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Is a short-stage protocol during an incremental exercise test reliable for heart rate variability threshold analysis?
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Abstract — Aim: Heart rate variability threshold (HRV\textsubscript{T}) is a valid method to determine parasympathetic depression during an incremental exercise test (IET). However, HRV\textsubscript{T} is usually assessed using the last 60s of each 180s stage of an IET, resulting in longer and demotivating tests. This study aimed to evaluate the agreement of HRV\textsubscript{T} analysis adopting the first and second minute of R-R interval (iRR) segment comparatively to a standard third-minute segment obtained at each 3-min stage on IET. Methods: Seventeen young male subjects (22.2 \pm 3.1 years; 23.4 \pm 2.3 kg/m\textsuperscript{2}) underwent IET on a cycle ergometer. HRV\textsubscript{T} was considered the load corresponding to the point of stabilization of the SD1 index (HRV\textsubscript{T1}), or the first load with SD1 value < 3ms (HRV\textsubscript{T1<3}), both assessed by the 1\textsuperscript{st} (HRV\textsubscript{TTV}, HRV\textsubscript{T1<3}), 2\textsuperscript{nd} (HRV\textsubscript{T2V}, HRV\textsubscript{T2<3}) and standard 3\textsuperscript{rd} (HRV\textsubscript{T3V}, HRV\textsubscript{T3<3}) 60s iRR segment analyzed at each stage of IET. Agreement and reliability were assessed by the Bland-Altman analysis and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC), respectively. Results: High reliability and non-significant bias were observed considering HRV\textsubscript{T1V} vs HRV\textsubscript{T3V} (ICC = 0.92; \textit{p} = 0.18) or HRV\textsubscript{T2V} vs HRV\textsubscript{T3V} (ICC = 0.94; \textit{p} = 0.99). However, lower reliability was observed for HRV\textsubscript{T1<3} vs HRV\textsubscript{T3<3} (ICC = 0.79; \textit{p} = 0.75) and for HRV\textsubscript{T2<3} vs HRV\textsubscript{T3<3} (ICC = 0.91; \textit{p} = 0.33). Conclusion: HRV\textsubscript{T} can be similarly assessed by the 1\textsuperscript{st}, 2\textsuperscript{nd} or 3\textsuperscript{rd} 60 seconds iRR segment, mainly when assessed by a visual method.
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Introduction

Heart rate variability (HRV) consists of the fluctuations in R-R interval (iRR) between successive heartbeats defined by the distance between two R-waves on the electrocardiogram\textsuperscript{1,2}. HRV has been used either in sports or in clinical settings as a proxy of the cardiac autonomic function\textsuperscript{3,4}. In this sense, different HRV methods and indices have been proposed to evaluate the parasympathetic activation or its withdraw associated with various physiological conditions such as exercise\textsuperscript{5,6}.

During an incremental exercise test (IET), an exponential reduction of the Poincaré map – SD1, a parasympathetic index of HRV, is expected as a consequence of parasympathetic activity reduction during exercise\textsuperscript{6}. Hence, the point where the values of SD1 is stabilized is called heart rate variability threshold (HRV\textsubscript{T}) and it is considered an indicator of the parasympathetic activity plateau during the IET\textsuperscript{5,7}.

In addition to reflecting the parasympathetic plateau during exercise, some researchers showed that HRV\textsubscript{T} coincides with lactate (LT) and first ventilatory (VT) thresholds\textsuperscript{8-12}. Thus, the analysis of HRV\textsubscript{T} emerges as a reproducible\textsuperscript{13}, noninvasive and low-cost strategy for the anaerobic threshold (AT) determination\textsuperscript{8,12}.

Although the physiological interpretation of these thresholds is not consensual, the determination of these markers is an efficient strategy for endurance performance diagnostic and prognostic and is widely used for better control and prescription of the cardiorespiratory training intensity\textsuperscript{14,15}. In this scenario, considering the different methods traditionally used to estimate the anaerobic threshold (LT, VT, and HRV\textsubscript{T}), HRV\textsubscript{T} determination stands out due to the low-cost analysis and the non-laboratory or non-invasive procedure. However, most studies that validated the use of HRV\textsubscript{T} to estimate LT usually apply incremental exercise protocols with stages of 3 minutes of duration\textsuperscript{11,12,16}, which results in longer and demotivating tests and low values of maximal power output compared with short stages protocols\textsuperscript{17}.

Of note, the rationale for the large stage protocol (3-min) approach to determine the LT and the HRV\textsubscript{T} is the period necessary for the muscle lactate to be available in the bloodstream and for iRR stabilization, respectively\textsuperscript{11,15}. However, the HRV\textsubscript{T} is dependent on cardiac autonomic adjustments, which are fast neural adaptations that precede the blood lactate increase. Therefore, it is reasonable to question the necessity to adopt large stage protocols (3 minutes) during IET aiming the HRV\textsubscript{T} determination.
From that perspective, this study aimed to evaluate the agreement and reliability of HRV\textsubscript{T} determination by 60 seconds iRR segments obtained from the first (HRV\textsubscript{T1}) and second (HRV\textsubscript{T2}) minutes comparatively to the standard 3rd (HRV\textsubscript{T3}) minute segment of each IET stage among healthy and physically active male adults. Due to the moderate to high interday reliability of HRV\textsubscript{T} assessed in short-stage protocols\textsuperscript{13,18}, we hypothesize that HRV\textsubscript{T} can be similarly assessed by the first, second or even in the third iRR segment of analysis during an incremental exercise test.

**Methods**

**Participants**

We evaluated seventeen physically active young men (22.2 ± 2.1 years). The sample size was defined considering an effect size of 0.35 (f) and a Power of 0.80 (1-β). As inclusion criteria, the participants had to be between 20 to 30 years old, be nonsmokers, with no participation in competitive sports, without any reported clinical disease, symptomatic clinical manifestations, muscle or joint disorders, or be taking medications. Those who started drug treatment during the data collection period or present excessive extrafictive beats in iRR segments (>2%) were excluded (n=2)\textsuperscript{19}.

To avoid possible circadian influences on HRV dynamics\textsuperscript{20}, all participants underwent an exercise testing between 8:00 and 11:00 am. Previously, they had been instructed to abstain from stimulants, alcoholic beverages, medicines and physical activity for at least 48h before exercise testing. The volunteers were informed about the experimental protocol before beginning all tests, and all signed an informed consent to participate, with the approval of the Ethical Committee on Human Research of the Centro Universitário Euro-Americano – UNIEURO (protocol number: 2.320.164), in compliance with the Brazilian National Research Ethics System Guidelines and the Declaration of Helsinki.

Initially, we obtained clinical and anthropometrical (body mass and height) data, basic lifestyle habits, as well as smoking and alcohol consumption habits, symptoms and/or diagnoses of chronic diseases and physical activity level. The physical activity level was assessed using the International Physical Activity Questionnaire-iPAQ\textsuperscript{21} and all other information were obtained by a clinical anamnesis. Resting heart rate (HR) and blood pressure were measured after five minutes of resting in the sitting position using the V800 Polar\textsuperscript{®} HR monitor and the auscultatory method, respectively. All measures were performed in a quiet clinical laboratory room at ambient temperature (21°C -24°C).

**Incremental Exercise Testing**

The incremental exercise testing was performed in an electro-magnetically braked cycle ergometer (Movement\textsuperscript{®} 3500 Pro, Brazil). The submaximal exercise testing was initiated with a load of 25 Watts (W) and an increment of 25W was adopted every 3 minutes\textsuperscript{11} until the volunteer reached 85% of his maximum predicted heart rate (HR\textsubscript{max}). The HR\textsubscript{max} was predicted by the formula HR\textsubscript{max} = 208 - (0.7 x age\textsuperscript{2}). During the exercise testing, the volunteers were oriented to maintain a cycling speed of 60-70 revolutions per minute.

**Heart Rate Variability Threshold Determination**

A cardiac monitor (Polar\textsuperscript{®} V800) was used to record iRR series during the IET\textsuperscript{23-25}. Then, each series was transferred to a microcomputer for offline data processing and analysis of iRR variability employing software Kubios HRV analyzer\textsuperscript{®} version 2.0 (Kuopio, Finland)\textsuperscript{26}. Before processing the HRV data, all iRR series were visually verified on a beat-to-beat basis to identify ectopic beats and artifacts. When present, spurious beats were deleted from the series without adding new intervals which accounted for less than 2% in every subject\textsuperscript{19}.

To determine the HRV\textsubscript{T}, we used an incremental protocol with 3 minutes of duration in each stage. During each stage, SD1 index of HRV was analyzed at three different time points: a) first minute (0-60s), b) second minute (60-120s) and c) third minute (120-180s). HRV\textsubscript{T} was assessed on each 1-min period (HRV\textsubscript{T1}, HRV\textsubscript{T2} and HRV\textsubscript{T3}, respectively), as described in Figure 1a.

HRV\textsubscript{T} was assessed adopting two methods a) the load (W) corresponding to the point of stabilization in which there was no further significant decline in the values of the SD1 during IET, identified by means of visual graphic evaluation (visual method-HRV\textsubscript{T}\textsuperscript{19,13,27}); b) the first load with SD1 value lower than 3ms (mathematical method-HRV\textsubscript{T-3})\textsuperscript{19}. Both methods are illustrated in the Figure 1b.

HRV\textsubscript{T} analysis was performed by two independent experienced evaluators. In case of disagreement between them, a third evaluator would be consulted to examine the graph. All data were analyzed by consensus between the two evaluators, thus, the third evaluator was not necessary.

To evaluate the possible influence of stage duration on the stability of HR and HRV during different phases of IET, we calculated HR, SD1, standard deviation of iRR (SDNN) and coefficient of variation (CV) in the first, second and third 60s segments of the iRR series obtained in the three-time points during exercise: 1) the first stage of IET, 2) the load corresponding to HRV\textsubscript{T1} and HRV\textsubscript{T2}; and 3) the last stage of IET. CV is a measure of discrepancy and it is expressed as a percentage of the mean iRR (CV\textsuperscript{<3} = standard deviation of iRR / mean iRR x 100).

**Statistical Analyses**

The normality of the data was confirmed by the Shapiro-Wilk test. Comparison between the three segments was made using ANOVA for repeated measures considering sphericity correction by Greenhouse-Geisser method. The differences between conditions were identified by Bonferroni post-hoc test and effect size was represented by partial eta squared (η\textsuperscript{p}). Agreement and reliability between analysis were performed according to the Bland-Altman analysis\textsuperscript{28} and the intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC)\textsuperscript{29}, respectively. A one-sample...
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t-test was used to test the hypothesis that the bias between measurements was zero. The results were considered statistically significant when the probability associated with the type I error was less than or equal to 5% (p < 0.05). Statistical analysis of the data was performed using SPSS v.20 (SPSS Inc., USA).

Results

The basic resting physiological variables and anthropometrical characteristics of participants are shown in Table 01. According to IPAQ, the participants presented moderate (62%) to high (38%) physical activity level.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Mean (SD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Body mass (kg)</td>
<td>71.9 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>175.1 ± 6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg/m²)</td>
<td>23.4 ± 2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HR (bpm)</td>
<td>78.4 ± 7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>117.6 ± 8.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP (mmHg)</td>
<td>74.6 ± 7.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

BMI = body mass index (kilograms per square meter), HR = heart rate (beats per minute), SBP = systolic blood pressure (millimeters of mercury), DBP = diastolic blood pressure (millimeters of mercury).

Table 1. Mean and standard deviation (SD) of anthropometrical and resting physiological data

Figure 1. a) Schematic illustration of heart rate variability analysis in each stage of the incremental exercise test. b) Representation of heart rate variability threshold assessed by visual and mathematical methods.
No differences were observed between HR assessed at first, second and third minute in the initial stage of exercise test ($p = 0.21, \eta^2 = 0.17$) or in the stage corresponding to HRV$_{TV}$ ($p = 0.81, \eta^2 = 0.01$). On the other hand, low HR was observed for HRV$_{TV}$ compared to HRV$_{T<3}$ ($p = 0.04, \eta^2 = 0.19$) and for HR assessed in the first and second minute compared to the third minute in the last stage of IET ($p < 0.001, \eta^2 = 0.78$) (Figure 2a).

Considering HRV analysis, no differences were identified between the three segments of analysis for SDNN (Figure 2b), SD1 (Figure 2c) and CV (Figure 2d) assessed in the initial stage of IET (SDNN: $p = 0.78, \eta^2 = 0.01$, SD1: $p = 0.48, \eta^2 = 0.04$, CV: $p = 0.67, \eta^2 = 0.02$), neither in the stages corresponding to HRV$_{TV}$ (SDNN: $p = 0.98, \eta^2 = 0.001$, SD1: $p = 0.12, \eta^2 = 0.13$, CV: $p = 0.79, \eta^2 = 0.01$) and HRV$_{T<3}$ (SDNN: $p = 0.63, \eta^2 = 0.02$, SD1: $p = 0.54, \eta^2 = 0.03$, CV: $p = 0.71, \eta^2 = 0.01$) nor in the least stage of exercise test (SDNN: $p = 0.23, \eta^2 = 0.09$, SD1: $p = 0.54, \eta^2 = 0.03$, CV: $p = 0.43, \eta^2 = 0.04$).

Similarly, no differences were observed between the load (watts) corresponding to HRV$_{TV}$ (106.1 ± 23.2), HRV$_{T<3}$ (101.1 ± 28.1) and HRV$_{T<3}$ (106.1 ± 25.5) ($p = 0.18, \eta^2 = 0.11$) or between HRV$_{TV}$ (98.4 ± 19.2), HRV$_{TV}$ (98.4 ± 28.1) and HRV$_{TV}$ (96.8 ± 27.1) ($p = 0.79, \eta^2 < 0.001$). Additionally, we observed higher ICC and a non-significant bias between HRV$_{TV}$ vs HRV$_{TV}$ (ICC = 0.92) and between HRV$_{TV}$ vs HRV$_{TV}$ (ICC = 0.94). However, lower ICC values were observed between HRV$_{TV}$ vs HRV$_{TV}$ (ICC = 0.79) (Figures 3a-b) and between HRV$_{TV}$ vs HRV$_{TV}$ (ICC = 0.91) (Figures 3c-d).

Figure 2. Heart rate and heart rate variability (SDNN, SD1 and CV) analysis at first stage of incremental exercise test (Initial), in the load corresponding to heart rate variability threshold assessed by visual method (HRV$_{TV}$), mathematical method (HRV$_{T<3}$) and in the last stage of incremental exercise test (Peak). *ANOVA for repeated measures ($p < 0.05$).
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Discussion

New and applicable findings were observed in our work, demonstrating that HRV\textsubscript{T1V} can be similarly assessed by the first (HRV\textsubscript{T1V}), the second (HRV\textsubscript{T2V}) or the standard third (HRV\textsubscript{T3V}) minute segment time points of the iRR series during the IET. On the other hand, a lower ICC was observed between these different time points of analysis when the mathematical criterion (SD1 < 3ms) was used to identify the HRV\textsubscript{T}.

Despite the higher reliability and low bias observed for HRV\textsubscript{T2V} vs HRV\textsubscript{T3V} comparatively to HRV\textsubscript{T1V} vs HRV\textsubscript{T3V} analyses, these differences are not important in practical or statistical context due to the high overlap of intervals of confidence in the ICC analyses. Therefore, ours results support the hypothesis that HRV\textsubscript{T} is mainly affected by fast neural mechanisms of cardiovascular regulation that can be effectively assessed by the first or second 60s segments of iRR when the visual method is used as a reference to HRV\textsubscript{T} determination.

The differences between the agreement and ICC levels observed between HRV\textsubscript{T1V} and HRV\textsubscript{T<3} methods may be explained by the nature of these measurements. The visual method requires the analysis of kinetics of parasympathetic deactivation during exercise test\textsuperscript{27,30}, while the mathematical method is arbitrarily defined as the first load in which the SD1 value is lower than 3ms\textsuperscript{7}. The fragility of the mathematical method may be easily visualized in the figure 1b, where the plateau in the parasympathetic activity can be observed at 125 watts and the HRV\textsubscript{T<3} is identified at 150 watts due to a minimal difference of 0.3 ms. Thus, despite the validity of this approach\textsuperscript{16,31}, the use of a fixed criterion of 3ms to HRV\textsubscript{T} determination should be used cautiously, especially when the temporal indices of HRV (i.e. r-MSSD) are used\textsuperscript{31}.

Figure 3. Bland-Altman plots and intraclass correlation coefficient (ICC) of load corresponding to heart rate variability threshold assessed by visual (A e B) and mathematical (C e D) methods using the first (A e C) and second (B e D) segment of i-RR comparatively to third segment of analysis in a three minutes stage incremental exercise test (n=17).
The effect of the exercise test protocol on the submaximal and maximal variables (i.e. LT and maximal power output, respectively) has been a target of some researches\textsuperscript{17,32}. Bentley, Newell and Bishop\textsuperscript{15} suggests that larger stage protocols (>180s) are necessary for an appropriate determination of LT, which requires a sufficient period of time for muscular lactate to be available in the bloodstream. On the other hand, higher power output has been achieved in short stages incremental tests\textsuperscript{17,32}. Thereafter, two different IET might be necessary for an optimal measure of these maximal and submaximal variables, what might be impractical either due to logistics/cost and to volunteer adherence.

Considering the HR\textsubscript{V} assessment, the adoption of larger stage protocols have also been proposed based on the supposed necessity of a sufficient time for HR stabilization during the analyses\textsuperscript{11,12}. In fact, our results show that HR at the 3\textsuperscript{rd} segment of analysis is slightly higher when compared to the 1\textsuperscript{st} segment both at HR\textsubscript{VTV} (~6 bpm) and at the last stage of incremental exercise test (~7 bpm). It is important to note that despite the supposition that 3-min stages are necessary for HR stabilization, this parameter has been determined without solid evidence to support this hypothesis. Thus, the effectiveness of this strategy to HR stabilization during exercise tests remains unknown.

Despite the slight instability of HR, no differences in HR\textsubscript{V} was observed at any time point of analysis during the IET, as indicated by SD1, SDNN and CV analysis. Thus, since that HR\textsubscript{T} is determined by HR\textsubscript{V} dynamics and not by HR per se, this slight increase in HR at HR\textsubscript{VTV} does not appear to influence the HR\textsubscript{T} analysis. Indeed, a high agreement between VT and HR\textsubscript{T} has been observed even when stages with 60 seconds of duration are adopted\textsuperscript{11,33}, suggesting that large stages for HR\textsubscript{T} determination may be unnecessary. 

Taken altogether, our findings could be a useful tool for both clinical and sports setting, reducing 3-fold the time to complete the exercise test comparatively to conventional protocols normally used to determine HR\textsubscript{T}. Additionally, since higher maximal power output can be reached in short (60 s) comparably with large (180 s) stage protocols\textsuperscript{12,32}, the possibility of HR\textsubscript{T} analyses by a 60 s stage incremental test allows an optimal measurement of these maximal and submaximal variables at the same test.

To the best of our knowledge, this is the first study to present evidence-based information showing that a 1 or 2-min stage protocol during IET could be as reliable as the traditional and longer 3-min stage for HR\textsubscript{T} assessment. Of note, although the use of HR\textsubscript{T} has been largely recommended for anaerobic threshold determination\textsuperscript{9,12,16,33}, several questions remain obscure and require further investigations. Amongst them we can highlight: 1) is this technique valid in women? 2) what is the impact of the ergometer type on HR\textsubscript{T}? 3) is this technique also valid and reproducible on non-laboratorial conditions? The answers to these questions go beyond the objective of our study but are absolutely necessary both for better understand the HR\textsubscript{T} phenomenon and for its proper use in exercise physiology and other health-related fields.

The main limitations of this study are the small number of participants and the absence of LT or VT analysis during IET. However, the validity of the HR\textsubscript{T} for predicting the load corresponding to LT or VT was not the objective of the present study and has already been tested previously\textsuperscript{8,12,16,33}. Additionally, the analysis of 3 different iRR segments using the same IET to HR\textsubscript{V} assessment may seem an inappropriate approach since the effects of different incremental protocols on the physiological and mechanical variables (i.e., V\textsubscript{o2} maximum and power output) are generally evaluated in different tests and/or days\textsuperscript{34,35}. However, the objective of the present study was to evaluate the HR\textsubscript{V} stability over each 3-min iRR segment during IET, which cannot be properly assessed by iRR segments obtained in different exercise tests, mainly due to the interday variation of this measure\textsuperscript{11}. In this sense, due to possible differences between HR and HR\textsubscript{V} kinetics during short and long-stages incremental exercise protocols, future studies investigating the effect of different incremental protocols on validity and reliability of HR\textsubscript{T} are necessary.

Conclusion

We concluded that HR\textsubscript{T} can be similarly assessed by the first, the second or the traditional third 60 s iRR segment of each 3-min stage during an incremental exercise test, mainly when a visual method is used to identify the parasympathetic depression.
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