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Abstract—The purpose of this study was to assess the prevalence of sarcopenia and its association with risk factors for cardiovascular diseases (CVD) in non-sedentary women. Study participants were 124 women (control group (CG) n = 33; 24.5 ± 2.9 years and study group (SG) n = 91; 61.9 ± 8.7 years). Anthropometric measurements, blood parameters, body composition (DXA), anthropometric indices and questionnaire answers were analyzed. Sarcopenia was considered as a standard deviation below mean values for young people, corresponding to 7.3 kg/m² and classifying 34.1% of SG as sarcopenic. Prevalence of risk for CVD considered low, moderate and high was 21%, 60% and 19%, respectively. Logistic regression showed no relationship between sarcopenia and risk factors for CVD, except for body mass index as a protection factor. There was a tendency for sarcopenia to assume associations as risk factor for variables smoking, triglycerides, obesity, abdominal fat, and Framingham Risk Score and as a protection factor for variable physical activity level.
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Resumo—“Sarcopenia e risco cardiovascular em mulheres adultas e idosas fisicamente ativas.” Objetivou-se verificar a prevalência de sarcopenia e sua associação com fatores de risco para doenças cardiovasculares (DCV) em mulheres fisicamente ativas. Participaram 124 mulheres (Grupos Controle (GC) n = 33; 24,5 ± 2,9 anos e de Estudo (GE) n = 91; 61,9 ± 8,7 anos). Analisaram-se medidas antropométricas, parâmetros sanguíneos, composição corporal (DEXA), índices antropométricos e respostas de questionários. Considerou-se sarcopenia um desvio-padrão abaixo da média para a população jovem, correspondendo a 7,3 kg/m², classificando 34,1% do GE como sarcopênico. Prevalência do risco para DCV considerando baixo, moderado e alto foi, respectivamente, 21%, 60% e 19%. A regressão logística não mostrou relação entre sarcopenia e fatores de risco para DCV, exceto para o índice de massa corporal como fator de proteção. Observou-se tendência da sarcopenia assumir associações como fator de risco nas variáveis tabagismo, triglicerídeos, obesidade, gordura abdominal e Escore de Risco de Framingham e como fator de proteção na variável nível de atividade física.

Palavras-chave: sarcopenia, fatores de risco cardiovascular, mulheres

Resumen—“Sarcopenia y riesgo cardiovascular en mujeres adultas y mayores físicamente activas.” El objetivo fue comprobar la prevalencia de sarcopenia y su relación con factores de riesgo para el desarrollo de enfermedades cardiovasculares (ECV) en mujeres activas. Participaron 124 mujeres (Grupo Control (GC) n = 33; 24,5 ± 2,9 años y de Estudio (GE) n = 91; 61,9 ± 8,7 años), a las cuales se le realizó: cuestionario, antropometría, análisis bioquímico y densitometría ósea (DEXA). La sarcopenia fue considerada una desviación estándar por debajo de la media para la población joven, lo que corresponde a 7,3 kg/m², clasificando 34,1% el GE como sarcopênico. La prevalencia del riesgo para ECV considerando bajo, moderado y alto riesgo fue respectivamente, 21%, 60% y 19%. La regresión logística no mostró relación entre sarcopenia y factores de riesgo para ECV, excepto para el índice de masa corporal como factor de protección. También se observó que la sarcopenia está asociada a factores de riesgo como tabaquismo, hipertriglicéridemia, obesidad, grasa abdominal y puntuación de riesgo de Framingham. La variable de actividad física se mostró como factor de protección.

Palabras clave: sarcopenia, factores de riesgo cardiovascular, mujeres
**Introduction**

Sarcopenia is defined as the loss of muscle mass and, consequently, reduction in muscle strength during the aging process (Fielding et al., 2011). Cellular, structural, functional, and behavioral changes are causes of sarcopenia, which is multifactorial in most cases. Among these changes, the most relevant are contractility mechanism alterations, innervation disorders, hormone depletion, increased inflammatory mediators, decreased protein intake, and physical inactivity (Scott, Blizard, Fell, & Jones, 2011; Silva, Frisoli Júnior, Pinheiro, & Szefnfeld, 2006). The decline in muscle mass and strength are directly related to increased frailty, dependency, disability and falls (Rexach, 2006; Zong, Chen, & Thompson, 2007), which contribute for the high mortality rate in elderly subjects (Bunout, de la Maza, Barrera, Leiva, & Hirsch, 2011), being a public health problem. Moreover, cardiovascular diseases (CVD) are at the top of the list of death causes in adult and elderly individuals. According to the American Hearth Association (Mosca et al., 2011), in the United States, deaths attributed to CVD in women was 54% in 2009. In Brazil, data from the Ministry of Health (Datasus, 2011) show that CVD account for approximately 36% of deaths in women over 40 years of age.

The relationship between sarcopenia, as assessed by Dual-energy X-ray absorptiometry (DXA), and risk factors for CVD has not been widely studied (Sanada et al., 2010), especially in females. Since sarcopenia and CVD, together, are responsible for the high morbidity and mortality rates in older adults, it is important to clarify the relationship between them to adopt preventive measures and consequent reduction of the high costs with regard to treatment resulting from complications involving these variables, and promote overall health and quality of life.

Thus, the objective of this study was to determine the prevalence of sarcopenia and its association with cardiovascular risk factors in physically active adult and elderly women.

**Methods**

**Study type and sample**

This is a cross-sectional and descriptive study with probabilistic and non-intentional samples. Overall, 124 healthy active women participated in the study, which were divided into control group (CG) and study group (SG). CG consisted of 33 healthy students coming from various undergraduate courses at Federal University of Viçosa, aged between 20 and 30 years (24.5 ± 2.9 years). The inclusion criterion adopted was moderate or high PAL, verified by the International Physical Activity Questionnaire (IPAQ) - long version (Matsudo et al., 2001). SG was composed of 91 healthy women aged between 45 and 83 years (61.9 ± 8.7 years), all participants in a fitness project offered by the Department of Physical Education, Federal University of Viçosa. The inclusion criterion adopted was participation in the project activities for at least six months. Both groups included women of white, brown and black skin color.

The survey was conducted after signing an informed consent form, according to ethical standards approved by the Ethics Research Committee of the Federal University of Viçosa (Protocol 073/2011).

**Procedures**

The research was conducted in two phases. The main objective of Phase I was to identify the key data regarding body composition and physical activity habits of both groups. The inclusion of the CG at this stage aimed specifically to generate reference values for appendicular lean mass (ALM). From these reference values, the criteria to determine sarcopenia in the SG were used, seeking thereby to approximate the characteristics of the control sample to those of the study group, since these values are specific to each population group. Phase II, conducted only with SG, aimed at evaluating aspects related to cardiovascular risk. Blood parameters and pressure were measured and questionnaires on smoking, pre-existing diseases and medications used were filled out, and anthropometric measurements were taken, which were used to calculate the different anthropometric indexes mentioned in literature as associated with CVD.

**Phase I**

The first phase consisted of filling the IPAQ - long version (Matsudo et al., 2001), to obtain body mass (BM, kg) and height (H, cm) measurements and to assess body composition through DXA, with the participation of all involved in the study (CG and SG). The questionnaires were individually filled out, with assistance from the researcher, if necessary. BM and H were respectively measured using Filizola® scale with precision of 100 g and Sanny stadiometer®, scaled in 1 mm, according to Lohman (1992). During evaluation, participants wore light clothing and all equipment used was in proper operation and calibration conditions. Body Mass Index (BMI) was obtained by the ratio between BM (kg) and the square of H (m²). The equipment used for DXA was the densitometer GE Healthcare Lunar Prodigy Advance DXA System, 13:31 version, whose handling was performed by specialized technician at the Department of X-Ray and Bone Densitometry, Federal University of Viçosa. From DXA, body fat percentage (BF%) and appendicular lean mass (ALM; kg) were extracted, which was obtained by the sum of the lean masses of lower (LMLL; kg) and upper limbs (LMLU; kg). To relate it to the size of the mass evaluated, this sum was divided by the squared height in meters, which was called appendicular lean mass adjusted for height (ALMH; kg/ m²). ALM and especially ALMH were constantly used, from values that can be observed in the DXA evaluation as predictor factor of the appendicular muscle mass and possible sarcopenic process (Goodpaster et al., 2006; Hairy et al., 2010; Kirchengast & Huber, 2009; Lee et al., 2007).

Literature does not show a specific value or rating, as occurs for BMI, to identify sarcopenia or possible disabilities relative to appendicular lean mass. Thus, as in other studies (Lim et al., 2010; Tanko, Movsesyan, Mourietsen, Christiansen, & Svendsen, 2002), this study assessed the prevalence of sarcopenia similarly to that defined by the World Health Organization (WHO, 1994)
Table 1. Characteristics of the study samples and comparisons between control and study groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (years)</th>
<th>Control group (n=33)</th>
<th>Study group (n=91)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean (SD)</td>
<td>Median</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 49</td>
<td>24.4 (2.9)</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 54</td>
<td>5973 (5388)</td>
<td>3954</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 - 59</td>
<td>161 (5.0)</td>
<td>161</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 64</td>
<td>22.3 (2.6)</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 69</td>
<td>28.5 (5.6)</td>
<td>28.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>16.7 (1.8)</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LMU(kg)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.6)</td>
<td>4.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at p <0.05. PAL - Physical Activity Level; BMI - Body Mass Index; BF - Body Fat; ALM - Appendicular Lean Mass; ALMH - Appendicular Lean Mass corrected by Height; LMLL - Lean Mass of Lower Limbs; LMUL - Lean Mass of Upper Limbs.

for osteopenia and osteoporosis, that is, loss from one to two and a half standard deviations (1 to 2.5 SD) and loss over two and a half standard deviations (> 2.5 SD) below the mean for the young population. It is known that the decrease of bone mass in one SD already may have health consequences. Similarly, it could be inferred that from 1 SD of lean mass loss, the individual might already have impaired functionality of the appendicular skeleton (Janssen, Heymsfield, & Ross, 2002). For comparison purposes, in this study, women included in the above two criteria were classified as sarcopenic.

Phase II

This phase included only women in the SG (n = 91). All participants were submitted to blood count and blood pressure measurements and filled out the questionnaire on smoking, pre-existing diseases and use of medications to compose the Framingham Risk Score (FRS) (Anderson, Wilson, Odell, & Kannel, 1991). Blood parameters analyzed were fasting plasma glucose, total cholesterol and fractions, triglycerides, diabetes, obesity, FRS, WHR, CA, IC, WHR, and BMI. The significance level was set at p <0.05.

Statistical analyses

Descriptive analysis used mean, standard deviation, median and interquartile difference for age, physical activity level, body mass, height, BMI, body fat percentage, ALM, ALMH, LMLL, and LMUL. For comparisons of variables between CG and SG, the Student t-test was used when variables were normally distributed and the Mann-Whitney test when data did not show this condition. To assess the association between cardiovascular risk factors and sarcopenia, multiple logistic regression models were performed and odds ratios were computed with confidence intervals (CI = 95%) for age, hypertension, smoking, total cholesterol and fractions, triglycerides, diabetes, obesity, FRS, WHR, CA, IC, WHER, and BMI. The significance level was set at p <0.05. For processing and statistical analysis, Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS) version 17 was used.

Table 2. Mean values of ALM, ALMH, LMLL, and LMUL in different age groups - control group aged 20 to 30 years and study group over 45 years.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age groups (years)</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>ALM (kg)</th>
<th>ALMH (kg/m²)</th>
<th>LMLL (kg)</th>
<th>LMUL (kg)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20 – 30</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>20.9</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45 - 49</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>15.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50 - 54</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>17.8*</td>
<td>7.5*</td>
<td>14.2*</td>
<td>3.8*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>55 - 59</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>14.0*</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60 - 64</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>17.5*</td>
<td>7.3*</td>
<td>13.8**</td>
<td>3.7*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65 - 69</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>17.8*</td>
<td>7.5*</td>
<td>13.9*</td>
<td>3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt; 70</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>17.4*</td>
<td>7.5*</td>
<td>13.8**</td>
<td>3.6*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Significant at p <0.05 versus 45-49 years; ¶ significant at p <0.05 versus control group; ALM - Appendicular Lean Mass; ALMH - Appendicular Lean Mass corrected by Height; LMLL - Lean Mass of Lower Limbs; LMUL - Lean Mass of Upper Limbs.
Results

Table 1 shows the mean, standard deviation (SD), median, interquartile difference (25% - 75%) and the comparison of means of the main characteristics of the groups studied. As can be seen in Table 1, all variables showed significant differences between study and control groups. Regarding body composition, increased body mass index in the study group appears to be linked to higher body fat percentage, since all lean mass variables are below their counterparts in the control group.

Table 2 shows the comparison of means for ALM, ALMH, LMLL, and LMUL in different age groups. When divided by age groups, it was observed that sarcopenia seems to start after the age of 50, with no significant differences in any of the variables analyzed in the study group aged 45 to 49 years compared to the control group. Table 2 also shows that the lean mass of lower limbs and lean mass of upper limbs remained relatively unchanged over the years, resulting in proportional reduction in the sum of both.

Table 3 shows the prevalence of sarcopenia in the study group for ALM, ALMH LMLL, and LMUL. The prevalence is described in accordance with losses between one and two and a half (1 - 2.5 SD) and more than two and a half (> 2.5 SD) standard deviations below the mean for the young population. Overall, the prevalence of sarcopenia increased with advancing age, being greater when the lean mass of lower limbs was considered.

Table 4 shows the risk of cardiovascular diseases in the next 10 years in middle-aged and elderly women according Framingham criteria and American Heart Association.

Table 5 shows the cardiovascular risk according to the Framingham Risk Score (Anderson et al., 1991) and cutoffs according to the American Heart Association (Mosca et al., 2011). Women with score equal to or greater than 13 points, which is equivalent to 10% higher risk of developing CVD in the next 10 years, were considered high risk. Women whose score was greater than nine and lower than or equal to 13 (risk between 5 and 10%) were classified as moderate risk, and those whose score was lower than nine (risk less than 5%) were classified as low risk. Table 4 shows that cardiovascular risk by Framingham score increases significantly with age, and women over 65 years have the highest percentage in the high-risk class and women younger than 50 years had the highest percentage in the low-risk class. As seen in Table 3, 90% of women who had sarcopenia were included in criteria between 1 and 2.5 SD below the mean for the young population. Thus, Table 5 shows the association between sarcopenia and several risk factors for cardiovascular diseases, considering women from 1 SD below the mean for the young population as sarcopenic. Information on the presence or absence of hypertension and smoking habits were taken from questionnaire responses. Cutoff points for total cholesterol (> 200mg/dL), LDL (> 130mg/dL), HDL (<40mg/dL), triglycerides (> 150mg/dL) and WHR (> 0.80) were adopted for women aged 40 to 49 years; > 0.82 for women aged 50 to 59 years; > 0.84 for women over 60 years, according to Heyward (2004). Women with fasting glucose greater than 126 mg/dL (SBD, 2009) were considered diabetic. The cutoff used for obesity was 35% of total body fat measured by DXA (Romero-Corral et al., 2008). The limit adopted for FRS was equal to or greater than 13 points (Mosca et al., 2011). As for abdominal fat (android) and CI, there is lack of evaluation and comparison parameters, women whose values were above the median value were considered at increased risk, which is equivalent to 42.1% for abdominal fat and 1.16 for CI. The cutoff point for waist circumference was 80 cm, classified according to Lean, Han, and Morrison (1995). For WHR, women showing values greater than 0.5 were considered at increased risk (Hsieh, Yoshinaga, & Muto, 2003). PAL was sectioned in 3000 mets/week (IPAQ, 2005). BMI was classified according to World Heart Association.
Table 5. Association between sarcopenia* and cardiovascular risk factors.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Odds Ratio (CI 95%)</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age &gt;70 years</td>
<td>16.48</td>
<td>0.69 (0.12 - 3.88)</td>
<td>0.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>53.85</td>
<td>1.47 (0.57 - 3.77)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>1.87 (0.28 - 12.64)</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Cholesterol</td>
<td>42.86</td>
<td>0.28 (0.06 - 1.30)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL Cholesterol</td>
<td>19.78</td>
<td>0.49 (0.05 - 4.92)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HDL Cholesterol</td>
<td>14.28</td>
<td>0.35 (0.11 - 2.38)</td>
<td>0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Triglycerides</td>
<td>24.17</td>
<td>2.08 (0.73 - 5.95)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>0.33 (0.02 - 7.04)</td>
<td>0.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Obesity</td>
<td>58.24</td>
<td>1.59 (0.45 - 5.69)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Framingham Risk Score</td>
<td>18.68</td>
<td>1.35 (0.42 - 4.28)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abdominal Fat</td>
<td>50.55</td>
<td>1.80 (0.51 - 6.34)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-Hip Relation (WHR)</td>
<td>52.75</td>
<td>0.81 (0.16 - 4.14)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist Circumference (WC)</td>
<td>58.24</td>
<td>0.22 (0.02 - 3.37)</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Conicity Index (CI)</td>
<td>49.45</td>
<td>0.82 (0.11 - 6.03)</td>
<td>0.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist-Height Relation (WHER)</td>
<td>70.33</td>
<td>1.10 (0.15 - 8.05)</td>
<td>0.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Physical activity Level (PAL)</td>
<td>37.36</td>
<td>0.54 (0.13 - 2.27)</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| Body Mass Index (BMI)          | 60.45 | 0.02 (0.00 - 0.42) | 0.01§

* Appendicular Lean Mass (kg/m²) of less than one standard deviation below the mean for the young population.
† Odds ratios for hypertension, smoking, triglycerides, obesity, FRS and abdominal fat were adjusted for age, total cholesterol, LDL, HDL, diabetes, WHR, WC, CI, WHER, PAL and BMI.
§ Significant at p <0.05.

Health Organization (WHO, 1997) for women up to 60 years of age and to Lipschitz (1994) for women aged over 60 years. Women with excess weight, overweight or obesity of any level were considered at increased risk. Data presented in Table 5 show that only BMI has statistically significance compared to sarcopenia for analyzed factors.

Discussion

The averages of all sample characterization variables statistically differed between CG and SG. However, when dividing by age groups, there are no differences between averages for ALM, ALMH, LMLL, and LMUL in the age group from 45 to 49 years compared to the control group. It was also observed that in relative terms, LMLL and LMUL suffered similar changes over the years, resulting in a proportional decrease also in the sum of lean masses of lower and upper limbs (ALM), which in this study was approximately 13% when compared with data from the first and last age groups. Data from the study by Gallagher et al. (1997) involving LMLL and LMUL suggest that the loss of lean mass in the lower limbs is about 0.3 kg per decade, three times greater than the loss of lean mass in the upper limbs, although in proportional terms, the decline becomes practically identical, since LMUL corresponds approximately to one third of LMLL.

The prevalence of sarcopenia observed in this study as recommended by Baumgartner et al. (1998), who is pioneer in studies on this subject and assumes sarcopenia as the ALMH two standard deviations below the mean for young individuals, was much lower than the prevalence found in the cited work. The author obtained prevalence of 24% for Hispanic women and 23% for non-Hispanic white women aged below 70 years. This study showed prevalence of approximately 12% following the same criteria. This prevalence increases to 34.1% when considering only one standard deviation below the mean for the young population. The average ALMH for the SG in this work was 7.5 kg/m² and the cutoffs for sarcopenia in 1 and 2.5 SD were respectively 7.3 kg/m² and 6.4 kg/m². These values are higher than those found in other studies (Lau, Lynn, Woo, Kwok, & Melton, 2005; Sanada et al., 2010; Tanko et al., 2002), which may be associated with the level of habitual physical activity and other specific features of the sample studied. Other works (Lau et al., 2005; Tanko et al., 2002) also found lower prevalence compared to the study of Baumgartner et al. (1998) and closer to those observed in this study. Iannuzzi-Sucich, Prestwood, and Kenny (2002) adopted the same cutoff points used by Baumgartner et al. (1998) and reported a prevalence of 12% lower than that reported for women in similar age groups. The study by Tanko et al. (2002) found prevalence of 40.2% and 12.3% for ALM and ALMH respectively in women over 70 years of age and considering 2 SD below the mean for the young population. In this study, values of 26.7% and 6.7% were found for the same conditions. Table 3 shows that women over 55 years had higher prevalence of sarcopenia according to ALMH, when considering the sum of the two criteria adopted. Women above 50 years showed sharp drop in LMUL, regardless of the standard deviation adopted as criterion, which is worrisome due to the high relationship between LMLL and physical disabilities and falls (Janssen, Baumgartner, Ross, Rosenberg, & Roubenoff, 2004; Woo, Leung, Sham, & Kwok, 2009; Zong et al., 2007). In addition, according to data in Table 3, the decrease in LMLL is higher in women aged over 70 years, which may be a key factor in the independence of elderly individuals due to the great importance of these muscles in the walking process (Rexach, 2006).

The data in Table 4 allow concluding that the cardiovascular risk according to the Framingham risk score significantly increases with advancing years, indicating that age is a major factor in the present calculation. Women included in the “high risk” group are those whose risk for developing CVD over the next 10 years was equal to or greater than 10%. By 2010, men and women with risk equal to or greater than 20% to develop coronary problems in the same period were considered high risk patients. In 2011, the American Heart Association published a guideline (Mosca et al., 2011) specific for women, adopting cutoff for high risk classification of 10%, which is equivalent to 13 points in the Framingham scale, considering the new values assigned to each variable in this calculation. According to the new guideline, the number of “high risk” women increased from 10% to 30%, which in absolute terms represents 21 million Brazilian women.
The data in Table 5 show that there is no statistically significant association between the factors analyzed and sarcopenia, except for BMI, which appears to be a protective factor, which agrees with results from other studies that indicate a high correlation between low BMI and sarcopenia (Lau et al., 2005; Sanada et al., 2010). BMI also plays a protective role when compared to ALM. However, higher odds ratios observed for hypertension, smoking, triglycerides, obesity, Framingham risk score, and abdominal fat showed a trend of association between sarcopenia and risk factors for CVD and together explained 67% of the variation in appendicular lean mass corrected by height.

The metabolic effects of sarcopenia include a decrease in resting metabolic rate due to the decrease in lean body mass and physical activity, which can lead to an increased prevalence of insulin resistance, type-2 diabetes mellitus, dyslipidemia and hypertension (Karakelides & Nair, 2005). In this study, the prevalence of hypertension was 54%, which is considered high, since population-based studies show prevalence from 22% to 44% in Brazilian cities (Boing & Boing, 2007), but considered normal according to VIGITEL (2010) data, which show prevalence of 53.4% for women aged between 55 and 64 years and 62% for women over 65 years. Additional statistical analyses showed significant association between hypertension and LMUL (p < 0.05). Although in this study sarcopenia was not associated with hypertension, in the study by Sanada et al. (2010), who also assessed the association between sarcopenia and cardiovascular risk factors, arterial stiffness (given by increased diastolic blood pressure ≥ 85 mmHg), it was strongly associated with sarcopenia in women.

Smoking and triglyceride levels are related to lifestyle, being influenced by cultural and socio-economic issues, including diet. According to Reicher et al. (2009), the cessation of smoking has rapid consequences in inflammatory biomarkers in women at risk for CVD. Other studies evaluating the relationship between these two variables and sarcopenia found no significant differences (Baumgartner et al., 1998; Sanada et al., 2010).

The Framingham score also tended to show an association with sarcopenia, since its calculation takes into account some of the variables with the same condition (hypertension and smoking).

Unlike the results found in the study by Baumgartner et al. (1998), where obesity was mentioned as a protective factor to sarcopenia, the odds ratio of 1.68 found in this study shows a convergence of sarcopenia to risk factor. More recent studies (Kim et al., 2011; Lim et al., 2010) consider sarcopenic obesity as the combination of factors adopted for predicting obesity and sarcopenia, with prevalence of 5.7% in women aged ≥ 65 years. The present study showed prevalence of 24.2% of women classified as obese-sarcopenic, with the same obesity and sarcopenia criteria shown in Table 5. In a longitudinal study by Stephen and Janssen (2009), where 2033 women aged 65 or older were evaluated for 8 years, no significant associations between CVD and obesity-sarcopenia were found. The findings suggest that muscle strength may be more important than muscle mass in the protection against CVD in the elderly. However, the etiology of sarcopenic obesity is unknown (Zamboni, Mazzali, Fantin, Rossi, & Di Francesco, 2008) and may help clarify the relationship between obesity, morbidity and mortality in the elderly (Baumgartner et al., 2004). Thus, studies involving the relationship between obesity and sarcopenia should be conducted, assessing the different factors that can cause changes in the behavior of the association between these two variables.

The fact that high BMI is a protective factor and that higher obesity levels behave as a risk factor can be partly explained by the failure of BMI in classifying body adiposity. Exploratory analysis showed that 90% of women were classified as normal weight by BMI and those showing BF% above 35% were sarcopenic, while 100% of overweight women by BMI classification and with BF% below 35% were not sarcopenic. There is a tendency of the former situation to be more prevalent in the age group above 60 years, and with decreasing age, it seems that the second situation is more likely to occur, since women aged below 60 years had increased physical activity levels. Since BMI and BF% are positively correlated, the overall trend would be that an increase of one would lead to an increase in the value of the other. However, this study observed that if BF% is high, but the BMI value is considered eutrophic, the individual will probably be sarcopenic, lean mass will be reduced, with great replacement by body fat. However, a decreased BF% and high BMI will lead to a benefit to the individual in relation to sarcopenia, because excess weight should be linked to higher lean mass levels. Then, none of these variables alone can assess the level of sarcopenia. Due to that fact that BMI is a more appropriate method for assessing nutritional status, the use of additional techniques is needed to better characterize the individual in relation to aspects related to differences in body composition.

Abdominal fat and CI, despite not having cutoff points to identify individuals with greater propensity to CVD, are considered by several studies as factors directly related to cardiovascular risk, as they are indicative of central obesity (Costa, Soares, Lemos, Maranhao, & Azevedo, 2010; Kim et al., 2011; Pitanga & Lessa, 2005) and DXA can be an interesting method for estimating body fat, including visceral fat (Kaul et al., 2012; Toombs, Ducher, Shepherd, & De Souza, 2012). However, this study observed a trend of sarcopenia only as a risk factor for body fat.

PAL showed considerable tendency as a protective factor. Studies that include behavioral changes and increased physical activity in different age groups (Mayer et al., 2011; Subirats, Subirats, & Soteras, 2012) clearly demonstrate an inverse relationship between increased physical activity and slow decline in lean body mass. Thus, further studies involving more representative samples in each age group should be carried out, with different levels of physical activity interventions.

**Conclusions**

In short, the prevalence of sarcopenia in non-sedentary women was 34%. There was no statistically significant relationship between sarcopenia and risk factors for CVD, except for BMI as protective factor. However, there is a tendency for sarcopenia to assume associations as a risk factor for CVD in the variables smoking, triglycerides, obesity, Framingham Risk Score.
Score, abdominal fat and as a protective factor in the variable physical activity level.

Future studies of the same nature can overcome some limitations found in the present study such as reduced sample, absence of a sedentary control group and the way of establishing the level of physical activity (indirect measure). These limitations duly taken into account may make the results even more enriching.
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