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Effects of aerobic and resistance exercise intensities on 24-hours blood pressure in normotensive women
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Abstract—The present study aimed to determine the effect of the intensity of aerobic and resistance exercise on the 24h BP response in normotensive women. Twenty-four women (aged 33 ± 9 years) performed five experimental sessions in randomized order: CON - no exercise; AE50 - 50% of heart rate reserve (HRR); AE70 - 70% of HRR; RE40 - 40% of 1repetition maximum (RM) and RE70 - 70% of 1RM. Systolic and diastolic BP and HR measurements were measured during 24h post-exercise at the participant’s workplace. The AE50, AE70 and RE40 sessions led to the greatest and longest-lasting effects on the SBP, which persisted for up to 24h. For the DBP, the experimental sessions led to similar results; post exercise hypotension was observed until 7h post-exercise, with the exception of the AE70 session, which produced effects that persisted for 24h. Results shows that both aerobic and resistance exercise performed during the morning can decrease the mean BP above the baseline lasting 24 hours during a normal daily work. The aerobic exercise performed around 50% of HRR can better regulate both systolic and diastolic BP in this population.
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Resumo—“Efeitos das intensidades de exercícios aeróbios e resistidos nas respostas de pressão arterial durante 24 horas em mulheres normotensas.” O objetivo do presente estudo foi determinar os efeitos das intensidades de exercícios aeróbio e resistido nas respostas da pressão arterial de 24h em mulheres normotensas. Vinte e quatro mulheres (33 ± 9 anos) participaram de cinco sessões experimentais em ordem randomizada: CON - sem exercício; AE50 - 50% da frequência cardíaca de reserva (FCR); AE70 - 70% da FCR; RE40 - 40% de 1 repetição máxima (RM) e RE70 - 70% da 1RM. As medidas das pressões sistólica (PAS) e diastólica (PAD) e a FC foram realizadas durante 24h após o exercício no local de trabalho. As sessões AE50, AE70 e RE40 demonstraram maiores e mais duradores efeitos na PAS, e permaneceram até às 24h. Já na PAD houve respostas similares, com hipotensão até 7 horas após o exercício. Com exceção da sessão AE70, onde perduu por 24h. Os resultados demonstraram que ambos os exercícios aeróbicos e resistidos realizados pela manhã pode reduzir a PA abaixo da linha base e durar por 24h durante um dia normal de trabalho. O exercício aeróbio realizado a 50% da FCR pode proporcionar melhores resultados na regulação de ambas PAS e PAD nesta população.

Palavras-chaves: hipotensão pós exercício, exercício resistido, exercício aeróbio, mulheres

Resumen—“Los efectos de las intensidades del ejercicio aeróbico y resistido en las respuestas de la presión arterial de 24 horas en mujeres normo tensas.” El objetivo del presente estudio fue determinar los efectos de las intensidades del ejercicio aeróbico y resistido en las respuestas de la presión arterial de 24 horas en mujeres normo tensas. Veinticuatro mujeres (33 ± 9 años) participaron de cinco sesiones experimentales en orden aleatoria: CON - sin ejercicio; AE50 - 50% de la frecuencia cardíaca de reserva (FCR); AE70 - 70% de la FCR; RE40 - 40% de 1 repetición máxima (RM) y RE70 - 70% de 1RM. Las medidas de la presión sistólica (PAS) y diastólica (PAD) y la FC fueron realizadas durante 24h después del ejercicio en el local de trabajo. Las sesiones AE50, AE70 y RE40 demostraron efectos mayores y mas duraderos en la PAS, y permanecieron durante las
Introduction

Physical exercise has been reported to be an important and efficient nonpharmacological strategy for controlling blood pressure (BP) and for preventing and treating cardiovascular diseases, such as arterial hypertension (Anunciação & Polito, 2011; Kawano, Nakagawa, Onodera, Higuchi, & Miyachi, 2008; Polito, Farinatti, Lira, & Nobrega, 2007).

The primary beneficial effect of physical exercise on BP is the acute reduction in the BP values that occur during rest after physical exercise performance. This phenomenon is known as post exercise hypotension (PEH) (Halliwill, 2001; MacDonald, 2002). The PEH mechanisms for several types of exercise are associated with decreases in sympathetic activity, cardiac output and stroke volume; furthermore, PEH has been shown to cause a decrease in the total peripheral vascular resistance by stimulating the release of vasodilating substances, such as nitric oxide (Anunciação & Polito, 2011; Forjaz, Matsudaira, Rodrigues, Nunes, & Negrão, 1998; Forjaz et al., 2003; Halliwill, 2001).

Many studies have evaluated PEH during the 30 to 120 ms immediately after exercise is completed. According to Halliwill (2001), short-term BP reductions have a minimal impact on an individual’s cardiovascular health. Therefore, monitoring an individual’s BP for prolonged periods after exercise may reveal a greater number of the benefits that result from PEH.

Despite the results of studies that reveal the acute hypotensive benefits of intense exercise, the results and methodologies used in these studies should be considered carefully because different types, durations and intensities of exercise have been shown to lead to different PEH responses (Anunciação & Polito, 2011; Cardoso Jr et al., 2010). Controversial data on the magnitude and duration of PEH have been reported, and differences in these parameters have been shown to be related to variations in the methodologies that were used in each study (Anunciação & Polito, 2011; Cardoso Jr et al., 2010; Hamer, 2006). In contrast, the data pertaining to PEH responses during aerobic exercise are more consistent in the literature, however, the interaction between aerobic and resistance exercises at different intensities and for extended periods of time needs to be investigated further. Therefore, the present study aimed to determine the effect of the intensity of aerobic and resistance exercise on the 24-h blood pressure response in normotensive women.

Methods

The present study was approved by the local Ethics Committee on Human Research (342/09 CEP/ICS/UNIP). The participants gave their informed consent after they were informed about the purposes, procedures and possible risks associated with the study.

Participants

After the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) was administered and the clinical histories were obtained, the cohort consisted of 24 normotensive sedentary women. None of the participants presented with diseases or problems that would compromise their physical state (as assessed by the doctor), smoked or used medication that would interfere with the hemodynamic variables that were investigated in the present study.

Procedures

Preliminary tests: Prior to physical exercise testing, the participants were familiarized with the equipment and ergometers that were used in the present study. The familiarization session consisted of resistance exercise (three sets of 10 repetitions with an intensity between 12 and 14 on the Borg (1982) scale) and aerobic exercise on a treadmill for 30 min, with an intensity of 60% of the heart rate reserve (HRR).

After familiarizing the participants with the study procedures, we performed a test to determine each participant’s one-repetition maximum (1RM) during resistance exercise (Nieman, 2002). In addition, each participant performed a submaximal incremental test on a treadmill at an initial speed of 4 km/h that was increased by 0.5 km/h increments every 3 min. These increases were conducted until the participant reached 85% of her predicted maximum heart rate, as determined by the following equation: 220 - the participant’s age. The participant’s heart rate (HR) was recorded every 20 s and was averaged for each stage of the experiment. The aerobic exercise sessions were conducted according to the participants’ heart rate reserve (HRR).

Intense experimental sessions. After the preliminary tests, the participants performed four experimental sessions of exercise in a randomized order, with at least 48 h elapsing between the sessions. The sessions included the
following activities:

- (AE50) - Low-intensity aerobic exercise at 50% of HRR;
- (AE70) - Moderate-intensity aerobic exercise at 70% of HRR;
- (RE40) - Low-intensity resistance exercise at 40% of 1RM;
- (RE70) - Moderate-intensity resistance exercise at 70% of 1RM.

In addition to the aerobic and resistance exercise sessions, the participants also performed a fifth control session (CON) that involved no exercise and required the participants to remain seated during the intervention period. The control session was randomized in a similar manner to the experimental sessions. All of the participants were instructed to refrain from strenuous exercise 24 h before the experiment and to maintain their normal diet throughout the study period.

During the experimental sessions, the participants arrived at the laboratory 90 min prior to the start of the workday. After 15 min in a sitting position, each participant’s systolic blood pressure (SBP), diastolic blood pressure (DBP) and resting HR were measured, and the physical exercise was initiated (with the exception of the control day). SBP, DBP and HR measurements were also performed immediately after exercise. These measurements were also conducted every 15 min during the 60-min period after the intervention in a sitting position in the laboratory. After the measurements were taken in the study laboratory, three additional SBP, DBP and HR measurements were taken 3, 7 and 24 h post-exercise at the participant’s workplace.

During the experimental sessions, the participants were asked to avoid caffeine, alcohol and high salt consumption while maintaining their regular diet. The participants’ food and fluid intakes were not restricted (ad libitum), but the participants were asked to record their intake in a food diary. Using this record, the participants were asked to eat the same amounts of food at the same times of day on the remaining experiment days.

**Resistance exercise.** The resistance exercise in the present study was circuit exercises on High On equipment (Righetto, BRA) and involved five types of exercises: leg extensions, vertical bench presses, leg curls, lat pull-downs and 45-degree leg presses. The circuit was comprised of four sets of each exercise, with 20 repetitions for the RE40 session and 12 repetitions for the RE70 session. Each repetition required 3 s for the complete movement (concentric and eccentric phase). Forty-five-second rest intervals between exercises and 90-s rest intervals between circuits were used during the resistance exercise sessions.

**Aerobic exercise.** The aerobic exercise sessions were performed on an RT 250 treadmill (Movement, BRA) and lasted 30 min for the AE70 session. The distance covered during the AE70 session was used to determine the length of the AE50 session. For the AE70 session, the exercise was performed at a speed of 7.0 ± 0.7 km/h for a distance of 3.5 ± 0.3 km. The AE50 session lasted 36.7 ± 1.3 min at a speed of 5.7 ± 0.4 km/h for a distance of 3.5 ± 0.3 km. The speeds for the AE50 and AE70 sessions were determined during the submaximal incremental test and corresponded to 50% and 70% of the HRR, respectively.

For the control session, the participants remained seated for 40 min; during this time period, they were allowed to read and talk normally.

**Data analysis**

The SBP and DBP were measured using the auscultatory method with a Premium model sphygmomanometer (Glicomed, BRA). These measurements were taken with the participant in a seated position and the participant’s right arm supported at heart level, following the Brazilian Society of Cardiology guidelines. The participant’s HR was monitored using an S810 heart rate monitor (Polar, FIN). All of the measurements were taken after the participant rested for 15 min while seated.

**Statistical analysis**

The data are reported as the means and standard deviations (±SD). After the normality test, the BP behavior was determined for the two exercise modalities (aerobic and resistance) and the control day using an analysis of variance with repeated measures and a post-hoc Tukey’s test.

The area under the curve (AUC) was calculated using the trapezoidal formula. After the normality test, the variables were analyzed using an analysis of variance with repeated measures and a post-hoc least significance difference (LSD) test.

A $p$-value < 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The data were analyzed using the statistical software SPSS 11.5.

**Results**

The participants’ anthropometric characteristics, ages and resting blood pressure values are shown in Table 1.

The variations in the differences between the SBP, DBP and MBP at rest, immediately after exercise and during the 24 h post-exercise recovery period are shown in Figure 1. In this analysis, the change was calculated based on the control day, therefore, the variances are plotted in Figure 1 as the difference between the experimental exercise sessions and the control day at each time point.

After analyzing the variations in the SBP changes, we found that the RE40, AE50 and AE70 sessions resulted in lower values of change compared with the control session for the 45 min to 24 h postexercise period, and the RE70 session resulted in lower values of change compared with
the control session at 3 and 7 h postexercise. The DBP change variations after the AE50 and AE70 sessions were significantly smaller than the control session values after 30 min. The RE40 and RE70 sessions led to lower values after 45 min compared to the control session, although the effects of both the RE40 and RE70 sessions lasted approximately 7 h. In addition, the RE70 session resulted in an attenuation of SBP that persisted for 24 h. The average BP value for all of the exercise sessions revealed attenuation in this value for up to 24 h post-exercise. For the AE50 session, this effect began at 15 min; for the AE70 session, this effect began at 30 min; for the RE40 session, this effect began at 45 min; and for the RE70 session, this effect began at 3 h post-exercise.

The results for the AUC for the SBP, DAP and MAP values pre-exercise, immediately after exercise and 24 h after exercise during the experimental and control sessions are shown in Figure 2.

The AUC for the SBP during the AE50 session was significantly lower than that observed during the RE70 session and the control session. The AUC for the DBP during the AE50 session was significantly lower than that for the control session, and the AUCs for the MAP during the AE50, AE70 and RE70 sessions were lower than that for the control session.

Discussion

The present study examined the effects of low-intensity resistance exercise (performed at 40% of 1RM), moderate-intensity resistance exercise (performed at 70% of 1RM),

Table 1. Characteristics of the participants. BW - body weight; BMI - body mass index; SBP - systolic blood pressure; DBP - diastolic blood pressure; MAP - mean arterial pressure.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BW (kg)</th>
<th>Height (m)</th>
<th>BMI (kg/m²)</th>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>SBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>DBP (mmHg)</th>
<th>MAP (mmHg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>65.3</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>24.3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>116</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>± SD</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Variation in the changes in (A) the systolic blood pressure, (B) diastolic blood pressure and (C) mean blood pressure for the four experimental sessions compared to the control session over a 24-h period. * \( p < 0.05 \); significant difference between the control session and the four exercise sessions. † \( p < 0.05 \); significant difference between the control session and the RE40, AE50 and AE70 sessions. ‡ \( p < 0.05 \); significant difference between the control session and the AE50 and AE70 sessions. § \( p < 0.05 \); significant difference between the control session and the AE70 session. †† \( p < 0.05 \); significant difference between the control session and the AE50 session.

Figure 2. Area under the curve for the systolic blood pressure (A), diastolic blood pressure (B) and mean arterial pressure (C) during the four experimental sessions and the control session over a 24-h period. * \( p < 0.05 \); significantly different compared with the control session. † \( p < 0.05 \); significantly different compared with the RE70 session.
low-intensity aerobic exercise (performed at 50% of the HRR) and moderate-intensity aerobic exercise (performed at 70% of the HRR) on the SBP and DBP over a 24-h period in young women during a regular routine of daily activities.

The primary results of the present study show that the AE50, AE70 and RE40 sessions led to the greatest and longest-lasting effects on the SBP, which persisted for up to 24 h. For the DBP, the experimental sessions led to similar results; PEH was observed until 7 h postexercise, with the exception of the AE70 session, which produced effects that persisted for 24 h. For the MAP, all of the sessions induced PEH for 24 h post-exercise compared with the control day. These effects only varied in their start time: the PEH that resulted from the AE50 and AE70 sessions began earlier and lasted longer than that after the other sessions. Additionally, the AUC analysis revealed that the AE50 session led to lower PEH values than the control day for the SBP and DBP. The PEH values for the MAP were lower than those on the control day for all of the experimental sessions, except for the RE70 session.

The BP reductions that we observed after a single exercise session are consistent with findings from other studies that have reported PEH after aerobic and resistance exercise in both normotensive and hypertensive individuals (Anunciação & Polito, 2011; Cardoso Jr et al., 2010). In addition, our study shows that aerobic and resistance exercise can reduce an individual’s BP for up to 24 h during daily activities, which is clinically relevant as a protective mechanism against cardiovascular disease (MacDonald et al., 1999; MacDonald, Hogben, Tarnopolsky, & MacDougall, 2001; Mota et al., 2009).

The AUC for the SBP over a 24-h period after the AE50 session was significantly lower compared to that for the other experimental sessions. Although there is no consensus in the literature (Anunciação & Polito, 2011; Cardoso Jr et al., 2010), several studies have reported contradictory results in terms of the BP responses to exercise intensity. According to these authors, PEH is the most significant after exercise with a greater aerobic (Cunha et al., 2006; Eicher, Maresh, Tsongalis, Thompson, & Pescatello, 2010) or resistance intensity (Rezk, Marrache, Tinucci, Mion Jr, & Forjaz, 2006).

According to Curtis and O’Keefe Jr (2002), higher-intensity exercise produces a greater level of PEH, especially during the first few hours after the completion of the exercise. During this period, greater sympathetic autonomic nervous system activation may occur, which results in greater BP values. However, our data show that this phenomenon was not observed, even when the BP was measured at much later time points post-exercise than this previous study.

In contrast, (Jones, George, Edwards, & Atkinson, 2009) observed no differences in the PEH responses to aerobic exercise at several intensities and durations in young men. Similar results were reported by (Casonatto & Polito, 2009), demonstrating that, for young men, a session of aerobic exercise at several intensities and durations does not promote differences in the post-exercise BP responses.

In agreement with the results of the present study, Bermudes, Vassallo, Vasquez, and Lima (2004) studied normotensive individuals during sleep and after exercise using ambulatory blood pressure monitoring (ABPM) and found that a single session of either resistance or aerobic exercise promoted PEH during sleep and after exercise. However, these authors reported that aerobic exercise was more effective than resistance exercise because it promoted more significant reductions in the 24-h BP during waking and sleeping periods.

PEH due to aerobic exercise that is attenuated for 24 h has been demonstrated mainly in adults of both sexes who were middle-aged or elderly, however, PEH has been shown to have a higher incidence in hypertensive individuals (Ciolac, Guimarães, Bortolotto, Doria, & Bocchi, 2009; Quinn, 2000; Wallace, Bogle, King, Krasnoff, & Jastremski, 1999). In contrast, several studies did not report a significant BP reduction over the 24-h period after aerobic exercise in normotensive young women (Forjaz et al., 1998; Pescatello et al., 2008).

Our data show that even in young and middle-aged normotensive women, aerobic exercise can induce PEH and provide cardiovascular protection for up to 24 h. Similar data have been reported by other studies (Bermudes et al., 2004; Forjaz et al., 1998; Forjaz et al., 2003).

Few studies have demonstrated lasting PEH as a result of resistance exercise in young or middle-aged women. (Melo, Alencar Filho, Tinucci, Mion Jr, & Forjaz, 2006) reported that PEH lasted 10 h in middle-aged hypertensive women after a resistance exercise session. Conversely, other studies (Queiroz, Gagliardi, Forjaz, & Rezk, 2009; Roltsch, Mendez, Wilund, & Hagberg, 2001) did not observe PEH in normotensive young women. Our study is one of the first to show that resistance exercise, even at low intensities, can induce PEH for up to 24 h in middle-aged, normotensive women.

The majority of these PEH differences in relation to the intensity of aerobic and resistance exercise arise from differences in the studies’ exercise protocols, which make it difficult to accurately draw conclusions about the interaction between the exercise type and intensity and the PEH. However, our results support the presence of this protective mechanism in middle-aged, normotensive women because of both aerobic exercise and resistance exercise at different intensities.

Our results demonstrate that the AE50, AE70 and RE40 sessions effectively attenuated the BP in normotensive women who maintained their daily activities. This effect may reduce the risk of developing cardiovascular diseases, such as hypertension, especially if the exercise sessions occur regularly. Another indication of cardiovascular protection is indicated by the low values of double product (DP) 3 to 24 h after the end of the exercise sessions compared with the control day values (data not shown).

The results reported by (Terra et al., 2008) are consistent
with the relationship between DP and BP that is reported in the present study, given the reduction in these variables during the rest period after resistance training in hypertensive elderly participants. These authors report that a DP reduction after exercise under resting conditions has a significant importance and reduces the risk of cardiovascular problems because exercise may result in reduced cardiovascular work. In the present study, this reduction appears to be mediated by a decrease in the SBP because no significant changes were observed in the CF.

Blood pressure reductions are an important tool for preventing cardiovascular disease and adverse complications associated with hypertension (Jones et al., 2009; Lima et al., 2008; Simões, Moreira, Kushnick, Simões, & Campbell, 2010). The present study confirms the clinical and preventative relevance of aerobic and resistance exercise that is performed in the morning, prior to an individual’s professional activities, in attenuating the BP throughout the day and possibly until the following day. For Brown, Dengel, Hogikyan, and Supiano (2002) and Halliwell (2001), a greater activation of the parasympathetic autonomic nervous system and an increased endothelium-dependent vasodilation mediated by nitric oxide may be two principle mechanisms that explain PEH.

Whelon et al. (2002) claimed that small changes in BP have a major impact on an individual’s cardiovascular survival. Therefore, 3- and 5-mmHg reductions in the SBP may decrease the risk of infarction by 8% and 14% and the risk of coronary disease by 5% and 9%, respectively. In addition, these reductions in the SBP may reduce the overall mortality from all causes by 4% and 7%, respectively. Additionally, a small, 2-mmHg reduction in the DBP may reduce the hypertension prevalence by 17% in the general population.

One limitation of the present study was the lack of control over the participants’ diets and rest repetitions. Ideally, the participants would have repeated the same diet and daily activities every day during and between experiments. In an attempt to control for this variable, we monitored all of the participants by calling them before the preparation phase to ensure that they complied with the diet they reported during the first experiment.

Based in our results, we conclude that aerobic exercise at 50 and 70% of the HRR and resistance exercise at 40% of 1RM produced PEH in the SBP that persisted for up to 24 h. For the DBP, the greatest PEH result was observed after aerobic exercise at 70% of the HRR, and these PEH effects persisted for up to 24 h. For the other sessions, PEH persisted for up to 7 h post-exercise. For aerobic and resistance exercise, the MBP reflected PEH for up to 24 hours.

**Practical applications**

Our results shows that both aerobic and resistance exercise performed during the morning, either in low or moderate intensities, can decrease the mean BP above the baseline lasting 24 hours during a normal daily work. Moreover it appears that the aerobic exercise performed around 50% of HRR (low intensity) can better regulate both systolic and diastolic BP in sedentary women without hypertension diagnostic.

These regulations in the BP by the exercise are very important even in non-hypertensive individuals, because small changes in BP have a major impact on an individual’s cardiovascular survival and protection against the cardiovascular disease development.
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