interface, two Langerhans cells are bordering the blood lake at right of the insect proboscis. The drawing is not exactly in scale. The cellular elements are not in proportion, for example, neutrophils are ten times more abundant than monocytes in human blood.](image_url)
Peripheral tissue immature dendritic cells could be from epidermal origin (Langerhans cells), monocyte derived or from other blood precursors (Mellman et al. 1998). These cells are more endocytic than the mature dendritic cells (Mellman et al. 1998). Consequently, these cells could uptake apoptotic infected neutrophils, leishmania debris, including genetic material, and in low numbers (2-3), the parasite itself (Blank et al. 1993, Henri et al. 2002). Most importantly, immature dendritic cells are exposed, at peripheral sites, to a maturation stimulus that instruct them to skin exodus (Mazzoni et al. 2001). At lymph node sites, these cells will become highly competent APC cells. *Leishmania* promastigotes, dead or alive, were shown to inhibit dendritic cell motility (Jebbari et al. 2002).

Additionally, it has been found that chemokine receptor 2 (CCR2) deficiency is associated with impaired Langerhans cell migration to the lymph node and deficiency, in the lymph node, of TH1 priming CD8*+* dendritic cells (Sato et al. 2000). More than that, CCR2 gene knockout in *L. major* resistant mice led to *L. major* susceptibility (Sato et al. 2000). Could *Leishmania*, changing host cell patterns of chemokine synthesis or chemokine receptors, intercept the danger message in the way to the sentinel lymph nodes? But, even if the migration occurs, the previous peripheral micro-environment appears to determine, at the lymph node, the future TH1/TH2 driving capacity of the mature dendritic cells (Mazzoni et al. 2001). It has been shown, for example, that histamine alters the polarizing capacity of immature human monocyte derived dendritic cells, but not its priming capacity, mainly due to the downregulation of its IL-12 and IL-18 production (Mazzoni et al. 2001). Prostaglandin E2, that can be elicited in the host by components of insect saliva (Soares et al. 1998), was also described to induce IL-12 deficient TH2 priming dendritic cells (Kalinski et al. 1997). Besides these known host cell elements and peripheral micro-environment substances, other apparently secondary actors must be considered. Mast cells, basophils and eosinophils could be present at the initial phase of leishmania infection, in the tissue or in the blood lake. Mast cells and basophils are both able to produce histamine and cytokines, like IL-4, IL-10 and IL-13 (Falcone et al. 2000, Mazzoni et al. 2001), which could act on immature dendritic cells. Eosinophils have also been described as cytokine producing APC cells (Weller & Lim 1997, Shi et al. 2000). Platelets, abundantly present in the blood lake, could be another player. Dominguez and Torano (2001) showed in vitro adherence between platelets and promastigotes in mammals other than primates. From these data, it is unlikely that platelets could have a direct action on promastigotes in the human infection, and its role in non-primate mammals is unknown. However, platelets were described to interact directly with monocytes, inducing monocyte secretion of monocyte chemotactic protein-1 and IL-8 (Weyrich et al. 1996). Thrombin stimulated platelets cause superoxide anion generation by neutrophils and monocytes (Nagata et al. 1993). Platelets and mast cells have also been suggested to be a source of CD40-Ligand involved in dendritic cell maturation (Lane & Brocker 1999). Possible sources of platelet activation at the initial phases of leishmania infection would be collagen exposition by insect byte, thrombin generation due to endothelial lesion, or endothelial/macrophage platelet activating factor (Lonardoni et al. 2000). In vivo, the potentially powerful platelet action is probably prevented by insect saliva agents (see above). Nevertheless, it remains a plausible hypothesis that platelets could have a role as a host protecting agent in leishmaniasis.

Leishmaniasis occurs chiefly in tropical and subtropical areas of the globe, where there is intense sun skin exposition. Ultraviolet (UV) irradiation has intense effects on skin immunology including: reduction in the density and antigen presenting ability of Langerhans cells in the epidermis (el-Ghorr & Norval 1997), increased Keratinocyte secretion of IL-10 and prostaglandin E2, with increased serum levels of IL-4 (Shreedhar et al. 1998), induction of suppressive IL-12p40 homodimers by dendritic cells and macrophages (Schmitt & Ullrich 2000). Thus, UV irradiation seems to induce a resultant immunosuppressive effect with decreased Th1 cell activation and enhanced Th2 cell activation (Shreedhar et al. 1998). This kind of microenvironment would be an ideal one for *Leishmania* infection. Unexpectedly, it was found that low dose UV irradiation, increasing serum and skin levels of IFN-γ and TNF-α, conferred protection in murine cutaneous leishmaniasis (Giannini 1986, Khaskhely et al. 2001, 2002). Whichever the way, we have here an immunomodulatory agent that could be of broad epidemiological importance.

**PARASITE’S GENERAL STRATEGY AT THE INITIAL PHASES OF LEISHMANIA INFECTION**

It is a maxim in microbiology that the higher the infective inoculum the more the chance of the agent to establish the infection. Paradoxically the natural infection by *Leishmania* spp. does not use this law — the phlebotomine regurgitates circa 100 (in a range of 1-1000) metacyclics (Warburg & Schlein 1986). In spite of that, several factors allow the parasite to infect host cells. First, metacyclics are more resistant to complement lysis than log phase promastigotes (Franke et al. 1985, Sacks & Perkins 1985, Sacks 1989, Dominguez et al. 2002). Additionally, it has been suggested that, metacyclics interaction with serum complement could be different from procyclic promastigotes (Puentes et al. 1988). Procyclics could activate the alternative pathway whereas metacyclics activate the classical pathway, even in the absence of immune serum (Puentes et al. 1988). More recently, however, other authors have confirmed previous data (Mosser et al. 1986) that both complement pathways are activated (Dominguez et al. 2002). Surprisingly, the C3 molecule binds in its active, lytic form, C3b, on the surface metacyclic lipophosphoglycan (LPG). The metacyclic complement resistance is partly due to metacyclic LPG as it has an increase in subunit numbers and a modification in its sugar components which gives structurally a thicker appearance to the parasite surface and hampers the C5-9 complex lytic pore formation (Puentes et al. 1990, Pimenta et al. 1991, McConville et al. 1992). In addition, conversion of C3b to C3bi could be happening through gp63 (Brittingham et al. 1995, Dominguez & Torano 1999) which is much more expressed in metacyclic than procyclic surface (Kweider et al. 1989, Ramamoorthy et al. 1992). In
the absence of C3b mediated lysis the C3b coated metacyclic will be more easily phagocytosed. The complement resistance of metacyclics has been shown indeed not to be absolute but a time dependent process (Domínguez et al. 2002). IgM mediated immunoadherence mechanisms (Mosser et al. 1986, Domínguez et al. 2002), due to its very fast kinetics, permit the phagocytosis before the complement lyses all parasites (Domínguez & Torano 1999, Domínguez et al. 2002). Thus, even in low number, the parasite is able to establish the infection. Once inoculated, due to the initial conditions, it is predicted that the parasite density will be very low, 0.03-0.1 parasite per phagocyte (de Almeida 2002). This very low density, instead of being a disadvantage, could lead to ignorance, a previous unreported escape mechanism in leishmaniasis (de Almeida 2002, de Almeida et al. 2003). Ignorance is defined by the presence of functional T cells that are not reactive to a given antigen (Abbas et al. 2000). However when properly stimulated these cells could react and proliferate in response to this antigen. On the other hand, in anergy T cells become irreversibly unresponsive to the antigen. Ignorance may be due to several factors: low antigen concentration (Voehringer et al. 2000), inappropriate antigen presentation by non professional antigen presenting cells (APC), low number of reactive cells, non activation of the APC (Shevach 1999), and immunoprivileged sites (Kurts et al. 1999). Except for the last condition, all these factors can be present at the initial phases of Leishmania infection. It has also been recently suggested that most molecules involved in host invasion have been evolutionary selected for low immunogenicity by long exposure to the host immune system, or are down modulated after parasite invasion as seen with LPG and gp63 (Chang et al. 2003).

What would be the advantages and disadvantages of ignorance for Leishmania spp. infection? An obvious disadvantage could be that when infecting in low number the parasite could be destroyed by host innate immune system before establishing the infection. However, as previously commented, a series of ingenious mechanisms due to insect saliva and the parasite itself permit its relative success here. It is also a factor of success a ready access to a permissive host cell, the monocyte (Chang et al. 1986, 2003). On the other hand, once internalized the parasite could grow freely inside the permissive cell, eventually changing the host microenvironment in parasite favour. It has been demonstrated (Moore & Matlashewski 1994), for example, that infection of bone marrow-derived mouse macrophages (BMMs) by L. (L.) donovani promastigotes or treatment of BMMs with LPG, inhibits macrophage apoptosis. Another possible advantage would be the inability to generate immunity by aborted attempts of infection. On the other hand, once immunity is established probably it will hamper reinfection.

What would be the biological constraints that may lead the parasite to infect in such low numbers? There are some evidences that excessive parasite growth could be dangerous for the phlebotomine (Killick-Kendrick 1987). Besides that, excessive parasite growth would require abundant nutrients, but its cycle in the insect gut lasts days after the blood meal ingestion (Killick-Kendrick 1987). The sugars ingested by the insect, meanwhile, could sustain differentiation but not parasite growth, as in vitro experiments have shown (Schlein et al. 1987). Probably, there is also a strong volumetric restriction to parasite growth in anterior parts of the sand fly gut (Domínguez et al. 2002). Finally, the insect proboscis, where metacyclics are found prior to infection, is a starving place in the insect gut, and usually it hosts no more than 10 metacyclics (Killick-Kendrick 1987).

Due to metacyclic time dependent serum complement resistance, the parasite needs desperately to bind to its host cell. This critical time in Leishmania’s life has been estimated to be less than 2.5 min (Domínguez et al. 2002). From the Leishmania point of view, if we could have it, the complement aggression appears to be excessively draconian. However, it could be viewed as a kind of rite of passage. The complement aggression provides Leishmania with the key molecules (C3b, C3bi) for entrance, through the CR1, CR3 doors (Rosenthal et al. 1996) in the monocyte/macrophage sanctuary. The binding of the parasite binding to CR3 (CD11b) could induce suppression of the synthesis of IL-12, a main TH1 driving cytokine (Reiner et al. 1994, Marth & Kelsall 1997, Sutterwala et al. 1997). Additionally, complement coated parasites may have increased survival inside macrophage (Mosser & Edelson 1987, Mosser & Brittingham 1997). Within the macrophage the parasite has other strategies for evading the host immunological system (Reiner 1994, Reiner et al. 1994, Buates & Matlashewski 2001). One of these strategies is the down modulation or not induction of molecules involved directly or indirectly in antigen presentation. For example L. (L.) donovani infection has been shown down to modulate the expression of MHC I and II molecules in human monocytes (Reiner et al. 1987). We have also shown that L. (L.) chagasi infected human monocytes have a diminished HLA-DR expression. A similar decrease is observed in IFN-γ stimulated HLA-DR and HLA-ABC expression in infected human macrophages (de Almeida et al. 2003).

Studies in mice are controversial, concerning the ability of Leishmania infection to induce changes in the expression pattern of costimulatory molecules of monocyte/macrophage. No changes on costimulatory molecules expression were found on macrophages from the resistant C57BL/6 mice upon infection with L. (L.) donovani (Saha et al. 1995) or with L. (L.) major (von Stebut et al. 1998). L. (L.) donovani infection of macrophages from susceptible BALB/c mice marginally increases CD54 (Saha et al. 1995) but fails to trigger CD80 (Kaye et al. 1994). L. (L.) major was also not able to change the low level of CD80 expression and the basal level of CD86 expression in human macrophages (Brodskyn et al. 2001). The pattern of expression of costimulatory molecules has been implicated in driving the immune system to a TH1 or a TH2 response leading to secretion of cytokines that could activate the macrophage and arrest the infection or burst it (Kaye 1995, Hunter & Reiner 2000). A direct relationship between costimulatory molecules, particularly CD80 and CD86, and a TH1 or TH2 response in established leishmaniasis models is not clear (Murphy et al. 1997, Hunter & Reiner 2000). Blockage of CD86...
reduced parasitism in BALB/c mice (with a predominant TH2 response), implicating CD86 in production of TH2 cytokines and disease induction (Brown et al. 1996, Tsuuyuki et al. 1997). In contrast blocking CD86 in cultures of L. (L.) major-infected human macrophages plus peripheral blood lymphocytes lead to a significant inhibition of IFN-γ production (Brodsky et al. 2001), a classical TH1 cytokine. An apparent contrary result was also obtained in L. (L.) donovani model of visceral leishmaniasis where CD86 blocking increased IFN-γ production and reduced parasite burden (Murphy et al. 1997). A possible explanation for these results is that a more complex picture could be involved in driving lymphocytes to TH1 or TH2 response (Kim et al. 1999). Whereas CD80 or CD86 expression leads to high IL-4 and IL-10 production by naive CD4 T cells, co-expression of CD54 plus CD80 or CD 86 resulted in decreased IL-4 and IL-10 production (Luksch et al. 1999). Additionally, co-stimulation by CD80 and CD54, but not by CD80 or CD54 alone, leads to rapid TNF-α cytotoxicity, tumor rejection and generation of memory T cells (Nishio & Podack 1996). Moreover, both B7 and CD54 may co-regulate activation-driven maturation of T cells (Daml et al. 1992). We have found (de Almeida et al. 2003) that L. (L.) chagasi infection does not induce CD54 expression in human monocytes or macrophages, and a negative correlation was observed between CD54 and CD86 expression. It is possible that avoidance of simultaneous expression of these two molecules and consequently impaired costimulatory activity is an escape mechanism exploited by L. (L.) chagasi. Whichever explanation is given, however, it has to be viewed with criticism mainly due to increasing number of costimulatory molecules that have been reported (Sharpe & Freeman 2002) and the consequently ignored interactions or combinations of effects among these molecules.

Recently it has been shown (Buates & Matlashewski 2001) that L. (L.) donovani infection leads to down modulation of approximately 40% of a large number of tested genes in mice macrophages, including genes of NFKB family, which are involved in inflammation and immunity. Leishmania infection does not induce TNF-α or IL-12 production in human monocytes (Reiner et al. 1990, Ghalib et al. 1995, Sartori et al. 1997) or macrophages but inhibits IL-12 production by LPS-stimulated monocytes. In addition, we have shown (de Almeida et al. 2003) that some striking inhibitory effects, as for CD54 expression or IL-12, were only observed if the infected cells were challenged with an inflammatory stimulus, LPS. Indeed, some reports (von Stebut et al. 1998, Marovich et al. 2000) had not identified changes in the pattern of costimulatory molecules, MHC class II and basal level of cytokine production in infected macrophages. It is surprising that the parasite induces few changes or no changes at all in the resting host cell. These reinforces the possibility of ignorance at most initial steps of Leishmania spp. infection. Ignorance would be broken by improper operation of down regulatory mechanisms, or when the parasite or its antigens reach highly competent dendritic cells (Gorak et al. 1998, von Stebut et al. 1998, Marovich et al. 2000), due to parasite multiplication in the initial permissive host cells.

MAN AND MICE

Although the murine model is the most frequently employed for the study of Leishmania infections, it presents a series of important differences in relation to the human disease that limit the possibility of extrapolations. Nevertheless, the application of this model is still essential for the study of human leishmaniasis, insofar as ethic imperatives hamper the analysis of many aspects of the human disease, making in vitro and in vivo studies necessary. In mice, for example, leishmaniasis does not reproduce the mucosal and visceral forms observed in humans. The clear involvement of nitric oxide (NO) in intracellular parasite elimination and the clear-cut predominance of TH1 and TH2 responses related to resistance or susceptibility observed in mice are not seen in humans (Stenger et al. 1996). In spite of the mentioned limitations of the mice model, recent observations using inocula similar to those of the natural infection achieved success in generating asymptomatic, and subclinical states with a pattern of T helper response similar to that found in man (Belkaid et al. 2001, Uzonna et al. 2001). Surprisingly, higher inocula (−10⁶) of parasites induce a skewed TH1 response in resistant mice and a TH2 response in susceptible mice, while inocula similar to the natural infection (−10²) induce a mixed TH1/TH2 response in both resistant and susceptible mice (Uzonna & Bretscher 2001, Uzonna et al. 2001). There is also a strong evidence of parasite clearance by a skewed TH1 response in susceptibility mice (Uzonna et al. 2001). Also important are the observations that immunity can be achieved and lost in asymptomatic mice, being maintained in a subclinical status by persistent low parasite numbers (Uzonna et al. 2001). Similar results have been obtained for resistant mice, in which a TH2 response is necessary to maintain the subclinical state (Belkaid et al. 2001). Unexpectedly, the possibility of parasite retransmission to its vector is higher with a low inoculum, similar to a natural one, inducing subclinical states. Thus the evolutionary mechanisms apparently select for the optimal condition that gives the best chances of infection and retransmission by a host, unknown a priori, if resistant or susceptible, maintaining the parasite cycle.

GENERAL COMMENTS

The main driving force leading to increasing comprehension of the initial steps in leishmaniasis is the man possession of strategies that avoid parasite infection. The strategy that best fit this goal appears to be a vaccine. In this respect, the results obtained focusing in initial steps has led to new promising results obtained using insect salivary peptides and low dose inocula in mice. The eliciting of antibodies or other strategies that retard parasite phagocytose could be also a logical step, as metacyclic serum resistance is a time dependent process. More immediate and practical results have emerged in the potential use of salivary peptides in epidemiological studies. Besides that, we could be taught by insect and parasite new strategies for avoiding inflammation and coagulation.
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