Glass Diffractive Optical Elements (DOEs) with Complex Modulation DLC Thin Film Coated
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We developed a complex (amplitude and phase) modulation Diffractive Optical Element (DOE) with four phase levels, which is based in a glass substrate coated with DLC (Diamond Like Carbon) thin film as the amplitude modulator. The DLC film was deposited by magnetron reactive sputtering with a graphite target and methane gas in an optical glass surface. The glass and DLC film roughness were measured using non destructive methods, such as a high step meter, Atomic Force Microscopy and Diffuse Reflectance. Other properties, such as refractive index of both materials were measured. The DOEs were tested using 632.8 nm HeNe laser.

Keywords: diamond like carbon, glass, diffractive optical elements

1. Introduction

Diffractive optical elements (DOEs) are wavefront processors used to change the distribution of an incident light beam with known properties into a specified pattern. There are two main types of DOE: one is amplitude based and the other is phase-contrast. The phase-contrast is more efficient than the amplitude DOEs. The objective of this study was the development of diffractive optical elements (DOE’s), fabricated on B 270 glass substrate, with four phase-modulation and amplitude modulation. The amplitude modulation is produced by DLC thin films (Diamond Like Carbon) deposited over glass. A DOE is a component that works according to Huygens principle and modifies wavefronts by segmenting and redirecting the segments through the use of interference and phase control. Compared with refractive elements, DOE’s are lighter, occupy a relatively lesser volume and they can be fabricated using micro-electronic processes. Thus they can be built in large scale with high reproducibility, and eliminating almost all the stages traditionally used in optic elements fabrication, as abrasion and burning. Furthermore, the advantages include:

• Their functional flexibility; whereby an element can perform one or more complex functions simultaneously e.g. beam splitting and focusing;
• Ease of replication makes production fast, affordable and relatively simple; and
• Parallel performance of similar or different functions such as the multifocus hololens array for parallel pattern recognition.

In this work it is presented the development of diffractive optic devices based in optical glass B 270 from Schott, which present low infrared refractive index. The sp\(^3\) bonded carbons form \(\pi - \pi\) bonding network that is responsible for the optical properties like the optical gap and infrared refractive index.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Devices with four phase levels fabrication

The device fabrication followed the sequence of Figure 1. For the development of this work, Schott B 270 optical glass substrates with 75 mm diameter and 1 mm thickness with a thermally evaporated thin layer of aluminium were used. To obtain the two first levels (after the photolithographic process to obtain the \(\pi/2\) phase, aluminum wet etching and photore sist remotion) plasma etching process was performed with pure \(\text{CF}_4\) plasma with 48 sccm gas flow. The etching was made with 100 mTorr pressure, 400 W RF power and under temperature of 5 °C. With the intention to obtain the final device with four phase levels that will operate with HeNe laser (wavelength = 632.8 nm), it was made a photo litographic process again for the \(\pi\) phase, aluminum wet etching followed by photo resist remotion and finally, glass etching process with the same parameters of the first etching.

2.2. DLC deposition process

After the second plasma etching and photoresist remotion, the deposition of thin amorphous carbon films was performed by magnetron sputtering system using a graphite pure (99.999%) target with 150 mm diameter. Using a vacuum system composed by a turbo-molecular pump and a root pump, a (residual) pressure of 4.10\(^{-6}\) Torr was obtained. The process pressure 5.10\(^{-3}\) Torr and the power RF (13.56 MHz) 150 W were maintained constant. The sample temperature was not kept constant but it was measured by a K type
termopar not higher than 90 °C). Along the deposition, the total gas flow in the process was 70 sccm.

2.3. Four levels devices analysis

A system with a 633 nm HeNe laser, three lens, a pin hole, a ccd camera and a bulkhead for the optical analysis was built (Figure 2).

3. Results and Discussion

Before DOE fabrication, the etch rate and roughness of optical glass to obtain the etch process control were studied. Silicon Dioxide (SiO$_2$) can easily be etched by CF$_4$, but the optical glasses show difficulty for these processes because of the high contamination level. In etch process was used a CF$_4$ pure plasma and 5 °C electrode temperature. Pressure was kept constant in 100 mTorr and power level was varied in order to obtain a process which has a reasonable carbon etch rate and minimized roughness.

Before the etch process, elipsometer and high step meter analyses were performed. The optical glass B 270 refraction index measured was 1.41. Etch rate was measured by high step meter for the different samples, as showed in the following graphic. Roughness was obtained by mechanical technique high step meter.

Higher etching process powers were used because those etch rates in brand glass for low power were very insignificant. In fact, it was showed in the graphic (Figure 3) that the higher the RF power is, higher the etch rate will be. Those results can be confirmed when compared with the results showed in many other articles$^{12-15}$. The values for maximum roughness, RMS roughness and RA roughness were between 9.9-40 nm, 0.7-5.4 nm and 1.7-15.8 nm, respectively. So, it proves that the roughness do not vary too much with increasing RF power. Consequently, the process with higher RF power was chosen.

The values in pressure of 100 mTorr and an RF power level of 400 W for maximum roughness, RMS roughness and RA roughness, showed around 40 nm, 5.4 nm and 6.7 nm respectively. RMS roughness should be smaller than 10% of the wavelength of HeNe laser for the best performance of the diffractive optical element.

The RMS roughness was measured with an AFM. These results could be compared with the results obtained by high step meter.

The result for RMS roghness was low (Figure 4), under the 63 nm limit value (1/10 of HeNe laser wavelength), but this value is two times bigger than the obtained by high step meter (5.4 nm). Before the glass superficial roughness analysis, it was deposited the DLC (refraction index 1.66) by sputtering with 3.16 RMS roughness, showed around 35 nm.

The RMS roughness was measured with an AFM. These results could be compared with the results obtained by high step meter.

In order to analyse the diffractive optical elements working, it was chosen two Fresnel devices: one that forms an eagle and another that forms a butterfly image (Figure 6).

In Figure 6 are presented typical reconstructions from binary phase diffractive optical elements, Fresnel type. It can observe that there is no presence of diffraction zero order spot on the images that were rebuilt with good fidelity, but with excessive noise speckle (due to the glass etching process that is problematic).

In holograms of Fresnel type the reconstruction is not due to be symmetrically in relation to the reconstruction plane center. It was

![Figure 1. DOEs with four phase levels and amplitude modulation fabrication sequence.](image)

![Figure 2. Optical analyses. The d distance controls the image magnificence.](image)

![Figure 3. Glass etch rate versus power for 100 mTorr process.](image)
observed that there were no significant differences in the amplitude modulation for the devices covered by DLC and the elements without such thin film. To investigate this, an optical analysis was made of the surface elements. Transmittance results are shown in Figure 7.

The transmittance graphics showed for devices with DLC and without this thin film just prove that the same behavior occurs and the images produced by devices are in fact similar.

It would be ideal devices for to produce lasers with low wavelengths (for example in blue range – Ar laser that has 481 nm wavelength or in UV range – nitrogen laser that has 337 wavelength). Another alternative to modulate the amplitude and, using HeNe laser, would be the deposition of a thick DLC layer.

In Table 1 is shown the amplitude modulation efficiency from the transmittance difference (T%) for the surface with and without

![Image statistics](image1)

**Figure 4.** AFM analyses of 100 mTorr and 400 W sample.

![Image statistics](image2)

**Figure 5.** AFM analyses of DLC surface.

![Transmittance graph](image3)

**Figure 6.** Device images that reproduce a butterfly and an eagle.

![Wavelength graph](image4)

**Figure 7.** Virgin glass, etched glass and DLC over glass transmittance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laser</th>
<th>Difference</th>
<th>T%</th>
<th>Limit roughness</th>
<th>Time (minutes)</th>
<th>Dmax (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HeNe (632.8)</td>
<td>~1</td>
<td>~63</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>770</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon (481)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>~48</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>587</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cumarina (450)</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>~45</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>549</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrogen (337)</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>~34</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>411</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 1.** Comparison of calculated results for different lasers.

![Image of glass diffraction elements](image5)
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DLC thin film in different wavelengths, as well as the limit RMS roughness, etching process time (for same parameters used in this work: 100 mTorr pressure and 400 W RF power) and the desired thickness.

In order to make a more complete study, the total and diffuse reflectances were obtained by spectrophotometry technique with a integrative sphere for all materials. Based on the results, it was possible to calculate the RMS roughness. Using this method we can obtain the real roughness showed by the sample to the incident laser beam interaction.

The materials reflectance analyses is shown in Figure 8. For the plasma etched glass (under 100 mTorr pressure and 400 W RF power, the same parameters used for diffractive devices manufacture), the difference between the values of the total reflectance R0 and diffuse reflectance RD was lower than 1.4% (at a 632.8 nm wavelength), while for the virgin glass, this difference exceeded 7.8%. These values are reflected in the curves RD/R0 (Figure 8) for virgin glass and etched glass. Consequently, the observed roughness for etched glass is greater. In this work, what really matters are the obtained results for 632.8 nm HeNe laser wavelength.

The measured roughness for DLC was 18.8 nm for HeNe wavelength or, in other words, a close value of virgin glass. It indicates that the use of glass as substrate puts a limit in DLC RMS roughness, avoid a lower value. It can be concluded that the substrate would be a limiting factor in DOEs fabrication with DLC thin films.

The Equation for RMS roughness calculation is shown.

$$RD = \left( \frac{4RT0}{\lambda} \right)^2$$

The values obtained for roughness by Equation 1 indicates that both the glasses that suffered etching process and the DLC coated glasses have a high level of roughness. However these roughness are still within the limit of 63 nm, thus not interfering with the performance of diffractive optical elements manufactured.

In fact, the etched glass presents a RMS roughness greater value (\(\Delta\)) and this can be explained by the fact that the bombardment of the surface by ions during etching increases the roughness, affecting the substrate reflectance (Table 2).

It was also done a RMS roughness study of the DLC obtained by spectrophotometry for different types of lasers (Table 3).

We can observe that roughness decrease for lower wavelengths. In fact, it’s more beneficial to DOEs fabrication for lasers that operate in UV range.

### 4. Conclusions

In this work, DOEs based in glass substrate coated with DLC thin film with complex modulation (amplitude and phase) were obtained.

The transmittance graphics showed for devices with DLC and without this thin film just prove that they present the same behavior and the images produced by devices are in fact similar. There is a little difference in used laser wavelength values (around 1%).

The showed results confirm the possibility to manufactured DOEs to work in the UV region using the DLC as material for the amplitude modulation. The RMS roughness values for the DLC (for different wavelengths) were obtained optically very low, which is good for the device operation.

Besides, the DLC is a material that is compatible with many other substrates as quartz and polymers, and opens a big possibility for production of many DOEs types more efficient and with low cost.

![Figure 8. RD/R0 vs. wavelength for different materials.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>RD/R0 (nm)</th>
<th>Roughness (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Virgin glass</td>
<td>632.8</td>
<td>18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etched glass</td>
<td>481</td>
<td>12.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DLC</td>
<td>337</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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