After a single bout of resistance exercise, blood pressure (BP) decreases to levels lower than in the pre-exercise period, which is known as post-exercise hypotension (FORJAZ et al., 2004; FORJAZ et al., 1998; FORJAZ et al., 2000). This phenomenon occurs in normotensive and hypertensive subjects and although it has clinical importance for the latter (PESCATELLO et al., 2004), it has been extensively studied in the former (CASTINHEIRAS-NETO et al., 2010; MENÊSES et al., 2011; REZK et al., 2006) to understand its behavior in a population with low cardiovascular risk and without pathological influences.
Several studies have indicated that the magnitude of post-exercise hypotension is affected by the resistance exercise method. Current data suggest that post-exercise hypotension is greater after multiple sets of resistance exercise for the major muscle groups (Mediano et al., 2005; Polito; Farinatti, 2009). Despite this evidence, the impact of other resistance exercise variables on post-exercise cardiovascular responses remains unclear.

Inter-set rest interval is an important variable in resistance training because it affects both acute performance (Kraemer; Ratamess, 2005; Ratamess et al., 2007) and chronic adaptations promoted by training (American College of Sports Medicine, 2009; Pincivero et al., 1997). However, whether this variable influences post-exercise cardiovascular response is poorly known. To date, only two studies have analyzed the effect of the inter-set rest interval on cardiovascular response after resistance exercise. In both studies, the cardiovascular response after resistance exercise was independent of the rest interval adopted (De Salles et al., 2010; Veloso et al., 2010). However, neither employed rest intervals shorter than 1 minute, which is known to promote greater metabolite accumulation (Ratamess et al., 2007; Takarada; Ishii, 2002). Therefore, resistance exercise with a shorter rest interval might promote greater vasodilatation, leading to a greater post-exercise hypotension (Macdonald, 2002).

Low-intensity resistance exercise (approximately 50% of 1RM) and long duration of inter-set intervals (1 to 2 min) have been recommended for hypertensive patients (Williams et al., 2007). However, whether resistance exercise prescribed at this intensity and shorter rest intervals potentiate the post-exercise hypotension remains unknown. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to analyze the effect of rest interval length on cardiovascular response after resistance exercise of low-intensity. The hypotheses are that systolic and diastolic BP decrease after resistance exercise performed with 30 and 90 seconds of rest interval; however, greater responses occur after the session with a shorter inter-set rest interval.

Methods

Subjects

Twenty male recreational lifters aged between 18-30 years were recruited from the university and local communities. The participants were submitted to a cardiovascular screening based on the American College of Sports Medicine guidelines (American College of Sports Medicine, 2007). Participants who indicated the presence of diseases or limiting factors for physical activity practice, such as orthopedic or cardiovascular problems, were not included in this study. Anthropometric and BP measurements were also performed, and only individuals who had a systolic BP lower than 130 mmHg and a diastolic BP lower than 85 mmHg at rest were included. In addition, participants were included if they had been practicing resistance exercise for at least six months before the study. The participants’ characteristics are presented in Table 1.

Table 1. Baseline characteristics of the sample

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Mean ± standard error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>23.9 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>73.7 ± 3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>1.76 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>117 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic Blood Pressure (mmHg)</td>
<td>77 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-RM Bench press (kg)</td>
<td>83.2 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-RM Knee extension (kg)</td>
<td>77.7 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-RM Seated row (kg)</td>
<td>81.0 ± 2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-RM Knee curl (kg)</td>
<td>41.3 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-RM Frontal raise (kg)</td>
<td>24.8 ± 3.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1-RM - 1 repetition maximum test

All of the participants were informed about the procedures of the study and provided their written consent. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the University of Pernambuco.

Experimental design

Prior to the experimental sessions, each subject underwent one adaptation session to the resistance exercises. In this session, the participants performed 3 sets of 12 repetitions on...
bench press, knee extension, seated row, knee curl, and frontal raise exercises. All of the exercises were performed without a load.

Two days after the adaptation session, the participants performed a one repetition maximal (1-RM) test (CLARKE, 1973). The test began with a warm up with 12 repetitions using 50% of the estimated load for the first attempt. Three attempts were performed until the 1-RM load was identified. A 2-minute rest interval was adopted between the attempts and the exercises. The 1-RM tests were repeated for all exercises in a different session with a minimum interval of 48 hours. The purpose of this procedure was to guarantee the maximal accuracy of the 1-RM load, as suggested previously (SOARES et al., 2009). The highest load identified between both sessions was used to calculate the exercise intensity in the experimental sessions.

Two days after the 1-RM test, the participants started the experimental sessions: resistance exercise with a 30-second inter-set rest interval (I30) or resistance exercise with a 90-second inter-set rest interval (I90). The session order (I30 or I90) was defined by simple randomization. The interval between experimental sessions was 7 days, and during this period the participants were instructed not to perform any resistance exercise.

The sessions were performed in the afternoon starting at 2 pm. The participants were instructed to eat a light meal two hours before the experimental session, not to perform any physical exercises the day before, to avoid alcoholic drinks for 48 hours prior, and not to drink caffeinated beverages for 12 hours prior to the experimental sessions.

At the beginning of each experimental session, the participants remained seated for 20 minutes in the laboratory. During this period, the systolic BP, diastolic BP, heart rate, and rate-pressure product were measured four times using an ambulatory blood pressure monitor (Dinamapa, Cardios, Brazil). After these measurements, the participants went to the resistance exercise room to perform the experimental intervention (I30 or I90).

Both sessions were composed of the 5 aforementioned resistance exercises performed in three sets of 10 repetitions at 50% of the 1-RM. In the I30 session, the inter-set rest interval was 30 seconds, and in the I90 session, the inter-set rest interval was 90 seconds.

After the exercises, the participants returned to the laboratory, and the ambulatory BP monitor was attached again. Then, the participants were allowed to continue their daily activities. The monitor was programmed to perform measurements every 15 minutes for 24 hours after the experimental session. Before each experimental session, the ambulatory blood pressure monitor calibration was checked against a mercury column. An appropriate cuff size was used for each patient’s arm.

The ambulatory BP monitoring data were downloaded to a computer for data analysis. The systolic BP, diastolic BP, heart rate, and rate-pressure product data obtained were averaged per hour to obtain the hour-to-hour cardiovascular response. These variables were also averaged over three periods: 24 hours, awake, and asleep. The nocturnal BP and heart rate fall were calculated in absolute values (mean awake – mean asleep blood pressure). The morning surge was defined as the difference between the last 2 hours of sleep and the first two hours after awakening. Furthermore, an analysis was performed during the hours that the individuals remained awake (6 hours) after the experimental protocol.

**Statistical Analyses**

The sample size necessary to detect a difference of 6.0 mmHg in BP was calculated to be 16 participants in each condition, considering a standard deviation of 2.5 mmHg, a power of 80%, and an alpha error of 5%.

The normality and homogeneity of the data were confirmed by the Shapiro Wilk and Levene tests, respectively. The pre-intervention data in both experimental sessions were compared by paired Student’s t test. The systolic BP, diastolic BP, heart rate, and rate-pressure product were compared between sessions using two way ANOVA (rest interval x period) for repeated measures. A post-hoc Newman-Keuls test was employed to probe for significant main effects and interactions. The cardiovascular responses after the sessions during the next 24 hours, awake and asleep periods, nocturnal fall, and morning surge were compared by paired Student’s t test. A value of p≤0.05 was accepted as significant. The data are presented as the means and standard error.
Results
Eleven participants started with the I30 session, and 9 participants started with the I90 session. At pre-intervention, the systolic BP (117 ± 2 vs. 115 ± 1 mmHg; p=0.32), diastolic BP (66 ± 2 vs. 65 ± 1 mmHg; p=0.49), heart rate (69 ± 2 vs. 69 ± 2 bpm; p=0.22), and rate-pressure product (8081 ± 286 vs. 7976 ± 260; p=0.66) were similar between the I30 and I90 sessions, respectively.

The cardiovascular responses during the first 6 hours after the intervention are presented in figure 1. In comparison with the pre-intervention values, systolic BP did not change after either session (p>0.05), while diastolic BP increased similarly after both sessions from the third until the sixth hour of the recovery period (p<0.01). As post-exercise hypotension is usually observed in the first hour after the exercise, a complementary analysis was performed comparing blood pressure measured pre-exercise and values measured at 15, 30, and 45 min of recovery. Neither systolic nor diastolic blood pressure changed in comparison with pre-exercise levels in either of the sessions.

![Figure 1](image.png)

**Figure 1.** Systolic blood pressure (panel A), diastolic blood pressure (panel B), heart rate (panel C) and rate-pressure product (panel D) pre- and post-intervention for resistance exercise sessions performed with 30 seconds (filled line) and 90 seconds (dashed line) rest intervals *Significantly different from pre-values (p<0.05); †Significantly different from l90 (p<0.05).

In comparison with the pre-intervention values, heart rate increased after both sessions from the first until the sixth hour of the recovery period (p<0.01); however, the increases at the first and fourth hours after I30 were greater than after I90 (p<0.01). In comparison with the pre-intervention values, rate-pressure product increased after both sessions at the first, second, third, fifth, and sixth hours of the recovery period (p<0.01), however, the increases at the first hour after I30 were greater than after I90 (p<0.01).

The cardiovascular responses during the 24 hour period after the two sessions are presented in table 2. The 24-hour, awake, asleep, nocturnal fall, and morning surge values of systolic BP, diastolic BP, heart rate, and rate-pressure product were similar between the I30 and I90 sessions (p>0.05).
Table 2. Ambulatory responses after resistance exercise performed with 30 seconds of rest interval (I30) and 90 seconds of rest interval (I90).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>I30</th>
<th>I90</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Systolic blood pressure (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>113 ± 2</td>
<td>112 ± 1</td>
<td>0.360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake period</td>
<td>116 ± 2</td>
<td>117 ± 1</td>
<td>0.108</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asleep period</td>
<td>104 ±2</td>
<td>102 ± 1</td>
<td>0.335</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diastolic blood pressure (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>66 ± 1</td>
<td>66 ± 1</td>
<td>0.970</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake period</td>
<td>70 ± 1</td>
<td>70 ± 1</td>
<td>0.675</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asleep period</td>
<td>58 ± 1</td>
<td>57 ± 1</td>
<td>0.286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Heart rate (bpm)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>67 ± 2</td>
<td>68 ± 2</td>
<td>0.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake period</td>
<td>74 ± 2</td>
<td>74 ± 2</td>
<td>0.666</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asleep period</td>
<td>55 ± 2</td>
<td>55 ± 2</td>
<td>0.448</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rate pressure product (bpm.mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 hours</td>
<td>7571 ± 249</td>
<td>7616 ± 274</td>
<td>0.904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake period</td>
<td>8732 ± 304</td>
<td>7488 ± 298</td>
<td>0.426</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asleep period</td>
<td>5720 ± 247</td>
<td>5610 ± 224</td>
<td>0.772</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nocturnal blood pressure fall(mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>-12 ± 7</td>
<td>-12 ± 5</td>
<td>0.861</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>-16 ± 7</td>
<td>-17 ± 7</td>
<td>0.604</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Morning surge (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic blood pressure</td>
<td>6 ± 4</td>
<td>9 ± 5</td>
<td>0.455</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic blood pressure</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td>7 ± 4</td>
<td>0.525</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± standard error

Discussion

The main findings of this study were the following: a) after resistance exercise with 30 or 90 sec of rest interval between sets, systolic BP did not change while diastolic BP, heart rate, and rate pressure product increased in comparison with pre-exercise levels; b) at the first hour after resistance exercise, heart rate and rate pressure product were higher for the I30 session than the I90 session; c) systolic BP, diastolic BP, heart rate, and rate-pressure product values measured during 24-hour, awake, and asleep periods, as well as the nocturnal fall and morning surge after exercise were similar between the I30 and I90 sessions.

In the present study, systolic BP did not decrease in comparison with pre-exercise levels after the resistance exercise. However, decreases in systolic BP have been frequently described after resistance exercise in normotensive subjects (POLITO; FARINATTI, 2009; REZK et al., 2006; SIMAO et al., 2005). Several factors might explain this controversy. This might occur due to different recovery methods adopted in the studies. While the participants in previous studies remained seated in the laboratory during recovery, in the current study participants were immediately released to their daily activities, which might have blunted the decrease in blood pressure. Queiroz et al (2009) have showed that in normotensive subjects laboratory post-exercise hypotension is not sustained during daily activities. It is possible that daily walking activities increased sympathetic activity, mitigating the post-exercise reduction in blood pressure, which might be further investigated. It is worth highlighting, however, that the protocol used in the current study presents greater external validity since in practice the participants are released for their daily activities immediately after the end of the exercise session. Another explanation for the difference in results may be the fact that previous studies employed mainly multi-join exercises that activates large muscle mass, while the present study employed some mono-join exercises. It has been proposed that post-exercise hypotension is greater after resistance exercise involving greater muscle groups (MEDIANO et al., 2005; POLITO; FARINATTI, 2009). Finally, different from previous studies, in the present study a control session without exercise was not performed. Some previous studies (PESCATELLO et al., 2003; WALLACE et al., 1999) have measured
ambulatory BP before and after a control session and have observed that ambulatory systolic BP increases in comparison with values measured in the laboratory before the control intervention. Thus, even not decreasing BP in comparison with pre-exercise, the exercise session might have attenuated the increase in systolic BP that would have occurred in a control situation.

Previous studies observed similar cardiovascular responses after resistance exercise with rest intervals between 1 and 3 minutes (DE SALLES et al., 2010; VELOSO et al., 2010). Because some studies have suggested that metabolite-induced vasodilation might affect the post-exercise hypotension mediated by nitric oxide (LIZARDO et al., 2008), in the current study we expected that a very short rest interval (30 sec) could potentiate post-exercise hypotension. However, the results indicated that systolic BP responses were similar between the rest intervals. Although the mechanism underlying this effect was not analyzed in this study, it is possible that the intensity employed in the current study (50% of the 1-RM) was not sufficient to promote metabolite accumulation, which limited the post-exercise hypotension. In fact, low intensity resistance exercises have been associated with low metabolic accumulation (LAGALLY et al., 2002) due to a smaller decrease in muscle blood flow, fiber recruitment, and shear stress, which are known to be important factors for metabolite accumulation.

In the current study, diastolic BP increased similarly between groups until 3 hours after the sessions, which differ from previous studies (POLITO; FARINATTI, 2009; REZK et al., 2006; VELOSO et al., 2010) that observed decreases after the experimental protocols with 45 and 90 seconds of rest interval. However, these studies evaluated BP in the laboratory and for a short time after exercise, while the present study observed BP during ambulatory conditions. In fact, in the present study, pre-exercise BP was measured after a 20-min rest, while post-exercise BP was measured while the subjects were doing daily activities that may imply in physical and emotional efforts, thus, inducing BP elevation. In addition, pre-exercise BP levels were taken around 2pm, while post-exercise BP was measured around 4-6pm. It is known that BP increases during the afternoon, achieving a peak around 6pm (HERMIDA et al., 2007; PORTALUPPI; SMOLENSKY, 2007). Therefore, the increase in diastolic BP may reflect the BP circadian variation at this time of the day.

Heart rate increased until 6 hours after both resistance exercise sessions. These results are consistent with previous studies (DEVAN et al., 2005; HEFFERNAN et al., 2006; REZK et al., 2006) and they have been attributed to the increase in sympathetic activity and decrease in parasympathetic activity in the heart after exercise (REZK et al., 2006). Additionally, heart rate was higher in the 30 group than in the 90 group during the first hour of recovery. Although heart rate was monitored during the resistance exercise, these results probably reflect the higher heart rate values during exercise when shorter rest intervals were adopted. This hypothesis is supported by Ratames’ study (RATAMESS et al., 2007), which showed higher heart rate values with shorter rest intervals between sets. However, despite the increase in heart rate at the first hour mark, the cardiovascular overload was similar between sessions during the awake period, indicating that this tachycardia is transient and does not persist for a long period.

We analyzed the effects of the inter-set rest interval length during resistance exercise on several variables obtained from ambulatory BP monitoring, and no differences were found between I30 and I90. A previous study (RATAMESS et al., 2007) observed that increases in the metabolic response last for only 30 minutes after resistance exercise with 30 seconds of rest interval. Furthermore, another study found that resistance exercise with 1 or 3 minutes of rest interval significantly decreased diastolic BP only in the first 30 and 15 min, respectively (VELOSO et al., 2010). These results suggest that the cardiovascular responses to resistance exercise in healthy subjects do not persist until the subject is asleep.

The practical application of this study was that after a resistance exercise session with 50% of the 1-RM, heart rate responses are higher with a 30 sec interval in comparison with a 90 sec
interval in the first hours of recovery. However, in the 24 hours after resistance exercise, cardiovascular responses are similar between the I30 and I90 groups. These results suggest that rest interval length does not affect the cardiovascular response after a low-intensity resistance exercise. Therefore, healthy individuals who do not have much time to perform the exercise session may adopt a shorter rest interval between sets, which will not increase post-exercise cardiovascular overload. Future studies should address whether a similar response occurs in hypertensive subjects.

This study has limitations. The participants did not undergo a control session, and, therefore, it was not possible to identify the extent of the cardiovascular responses after exercise. However, as the purpose of this study was to compare two resistance exercise protocols, the design adopted in this study was adequate to meet this goal. In the current study, the participants were young and healthy, limiting the generalization of the results for hypertensive participants. Finally, the resistance exercises were performed at 50% of the 1-RM, and the results may not be extrapolated for higher intensities.

In conclusion, a shorter rest interval between sets increases heart rate for 1 hour after exercise. However, this response is transient as the 24-hour cardiovascular responses were similar after resistance exercise performed with rest intervals of 30 and 90 sec.
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