The period of the day affects the twenty-four hour blood pressure response to an acute combined exercise session in Brazilian jiu jitsu athletes
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Abstract—The purpose of this study was to compare the effect of a combined exercise session performed at different periods of the day on the 24h blood pressure (BP) response. Anaerobic threshold (AT) and 12 repetition maximum (12RM) tests were evaluated in nine Brazilian jiu-jitsu athletes (male) (22±3.7 y; 176±5.0 cm; 73.4±9.7 kg; 6.8±2.1 % body fat). Four experimental sessions were performed: resistance exercise followed by aerobic exercise [Morning (MornS) and Afternoon (AfternS)] and Control (C) [Morning and Afternoon]. The morning sessions were conducted at 09:00 a.m. and the afternoon sessions were conducted at 3:00 p.m. The resistance exercise consisted of three sets at 90% of a 12RM for six resistance exercises. The aerobic exercise consisted of 15min at 90% of the AT. Blood pressure (BP) was measured before, during and 1h (Microlife® BP3A1C) after the performance of exercises in laboratory, and then during daily activities for the succeeding 23h by ambulatory BP monitoring (Dyna-MAP A®). Analysis of the area under the curve (AUC) indicated significant reductions in blood pressure parameters at various time points during the 24h monitoring period. For systolic BP (SBP), significantly lower values were shown following the morning session versus the control (MornS: 1756.2±100.8 vs. C: 1818.2±84.3 mmHg*15h; \(p < .05\)) and total-24h (MornS: 2695.8±143.3 vs. C: 2784.1±143.2 mmHg*24h; \(p < .05\)). The total-24h mean BP (MAP) was also significantly lower following the morning session versus the control (MornS: 2015.7±121.2 vs. C: 2087.3±153.8 mmHg*24h; \(p < .05\)). There were significant differences in the sleeping AUC of SBP (AfternS: 883.6±27.0 vs. C: 965.2±121.2 mmHg*9h; \(p < .05\)), diastolic BP (DBP) (AfternS: 481.4±30.9 vs. MornS: 552.9±34.2 and C: 562.1±52.3 mmHg*9h; \(p < .01\)) and MBP (AfternS: 651.9±22.4 vs. MornS: 708.7±43.1 and C: 726.9±64.7 mmHg*9h; \(p < .01\)). The combined exercise performed at different periods of the day contributed distinctly to the reduction of BP. The morning session was important in reducing SBP and MBP in the total-24h monitoring, while the afternoon session reduced SBP, DBP and MBP during sleeping in jiu-Jitsu athletes.
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Resumo—“O período do dia afeta a resposta de pressão arterial de 24 horas para uma sessão aguda de exercício combinado no brasileiro de jiu jitsu atletas.” O objetivo deste estudo foi comparar o efeito de uma sessão combinada de exercício realizada em diferentes períodos do dia, sobre as respostas de pressão arterial (PA) durante 24h. Limiar anaeróbio (LA) e teste de 12 repetições máximas (12RM) foram avaliados em nove atletas (masculinos) de jiu-jitsu (22,0±3,7 anos; 176,0±5,0 cm; 73,4±9,7 kg; 6,8±2,1 % gordura). Quatro sessões experimentais foram realizadas: exercício resistido + exercício aeróbio [manhã (ManhãE) e tarde (TardeE)] e sessão controle (C) [manhã e tarde]. A sessão da manhã foi realizada 09:00h e a sessão da tarde foi realizada às 15:00h. O exercício resistido consistiu em três séries a 90% de 12RM em seis exercícios. O exercício aeróbio consistiu em 15min a 90% do LA. PA foi mensurada antes, durante e 1h (Microlife® BP3A1C) após a realização dos exercícios em laboratório e 23h durante as atividades diárias com a monitorização ambulatorial da PA (Dyna-MAP A®). Ao analisar os valores da área abaixo da curva (AAC) indicaram reduções significativas nos parâmetros de pressão arterial em vários pontos de tempo durante o período de acompanhamento de 24 horas. Para a PA sistólica (PAS), observaram-se valores significativamente mais baixos após a sessão realizada pela manhã em comparação a sessão controle no vigília (ManhãE: 1756,2±100,8 vs. C: 1818,2±84,3 mmHg*15h; \(p < 0,05\)) e 24h-total (ManhãE: 2695,8±143,3 vs. C: 2784,1±143,2 mmHg*24h; \(p < 0,05\)). Nas 24h-total também ocorreu diferença na PA média (PAM) (ManhãE: 2015,7±121,2 vs. C: 2087,3±153,8 mmHg*24h; \(p < 0,05\)). Houve uma diferença significativa na AAC no período de
It has been shown that this cardiovascular reactivity occurs not only during competitions, but also during the athlete’s training routine (Andreato et al., 2014).

The period of the day should be also considered in the evaluation of cardiovascular behavior. For example, there is a variation of BP reactivity throughout the day, which is higher during the morning period as compared with the afternoon period (Jones et al., 2006).

Clinical and epidemiological studies have confirmed the benefits of exercise on cardiovascular health (Queiroz, Kanegusuku, & Forjaz, 2010; Medina, Lobo, Souza, Kanegusuku, & Forjaz, 2010). Exercise has been widely recommended for the acute decrease and/or controlling of BP. A single bout of aerobic or resistance exercise can acutely reduce BP below pre-exercise values in elderly women (Santana et al., 2013), diabetic (Morais et al., 2011, Motta et al., 2010; Sales et al., 2012; Simões, Moreira, Kusuheimick, Simões, & Campbell, 2010), hypertensive (Moraes et al., 2007), overweight/obese (Tibana, Pereira, Navalta, Bottaro, & Prestes, 2013) and normotensive individuals (Rezk, Marrache, Tinucci, Mion, & Forjaz, 2006); a phenomenon known as post-exercise hypotension (PEH). Hecksteden, Grutters and Meyer (2013) reported that the magnitude of PEH is related with the training-induced decrease of BP following a walking/running program (45 minutes, four times per week at 60% heart rate reserve) during four weeks in healthy untrained subjects aged 30 to 60 years.
Blood pressure response in Brazilian jiu jitsu athletes

Although combined aerobic and resistance exercise has been widely used in the daily practice, there is no consensus regarding the effects of this strategy during different periods of the day on the 24h BP response. Most studies investigated BP response up to 60-120 minutes following exercise (Kesse, Farinatti, Pescatello, Cunha, & Monteiro, 2012; Kesse, Farinatti, Pescatello, & Monteiro, 2011; Motta et al., 2010; Rezk et al., 2006; Santana et al., 2013; Simões et al., 2010), which limits the information to longer periods following exercise. This analysis would be very important, considering the cardiovascular risks elicited during the morning and afternoon, such as the increased occurrence of stroke. Elevated BP is considered to be an important inducible factor of stroke due to the rupture of vulnerable atheromatous plaques, resulting in occlusive thrombus (Jones et al., 2006; Stergiou et al., 2002).

Thus, the aim of the present study was to compare the effect an acute combined exercise session performed at different periods of the day on the 24h BP response in jiu-jitsu athletes. We hypothesized that the combined exercise in both periods protocols would induce PHE, but at different moments of the day.

Methods

Subjects

This study was approved by the local Human Research Ethics Committee of the Catholic University of Brasilia (Protocol No. 126/10). The sample size calculation was performed using the G*Power 3.1 software. When considering the effect size = .57; α = 5%; power = .95 and the correlation between repeated measures of $r = .5$, the sample size required for the proposed objectives was nine participants. Subsequently, nine male young adults (22.0±3.7 y; 73.3±9.7 kg; 176.0±5.0 cm; 6.8±2.1 % body fat) signed a written consent form and volunteered to participate in this study. All subjects were jiu-jitsu athletes with regional and Pan-American results, who trained at least three to five times per week at the same period of the day with a minimal experience of one year (Table 1).

Figure 1. Flowchart of the study. RM = repetition maximum.

General procedures

Before the experimental sessions all volunteers were submitted to an electrocardiogram in order to verify if they were apt to participate in the study. The exams were performed by a cardiologist at the Physical Evaluation and Training Laboratory of the Catholic University of Brasilia. The exclusion criteria adopted were: any cardiovascular impairment that would compromise performing the experimental sessions; any kind of bone or muscle injury; and presence of hypertension (systolic blood pressure at rest higher than 140 mmHg and diastolic blood pressure at rest higher than 90 mmHg), heart disease or other cardiovascular commitment.
Each subject performed a total of seven trials, on separate days, as follows: 1st visit – anthropometric measurements (Jackson & Pollock, 1978; Siri, 1961) and familiarization on resistance exercise equipment and 12 repetition maximum (12RM) testing; 2nd visit - aerobic fitness evaluation; 3rd visit - 12RMs re-test; and then the 4th, 5th, 6th and 7th visits - experimental sessions performing resistance exercise (RE) followed by aerobic exercise (AE) in different periods of the day (morning and afternoon), the experimental sessions had a minimum interval between sessions 48 hours. Volunteers were instructed to refrain from physical exercise, and not to change their daily diet 24h before the experimental sessions.

Assessment of aerobic fitness

The participants were instructed to run 1,600 m or four times around a 400 m track in the lowest possible time. The mean velocity was calculated (mV1600) by dividing the distance by the time in which they completed the test. In order to characterize the sample, maximal oxygen uptake (VO2max) was calculated using the equation proposed by Almeida et al. 2010 [VO2max = (0.177 * 1600mV) + 8.101; R2 = .89]. To determine the intensity of the aerobic exercise during the combined exercise session, the maximal blood lactate steady-state intensity was determined (anaerobic threshold – AT) by lactate minimum (LM) test using the equation proposed by Sotero, Pardono, Campbell and Simões (2009) [LM = (0.7507 * 1600mV) + 21.575; R2 = .96].

Strength assessment (12RM)

Before 12RM testing, subjects were familiarized with the resistance exercises, which consisted of the following: leg press, chest press, leg extension, front lat pull-down, leg curl and seated row. Four attempts interspersed by 3-5 minutes intervals were allowed in each exercise until the 12RM load was determined. The 12RM testing was conducted in the same order that exercises were executed as part of the experimental protocol.

Aerobic exercise (AE)

AE sessions were performed on a treadmill (Movement®, Sao Paulo, Brazil) with an intensity corresponding to 90% of the AT during 15 minutes.

Resistance exercise (RE)

The RE sessions consisted of a circuit approach, alternating between the upper and lower body exercises at 90% of the 12RM. Subjects completed three sets of 12 repetitions for each of the six exercises (Righetto, Powertec, São Paulo, Brazil) in the same order mentioned above. The rest interval between exercises and circuits was 10 seconds (time required to equipment change). Each muscle contraction lasted 4s (accounting for the eccentric and concentric phases of the movement). The RE sessions lasted about 15 minutes.

Experimental sessions of combined exercise

All experiments sessions were completed in the laboratory under controlled temperature of ~20°C and relative air humidity between 50 and 70% (Guimarães et al., 2003). Four experimental sessions were completed, two control sessions (C) and two exercise sessions at the morning (9 a.m. – MornS) and afternoon (3 p.m. – AfternS). The combined exercise sessions consisted of 15 minutes of RE followed by 15 minutes of AE. Control sessions were completed during the same period of the day during which subjects remained in a seated position for 30 minutes. During the morning control session, BP was monitored only for 1 h.

Blood pressure measurement

Systolic (SBP), diastolic (DBP) and mean arterial pressure (MAP) were measured before, during and after exercise and control sessions. Pre-exercise measures of BP were completed with the subject seated quietly during 5, 10 and 15 minutes. The mean of the three measures was considered the pre-exercise BP. Post-exercise measures were performed every 15 minutes during 60 minutes at the seated position. Laboratory measures were taken by a digital oscilometric device Microlife® mod. BP3A1C.

After the above-mentioned 60 minutes, subjects were instructed to perform their personal hygiene in a time interval of 20 minutes, and prepare themselves to use the ambulatory BP monitor (Dyna-MAP®), in accordance with the manufacturer’s instructions. The ambulatory BP measurements were taken during a period of 23h, one hour after the measurement taken in the laboratory facilities, totaling 24h of BP measurements taken. SBP, DBP and MAP were measured every 15 minutes during the awake period (15h) and every 30 minutes during the sleep period (9h). These measurements were considered valid when 90% of all measurements were recorded.

Measurement of heart rate (HR) and rating of perceived exertion (RPE)

During AE sessions the RPE was evaluated by 15 points Borg scale [6 to 20] (Borg, 2000). The RPE during RE was determined by OMNI-RES scale [0 to 10] (Rodrigues et al., 2010; Delpenho, 2009). HR was also monitored during exercise sessions (Polar, RS-800-CX, Finland).

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as means and standard deviation of the mean. The normalcy of the data was checked by the
Results

Table 1 presents the subjects characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (y)</td>
<td>22.0±3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>73.4±9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>176.0±5.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg.m⁻¹)</td>
<td>23.0±1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body fat (%)</td>
<td>6.8±2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VO₂ max (mL.kg⁻¹.min⁻¹)</td>
<td>50.4±4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaerobic threshold (km.h⁻¹)</td>
<td>12.4±1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

VO₂ max: Maximum oxygen consumption.

Results from HR, RPE, running speed, number of repetitions and post-exercise BP are presented in Table 2. There were no statistically significant differences between exercise sessions completed during the morning and afternoon (p > .05). Conversely, both of the RE session (AfternS and MornS) showed high values for SBP and MAP versus their respective control sessions (p < .05). However, DBP showed high values in both control sessions versus RE (p < .05).

Moreover, to observe the SBP and MAP were higher of the experimental sessions versus control in respective moment post-RE (p < .05), however, only DBP showed a significant difference between the two sessions to control when post-RE AfternS session (p > .05) (Table 2).

The values related to control session in the morning and afternoon are shown in Table 2. These results did not differ significantly at rest or at any time during the recovery (R15-R60), highlighted by the AUC.

Table 3 presents the values of SBP, DBP and MAP at the different periods of 24h (Sleep, Awake and total 24h). A significant difference was found in the MornS session versus the control session during the awake period (p < .05). Although the experimental session was not significantly different as compared with the control for SBP, DBP, and MAP during the total 24h period, the effect sizes (Cohen d) were high to moderate (Table 3).

Table 4 presents the AUC values of BP. There was a significant decrease in SBP in the MornS as compared with C session during sleeping and 24h period (p < .05). Systolic BP was also decreased in the AfternS versus the C session during sleeping hours (p < .05). DBP and MAP were lower following the AfternS versus the C and MornS during sleeping hours (p < .05). Twenty-four hour MAP was decreased following the AfternS versus the C session (p < .05).
Table 3. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring results during total-24h awake and sleep moments after different periods of the day of the combined exercise (n=9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>AfternS</th>
<th>MornS</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SBP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>118±7</td>
<td>117±8</td>
<td>117±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>109±4*</td>
<td>109±5*</td>
<td>112±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>111±5*</td>
<td>110±5*</td>
<td>115±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>107±3</td>
<td>105±6</td>
<td>106±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>68±5</td>
<td>69±7</td>
<td>71±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>61±4*</td>
<td>62±4</td>
<td>64±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>63±5*</td>
<td>65±5</td>
<td>66±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>57±4*</td>
<td>58±4*</td>
<td>61±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAP (mmHg)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resting</td>
<td>82±6</td>
<td>84±7</td>
<td>84±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24h</td>
<td>82±4</td>
<td>81±5*</td>
<td>84±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awake</td>
<td>83±5</td>
<td>83±5</td>
<td>86±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleep</td>
<td>79±2</td>
<td>77±5</td>
<td>78±5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; MAP = mean arterial pressure; AfternS: Combined exercise session at the afternoon; MornS: Combined exercise session at the morning; C: control session. *p < .05 as compared with C; difference in magnitude of effect as compared with the same period of the control session (#: Cohen’s d = -.49 to .66 and †: - .82 to .88).

Tabela 4. Ambulatory blood pressure measurement area under the curve during total 24h awake and sleep periods after different periods of the day of the combined exercise (n=9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>24h (mmHg*24h)</th>
<th>Awake (mmHg*15h)</th>
<th>Sleep (mmHg*9h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SBP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AfternS</td>
<td>2723.7±99.0</td>
<td>1840.1±81.7</td>
<td>883.6±27.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MornS</td>
<td>2695.8±143.3*</td>
<td>1756.2±100.8*</td>
<td>939.6±60.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2784.1±143.2</td>
<td>1818.2±84.3</td>
<td>965.2±67.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>DBP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AfternS</td>
<td>1529.7±96.8</td>
<td>1048.3±72.6</td>
<td>481.4±30.9**#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MornS</td>
<td>1565.0±107.7</td>
<td>1012.2±77.4</td>
<td>552.9±34.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>1617.5±146.7</td>
<td>1055.4±103.8</td>
<td>562.1±52.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MAP</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AfternS</td>
<td>2022.7±98.1</td>
<td>1370.8±79.6</td>
<td>651.9±22.4**#</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MornS</td>
<td>2015.7±121.2*</td>
<td>1307.0±86.3</td>
<td>708.7±43.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>2087.3±153.8</td>
<td>1360.3±94.9</td>
<td>726.9±64.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; MAP = mean arterial pressure; AfternS: Combined exercise session at the afternoon; MornS: Combined exercise session at the morning; C: control session. *p < .05 as compared with C; **p < .01 as compared with C; #p < .001 as compared with C and MornS.

Figure 2. Ambulatory blood pressure monitoring results during 24h periods in all experimental sessions after different periods of the day of the combined exercise (n=9). SBP = systolic blood pressure; DBP = diastolic blood pressure; MAP = mean arterial pressure; AfternS: combined exercise session at the afternoon; MornS: combined exercise session at the morning; C: control session. ≠p < .01 to AfternS vs. C; ≠p < .05 to MornS vs. C; *p < .05 in relation to rest in the same session (AfternS); **p < .01 in relation to rest in the same session (AfternS); ¥p < .05 in relation to rest in the same session (MornS).
Figures 2 show values during 24h of ambulatory BP measurements in all experimental and control sessions. The SBP at 10 to 11 a.m. (p < .01) and 4 to 5 p.m. (p < .001) were lower in the AfternS session and MornS session, respectively, versus the C session. The DBP and MAP were lower in the MornS at 4 to 5 p.m. (p < .027; p < .047), showing a hypertensive effect of experimental sessions versus the C session.

Post-exercise hypotension occurred following the experimental sessions for SBP in two periods, from 10 to 11 a.m. (p < .03) and from 6 to 7 a.m. (p < .006) for the AfternS; and for the MornS, in three periods, from 4 to 5 p.m. (p < .045), 2 to 3 a.m. (p < .028), 6 to 7 a.m. (p < .041). For DBP, post-exercise hypotension occurred in two periods; for the AfternS from 4 to 5 a.m. (p < .001) and 6 to 7 a.m. (p < .043) and for the MornS from 2 to 3 a.m. (p < .021), 6 to 7 a.m. (p < .016); and only MornS session in MAP from 2 to 3 a.m. (p < .019) (Figure 2).

Discussion

The data from the present study are of clinical relevance, as the AfternS session resulted in lower SBP, DBP and MAP AUC values as compared with the day without exercise (C), during the sleeping hours. There was a similar response of DBP and MAP when MornS was compared with the C session. Confirming the initial hypothesis, these results revealed that the AfternS induced a higher decrease in nocturnal BP, which represents an important clinical application to cardiovascular prevention of an individual, and would allow for a lower BP reactivity while weakening.

Of note, lower values of BP during the morning may contribute to a decreased risk of stroke, ischemia, myocardium infarction and ventricular tachycardia (Gardner & Schneider, 2001), which are more likely to occur during this period of the day (Jones, Pritchard, George, Edwards, & Atkinson, 2008; Paschos & Fitzgerald, 2010; Stergiou et al., 2002). Nevertheless, there was a decrease of SBP AUC during sleeping and 24h period following the MornS, which may prevent the increase of BP during stressful situations in daily living (Jones, Taylor, Lewis, George, & Atkinson, 2009; Jones et al., 2006).

However, independently from the period of the day (sleeping or during the day), a decrease in BP of 2 mmHg, may represent a decrease of 6% in stroke risk, and of 4% in chronic heart disease (Whelton, & Appel, 2002). Additionally, Liu, Goodman, Nolan, Lacambe and Thomas (2012) found that an acute decrease of BP as consequence of exercise was correlated with the chronic decrease of BP in normotensive individuals, highlighting that even an acute decrease in BP has an impact on cardiovascular prevention.

Interestingly, Jiu-Jitsu is characterized to be an intense contact and grappling sport, where the main goal is to dominate the opponent by some techniques such as: projections, immobilizations, joint looks, twists and chokes. Impotantly, it has been shown that during neck chokes intra-ocular BP increases 10 mmHg in young Jiu-Jitsu athletes due to mechanical constriction of the neck (Scari et al., 2009). Moreover, during the fight there is a great need for isometric strength for the execution of some techniques, which induces an increase in BP due to local vascular occlusion (Anthony et al., 2012). Nevertheless, the period of the day may impact BP elevations, as Stergiou et al. (2002) observed an increased risk of 12.7% in stroke during the morning (6 to 12 a.m.) and of 8% in the evening (4 to 8 p.m.), evidenced by an abrupt increase of SBP and DBP. However, in trained individuals the BP reactivity has been shown to be lower (Karabatakis et al., 2004; Natsis et al., 2009).

The combined exercise session used in the present study was effective in decreasing BP, which may provide cardiovascular protection. In practical terms, the decrease of BP during the morning following the afternoon exercise session may provide an additional cardiovascular protection, due to the higher rate of cardiovascular episodes observed during this period of the day.

Furthermore, combined training induces both cardiovascular and neuromuscular adaptations, which are important for Jiu Jitsu athletes, while isolated resistance or aerobic training would limit both adaptations (Kesse et al., 2011).

There is some evidence of the superiority of afternoon exercise in inducing post exercise hypotension as compared to morning exercise. Jones et al. (2008), investigated 440 individuals aged between 15 and 81 years submitted to a cycle ergometry aerobic exercise session. Results revealed that afternoon exercise induced higher drops in SBP, DBP and MAP compared with morning exercise. Jones et al. (2009), compared the effects of continuous versus interval cycle ergometry exercise performed during the morning and afternoon, and found that, regardless of the method used, SBP response was lower following the afternoon exercise.

Park, Jastremski and Wallace (2005), assessed 24h BP responses following treadmill walking at different times of the day in individuals classified as non-dippers and dippers, to that one’s classified like dippers, case of the present sample. It was observed that exercise performed in the morning (6 to 8 a.m.) was more effective in decreasing SBP over 24h (-5.56±2.27 mmHg) versus when exercise was performed in the afternoon/evening (5 to 7 p.m.), in which was little change in SBP (0.11±2.29 mmHg).

The present study had some limitations: 1) reduced number of individuals and 2) the lack of 24h measure during the C session in the morning. However, it’s noteworthy that to our knowledge this is the first study that investigated the effects of a combined exercise session (aerobic + resistance) performed in different periods of the day (morning vs. afternoon) on the BP response, and to reduce this limitation, ambulatory measure of BP during the morning and afternoon at rest and up to 60 minutes following exercise (R15-R60) was performed, with no difference between these measures.

Finally, more studies using combined exercise during different periods of the day should be designed to investigate the potential different mechanisms involved in PEH. Different populations, especially individuals with higher cardiovascular risk should be studied.

Conclusion

The combined exercise performed at different periods of the day contributed distinctly to reductions in BP. The MornS
was important in reducing SBP and MAP during the total-24h monitoring, and the AfterNS in reducing SBP, DBP and MAP during sleeping hours in Jiu-Jitsu athletes. A combined exercise protocol, consisting of both resistance and aerobic exercise, modifies 24h BP responses and may have great clinical relevance for Jiu-Jitsu athletes for cardiovascular health, especially in moments of daily life that increase psychosocial stress.
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