Rabies Neutralizing Antibody Detection by Indirect Immunoperoxidase Serum Neutralization Assay Performed on Chicken Embryo Related Cell Line
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The aim of this study was to evaluate the indirect immunoperoxidase virus neutralization (IPVN) and mouse neutralization test (MNT) to detect antibodies against rabies virus from vaccinated dogs and cattle. The IPVN was set up for the ability to measure 0.5 International Units/ml (IU) of antibody required by the World Health Organization and the Office International des Epizooties as the minimum response for proof of rabies immunization. IPVN was developed and standardized in chicken embryo related (CER) cell line when 141 dog and 110 cattle sera were applied by serial five-fold dilutions (1:5, 1:25, 1:125) as well as the positive and negative reference controls, all added in four adjacent wells, of 96-well microplates. A 50 µl amount of CVS 32 strain dilution containing 50-200 TCID50/ml was mixed to each serum dilution, and after 90 min 50 µl of 3 x 10^5 cells/ml cell suspension added to each well. After five days of incubation, the monolayers were fixed and the IPVN test performed. The correlation coefficient between the MNT and IPVN performed in CER cells was r = 0.9949 for dog sera (n = 100) and r = 0.9307 for cattle sera (n = 99), as well as good specificity (94.7%), sensitivity (87.5%), and agreement (96.6%) were also obtained. IPVN technique can adequately identify vaccinated and unvaccinated animals, even from low-responding vaccinated animals, with the advantage of low cost and faster then MNT standard test.
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Rabies is a fatal zoonotic viral infection of central nervous system that is transmitted by bite of a rabid animal and is capable of infecting all mammal species. In most modern laboratories it is usually diagnosed by the detection of viral antigen in the brain by using a direct fluorescent antibody test (King 1966, Habel 1996, Bingham & Merwe 2002). Considerable progress has been achieved in rabies prophylaxis in Brazil, mainly by the use of screening a large number of clinical samples by fluorescent antibody test and also by increase the vaccination programs, that results in a marked decrease in cases of urban and rural rabies (Rodrigues da Silva et al. 2000, Bordignon et al. 2002). Actually, tissue culture techniques have long been applied in studies related to rabies virus, and there are now a number of continuous cell lines used in research on pathogenesis, vaccine production, diagnosis of rabies, and measurement the respective immune response (Habel 1996, Smith et al. 1977, Bussereau et al. 1982, Bordignon et al. 2001). It has listed over 41 cell types from different animal origins susceptible to rabies infection. Recently, has been demonstrated a new cell type, C6 rat glioma cells (clone CCL-107) susceptible to wild strains isolation (Bordignon et al. 2001). In fact, the BHK21 (clone 13) and chicken embryo related (CER) cell line cells were the first cell lines reported to be suitable for routine diagnosis, however only BHK21 has been reported for antibodies detection (Smith et al. 1977, Bussereau et al. 1982). Since then, the CER cells has been extensively studied and cited to be the only cell line capable to show cytopathic effect (CPE) and plaque formation caused by rabies infection, otherwise there is no report on CER cells used for neutralizing antibodies tests (Smith et al. 1977, Bussereau et al. 1982).

Afterwards, the assessment of the efficacy of rabies vaccination campaigns requires blood sampling of vaccinated animals to measure the respective immune response (Strady et al. 2000). The most commonly used techniques for this purpose are the serum neutralizing methods performed on mice or cell culture (King 1966, Atanasiu 1967, Habel 1996). The World Health Organization (WHO) recommended the mouse neutralization test (MNT) and the rapid fluorescent focus inhibition test (RFFIT) performed on BHK21 cells as standard techniques (Habel 1996).

In this paper, the potential utilization of CER cell line for rabies neutralizing antibody detection was assessed...
with sera from both vaccinated cattle (n = 110) and dogs (n = 141). Moreover, the standardization of indirect immunoperoxidase virus neutralization (IPVN) technique using the CER cell line demonstrated a strength correlation between IPVN and MNT, and has an advantage of not using the fluorescent technique and specific microscopy evaluation to compute the results.

The CER line, passage 45, was kindly obtained from Laboratory of Viral immunology, University of Campinas, Campinas, São Paulo, Brazil. CER cell line was cultured with Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium nutrient mixture F-12 (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, US), supplemented with 2 mM glutamine, 10% (v/v) foetal calf serum (Sigma Chemical Co., St Louis, MO, US) and 1x antibiotic/antimycotic solution (Invitrogen) at 37°C in a moist atmosphere containing 5% (v/v) CO₂. Prior to use in the virus propagation procedure, the cells were trypsinized with 0.25% (w/v) Trypsin-EDTA solution (Invitrogen) and dissolved at concentrations indicated below (Bussereau et al. 1982).

The Challenge Virus Standard (CVS₃²) strain of rabies virus was derived from reference stocks held by the Instituto Biológico, São Paulo, Brazil. Confluent monolayers of CER cells were cultured in 96-well tissue culture plates (Corning, New York, NY, US). Virus production was performed using 500 µl of stock CVS₃₂ suspension containing 10⁹.⁷ tissue culture infectious dose 50% (TCID₅₀/ml) were add to a confluent monolayer containing 3x10⁹ cells pre-filtrated through 0.25 µm. After 60 min at 37°C, 5 ml of medium with 2% foetal serum were added and the cultures incubated for 5 days after infection at 37°C in 5% CO₂. The respective CER infection was done twice. The supernatant was removed to virus detection by mouse inoculation test (MIT) and respective monolayers were reacted with anti-CVS₃₂ strain mouse serum, followed by peroxidase anti-mouse immunoglobulin G goat serum (Sigma). The reaction was visualized with substrate 0.5 mg of o-phenylenediamine dihydrochloride per ml and 3% H₂O₂ (Sigma) and stopped with 5 N H₂SO₄. The VN titer to rabies virus was expressed as the reciprocal of highest serum dilution at which 50% or more of the wells showed a positive brown signal. In order to compare the efficiency of the IPVN described here, all the sera were submitted to a standard titration using the method described previously, the MNT described previously (Habel 1996). The respective titres were calculated using the Reed and Muench method (Reed & Muench 1938) and the standard reference serum was diluted to contain 5 IU/ml in each test. By comparison to the reference serum, results of test sera were reported as positive (test serum ≥ to titre of reference serum at 0.5 IU/ml) or negative (test serum < titre of the reference serum at 0.5 IU/ml).

The results were analyzed to determine relative sensitivity and specificity, predictive values and accuracy (Gamoh et al. 1996, Rooijakkers et al. 1996, Strady et al. 2000). Sensitivity was defined as the proportion of positive results obtained by standard technique that were correctly identified by the two serological methods applied in this study. Predictive values (positive and negative) were defined as the proportion of two tests, both positive and negative, which were corrected. Fisher’s exact test was used to compared the sensitivity and specificity of two tests. The IPVN values were linearly regressed on standard method values, and the correlation coefficient (Pearson’s r) was obtained. Kappa (k) was calculated to measure the strength of the agreement between the methods. The likelihood ratio at 95% confidence interval (CI) was used to express the probability that both IPVN and MNT results came from animals with opposed standard ones. For this purpose, the likelihood ratio for a positive test was defined as sensitivity/(1-specificity) and the likelihood ratio for negative test was defined as (1-sensitivity)/specificity. StatsDirect (CamCode, Ashwell, England) and EXCELL97 (Microsoft, Bellevue, Washington) were used for the calculations.

Issues of specificity, sensitivity, and reproducibility must be considered when serological tests are compared. The use of well-characterized biological agents, known reference standards and high quality reagents in daily procedures helps to prevent erroneous results; however, diagnostic tests that employ biological systems such as virus neutralization are inherently variable. In comparative tests of two methods for measuring rabies neutraliz-
ing antibody, we could find no mainly differences between the established method for ant-rabies antibodies (MNT) and the newer one (IPVN), as shown by the 96.6% of agreement obtained (data not showed). Test to test variability is unavoidable in a biological assay. Fortunately, with the high potency of veterinary vaccines (Rooijakkers et al. 1996, Cleaveland et al. 1999, Katayama et al. 1999, Cliquet et al. 2000) currently available worldwide, almost all vaccinated animals, dogs and cattle, should have neutralizing antibodies levels well above 0.5 IU minimum level required. However, in Brazil, the occurrence of rabies among animals supposedly protected by rabies immunization is not uncommon among cattle breeders (Rodrigues da Silva et al. 2000). The most reasonable answer, is the fact that rabies vaccination is not totally compulsory for these specie and the great variability in the respective level of vaccine production.

The most important finding from this comparative study is that he 0.5 IU minimum level is sufficient stringent, nevertheless false positive reading has been made (5.3%). In this regard, the IPVN was specific (94.7%) in 199 sera from dog and cattle immunized contained < 0.5 IU neutralizing activity according to results obtained before (Briggs et al. 1998). The data showed in our study have demonstrated for the first time the ability of IPVN performed in CER cells to titrated sera from two different species. The interpretation was easy, when the positive brown signal was detected strongly in negative sera (data not shown), and weak brown signal detected from positive sera from both species studied. The interpretation was recorded from the slides in a light normal microscopy, which can simplify the analysis when compared with fluorescence staining. The value of correlation coefficient reported here shows that there was a good relation between results obtained with MNT and IPVN for dogs and cattle, r = 0.9949 and 0.9307, respectively (Figure). These results were similar and higher to those reported before (Favoretto et al. 1993, Gamoh et al. 1996, Sugiyama et al. 1997, Briggs et al. 1998, Cleaveland et al. 1999, Katayama et al. 1999, Cliquet et al. 2000) when the ELISA and RFFIT methods were used for the same purpose.

Finally, the great advantages of IPVN described here over MNT conventional method, are safety issues of test performance, cost of reagents and equipment and time of reading test results, comparing to RFFIT and MNT, respectively.
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