Assessment of the external load of amateur soccer players during four consecutive training microcycles in relation to the external load during the official match

Javier Sanchez-Sanchez1, Daniel Hernández1, Victor Martin1, Mario Sanchez1, David Casamichana1,2, Alejandro Rodriguez-Fernandez1,3, Rodrigo Ramirez-Campillo1,4, Fabio Y. Nakamura1,5

1Universidad Pontificia de Salamanca, Research Group Planning and Assessment of Training and Athletic Performance, Salamanca, Castilla y Leon, Spain; 2Universidad Europea del Atlantico, Santander, Cantabria, Spain; 3Universidad Isabel I de Castilla, Burgos, Castilla y Leon, Spain; 4Laboratory of Human Performance. Quality of Life and Wellness Research Group. Department of Physical Activity Sciences. Universidad de Los Lagos. Osorno, Chile; 5Universidade Federal da Paraiba, UFPB, Associate Graduate Program in Physical Education, UPE, João Pessoa, PB, Brazil

Abstract — Aim: The aim of this study was to assess the external load of amateur male players during 4 consecutive training microcycles (M) at the beginning of the in-season according to the training session in absolute external load demands and in relation to the competition demands. Methods: Regional-level players (n = 10; age, 20.8 ± 1.7 years; height, 175.5 ± 3.8 cm; body mass, 69.7 ± 2.9 kg; soccer experience, 13.2 ± 2.5 years) were monitored using GPS devices during training sessions and matches. The external load variables measured were: duration (min); total distance covered (TD); distance covered at high-speed (HID, 14.4-19.8 km/h); distance covered at sprinting (SPD; >19.8 km/h); and distance covered in high intensity acceleration (ACD; >2.5 m/s²) and deceleration (DECD; <-2.5 m/s²). Results: The results indicated that the external load variables (time, HID, SPD, ACD, and DECD) were similar between the four microcycles. Greater (p<0.01) time, TD, HID and SPD were observed in match day (MD)-2 compared to MD+1, MD-3, and MD-1. Aside from training duration, all external loads variables (TD, HID, SPD, ACD, and DECD) were lower during training sessions compared to official matches (p<0.05). Conclusion: Amateur soccer players present relative stable external training loads across competitive microcycles, with the peak load observed two days before the official match. Besides this, the match constitutes the highest load during a typical competitive microcycle in this cohort of players.
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Introduction

Soccer is a sport that demands high-intensity movements, such as sprints, acceleration, deceleration, and changes in direction. These high-intensity movements may lead to fatigue when repeated throughout the match duration. Therefore, training programs should aim to improve the ability to tolerate and repeat such high-intensity movements, especially when combined with key tactical actions. The monitoring of training and competitive loads may help coaches and athletes in the programming to improve specific physical fitness and reduce injury risk.

The technological advancements have facilitated access to several tools that may help coaches to assess training and competitive loads. Training loads can be assessed by measuring physical and physiological variables, deemed to represent the internal load, and may also be quantified by measuring the external load (e.g., total distance, accelerations). The internal load in soccer is usually assessed by measuring heart rate and rating of perceived exertion (RPE). However, the validity of heart rate is questionable during intermittent activities that involve a high anaerobic metabolic component and RPE seems to be not sensitive to high-speed actions that are carried out during training and/or matches. For this reason, soccer technical staffs tend to prefer external load measures in order to assess training and match demands, and therefore the use of global positioning systems (GPS) has gained popularity as measuring tool. The GPS offers the possibility to quantify velocity, acceleration, distance and player’s movement patterns. However, the measurement of common movements in soccer such as changes of direction and short displacements at high-velocity should be performed with caution, especially when GPS units have a low sampling frequency (e.g., 1 Hz compared to 10 Hz).

In recent years, there has been an increase in the number of studies reporting the external loads of high-level soccer players during training, and some even have compared the external load during training with that measured during matches. Of note, in one of the aforementioned studies, the external load (i.e., total distance, high-intensity distance, sprint distance and average speed) was measured during 6 consecutive in-season training microcycles (i.e., 1 microcycle = 1 week) in professional soccer players, with no significant variations observed across the 6 microcycles. However, when the external load was compared...
across training sessions within a competitive microcycle, Owen, Lago-Peñas, Gómez, Mendes, Delli²⁰ found lower GPS-derived external load near the competition day compared with the other training days. Similarly, in soccer players from the English Premier League, a greater external load was observed on the second day of training compared to the training day before competition for a given microcycle.²⁸ Another study reported that, aside from the distance covered at high velocity (> 5.5 m/s), other external load variables were lower during the training session before an official match when compared to previous training sessions more distant to the match day. This microcycle dynamics may be necessary to induce optimal physiological and performance recovery before competition²⁶,²⁷, in a similar fashion to the responses obtained during taper in other sports²⁸.

However, a generalization of these findings is not recommended²⁹, especially to athletes of different competitive levels. In this sense, studies with amateur soccer players are lacking. Considering that amateur soccer is rising in popularity across the globe, with millions of new practitioners in recent years³⁰, it is of paramount importance to conduct more research with such a population. The periodization training model in team sports is often determined by the manager or coach, so that using GPS monitoring to identify any inappropriate training load progressions as well as to educate coaches on more suitable alternatives are crucial²¹,²². For this reason, knowing how non-professional teams organize their weekly training loads can be useful to practitioners. Therefore, the aim of this study was to assess the external load of amateur players during 4 consecutive training microcycles and their corresponding training sessions, in relation to the external load during competition. We tested the hypothesis that the external loads will be similar between different microcycles. In addition, we tested the hypothesis that the external loads will be lower during sessions near competition compared to previous training sessions within a given microcycle.

Methods

Participants

Regional-level male amateur players (n = 10; age, 20.8 ± 1.7 years; height, 175.5 ± 3.8 cm; body mass, 69.7 ± 2.9 kg; soccer experience, 13.2 ± 2.5 years) participated in this study. Players regularly completed four 75-100 minute training sessions per week, plus an official match on weekends. During the study period, 4 official matches were played, 2 at home (5-1 and 2-1) and 2 as visitors (3-1 and 0-2), always played with a 1-4-4-2 tactical formation. To be included in the study, players were asked to complete all the 16 training sessions and to complete ≥ 65 minutes of play during each of the 4 official competitive matches.³¹ A total of 184 recordings were obtained associated with 160 training sessions and 24 matches. Data were obtained from 2 fullbacks, 2 central defenders, 2 midfielders, 2 wide-midfielders, and 2 forwards. The athletes were fully informed about the aims of the study, the procedures involved, and the potential risks and the benefits involved with their participation.

Subsequently, volunteers signed an informed consent form. All procedures were according to the latest version of the Helsinki Declaration.

Measurements

External load measurements were performed using 10 GPS units (K-GPS®, Montelabbate, Italy), at a sampling frequency of 10 Hz, previously used to measure external loads during training and competition.³² The GPS units were inserted in a specially designed pocket embedded in the back of a sports shirt used by the players. Each unit was activated 15 minutes before starting the data collection period, with a mark at the beginning and end of each measurement session. The external load variables measured were: i) training duration, ii) total distance covered (TD), (considered a global index of the athletes’ workload and it is often a stable metric), iii) distance covered in running at 14.4-19.8 km/h (high-intensity distance - HID), iv) distance covered while sprinting (SPD; i.e., >19.8 km/h), v) distance covered in acceleration (ACD; i.e., >2.5 m.s⁻²) and deceleration (DECd; i.e., < -2.5 m.s⁻²). These locomotor categories are consistent with time-motion analysis of soccer³³. Data collected were analyzed using the software K-Fitness (K-Sport®, Montelabbate, Italy).

Procedures

Data were collected during 4 consecutive microcycles at the beginning of the 2017-2018 in-season (September and October). The training sessions were categorized as previously suggested, taking into consideration their chronological order before the competition. The first training session of the week (match day plus 1 [MD+1]; Monday) involved aerobic-regenerative drills and stretching. The second training session of the week (match day minus 3 [MD-3]; Tuesday) involved neuromuscular training with external loads, circuit training involving movements similar to those of soccer, and small-sided games (i.e., pitch with 50 m² per player). The third training session of the week (match day minus 2 [MD-2]; Thursday) involved specific-endurance training with small-sided games, using a pitch of 100 m² per player, with the aim of retaining ball-possession (i.e., no goals were allowed). In addition, a simulated 11 versus 11 matches was played. The fourth training session of the week (match day minus 1 [MD-1]; Friday), near the competition day on Saturday, involved activation drills (i.e., accelerations and ballistic exercises), and tactical drills in preparation for the match on Saturday. The external load of the microcycle (M1, microcycle 1; M2, microcycle 2; M3, microcycle 3; M4, microcycle 4) was obtained by the sum of the values of each variable at each training session (i.e., TD-M1 = MD+1 + MD-3 + MD-2 + MD-1). The external load for the training session corresponds to the mean value of each session for the 4 analyzed microcycles (i.e., MD+1 = [MD+1(M1) + MD+1(M2) + MD+1(M3) + MD+1(M4)] / 4). The load corresponding to each session was
expressed in relation to values obtained during competition (i.e., %MD+1 = [MD+1 x 100] ÷ Oficial Match).

Statistical analysis

Descriptive results are presented as means ± standard deviations (SD). Data normality was checked with the Shapiro-Wilk test. To compare dependent variables between microcycles and between sessions, multivariate analysis of variance (MANOVA) with repeated measures was used, with Bonferroni post hoc and α at p=0.05. In addition, Cohen’s effect size (ES) was used. Ranges for ES analysis was set at < 0.2 (trivial), 0.2-0.6 (small), 0.6-1.2 (moderate), 1.2-2 (high), and > 2 (very high). Data analysis was completed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS; v. 21.0, SPSS Inc., USA).

Results

Training Microcycles

The external loads of each microcycle are indicated in Table 1. The TD was lower (p<0.01) in M4 compared to M2 (ES = 4.23) and M3 (ES = 3.81). The remaining external load variables (time, HID, SPD, ACD, and DECD) were similar between the four microcycles.

Table 1. Time and external load of microcycles and training sessions.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microcycles</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>TD (m)</th>
<th>HID (m)</th>
<th>SPD (m)</th>
<th>ACD (m)</th>
<th>DECD (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>82.15±7.72</td>
<td>16841±1770</td>
<td>1798±641</td>
<td>443±182</td>
<td>1807±328</td>
<td>1565±302</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>77.42±7.28</td>
<td>18312±1491</td>
<td>2341±730</td>
<td>606±242</td>
<td>2032±375</td>
<td>1771±326</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>84.26±15.71</td>
<td>18574±1879</td>
<td>1967±724</td>
<td>475±260</td>
<td>1853±317</td>
<td>1601±287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>71.35±6.39</td>
<td>12480±1259</td>
<td>1450±467</td>
<td>342±150</td>
<td>1684±326</td>
<td>1422±276</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sessions</th>
<th>Time (min)</th>
<th>TD (m)</th>
<th>HID (m)</th>
<th>SPD (m)</th>
<th>ACD (m)</th>
<th>DECD (m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MD+1 (n=40)</td>
<td>73.81±5.11**</td>
<td>3814±616**</td>
<td>154±129**</td>
<td>45.76±47.99**</td>
<td>393±144**</td>
<td>350±121*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-3 (n=40)</td>
<td>75.81±6.13**</td>
<td>4060±506**</td>
<td>406±228**</td>
<td>67.82±61.17**</td>
<td>478±99</td>
<td>407±90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-2 (n=40)</td>
<td>87.7±17.64</td>
<td>5042±1312</td>
<td>804±349</td>
<td>278.1±135.97</td>
<td>518±144</td>
<td>456±125</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MD-1 (n=40)</td>
<td>77.87±2.12**</td>
<td>4328±474**</td>
<td>429±167**</td>
<td>74.47±46.72**</td>
<td>455±86</td>
<td>392±80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TD = total distance; HID = high intensity distance (14-19.8 km/h); SPD = sprint distance (> 19.8 km/h); ACD = acceleration distance (>2.5 m/s²); DECD = deceleration distance (> -2.5 m/s²).

Denote significant differences with M4 (p<0.01). *Denote significant differences with MD-2 (* and **, p<0.05 and p<0.01, respectively).

External loads during training and official competition

Figure 1 shows the external loads during training and official matches. In general, a progressive trend was observed for duration and external loads in a given training week from MD+1 toward MD-2, with a reduction in MD-1. Aside from training duration, all external loads variables (TD, ES = 4.65 to 39.80; HID, ES = 4.09 to 35.10; SPD, ES = 3.38 to 48.70; ACD, ES = 4.78 to 25.20; DECD, ES = 4.90 to 19.00) were lower (p<0.05, Figure 1A) during training sessions in relation to official match demands. The percentage of HID, in relation to the distance covered during an official match, was lower in MD+1 (p<0.01) and MD-3 (p<0.05) compared to MD-2 (ES = 2.28; ES = 1.84, respectively). Similarly, SPD in MD+1 (ES = 3.13), MD-3 (ES = 2.99) and MD-1 (ES = 2.95) were lower (p<0.01) compared to MD-2.
Accumulated load per microcycle

Considering 1 as the value associated with official competition, the dependent variable time showed the greatest value (4.5). The TD (2.9), ACD (3.0), and DECD (3.0) showed an accumulated load greater than the mean value of 1 associated with an official competition, while HID (2.1) and SPD (1.9) showed lower values of accumulated load.

Discussion

The aim of this study was to assess the external load of amateur players during 4 consecutive training microcycles and their corresponding training sessions, in relation to the external load during competition. Results revealed that time and typical daily external training loads (i.e., HID, SPD, ACD, and DECD) did not differ throughout each microcycle. External training loads were significantly greater (i.e., TD, HID and SPD) on MD-2 than in the rest of the training sessions. Aside from training duration, all external loads variables (TD, HID, SPD, ACD, and DECD) were lower during training sessions compared to official matches. However, external load values (especially HID, and SPD) during MD-2 were closer to the external load values observed during official matches when compared to the rest of the training sessions.

The results revealed that time and typical daily external training loads (i.e., HID, SPD, ACD and DECD) did not differ across the microcycles. Similarly, in professional soccer players, the external load did not change over six consecutive in-season microcycles. Although between-microcycle load variations may aid in the preparation of athletes, in soccer, with a high frequency of official matches, the players need to improve their competitive fitness while minimizing fatigue. To this aim, application of between-session load variation within a given microcycle may be a well-suited approach.

Besides, reduced changes in the training load across different microcycles seem to be associated with a reduced injury incidence so the load management found in our study seems to be an interesting alternative during competition. In addition, the microcycles analyzed in this study were scheduled at the beginning of the in-season. In this sense, it is possible that the relative lack of external load variation between microcycles at the beginning of the in-season was related to the high-loads usually applied during the pre-season. In this case, reducing and maintaining constant the training loads at the beginning of the season can play a role in avoiding excessive fatigue and its deleterious effects over the competition duration.

The analysis of the load of the sessions within the microcycle indicates that TD, HID, and SPD were significantly greater on MD-2 than in the rest of training days (i.e., MD+1, MD-3, and MD-1). Previous studies have already shown this difference in the distribution of loads during the microcycle. Such findings support traditional periodization models postulating how training load should vary in order to facilitate optimal physiological adaptation. Previous research has shown how enabling variation among specific training parameters may maximize training adaptations. However, a previous study did not find significant inter-session external load variations for a given microcycle, other than a reduced load during MD-1 sessions. Although some studies observed greater external loads near the beginning of a given microcycle (e.g., MD-3 > MD-2), our results indicated greater external loads during MD-2 compared to the rest of the training sessions of a given microcycle. This difference can be caused by the number of training days that make up the microcycle (4 vs. 5) or by the distribution of the loads planned by the coach.

On the other hand, the lower external load (aside from MD+1) was observed in the training sessions immediately before a match day, similar to the results of previous investigations. In comparison with previous work, the average total distance covered was 4311 m, which was in the range of values reported by Gaudino, Alberti, Iaia (3618–4133 m). However, the distances covered in both the current study and that of Gaudino et., fell short in comparison with those reported by Owen, Lago-Peñas, Gómez, Mendes, Dellal (6871 m) and Malone, Di Michele, Morgans, Burgess, Morton, Drust. This difference can be caused by the level of the participants since in our study we involved amateur and non-professional players.

The aim of the reduced load during MD-1 compared to MD-2 could be to reduce the potential effects of fatigue accumulation. This taper strategy might promote greater performance, and may be easily applied among professional soccer clubs according to their competitive schedule. However, at the amateur level, adequate taper strategies are difficult to accomplish due to problems associated with job-related schedules, family commitments, and amateur-level problems with official match organization, among others.

Training prescription and also the communication between players and the coach may be facilitated when training loads are assessed in relation to official-match related-loads. As in a previous study, our results indicate that the external loads during training days are lower compared to match days, and that match constitutes the main source of loading during a microcycle. As it has been found in professional soccer players, among the different external-load variables during a match, the dependent variable duration is the one with greater value, reaching 4.5 during the microcycle. In our study, the load accumulated in HID, SPD, ACD, and DECD were 2.1, 1.9, 3.0 and 3.0, respectively. It is therefore important to note that in the sum of 4 training sessions (MD+1, MD-3, MD-2, and MD-1), the HID and SPR values corresponding to only one match are replicated during a microcycle. Is possible that the frequent use of small-sided games, which are regarded to the reduced distance, covered at high-speed and sprint, may be one of the justifications for these results. According to our results, during MD-3 the use of small-sided games with pitch dimension resulting in 50 m² per player demanded 22.3% of HID covered during the match. On the other hand, a simulated 11 versus 11 matches performed in MD-3 elicited 47.7% of HID covered during the match. Since some amount of displacement at high speed and sprint (i.e., HID and SPD)
External load of amateur soccer players

seems to exert a protective effect on soccer players against suffering injuries\(^7\), it would be necessary to increase this type of actions in training. In addition, during small-sided games, the demands of ACD and DECD are frequent\(^8\), which justifies the results in the microcycle compared to the match (ACD = 3.0 and DECD = 3.0). This stimulus with greater neuromuscular implications should be allocated during the mid-week training days\(^9\). In this way, it would remain an adequate period of rest before a matchday in order to avoid fatigue effects\(^10\) that may increase player’s injury risk\(^11\).

The findings of this study show relevant data for amateur players and coaches since it is vital to monitor the imposed training load to know how this pattern can affect performance. This monitoring will allow the coach to more accurately know the load applied to his players in order to apply appropriate tapering strategies and play the match in the best conditions. Some of the main limitations of the study refer to the low number of participants from just one team.

In addition, a single type of microcycle has been studied with 6 days between matches. Knowing the dynamics of external loads in longer or shorter weeks could help describe the training and competition demands of amateur soccer players. Finally, knowing the external load both in absolute values and in relative values to the demands of the match in relation to the position occupied by the player would allow comparisons between players.

The data presented here add to the growing body of applied research and provide an alternative perspective when comparing between players. In conclusion, amateur soccer players from the investigated team present relative stable external training loads across competitive microcycle, with the peak training load constitutes the highest load during a typical official match.

In conclusion, amateur soccer players from the investigated team present relative stable external training loads across competitive microcycle, with the peak training load constituting the highest load during a typical official match. In conclusion, amateur soccer players from the investigated team present relative stable external training loads across competitive microcycle, with the peak training load constituting the highest load during a typical official match.

The findings of this study show relevant data for amateur players and coaches since it is vital to monitor the imposed training load to know how this pattern can affect performance. This monitoring will allow the coach to more accurately know the load applied to his players in order to apply appropriate tapering strategies and play the match in the best conditions. Some of the main limitations of the study refer to the low number of participants from just one team.

In addition, a single type of microcycle has been studied with 6 days between matches. Knowing the dynamics of external loads in longer or shorter weeks could help describe the training and competition demands of amateur soccer players. Finally, knowing the external load both in absolute values and in relative values to the demands of the match in relation to the position occupied by the player would allow comparisons between players.

The data presented here add to the growing body of applied research and provide an alternative perspective when planning and analyzing the distribution of training load within amateur soccer. In conclusion, amateur soccer players from the investigated team present relative stable external training loads across competitive microcycle, with the peak training load observed two days before the match. Besides this, the official match constitutes the highest load during a typical competitive microcycle in this cohort of players.
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