Exercise Training Improves Heart Rate Recovery after Exercise in Hypertension
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Abstract — Aim: This study tested the hypothesis that: 1- the exercise training would improve the heart rate recovery (HRR) decline after maximal exercise test in hypertensive patients and; 2- the exercise training would normalize HRR decline when compared to normotensive individuals. Methods: Sixteen hypertensive patients were consecutively allocated into two groups: Exercise-trained (n = 9, 47±2 years) and untrained (n = 7, 42±3 years). An exercise-trained normotensive group (n = 11, 41±2 years) was also studied. Heart rate was evaluated by electrocardiogram. The autonomic function was evaluated based on heart rate changes on the first and the second min of recovery after the maximal exercise test. Exercise training consisted of three 60-minute exercise sessions/week for 4 months. Results: In hypertensive patients, exercise training significantly increased the HRR decline in the first (-19±2 bpm, P = 0.001) and second (-49±2 bpm, P = 0.006) minutes after the maximal exercise test. In addition, after exercise training, the initial differences in the HRR decline after exercise between hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals were no longer observed (first minute: -34±3 bpm vs. -29±3 bpm, P = 0.99). Conclusion: Hypertension causes a delay in HRR after the maximal exercise test yet the exercise training normalizes HRR during the post-exercise period in hypertensive patients.
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Introduction

Heart rate recovery (HRR) defined as the difference in heart rate at peak exercise and at a specific time interval following the onset of recovery is an important index that has been used as a non-invasive method of assessment of cardiac autonomic recovery after exercise and has important clinical implications. A diminished rate of heart rate decline during the first and second minutes of the recovery period after a maximal exercise test is associated with a poor prognosis in cardiovascular disease. A prospective cohort study showed that low value for HRR after exercise testing is a powerful and independent predictor for all-cause mortality in patients with known or suspected of coronary heart disease. Jouven, Empana, Schwartz, Desnos, Courbon, Ducimetiere reported that an HRR lower than 25 beats/min one minute after cessation of exercise conferred a relative risk of 2.2 for sudden death from myocardial infarction compared with the highest-percentile HRR group (higher than 40 beats/min). One of the major pathophysiological mechanisms involved in hypertension is the autonomic dysfunction. In fact, hypertension is associated with higher levels of sympathetic nerve activity, decrease heart rate variability and delay HRR. In hypertensive patients, it was shown a lower HRR after exercise and this autonomic dysfunction was related with blunting of the nighttime blood pressure (BP) fall. Curiously, studies show that the reduction in the decline of HRR is observed even in the pre-hypertension stage. Moreover, a recent study demonstrated that hypertensive patients under pharmacological treatment but with the levels of BP uncontrolled have a greater delay in HRR.

On the other hand, exercise training has been recommended as a non-pharmacological therapy for the treatment of hypertension. And, the reduction in BP levels observed after exercise training has been associated with an improvement in the autonomic regulation in hypertensive patients. It was recently demonstrated that patients after cardiac revascularization had an improvement in HRR decline after completion of a phase 2 cardiac rehabilitation program. In addition, the authors observed that the patients with abnormal HRR who normalized HRR at the exit of rehabilitation program had improved survival compared with those who did not normalize the HRR. However, the effects of exercise training on HRR in patients with hypertension are unknown. In addition, if the exercise training is able to normalize this index of cardiac autonomic function after exercise has not been documented in these patients.

Therefore, in the present investigation, we tested the hypothesis that: 1- the exercise training would improve the
HRR decline after maximal exercise test in hypertensive patients and; 2- the exercise training would normalize HRR decline when compared to normotensive individuals.

Methods

Study Population

Sixteen consecutive patients newly diagnosed and classified as stage 1 (systolic BP 140-159 mmHg and/or diastolic BP 90-99 mmHg)\(^2\) of hypertension were selected to participate in the study. An additional normotensive age-paired control group (n = 11) was also enrolled in the study. All subjects were sedentary, had no evidence of metabolic disorders, renal vascular hypertension, cerebral ischemic disease, or obstructive coronary artery disease at the time of the study. In addition, the subjects took no medication three months before the study. Those patients with a clinical indication of pharmacological treatment were excluded from our study to receive appropriate clinical treatment. The hypertensive patients were randomly divided into two groups: Exercise-trained patients (n = 9) and untrained patients (n = 7). The normotensive control group was also submitted to exercise training. The calculated sample size was 7 patients in each group, taking into consideration previous publication\(^17,27\) with an alpha error of 0.05 and a power of 0.85 for HRR. At the end of the experimental protocol, the hypertensive patients of the control group were invited to participate in the exercise-training program. All the participants gave their written informed consent. Some of the participants have been previously involved in other studies\(^26,29\). The University of São Paulo Medical School Ethical Committee approved the study for Human Research Protocols (nº: 073/00).

Measurements and Procedures

Clinical blood pressure

The clinic BP levels were obtained with a mercury sphygmomanometer as previously described\(^28\). In summary, a minimum of three BP readings was taken on two separate days. Systolic and diastolic BP were recorded at the first appearance (phase I) and the disappearance (phase V) of Korotkoff sounds. The subjects were classified as hypertensive if the average of the systolic and diastolic BP levels were ≥140 and/or 90 mmHg, respectively\(^28\).

Exercise Testing

Symptom-limited cardiopulmonary exercise testing was performed in an upright position on a bicycle ergometer under the supervision of a cardiologist. Subjects began cycling at an intensity of 0 Watt for a period of 2 minutes. Intensity was increased by 5, 10 or 15 Watts every minute at 60 rotations per minute, followed by active recovery for a period of 2 minutes (Figure 1). During the recovery period, the first and second minutes were maintained at 50% and 25% of the maximal workload attained at the peak exercise test, respectively. All subjects were exercised to maximal exhaustion and exercise has never been stopped due to chest discomfort or electrocardiographic abnormalities. Heart rate was monitored continuously by 12-lead electrocardiogram (Cardio Control). BP was measured every 2 minutes with a column mercury sphygmomanometer.

Heart Rate Recovery

HRR was evaluated by the difference between the heart rate achieved in the peak of exercise and the heart rate measured at the first minute of recovery, and by the difference between the heart rate achieved in the peak of exercise and heart rate measured at the second minute of recovery after the maximal exercise test performed on ergometric bicycle\(^31\).

Exercise Training Program

Subjects underwent exercise training under supervision at the Heart Institute. The 4-month training program consisted of three 60-minute exercise sessions per week. Each exercise session consisted of 5 minutes of stretching exercises, 40 minutes of
cycling on a bicycle ergometer, 10 minutes of local strengthening exercises (sit-ups, push-ups, and pull-ups), and 5 minutes of cool down with stretching exercises. The exercise intensity was established by heart rate levels that corresponded with the anaerobic threshold up to 70% of peak oxygen uptake. The exercise session was started only if the systolic and diastolic BP levels at rest were lower than 160 and 105 mmHg, respectively. Untrained patients were instructed to avoid any regular exercise program (supervised or unsupervised) for 4 months.

**Others Measurements**

Height (m) and body weight (kg) were measured using a calibrated scale. Based on these measures, the body mass index (BMI) was calculated, being expressed in kg/m².

**Experimental Protocol**

The study was performed at approximately 2:00 pm, with the subjects in a quiet air-conditioned room (22°C to 24°C). The cardiopulmonary exercise test was repeated after 4 months in all subjects. In the exercise-trained group, this second evaluation was performed 48 hours after the last exercise session.

**Statistics**

Data are presented as mean ± standard error. The unpaired t test was used to test the baseline differences between hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals. A χ² test was used to assess the gender differences between hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals. Two-way ANOVA (analysis of variance) with repeated measures was performed to test the differences among untrained hypertensive patients, exercise-trained hypertensive patients, and normal control individuals before and after the intervention. When a significant difference was found, Scheffé’s post hoc comparison test was used. Significant differences were assumed to be at P<0.05.

**Results**

**Baseline Measurements**

Physical characteristics, hemodynamic measures, and maximal exercise testing data of the hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals are shown in Table 1. There were no significant differences in sex, age, height, weight, BMI, resting heart rate, peak heart rate, respiratory exchange ratio, and peak oxygen uptake between hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals. As expected, clinical systolic, diastolic and mean BP were significantly higher in hypertensive patients than in normotensive individuals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Baseline Physical Characteristics, Hemodynamic Measurements, and Maximal Exercise Testing Parameters in Hypertensive Patients and Normotensive Individuals</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hypertensive Patients</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N=16)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Physical Characteristics</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender, Male/Female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height, m</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Hemodynamic Measurements</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BP, mmHg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR, bpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Maximal Exercise Testing Parameters</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak VO₂, mL/kg/min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak HR, bpm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Respiratory exchange ratio</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SE. BMI= body mass index; BP= blood pressure; HR= heart rate; VO₂= oxygen uptake.

The decline rate of HRR in the first and second minutes after maximal exercise testing was significantly lower in hypertensive patients when compared with normotensive individuals (Fig. 2A and 2B, respectively).
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Figure 2. The decline rate of heart rate recovery (HRR) in first (Panel A) and second (Panel B) minutes after maximal exercise testing, in hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals. Note that the decline rate of HRR in first and second minutes after maximal exercise testing was significantly lower in hypertensive patients when compared with normotensive individuals. * = significant difference between groups, P<0.05.
Effects of Exercise Training Program

Compliance with the exercise program was excellent, reaching an average of 96%±3% and 96%±3% of exercise sessions attended by both hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals, respectively. The effects of exercise training in exercise-trained hypertensive, untrained hypertensive, and exercise-trained normotensive groups are shown in Table 2. There were no significant difference among groups in weight, BMI, peak heart rate, and peak respiratory exchange ratio pre and post-intervention.

Exercise training significantly decreased systolic, diastolic and mean BP in hypertensive patients. In addition, systolic, diastolic and mean BP levels were significantly lower in exercise-trained hypertensive patients than in untrained hypertensive patients, and similar to normotensive individuals (Table 2). Exercise training significantly decreased the baseline heart rate in hypertensive patients and normotensive individuals.

Table 2. Hemodynamic Measurements and Maximal Exercise Testing Parameters Pre and Post intervention in exercise-trained hypertensive, untrained hypertensive and exercise-trained normotensive groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Hypertensive Exercise-Trained (N=9)</th>
<th>Hypertensive Untrained (N=7)</th>
<th>Normotensive Exercise-Trained (N=11)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Physical Characteristics</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight, kg</td>
<td>74±4</td>
<td>74±4</td>
<td>73±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI, kg/m²</td>
<td>26.0±0.8</td>
<td>26.2±0.9</td>
<td>25.2±1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemodynamics Measurements</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Systolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>143±3*</td>
<td>124±5†</td>
<td>144±4*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diastolic BP, mmHg</td>
<td>93±2*</td>
<td>81±3†</td>
<td>94±2*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean BP, mmHg</td>
<td>109±2*</td>
<td>94±2†</td>
<td>111±5†</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Baseline HR</td>
<td>81±5</td>
<td>68±4†</td>
<td>75±2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximal Exercise Testing Parameters</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak HR</td>
<td>166±4</td>
<td>168±4</td>
<td>159±5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Peak respiratory exchange ratio</td>
<td>1.25±0.02</td>
<td>1.21±0.04</td>
<td>1.26±0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Values are mean ± SE. BMI= body mass index; BP= blood pressure; HR= heart rate. *= Significant difference vs. normotensive individuals, P<0.05; †= Significant difference pre- vs. post interventions, P<0.05; ‡= Significant difference vs. exercise-trained hypertensive patients, P<0.05.

Exercise training significantly increased the decline rate of HRR in the first and second minutes after maximal exercise testing in exercise-trained hypertensive patients. In addition, at the end of the 4-month intervention period, the decline rate of HRR in the first and second minutes after maximal exercise testing was significantly higher in exercise-trained hypertensive patients than in untrained hypertensive patients (P = 0.001 and P = 0.01, respectively) and similar to normotensive individuals (P = 0.52 and P = 0.99, respectively, Fig. 3A and 3B). No significant changes in the decline rate of HRR in the first and second minutes were found in untrained hypertensive patients.
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Figure 3. The decline rate of heart rate recovery (HRR) in first (Panel A and B) and second (Panel C and D) minutes after maximal exercise testing in exercise-trained hypertensive patients, untrained hypertensive patients, and exercise-trained normotensive individuals. Note that exercise training significantly increased the decline rate of heart rate recovery (HRR) in first (Panel A and B) and second (Panel C and D) minutes after maximal exercise testing in hypertensive patients. *= Significant difference vs. normotensive individuals; †= Significant difference pré- vs. post interventions; ‡= Significant difference vs. exercise-trained hypertensive patients, P<0.05.
Exercise training significantly increase peak oxygen uptake in hypertensive patients (24.8±1.4 vs. 25.5±2.3 mL/kg/min, \( P = 0.01 \)) and normotensive individuals (29.1±2.1 vs. 34.1±2.2 mL/kg/min, \( P = 0.01 \)). No significant changes were observed in peak oxygen uptake in untrained hypertensive patients over the 4-month duration of the study (27.1±1.7 vs. 26.5±1.3 mL/kg/min, \( P = 0.79 \)).

**Discussion**

The present study demonstrates that the decline rate of HRR in the first and second minutes after a maximal exercise test is reduced in hypertensive patients. In addition, our study shows that four months of exercise training restores the post-exercise decline rate of HRR in patients with high BP. These findings have important clinical implications. Firstly, diminished rate of heart rate decline after exercise suggests the presence of cardiac autonomic dysfunction and is indicative of poor prognosis\(^7\). Several studies have highlighted the prognostic role of the HRR index in humans\(^8\). In fact, previous observations suggest that healthy subjects with an HRR lower than 25 beats per minute have 2.1 times the risk of sudden death and 1.3 times the risk of death from any cause than subjects with an HRR higher than 40 beats per minute\(^9\). In our study, we found that the decrease in heart rate at first minute of recovery of the exercise was 22±3 beats per minute in hypertensive patients, which shows that these patients are under a higher risk of mortality. Secondly, exercise training significantly improves HRR in hypertensive patients. Moreover, this non-pharmacological strategy put the hypertensive patients in a lower risk zone of sudden death since the reduction of heart rate at first minute of recovery was 34±3 beats per minute after the intervention. This improvement of HRR decline after exercise has been associated with a reduction of all-cause mortality. In fact, a recent study following 1070 patients after cardiac revascularization or cardiac surgery, who underwent exercise testing before and after 12 weeks cardiac rehabilitation, showed that patients with abnormal HRR at baseline, who had normalized HRR after rehabilitation program, had similar mortality to those with baseline normal HRR\(^10\). Additionally, the improvement of the HRR after exercise training program may have greater clinic relevance in patients who do not have an optimized control of BP levels even after pharmacological treatment. According to the Li et al.\(^11\) study, patients under pharmacological treatment but not controlled BP present an even greater delay in HRR compared with antihypertensive-controlled hypertensives\(^12\). However, new studies should be addressed to test this hypothesis.

The mechanisms by which exercise training increases HRR towards normal levels in hypertensive individuals are out of the scope of our study. However, previous information suggests that the decrease in heart rate after exercise can be attributed to amelioration in the cardiac vagal control. Some investigators reported that the decrease in heart rate after exercise was completely abolished by atropine administration\(^13\). Other information suggests that nitric oxide is involved in the cardiac vagal modulation after exercise. Sears, Choate, and Paterson\(^14\) demonstrated that the nitric oxide synthase blockade with L-NMMA slowed the rate of decay in heart rate during vagal stimulation following adrenergic stimulation in the guinea pig\(^15\). In addition, exercise training has been shown to increase nitric oxide levels in hypertensive patients\(^16,17\). Thus, we can speculate that exercise training increases cardiac vagal control in hypertensive patients, which may be due to higher nitric oxide bioavailability. We cannot rule out that the improvement in HRR is due to a reduction in sympathetic cardiac stimulation. In a recent study\(^18\), we reported that exercise training reduced muscle sympathetic nerve activity, which seemed to be associated with an enhancement in arterial baroreflex sensitivity. Despite the fact that we did not investigate sympathetic cardiac control in that study, it is reasonable to think that exercise training reduces sympathetic nerve activity not only in the blood vessel but also in the heart.

We and others have consistently demonstrated that moderate intensity exercise training, significantly reduces BP in patients with hypertension\(^19-21\). The present study confirms these observations since exercise training decreased systolic, diastolic and mean BP levels in hypertensive patients. Although the present study was not designed to evaluate the effects of exercise training on BP, we can speculate that an attenuation of sympathetic nervous activity may explain, at least in part, the reduction in BP levels observed in our trained hypertensive patients\(^22\). Alternatively, hemodynamics\(^23,24\) and/or humoral\(^25,26\) mechanisms may also contribute to the reduction in BP after exercise training.

Resting bradycardia and an increase in peak oxygen consumption are good markers of exercise training adaptation\(^27,28\). Thus, the reduction in resting heart rate and the improvement in peak oxygen uptake after exercise training in hypertensive patients and in normotensive individuals demonstrates the effectiveness of exercise-training program.

The mechanisms involved in the reduction of resting heart rate after the exercise training, observed in the present study were not the scope of the present study. However it could be explained by a reduction in the cardiac sympathetic modulation, an increase in parasympathetic modulation to the heart or changes in the intrinsic heart rate\(^29,30\). Although we have not tested these mechanisms, previous studies in spontaneously hypertensive rats report that the resting bradycardia observed after exercise training in these animals is mediated by the reduction of sympathetic drive to the heart\(^31\).

On the other hand, the mechanisms that may explain the increase in peak oxygen consumption may be related to changes in cardiac output and arteriovenous oxygen difference. It is well documented that exercise training increases the arteriovenous oxygen difference by increasing capillary density, cardiac output, blood volume and peripheral oxygen extraction during exercise\(^32\).

We recognize limitations in our study. We investigated patients in stage I of hypertension according to the national and international guidelines for the management of high BP in adults\(^23,24\). Thus, our study provides no information regarding HRR in patients in stages II and III of hypertension in whom the impairment in HRR may be even more dramatic. Moreover,
we do not know whether exercise training improves HRR in patients with more severe hypertension. This is an interesting topic for future investigations. Our exercise paradigm lasted four months. Thus, we do not know the training period needed for restoration of HRR in patients with hypertension. It is unlikely that the difference in HRR after exercise between exercise-trained hypertensive patients and untreated hypertensive patients was due to the peak heart rate achieved during the maximal exercise test, since there were no significant differences in peak heart rate between groups. However, the absence of medications reinforces our findings of the real effect of exercise training on HRR during the post-exercise period in this population. Moreover, a useful tool to assess cardiac autonomic function in patients with hypertension, and the possible risk of sudden death in this population.

**Conclusion**

In conclusion, the exercise training improves the HRR decline after a maximal exercise test in hypertensive patients. In addition, HRR was normalized in these patients when compared with normotensive individuals. Therefore, our findings suggest a therapeutic effect of exercise training in the cardiac autonomic function in these patients.

**References**


43. Felix JVC & Michelin LiC. Training-induced pressure fall in spontaneously hypertensive rats is associated with reduced angiotensinogen mRNA expression within the nucleus tractus solitari. Hypertension. 2007; 50: 780-785.

Acknowledgements
This study was supported by Fundação de Amparo à Pesquisa do Estado de São Paulo (FAPESP#2010/50048-1) and, in part, by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior-CAPES. Dra. Maria U.P.B. Rondon and Dr. Daniel G. Martinez were supported by Coordenação de Aperfeiçoamento de Pessoal de Nível Superior-CAPES. Dra. Maria U.P.B. Rondon was supported by Conselho Nacional de Desenvolvimento Científico e Tecnológico (CNPq).

Corresponding author
Maria Urbana Pinto Brandão Rondon, PhD
Escola de Educação Física e Esporte da Universidade de São Paulo, Av. Prof. Mello de Moraes, 65, São Paulo, SP, Brazil
Email: urbana@usp.br

Manuscript received on May 30, 2018
Manuscript accepted on November 16, 2018

Creative Commons - Version 4.0

Motriz. The Journal of Physical Education. UNESP. Rio Claro, SP, Brazil - eISSN: 1980-6574 – under a license Creative Commons - Version 4.0