Acute effects of static versus proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation stretching volume on the maximum number of repetitions and perceived exertion
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Abstract — Aims: The purpose of this study was to examine the acute effects between different volumes of static stretching (SS) and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF) stretching (30 and 60 seconds) on the maximum number of repetitions at 40 and 80% 1RM and rating of perceived exertion (RPE). Methods: Eleven recreationally-trained women (26.45 ± 5.27 years) performed ten experimental protocols using a repeated measures crossover design: (a) 30 s of SS followed by 40% of 1RM (SS1); (b) 60 s of SS followed by 40% of 1RM (SS2); (c) 30 s of SS followed by 80% of 1RM (SS3); (d) 60 s of SS followed by 80% of 1RM (SS4); (e) 30 s of PNF followed by 40% of 1RM (PNF1); (f) 60 s of PNF followed by 40% of 1RM (PNF2); (g) 30 s of PNF followed by 80% of 1RM (PNF3); (h) 60 s of PNF followed by 80% of 1RM (PNF4); (i) 40% of 1RM with no stretching (NS1); and (j) 80% of 1RM with no stretching (NS2). In both stretching protocols, shoulder girdle muscle exercises were performed. Results: Stretching volume did not seem to significantly decrease the number of repetitions after a bench press session \((p > 0.05)\). However, RPE was greater for PNF2 \((28.5 ± 1.0; p = 0.016)\) when compared with PNF1 \((26.9 ± 1.9)\). Conclusion: Stretching volume does not seem to decrease the maximum number of repetitions after a bench press session; however, greater stretching volume appears to increase perception of effort in women when using PNF stretching of longer duration.
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Introduction

Physical fitness is comprised of different components, such as body composition, as well as cardiorespiratory, neuromotor, and neuromuscular fitness, which positively influence quality of life and health promotion improvement. Because strength and flexibility are physical aspects that compose muscle and neuromotor fitness, their interaction has garnered the interest of several researchers, and have been the topics of different scientific studies. Thus, these variables must be incorporated into exercise programs with satisfactory intensity and volume to develop and maintain adequate levels of strength, muscular resistance, lean mass, and range of motion. Flexibility can be trained using different stretching methods such as static, ballistic, and proprioceptive neuromuscular facilitation (PNF), and its main functions are to maintain or increase the range of motion, to prevent injury, to delay muscle pain and, if practiced chronically, to improve physical performance. Thus, these different stretching methods are often used as a complementary part of the warm-up routine. Accordingly, the American College of Sports Medicine recommends using 30-60 s of stretching to obtain optimal flexibility benefits. Knowing that muscle strength performance can be influenced by many factors, some studies have shown that pre-exercise stretching seems to have negative impact on performance, especially if the activity is highly demanding in terms of muscle strength.

In this context, several studies found acute influences of PNF and/or static stretching (SS) on muscle strength and number of repetitions. Other investigations compared the effects of SS on the rating of perceived exertion (RPE) between men and women. Because in addition to exhibiting hormonal variations, women have a higher degree of flexibility when compared to men, as well as different musculotendinous stiffness, it is important to conduct research in women. However, no studies have been carried out aiming to examine the acute effects of different PNF and SS volumes on the maximum number of repetitions and RPE in women. Thus, since currently there is no research that has investigated the influence of volume of stretching on the maximum number of repetitions and RPE, it is of great importance to understand its influence as this may allow a reduction of time spent in a warm-up session to upper limbs. In addition, it would allow for an understanding of what
method and stretching volume promotes a greater RPE in each intensity. Therefore, the aim of this study was to examine and compare the acute effects of different volumes (30 and 60 seconds) of PNF and static stretching on the maximum number of repetitions with different intensities (40 and 80% of one repetition maximum [1RM]), and RPE in women. The hypothesis of the present study was that when performed for 60 s, PNF and static stretching would cause significant decreases in the maximum number of repetitions (40 and 80% of 1RM) and increased RPE when compared with 30 s.

Methods

Subjects

Eleven recreationally trained women between 18 and 35 years of age participated in the study and performed ten experimental protocols in random order on a crossover design. Recreationally trained was considered as having between one to five years of strength training experience. Sample size was calculated using G*Power 3.1. Based on an a priori analysis, we adopted 0.85 power, α = 0.05, correlation coefficient of 0.5, nonsphericity correction of 1 and effect size of 0.30; therefore, the value of n = 11 individuals was calculated. To calculate the sample size, the procedures suggested by Beck were adopted. This a priori analysis of the statistical power was performed to decrease the probability of type II error and to determine the minimum number of participants required for this study. We found that the sample size was sufficient to provide 86.4% statistical power. The study included women who had experience in resistance training (bench press exercise) for at least one year and a maximum of five years, with weekly frequency of three to five times a week. Subjects who exhibited any type of musculoskeletal injury of the upper limbs and those who responded positively to any of the items in the Physical Activity Readiness Questionnaire/PAR-Q were excluded from the study. After the risks and benefits of participating in the study were explained, subjects read and signed an informed consent form prepared according to the declaration of Helsinki. The study was approved by the Human Research Ethics Committee of the University (under protocol 101/2011).

Study design

Participants visited the laboratory for 12 sessions with 48-72 hours between each session. Anthropometry and muscle strength (1RM test and retest) were measured during the first and second visits. From the third to the 12th visit, the individuals were randomly allocated to the following experimental conditions (Figure 1): (a) three 30-second SS repetitions followed by three sets at 40% 1RM (SS1); (b) three 60-second SS repetitions followed by three sets at 40% 1RM (SS2); (c) three 30-second SS repetitions followed by three sets at 80% 1RM (SS3); (d) three 60-second SS repetitions followed by three sets at 80% 1RM (SS4); (e) three 30-second PNF stretching repetitions followed by three sets at 40% 1RM (PNF1); (f) three 60-second PNF stretching repetitions followed by three sets at 40% 1RM (PNF2); (g) three 30-second PNF stretching repetitions followed by three sets at 80% 1RM (PNF3); (h) three 60-second PNF stretching repetitions followed by three sets at 80% 1RM (PNF4); (i) three repetitions at 40% 1RM with no stretching (NS1); and (j) three sets at 80% 1RM with no stretching (NS2).

Figure 1. Experimental design flowchart.
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SS1 = 30 seconds of SS followed by 40% of 1RM; SS2 = 60 seconds of SS followed by 40% of 1RM; SS3 = 30 seconds of SS followed by 80% of 1RM; SS4 = 60 seconds of SS followed by 80% of 1RM; PNF1 = 30 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 40% of 1RM; PNF2 = 60 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 40% of 1RM; PNF3 = 30 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 80% of 1RM; PNF4 = 60 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 80% of 1RM; NS1 = 40% of 1RM with no stretching; and NS2 = 80% of 1RM with no stretching.
Methods of Stretching in Women

Procedures

One Repetition Maximum Test (1RM)

To obtain load reliability for the bench press exercise 1RM tests, a test and retest were performed on two non-consecutive days. For a warm-up, each individual performed two series of 5 to 10 repetitions with 40 and 60% of their maximum perceived strength, with 1-minute intervals between sets. After a 1-minute rest period, the third set was performed with 3 to 5 repetitions of 60 to 80% of the maximum perceived strength. After another period of rest (one minute), the strength assessment was initiated, in which up to five attempts could be performed, adjusting the load before each new attempt. The recovery time between the attempts was standardized to 3 to 5 minutes. The test was stopped when the individual was not able to perform the movement correctly and the maximum load used in the last successful attempt was considered the 1RM.

The distance between the hands corresponded with the position where the humerus was horizontal with the floor and the angle between the arm and forearm was at 90° in the end of the eccentric phase of the movement. The bench press exercise was performed in the following manner: 1) Initial position – eccentric phase of the movement, initiating from the position of elbow extension; 2) Intermediate position – concentric phase of the movement, with elbows forming a 90° angle and the humerus parallel with the floor (amplitude limit), returning to the initial position. The following strategies were adopted to decrease the margin of error in data collection procedures: 1) standardized instructions were provided before the tests such that each tested subject was aware of the entire data collection routine; 2) tested individuals were instructed regarding the adequate technique to perform the exercise; 3) all participants received standardized verbal encouragement during the tests; and 4) all tests were performed at the same time of day in each session. The highest load achieved in the two days was considered as the 1RM load.

Stretching Protocol

For SS, three repetitions were performed, keeping the initial position for 30 or 60 seconds in each repetition, in which no movement was performed when subjects reached a point of slight discomfort. For the PNF protocol, three repetitions with six seconds of isometric contractions were performed, with two repetitions of six seconds interspersed in the stretched position for the 30-second volume, and for the 60-second volume, six repetitions with six seconds of isometric contractions were performed, with four six-second repetitions interspersed in the stretched position. For the PNF stretching, isometric muscle actions were performed to the point of slight discomfort. Hence, for each new set of stretching, a new point of discomfort was obtained. These procedures were adopted such that the stretching volume was equal among protocols (SS and PNF), 1.5 mins (30-second volume) or 3 mins (60-second volume). A 30-second interval was used between the stretching repetitions. In both stretching protocols, shoulder girdle muscle exercises were performed. The shoulder girdle was stretched as follows: the volunteer remained seated and performed a horizontal abduction of the glenohumeral joint to the point of slight discomfort. The movement was performed with the elbows flexed to prevent passive insufficiency of the brachial biceps (Figure 2).

Figure 2. Stretching exercise for the shoulder girdle.
Exercise Procedures

Strength performance was calculated by adding the number of repetitions performed in three sets of the bench press exercise achieved in a set with fixed load of 40 or 80% of 1RM after each protocol, with an interval of one minute between sets. The range of joint movement of elbow in bench press exercise was 90 to 0°, and the speed was controlled by metronome (PHX-209® - Brazil). The total execution time of the movement was four seconds (two seconds for concentric action and two seconds for eccentric action) until concentric failure occurred. When the individual was not able to maintain the repetition cycle within the set in the cadence and range of motion pre-established, the concentric failure point was determined, and the greatest number of successfully performed repetitions was computed. To control the range of motion, two rods were adapted, and a rubber band was placed between them, which was positioned according to the angle and position of the participant, who, in the eccentric phase (90°), was instructed to touch and perform the concentric phase (0°).

Rating of Perceived Exertion

Before the start of the study, the participants participated in two familiarization sessions with the OMNI-Resistance Exercise Scale (OMNI-RES)26. The RPE was measured after the end of each exercise set (general perception) and the three sets were summed to perform the statistical analysis. This scale was used that represent an individual strength whose posture changes difficult). In addition to numerical indications, pictures of effort greater effort, were depicted on the scale.

Statistical Analyses

Data normality was determined using the Shapiro-Wilk test, and the homogeneity of variances was verified using Levene’s test. The variables exhibited normal distribution and homogeneity and the homogeneity of variances was verified using Levene’s test. The variables exhibited normal distribution and homogeneity (p > 0.05). Test–retest reliability was assessed at percentage of load (1RM) using the intra-class correlation coefficient (ICC), standard error of the measurement (SEM), minimal difference (MD)27, and paired samples t-tests. Descriptive data are expressed as means and standard deviations. Two-way repeated measures ANOVA [protocols (SS 30 s vs. SS 60 s vs. PNF 30 s vs. PNF 60 s vs. NS) × load (40% vs. 80%)] were used to compare the number of repetitions. When appropriate, Bonferroni post hoc test was used to determine specific differences. Non-parametric Friedman and Wilcoxon tests were used to analyze the RPE. The effect size (ES) was used to verify the magnitudes [trivial < 0.35, small = 0.35–0.80, moderate = 0.80–1.50 and large > 1.5] of changes between assessments of the protocols21. The significance level was set at p < 0.05. All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS version 20.0 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL).

Results

The subjects had the following characteristics: 26.45 ± 5.27 years old, 58.77 ± 6.88 kg, 159.27 ± 7.51 cm, 23.11 ± 1.39 m².kg⁻¹. The test–retest ICC for the percentage of load was r = 0.941. The SEM, MD, and p values from the paired samples t-tests for the test–retest percentage of load were 0.69, 1.90, 0.676, respectively. The results from each of the paired samples t-tests indicated that there were no mean differences between the percentage of load values from trials 1 and 2 for any of the attempts. The mean and standard deviation of the test and retest of 1 RM were 27.72 ± 2.86 and 27.90 ± 2.87, respectively.

In the comparative two-way repeated measures ANOVA, there were no significant interactions for protocols × load (F = 1.432; η² = 0.067; p = 0.231) and no significant main effect the protocols (F = 1.057; η² = 0.050; p = 0.383). However, there was a significant main effect for load (F = 39.775; η² = 0.665; p < 0.001), as shown in Figure 3 A and B. The number of repetitions was higher for the 40% vs. 80% of 1RM load for all protocols (p < 0.001). In addition, a statistical analysis by sets was performed and no differences were found between the stretching methods (p > 0.05), Table 1.

Figure 3. Comparative analysis of the number of repetitions of the three series among the protocols.

SS1 = 30 seconds of SS followed by 40% of 1RM; SS2 = 60 seconds of SS followed by 40% of 1RM; SS3 = 30 seconds of SS followed by 80% of 1RM; SS4 = 60 seconds of SS followed by 80% of 1RM; PNF1 = 30 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 40% of 1RM; PNF2 = 60 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 40% of 1RM; PNF3 = 30 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 80% of 1RM; PNF4 = 60 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 80% of 1RM; NS1 = 40% of 1RM with no stretching; and NS2 = 80% of 1RM with no stretching.
The sum of RPE was higher for PNF2 (28.5 ± 1; \( p = 0.016; \) ES = 0.84/magnitude = moderate) when compared with the PNF1 test (26.9 ± 1.9) according to the Wilcoxon test, as shown in Figure 4A.

![Figure 4A](image)

* Significant differences between PNF 2 vs. PNF 1; SS1 = 30 seconds of SS followed by 40% of 1RM; SS2 = 60 seconds of SS followed by 40% of 1RM; SS3 = 30 seconds of SS followed by 80% of 1RM; SS4 = 60 seconds of SS followed by 80% of 1RM; PNF1 = 30 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 40% of 1RM; PNF2 = 60 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 40% of 1RM; PNF3 = 30 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 80% of 1RM; PNF4 = 60 seconds of PNF stretching followed by 80% of 1RM; NS1 = 40% of 1RM with no stretching; and NS2 = 80% of 1RM with no stretching.

**Discussion**

The present study analyzed the acute effects of different stretching volumes (30 and 60 seconds) of the PNF and SS methods on the sum of the number repetitions (40 and 80% of 1RM) and RPE in women. To our knowledge, this study was the first to compare the effects of the stretching volume of the PNF and SS methods on the maximum number of repetitions and RPE. The main result was that stretching volume did not seem to significantly decrease the number of repetitions after a bench press session performed at 40 and 80% of 1RM. However, the greater stretching volume appeared to increase RPE after PNF stretching only in the percentage of 40%. Another interesting finding was that no significant differences were found in the number of repetitions between stretching methods (SS and PNF) when compared with the no stretching control. Although no studies have investigated the effects of stretching volume of the PNF and SS methods on the number of repetitions and RPE in women, some studies have investigated the acute influence of PNF and/or static stretching on strength and number of repetitions in men. However, only three studies reported an influence of these stretching methods.
methods on the maximum number of repetitions of the bench press exercise\textsuperscript{6,12,14}. The findings of Franco, Signorelli, Trajano, De Oliveira\textsuperscript{12} and Gomes, Simão, Marques, Costa, Novaes\textsuperscript{2} differ from our findings because those authors only reported significant decreases in the maximum number of repetitions after PNF stretching. This may have occurred because Franco, Signorelli, Trajano, De Oliveira\textsuperscript{12} and Gomes, Simão, Marques, Costa, Novaes\textsuperscript{2} investigated men, whereas present study has assessed women. The differences between studies may be related to sex because in addition to exhibiting hormonal variations\textsuperscript{26} women have a higher degree of flexibility when compared to men\textsuperscript{18-20}, as well as different musculotendinous stiffness. Thus, the decreased maximum number of repetitions reported by Franco, Signorelli, Trajano, De Oliveira\textsuperscript{12} and Gomes, Simão, Marques, Costa, Novaes\textsuperscript{2} may have been due to decreased neural activation induced by the Golgi tendon organ, which is located in the myotendinous junction and is responsible for detecting high force combined with muscle lengthening\textsuperscript{11}, a combination that may have been higher in men. Hence, the time under stretch used in the present study may have been too low to promote changes in neural activation in women. This fact may explain the possible similarity in the performance of the number of repetitions between methods with and without stretching. Women are more flexible than men\textsuperscript{18,30} and the stimuli provided by stretching methods may not have generated enough muscle fatigue and neural activation, which can also explain the non-significant difference in the sum of the number of repetitions between the study protocols (PNF vs. SS vs. NS). The study by Silveira, Farias, Alvarez, Bif, Vieira\textsuperscript{14} corroborates our findings as no significant differences were reported in the number of repetitions in the unilateral exercise after 10, 20, and 40 seconds of SS. However, the intensity used for the maximum repetitions test was relatively low (10% of 1RM) and only one set was performed, which may be a limiting factor of the study (i.e., not exhibiting real characteristics of the intervention practice). Additionally, the stretching volume does not seem to influence the maximum number of repetitions in men\textsuperscript{14} and women only after SS. The greatest volume used in PNF stretching promoted a higher RPE than the lowest stretching volume. This difference may have occurred because the viscoelastic structures underwent mechanical changes due to the action of strength exercise, which combined with the neural effects caused by the high volume of the PNF stretching method\textsuperscript{11}, contributed to the higher RPE of the bench press exercise when compared with the low volume and SS stretching. Moreover, the reduction of neural activation would be one of the reasons for reduced performance. This decrease can be related to the reflection of the Golgi Tendon Organs, nociceptor feedback of pain, and/or fatigue\textsuperscript{11}. Thus, it is speculated that the PNF stretching may have reduced the neural activation, which led to increased pain and muscle fatigue, which subsequently caused a higher RPE. According to Alencar and Matias\textsuperscript{15}, when the muscle fiber sarcomeres are stretched to a longer length, the overlapping zone decreases, consequently, the tension that can be generated by the fiber is reduced. In addition, it is possible the isometric contractions of PNF during the stretching protocol could have led to an increased RPE. Thus, these mechanisms may have also promoted a greater RPE after SS (knee flexors) compared with no stretching\textsuperscript{16,17}.

In conclusion, different SS and PNF volumes do not seem to decrease the number of repetitions during a session of bench press in recreationally trained women. However, the greater stretching volume seems to increase perception of effort after PNF stretching. Because the static and PNF (30 and 60 seconds) stretching volumes did not decrease the number of repetitions performed at 40 and 80% of 1RM during a bench press session, it is recommended that strength and conditioning coaches and allied health professionals can work with a higher stretching volume in women, since it did not reduce muscle strength. Future studies should be conducted to examine other stretching volumes with different methods of stretching and in other muscle groups, as well as populations with different resistance training experience.
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