Nondestructive Microstructural Characterization of Superduplex Stainless Steel by Double Loop Electrochemical Polarization Reactivation Portable Test
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Superduplex stainless steels (SDSS) are frequently employed in the petrochemical industries where is required high mechanical strength, toughness, and corrosion resistance. However, these properties can be affected by deleterious phases formation due thermomechanical processes applied in the field during pipes and vessels construction. This work propose the nondestructive microstructural characterization of deleterious phases precipitated in SDSS isothermally treated in 800 and 850ºC using portable double loop electrochemical polarization reactivation tests (DL-EPR). The results obtained in this nondestructive test are quite close to those obtained by conventional test, and can be correlated with the amount of deleterious phases precipitated. It can be concluded that the microstructural degradation of superduplex stainless steel can be evaluated by portable DL-EPR test with slow sweep rates, using a special cell and a proper electrolyte at room temperature.
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1. Introduction

Duplex (DSS) and superduplex (SDSS) stainless steels are materials frequently used in the chemical and petrochemical industries, due to the high mechanical and corrosion resistance 1. However, these stainless steels (SS) can undergo problems due to deleterious phases precipitation, due to manufacturing processes and/or aging in service 2-3. SDSS are more susceptible to deleterious phases precipitation, such as σ and χ, when compared with DSS, because of higher Cr and Mo contents. Small quantities of these phases in the microstructure decrease dramatically the toughness and the corrosion resistance without produce significant changes in the hardness values of DSS and SDSS 1-3. Sigma phase (σ) can be formed by slow continuous cooling 2-4 or by isothermal treatment with greater kinetics in the 800 - 850ºC range 1-3. For these reasons, is interesting to characterize SDD and SDSS by double loop electrochemical polarization reactivation test (DL-EPR) to determinate the degree of sensitization (DOS) and consequently the susceptibility to deleterious phases precipitation.
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Lopez et al. 6 analyzed the influence of σ phase precipitation on the corrosion resistance of a DSS UNS S31803 aged at 675ºC and 900ºC by DL-EPR testing using a 2.00 M H₂SO₄ + 0.01 M KSCN + 0.50 M NaCl solution at 30ºC. These authors considered the material susceptible to intergranular corrosion when the degree of sensitization (DOS = Ir/Ia) was higher than 0.05.

Recently, some papers 7-8 covered the use of portable cells to deleterious phases characterization in SDSS. Barreto et al. 7 employed a solution with 2.00 M H₂SO₄ + 0.01 M KSCN + 1.00 M NaCl and performed the DL-EPR test with scan rates between 1.5 and 3.0 mV/s in situ. They observed that the DOS was sensitive to deleterious phases in amounts above 8.00%. On the other hand, Assis et al. 8 performed tests with 3 M/dm³ HCl solution and scan rate 0.56 mV/s. They found that the δ/γ ratio and the distribution of austenite islands in ferrite matrix exert great influence on the DOS results.

In a previous work, Pardal et al. 9 evaluated the effects of isothermal aging in a SDSS UNS S32750, with different grain sizes, by DL-EPR. It was employed a solution with 2.00 M H₂SO₄ + 0.01 M KSCN + 0.50 M NaCl at 40ºC in the conventional destructive method.
This work is distinguished by characterizing the incipient presence of deleterious phases in SDSS S32750 through the use of DL-EPR technique with a portable cell. The electrolyte was optimized in order to perform the tests at room temperature.

The use of non-destructive technique by electrochemical characterization can promote great benefits in determining the degree of microstructure deterioration of SDSS. The results indicate that this technique can be applied to study the microstructural changes in the tested material, and can be used as an important non-destructive test in the field.

2. Experimental

Two types of UNS S32750 SDSS were studied, identified as "SD-A" and "SD-B". SD-A is a seamless tube and SD-B is a round bar. Table 1 shows that the chemical composition of the two materials are similar.

Table 2 shows the phase proportions, as well as the grain size corresponding to ferrite (δ) and austenite (γ) in the solution treatment condition for each material. Although the chemical composition of both products are similar, the differences of the phases and precipitated grain sizes between the SD-A and SD-B may be attributed to differences of processing of these materials.

Isothermal treatments at 800 and 850°C for 5, 15, 30, 45, 60 and 90 minutes were performed in SD-A and SD-B samples. These treatments introduced different amounts of deleterious phases in the microstructure. These samples were characterized metallographic by light optical microscopy (LOM) using electrochemical KOH reagent to reveal the deleterious phases. The deleterious phases precipitated revealed by LOM were quantified with Image Tools software analyzing 20 fields per condition. The percentages of deleterious phases precipitated were used, in this work, as a basis for evaluating the results obtained in the DL-EPR conventional and portable methods.

The DL-EPR tests were conducted with natural aeration at room temperature in solutions predetermined to characterize the initial formation of deleterious phase precipitation. Conventional DL-EPR tests were performed in the laboratory using a potentiostat galvanostat µAutolab® Type 3 shown in Figure 1, with a cell containing three electrodes. The area exposed to the electrolyte was a square with 1.0 cm², and the volume of solution was 250 mL. In these tests, the scan was started, approximately thirty minutes, after the potential stabilization with open circuit (~0.4 V SCE). Then, the potential measured in relation to reference electrode was increased with a rate of 1 mV/s in the anodic direction until 0.3V SCE. Finally, at this point, the sweep was reversed to the cathodic direction toward the original value of the open circuit potential.

The loss of corrosion resistance due to chromium poor regions was evaluated by two methods. The first one is related to the ratio of the reactivation current peak (Ir) and activation current peak (Ia) in the anodic sweep, i.e., the ratio Ir/Ia. The second methodology proposed, referring to the ISO 12732 standard, evaluates the degree of sensitization from the ratio Qr/Qa, where Qa is the integrated current under the peak over the time in the anodic scan and Qr is the integrated current under the peak over the time observed in the reversed scan. The second methodology was proposed due to the appearance of several activation and reactivation peaks in some aged conditions.

The non-destructive and portable tests were conducted using the same potentiostat, parameters and methodology applied to determine the degree of sensitization with the conventional cell. For practical purpose, the apparatus of µAutolab® potentiostat with a notebook can be transported and assembled in the field, although smaller and lighter potentiostats models are available for sale in nowadays. The portable cell body was made from a PET commercial tube before blow molding bottle. This cell is very low cost and easy to manufacture. In Figure 2 is observed a schematic design of the portable cell, while Figure 3 shows DL-EPR portable test being performed using saturated calomel electrode as reference and a Pt wire as counter electrode, just as in the conventional tests. In the portable test, the area exposed to the electrolyte was a circle with 3 mm of diameter (0.07 cm²) and the volume of solution was approximately 8 mL.

Table 1. Chemical composition of the SDSS analysed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Chemical composition %wt. (%Fe=balance)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-A</td>
<td>UNS S32750</td>
<td>24.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-B</td>
<td>UNS S32750</td>
<td>24.80</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Phases Proportions and grain sizes of SDSS studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Ferrite (δ)</th>
<th>Austenite (γ)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amount (%)</td>
<td>Grain Size (µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-A</td>
<td>55.05</td>
<td>29.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD-B</td>
<td>49.95</td>
<td>132.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conventional DL-EPR tests were initially performed in the SD-A as received and isothermally treated at 800ºC for 15 minutes. In this treatment condition there was approximately 9% of deleterious phases. Preliminary DL-EPR tests were performed with different solutions in order to find the most appropriate solution at room temperature. The solution should provide high sensitivity to the test, and also produce a test without reactivation peak for the solution treated specimen. After several tests, the solution containing 2.5 M H₂SO₄ + 0.02 M KSCN + 1.0 M NaCl resulted in a clearly reactivation peak (Figure 4) in the aged specimen, and no reactivation peak in the as received (un-aged) material. This solution was adopted in conventional and portable tests.

Figure 5 shows the variation of the Ir/Ia and Qr/Qa with aging time at 800ºC, using the portable cell for SD-A and SD-B. Ir/Ia and Qr/Qa results show the same tendency and are very reproducible. Similar results were obtained for the others analyzed conditions by portable and conventional method, as reported. Nevertheless, can be observed a greater degree of sensitization in SD-A (Figure 5(a)), when compared with same condition of SD-B (Figure 5(b)). This different behaviour of SD-A and SD-B is due to the smaller grain size of SD-A which accelerates the precipitation kinetics.

Figure 6 compares the Qr/Qa ratios in the conventional and portable DL-EPR tests with the percentage of deleterious phases precipitated of the SD-A samples isothermally treated at 800ºC. In the early stages of isothermal treatment from Figure 6(a) it was observed a sensitivity to deleterious phases precipitation with a slightly increase of Qr/Qa ratios. In portable tests a ratio Qr/Qa = 0.04 was measured with 4.0% of deleterious phases, produced with 5 minutes of isothermal treatment. In accordance to Figure 6(b), the precipitated and to correlate with the results found with conventional DL-EPR tests.

3. Results

After performing the DL-EPR tests by portable method, the areas evaluated by this technique were characterized by LOM for the purpose of reveal the deleterious phases

![Figure 1. Potentiostat galvanostat µAutolab Type III. 1- Computer; 2- Potentiostat; 3- Dummy cell; 4- Conventional cell.](image)

![Figure 2. Schematic design of portable cell. 1- Cell body, 2- Cover, 3- O’ring, 4- Fixing springs, 5- Velcro feets. Dimensions in mm.](image)

![Figure 3. Portable DL-EPR test method. 1- Potentiostat galvanostat µAutolab Type III; 2- Saturated calomel electrode; 3- Counter electrode, 4- Fixation of portable electrochemical cell.](image)

![Figure 4. DL-EPR conventional tests in SD-A as received and aged at 800ºC for 15 minutes performed with 2.5 M H₂SO₄ + 0.02 M KSCN + 1.0 M NaCl solution at room temperature.](image)
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Figure 5. Ir/Ia and Qr/Qa ratio values in portable DL-EPR tests at room temperature in the samples (a) SD-A and (b) SD-B aged at 800°C.

Figure 6. Qr/Qa ratios and deleterious phases precipitated percentage against aging time in SD-A samples aged at 800°C till (a) 15 minutes and (b) 90 minutes.

The increase of Qr/Qa ratio is more intense than the increase of deleterious phases for aging times greater than 15 minutes.

Figure 7 compares the variation of Qr/Qa ratio in the conventional and portable DL-EPR tests with the percentage of deleterious phases in SD-A samples aged at 850°C. In the early stages of precipitation (Figure 7(a)), the sample treated for 15 minutes presented 12% of deleterious phase and Qr/Qa = 0.03. The metallographic characterization performed after DL-EPR test, shown in Figure 8(a), reveals sites of intergranular corrosion, mainly in δ/γ interfaces. These are the regions were σ phase precipitates, as shown with more details in Figure 8(b).

According to the data presented in Figures 6 and 7 the Qr/Qa values measured with portable and conventional methods in SD-A samples are not always coincident. However, they show the same tendency of variation with the deleterious phase precipitation. In general, except for some points in the specimen aged at 850°C, the values measured with the portable method are slightly superior to the conventional method. The reasons for such discrepancy may be further investigated, but can be related to the different volume of electrolyte and area exposed in the two types of test.

A comparative analysis between Figure 6(b) and 7(b) indicates that the precipitation kinetics at 850°C in SD-A is slightly greater than at 800°C and the same behaviour was confirmed in the Qr/Qa for long aging times. The images shown in Figs 9(a-b) are partially defocussed because the specimen was not perfectly flat and the preparation could not be improved after the DL-EPR test. Nevertheless, it is possible to observe in the focused areas the sigma phase (σ) and secondary austenite (γ₂) formation by lamellar eutectoid decomposition of ferrite (δ), which explains the high Qr/Qa ratio measured.

Figure 10 compares the Qr/Qa variation in conventional and portable DL-EPR tests with the percentage of deleterious phases precipitated of the SD-B samples aged at 800°C. As shown in Figure 10(a), the deleterious phases precipitated and the Qr/Qa ratios remains with low values, because the coarser grains delays the precipitation kinetics in comparison to SD-A steel. These facts are corroborated by the LOM analysis of specimen aged at 800°C for 15 minutes (Figure 11), where it was not observed intergranular corrosion sites. Figure 10(b) shows that a ratio of Qr/Qa = 0.08 in portable test was attained when 5.5% of deleterious phases precipitated during
Figure 7. \( Q_r/Q_a \) ratios and deleterious phases precipitated percentage against aging time in SD-A samples aged at 850ºC till (a) 15 minutes and (b) 90 minutes.

Figure 8. Microstructures obtained after portable DL-EPR test performed at room temperature in SD-A material aged at 850ºC for 15 minutes.

Figure 9. Microstructures obtained after portable DL-EPR test performed at room temperature in SD-A material aged at 850ºC for 45 minutes.

Figure 10. \( Q_r/Q_a \) ratios and deleterious phases precipitated percentage against aging time in SD-B samples aged at 800ºC till (a) 15 minutes and (b) 90 minutes.
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Figure 11. Microstructure obtained after portable DL-EPR test performed at room temperature in SD-B material aged at 800°C for 15 minutes.

Figure 12. Microstructure obtained after portable DL-EPR test performed at room temperature in SD-B material aged at 800°C for 45 minutes.

Figure 13. Qr/Qa ratios and deleterious phases precipitated percentage against aging time in SD-B samples aged at 850°C till (a) 15 minutes and (b) 90 minutes.

Figure 14. Microstructure obtained after portable DL-EPR test performed at room temperature in SD-B material aged at 850°C for 45 minutes.

45 minutes of aging. In accordance, this sample showed intergranular corrosion when observed by LOM by white arrows in Figure 12. It can also be seen that the Qr/Qa is very sensitive to deleterious phases precipitation for greater aging times, such as observed in Figure 8(b).

Figure 13 compares the behaviour of Qr/Qa in the conventional and portable DL-EPR tests in SD-B samples isothermally treated at 850°C. The results are similar to those presented for SD-B aged at 800°C. Figure 13(a) shows that the amount of deleterious phases precipitated and the Qr/Qa ratios remains low, although the kinetics of precipitation at 850°C is more intense than at 800°C, as shown in Figure 13(b). This same trend was obtained with portable DL-EPR test. The specimen aged for 30 minutes had 16.5% of deleterious phase and Qr/Qa = 0.48. Figure 14 shows the surface of specimen after DL-EPR, with dark corroded areas indicated by arrows in the grain boundaries and inside the ferrite decomposed into austenite and σ phase.

In general, the differences between the Qr/Qa values measured with conventional and portable methods in SD-B were lower than in SD-A, mainly in the initial stages of precipitation.

4. Conclusions

This work applied nondestructive double loop electrochemical potentiodynamic reactivation (DL-EPR) to detect and quantify the microstructural degradation of superduplex stainless steels (SDSS). The main conclusions are:

- An adequate test solution 2.5 M \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \) + 0.02 M KSCN + 1.0 M NaCl allows to detect small amounts of deleterious phases precipitated in different aging
conditions by portable and non-destructive DL-EPR at room temperature.

• The portable cell designed shows potential use to characterize deleterious phases precipitation of SDSS in situ.

• The degree of sensitization values Ir/Ia and Qr/Qa were very similar in samples aged at 800 and 850°C for different periods of time.

• The results obtained with conventional and portable DL-EPR tests show the same behavior in specimens aged at 800°C and 850°C. The degree of sensitization against the amount of deleterious phases precipitated showed the same trend in tests performed with two SDSS with different grain sizes.

• The influence of grain size on the kinetics of deleterious phases precipitation was confirmed by portable DL-EPR tests.
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