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**Abstract - Aim:** The aim was to investigate the influence of a maximal isometric muscle action of the elbow extensors on the contralateral dynamic task of the elbow flexors.

**Methods:** Seventeen recreationally trained men (23.3 ± 4.9 yrs, BMI: 24.8 ± 2.2 Kg/m²) underwent two randomized different testing sessions separated by one week. In the control session (CON) all subjects performed a maximum number of repetitions test (RMs) at 75%1RM using the right elbow flexors. The experimental session (EXP) was similar to the CON; however, all subjects were instructed to perform RMs at 75%1RM by using the right elbow flexors and maintaining the maximal voluntary contraction of the left elbow extensors during the test. RMs, rating of perceived exertion (RPE), and training volume (TV) were measured and compared between sessions.

**Results:** The EXP showed a significant 10.4% increase on the RMs (13.8 vs. 12.5, \( p < 0.001, \ d = 0.44 \)) and 12.1% increase in TV (238.0 vs. 212.4 kg, \( p < 0.001, \ d = 0.43 \)) than CON. No differences were observed for RPE between sessions.

**Conclusion:** The maximum voluntary contraction of the left elbow extensors increased the RMs of the contralateral elbow flexors, reflecting a higher TV, and no differences in the RPE. Our results suggest that the investigated method may be a viable and practical alternative to increase the acute strength performance of elbow flexors when using submaximal loads.

**Keywords:** resistance training, reciprocal activation, strength, physical performance, remote voluntary contraction

**Introduction**

Optimal prescription of resistance training programs relies on proper organization of training variables such as frequency, intensity, volume, rest intervals, velocity, choice and order of exercise, and periodization. Previous research has demonstrated the importance of varying training methods to provide increasing and consistent results. One of these methods consists of performing an exercise that requires simultaneous actions of contralateral muscles that perform opposite movements, for example, the right elbow flexors and the left elbow extensors. Interactions between the right and left sides of the body are known to produce various effects upon human performance, and it is known that the muscle action of one side of the body is mainly controlled by the contralateral cerebral hemisphere. The two cerebral hemispheres are connected by commissural nerve fibers, and the most conspicuous of these connections is the corpus callosum. Weineck suggests muscle tension and consequently acute performance are enhanced when the contralateral opposite muscle is contracted at the same time as a dynamic task is performed by the exercise primary mover, that is, the performance in a right elbow flexor task is increased if, simultaneously, the left elbow extensor is contracted.

The increase of strength performance of muscles via the contraction of remote muscles to the prime mover is a phenomenon that has been described as remote voluntary contractions or concurrent activation potentiation (CAP). This phenomenon has been widely investigated; however, most studies investigating the effects of remote voluntary contraction have examined the influence of upper limb contraction on the performance of lower limb tasks and vice versa, as well as strategies such as jaw clenching and Valsava maneuver. In these situations, the observed increase in performance is due to hypotheses such as theory of motor overflow and the summation of proprioceptive inputs. However, Weineck has suggested that the increase in performance by simultaneous contraction of contralateral muscles performing opposite movements might be explained (at least in part) by the contralateral coactivation.
phenomenon, although, there is a gap in the literature about the effects of contralateral coactivation on acute performance.

Ohtsuki\(^7\) demonstrated that during the voluntary muscle action of the right triceps brachial, the biceps brachial of opposite limb was involuntarily reciprocally activated. Although this was not the objective of the Ohtsuki's paper, the reciprocal activation is identified through an example of recorded traces in the EMG analysis presented in Figure 2 of the mentioned article. Thus, theoretically, voluntary activation of the contralateral biceps brachial might excite the opposite triceps brachial due to the contralateral coactivation phenomenon, and this can increase the performance of the latter. Therefore, the aim of this study was to investigate the immediate influence of the maximal isometric muscle action of the elbow extensors during the contralateral dynamic task of the elbow flexors. We hypothesized that the voluntary left elbow extensor activation may enhance the acute performance of the right elbow flexor.

**Methods**

*Experimental Approach to the Problem*

In order to examine the effects of contralateral voluntary muscle action of the elbow extensor on acute performance and perceived exertion of an opposite elbow flexor task, resistance-trained men visited the laboratory three times separated by one week. On the first visit, subjects performed a one repetition maximum test (1RM test) of the right elbow flexion exercise on a Scott bench equipment. The following randomized sessions included control and experimental conditions. In the control session, subjects performed a maximum number of repetitions test at 75% of 1RM with the right elbow flexors on a preacher bench equipment and free cadence. The experimental session was similar; however, subjects were instructed to perform a maximum number of repetitions test at 75% of 1RM with the right elbow flexors while maintaining the maximal voluntary contraction of the left elbow extensors during the test. The maximum number of repetitions and rating of perceived exertion were measured and compared between conditions.

**Subjects**

Seventeen young, resistance-trained men, without previous disease diagnosis, right-arm dominant based on their preferred arm to write (age ± SD: 23.3 ± 4.9 years, height: 173.0 ± 5.2 cm, total body mass: 74.2 ± 7.35 Kg, BMI: 24.8 ± 2.2 Kg/m\(^2\), resistance training experience: 3.3 ± 2.3 years, right elbow flexion 1RM: 22.6 ± 4.6 kg) volunteered to participate in the current study. Exclusion criteria included current chronic pain, recent surgery (< 1 year), recent hospitalization, any chronic disease, cognitive impairment or progressive conditions and with debilitating inability to exercise, recent bone fractures (< 1 year), and any other medical contraindications for training. All participants had medical examinations and completed questionnaires regarding medical history, and protocols were performed in accordance with the ethical standards of the Helsinki Declaration. This study was approved by the University (FEFISA Educational Center, nº 1.136.301, 02/jul/2015) research ethics committee and all subjects read and signed an informed consent document.
At the end of both sessions (control and experimental), the maximum number of repetitions, correctly performed until voluntary fatigue, and the rating of perceived exertion (RPE) were assessed. In addition, the training volume (TV) was calculated for each session by multiplying the number of sets by total number of repetitions by the lifted weight (kg) according to previous study\(^1\). All measures were performed at approximately the same period of day (morning), between 9:00 AM and 12:00 PM, and by the same researcher.

**Maximum repetition testing (1RM)**

All subjects performed a 1RM test of the right elbow flexion exercise on the preacher bench. Subjects received standard instructions regarding the technique. Exercise execution was monitored and corrected when necessary, ensuring no stopping between eccentric and concentric phases for each test. The cadence during the test was free. For a successful repetition, the maximum range of motion was predefined for each exercise. After a previous warm-up (10 repetitions of the specific exercise with 20% of estimated 1RM load), all subjects started a maximum of five 1RM attempts with 5-minute rest intervals between trials\(^2\). In order to avoid possible errors in the 1RM test, the following strategies were adopted: all subjects received standard instructions regarding the general routine of data assessment and the exercise technique before testing; the exercise technique of subjects was monitored and corrected by an experienced personal trainer.

**Rating of perceived exertion (RPE)**

An OMNI-RES RPE scale\(^3\) was used to assess effort during the conditions. Standard instructions and anchoring procedures were explained during the familiarization session. Subjects were asked to use a number on the scale to rate their overall effort for each condition. A rating of “0” was associated with no effort and a rating of “10” was associated with maximal effort and the most stressful exercise ever performed. Subjects were shown the scale immediately after each condition and asked: “How was your workout?”.

**Statistical analysis**

Normality and homogeneity of variances were confirmed with the Shapiro-Wilk and Levene’s tests, respectively. All data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. Paired samples t-test was used to compare differences between experimental and control conditions for all dependent variables (maximum number of repetitions at 75% 1RM; TV; RPE). Cohen’s formula for effect size \((d)\) was calculated, and the results were interpreted based on the following criteria: <0.35 trivial effect; 0.35-0.80 small effect; 0.80-1.50 moderate effect; and >1.5 large effect, for recreationally trained subjects\(^4\). An alpha level of 0.05 was used to determine statistical significance. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (v 15.0; IBM, Armonk, NY, USA).

**Results**

The maximum number of repetitions at 75% 1RM was significantly greater in the experimental condition than control (13.8 ± 4 reps vs. 12.5 ± 3 reps, respectively; \(p < 0.001, d = 0.44\)) (Figure 2A). The TV was also significantly greater in the experimental condition than control (238 ± 59 kg vs. 212 ± 59 kg, respectively; \(p < 0.001, d = 0.43\)) (Figure 2B). However, there was no significant difference for RPE between sessions (experimental: 7.4 ± 1.0 vs. control: 7.5 ± 1.2, \(p = 0.749, d = -0.08\)) (Figure 2C).

**Discussion**

The motivation for performing this research was based on the hypothesis that the performance would increase in the experimental condition, as suggested by Weineck\(^5\). This initial hypothesis was confirmed. The experimental condition showed a significant increase (10.4%) on maximum repetitions performance than control condition, reflecting the significantly increase
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Figure 2. Means and standard deviation of the following dependent variables. A: maximum number of repetitions at 75%1RM; B: training volume; C: RPE in both conditions (control and experimental); *Significant differences between Experimental and Control (\(p < 0.001\)).
of TV by 12.1%. These results suggest that the investigated method may be a viable and practical alternative to increase the acute strength performance of elbow flexors when using submaximal loads.

The maximum number of repetitions and TV are considered an important stimulus for morphological and functional adaptations because they imply greater levels of mechanical tension and metabolic stress\(^1\). The mechanical tension is the primary characteristic which leads to strength gains, whereas, the metabolic stress contributes to increasing the muscular endurance. The increase of mechanical tension by the greater number of repetitions with heavy weights (as noted in the present study) is a characteristic that may lead to higher gains in muscle mass\(^3\). Similarly, the metabolic stress plays an important role in hypertrophy\(^20\). Additionally, the TV has been suggested as a variable that directly influences the hypertrophic results. Therefore, training methods that elevate the TV tend to provide better responses on the increase of muscle mass\(^21,22\). A relevant effect observed in our study was that the increase in the maximum number of repetitions and the TV was not reflected in the RPE values. Thus, the method used in this study presented an option for situations in which an increase in performance is desired without increasing the perceived exertion.

One fact that might explain the increased performance of the contralateral flexor muscle group is the reciprocal activation of the contralateral elbow extensor muscle, as noted by Ohtsuki\(^7\). In that particular study, the recorded traces in the EMG analysis showed an increase in involuntary activation of biceps brachial in response to the voluntary isometric contraction of the contralateral triceps brachial. Thus, in the present study, the voluntary contraction of left elbow extensors has possibly increased the activation of the right elbow flexors (exercised muscles), raising the capacity of the weight lifted. Previous studies have shown non-local muscle activation (remote voluntary contractions) can promote excitatory signals between different cortical areas, resulting in facilitating the enhancement of the activation of other muscles\(^23,24\). In addition, the cross facilitation phenomenon is related to the propagation of neural signals between the left and right hemispheres in both brain level as in spinal level\(^25\).

Some researchers have demonstrated voluntary contraction of upper limb muscle may enhance the action of the contralateral homologous muscle\(^26,27\), for example, voluntary contraction of right biceps brachial increase the action of left biceps. However, to the best of our knowledge, this is the first study investigating the effects on non-homologous muscle performance (e.g., biceps and triceps). In addition to cross facilitation, other hypotheses that may explain the results observed in this study are the theory of motor overflow (TMO)\(^9,14\) and the summation of proprioceptive inputs (SPI)\(^19\). According to the TMO, when a portion of the brain is activated, other parts are also stimulated\(^6\), which demonstrates that when a cortical region is activated due to voluntary contraction, the same area in the opposite hemisphere may be activated\(^14\). Another theory to explain the effects of remote muscle contractions is summation of proprioceptive inputs to the motor cortex\(^28\). When additional remote muscles are activated there is an increase in the number of possible signals sent to the motor cortex. This response improves the chance for signals to overlap and affect other areas of the motor cortex\(^20\).

As this is a pilot study, some limitations must be noted, mainly related to the non-use of tools to evaluate the neural aspects. Therefore, future investigations should explore the method along with central and/or peripheral excitability techniques (e.g., electromyographic, transcranial magnetic stimulation, electroencephalography, Hoffmann's reflex) to examine whether the neurophysiological hypothesis raised at the present time can be confirmed.

### Conclusion

In conclusion, the maximum voluntary contraction of the left elbow extensors increased the number of maximum repetitions in the contralateral right elbow flexors task, reflecting in a higher TV, and no differences in RPE compared to a control condition. Future studies are needed to investigate the neurophysiological mechanisms responsible for the increase in performance with these methods of training, as well as longitudinal research is required to examine whether the acute increase showed in performance will reflect in greater chronic adaptations, mainly related to strength, muscular endurance, and hypertrophy.

### Practical applications

Our results suggest that the investigated method may be a viable and practical alternative to increase the acute performance when using submaximal loads (e.g., 75% 1RM). In addition, the acute increase in the number of maximum repetitions and total volume can lead to increased of mechanical tension and metabolic stress levels, suggesting that the method has great potential to be used in intensifying resistance training phases.
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