Mechanical and Morphological Characterizations of Carbon Fiber Fabric Reinforced Epoxy Composites Used in Aeronautical Field

Jane Maria Faulstich de Paiva*, Alexandre De Nudai dos Santosb, Mirabel Cerqueira Rezende*c

aCampus de Sorocaba, Universidade Federal de São Carlos – UFSCar, Rodovia João Leme dos Santos, km 110 Bairro Itinga CEP 18052-780, Sorocaba - SP, Brazil
bInstituto Tecnológico de Aeronáutica – ITA, São José dos Campos - SP, Brazil
cDivisão de Materiais – AMR, Instituto de Aeronáutica e Espaço – IAE, Comando Geral de Tecnologia Aeroespacial – CTA, São José dos Campos - SP, Brazil

Received: May 25, 2009; Revised: August 18, 2009

Carbon fiber reinforced composites (CFRC) have been used in aeronautical industry in the manufacture of different aircraft components that must attend tight mechanical requirements. This paper shows a study involving mechanical (flexural, shear, tensile and compressive tests) and morphological characterizations of four different laminates based on 2 epoxy resin systems (8552™ and F584™) and 2 carbon fiber fabric reinforcements (Plain Weave (PW) and Eight Harness Satin (8HS)). All laminates were obtained by handing lay-up of prepregs plies (0°/90°) and consolidation in an autoclave following an appropriate curing cycle with vacuum and pressure. The results show that the F584-epoxy matrix laminates present better mechanical properties in the tensile and compressive tests than 8552 composites. It is also observed that PW laminates for both matrices show better flexural and interlaminar shear properties.
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1. Introduction

Carbon fiber reinforced composites (CFRC) offer significant improvements over current conventional materials in aeronautical industry. Due to their attractive specific mechanical properties they have been used to manufacture different structural components as aileron, flaps, landing-gear doors and other structural parts.

Nowadays, CFRC are more usually processed using thermoset polymers, especially epoxy resins. Polymeric laminated composites present high strength-to-weight and stiffness-to-weight ratios when compared with metallic materials. However, these laminated composites are susceptible to damage loads because they are obtained by layer stacking with not strong interfaces between the plies. This characteristic explains the importance of improving the damage tolerance of these materials, for example, by modification of the resin system using adequate modifiers2,3. In this way, the mechanical properties characterization is an important tool to evaluate these materials.

Flexural, compressive and tensile strengths of fiber reinforced composites are usually performed to characterize polymeric composites due to the ease of specimen preparation and testing4,5. In flexural tests beams the small span-to-thickness ratio (L/h) are dominated by shear and beams with long spans fail in tension or compression. Knowing that deformation and failure of beams can occur under the influence of tension, compression and shear stresses, flexure tests are not recommended for design data determination6,7. However, flexural test is an important tool for optimization of process and evaluation of matrices and fiber-resin interface.

Interlaminar shear strength of fiber reinforced composite laminates is usually performed to characterize both fiber-matrix interfacial adhesion and also the effect of binder on the composite mechanical properties6,7,8. This test presents as an interesting advantage, that is, its ease specimen preparation that no demands gripping and end tabbing.

Under compression loading it is usually observed plastic deformation of the matrix and the buckling fibers tendency. In this case, the failure mechanism can involve microbuckling that frequently evolves to kink zones which leads to two-fracture plane formation. A laminate composite can also fail under axial compression by macroscopic shear of determined planes. In both case, it is important to consider that the failure in compression is dependent on the way that the loading is applied5,8.

Tensile tests can be used to verify specifications, quality assurance of project and failure mode analysis4,9. In polymeric composites characterization, the tensile tests are used for research and development and additionally to verify both strength and modulus to support the project of components, as shown in the literature for an epoxy composite10.

This article presents experimental results of flexural, interlaminar shear, compressive and tensile strengths of four different manufac-
tured laminates based on F584 and 8552 epoxy resin systems im-
regnated carbon fiber. Data evaluation and comparative testing were
performed. Fractured surfaces resulted of the mechanical tests were
evaluated by visual inspection and microscopic analyses.

2. Experimental

2.1. Laminate molding

The laminates were obtained by handing lay-up of pre-impreg-
nated (prepregs) plies (0°/90°) and curing in an autoclave following
an appropriate thermal cycle, with vacuum and pressure, according
to the prepreg supplier instructions. The experiments considered 4
different laminate families based on 2 types of epoxy matrices (F584
and 8552) and 2 carbon fiber fabric reinforcements (PW - Plain Weave
style and 8HS - Eight Harness Satin style). The used prepregs were
supplied by Hexcel Composites Company. The studied laminates
were named 584/PW, 584/HS, 8552/PW and 8552/HS.

The consolidation of the laminates in autoclave was performed
by using vacuum bags in metal molds (plates) under pressure of
0.70 MPa. For both F584 and 8552 prepregs it was used a curing
cycle with a heating rate of 2.5 ± 0.2 °C/min until 177 °C, holding
at this temperature for a minimum of 120 minutes. All processed
laminates presented about 60% (v/v) of carbon fiber reinforcement,
determined according to ASTM D317111.

2.2. Mechanical characterization

2.2.1. Flexural test

The flexural tests were carried out in accordance to ASTM D790
(method I (3-point loading), using a minimum of ten specimens
(80 × 10 × 4 mm) for each laminate family. The tests were performed
in an Instron testing machine at a constant cross-speed of 1.7 mm/min
at room temperature, using an appropriate device for flexural test. The
flexural strength determination was based on Equation 1.1:

\[ \xi = \frac{3PL}{2be^2} \]  

where:
\( \xi \) = flexural strength, MPa
\( P \) = rupture load, N
\( L \) = support span, m
\( b \) = width of specimen, m
\( e \) = thickness of specimen, m

2.2.2. Interlaminar shear test

The interlaminar shear tests (ILSS) were performed in accordance
with ASTM D234412, using at least ten specimens (short beam:
24 × 6.35 × 4 mm) and an appropriate device for shear test and
employing an universal testing machine (Instron®, model 4301). The inter-
laminar shear strength was calculated based on Equation 1.2:

\[ \tau = \frac{0.75P_a}{be} \]

where:
\( \tau \) = interlaminar shear strength, N/m²
\( P_a \) = rupture load, N
\( b \) = width of specimen, m
\( e \) = thickness of specimen, m

2.2.3. Compressive test

The compressive tests were based on ASTM D341013 using
ten specimens with dimensions of 139.7 × 12.7 × 2-3 mm, for each

CFRC laminate. In this case, end-tabs of fiberglass laminates were
used. The tests were carried out in an Instron testing machine, at
room temperature, at a constant cross-speed of 1.27 mm/min with
a type IITRI fixture (developed by Illinois Institute of Technology
Research Institute). The compressive strength was calculated using
Equation 1.3:

\[ \kappa = \frac{P}{bd} \]  

where:
\( \kappa \) = compressive strength, MPa
\( P \) = maximum load, MN
\( b \) = width of specimen, m
\( d \) = thickness of specimen, m

2.2.4. Tensile test

The tensile tests were carried out in accordance with ASTM D303910
using a minimum of 20 specimens (250 × 25 width × 2-3 mm) for
each laminate family. The specimens were prepared by bonding
end-tabs of glass fiber/epoxy laminate. The tests were performed
in an universal testing machine MTS, model 744, with hydraulic
grasp and MTS 632 12C-20 extensometer, at constant cross-speed of
1.2 mm/mm, at room temperature. The tensile strengths were calcu-
lated by using Equation 1.4:

\[ \sigma = \frac{P_{\text{max}}}{A} \]

where:
\( \sigma \) = tensile strength, MPa
\( P_{\text{max}} \) = maximum load, N
\( A \) = average cross-sectional area, m²

2.3. Microscopic analyses

Microscopic analyses were performed aiming to identify the fail-
ure mode occurrence in the specimens tested. Firstly, 4 specimens of
each laminate family were analyzed by stereoscopy in a Zeiss® equip-
mment, model Stemi SV11. Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) was

\[ \text{Figure 1. Representation of some typical failure modes and codes observed} \]

in tensile tests of composites10.
Table 1. Codes related to failure modes(9).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Failure Type</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Failure Area</th>
<th>Code</th>
<th>Failure Location</th>
<th>Code</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Angled</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Inside grip/tab</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>Bottom</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Edge Delamination</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>At grip/tab</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>Top</td>
<td>T</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grip/tab</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>&lt;1 W from grip/tab</td>
<td>W</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>L</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>Gage</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multi-mode</td>
<td>M (xyz)</td>
<td>Multiple Areas</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Long Splitting</td>
<td>S</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>V</td>
<td>Various</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>explosive</td>
<td>X</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>U</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>U</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>O</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Result of a previous work (14)

Table 2. Interlaminar shear, compressive and flexural strength values of the laminates studied.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Laminates</th>
<th>Flexural Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Interlaminar shear strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (MPa)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>F584 / PW</td>
<td>1288.5 ± 45.4*</td>
<td>83.6 ± 4.8</td>
<td>681.6 ± 16.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F584 / 8HS</td>
<td>1119.1 ± 43.2*</td>
<td>70.8 ± 5.6</td>
<td>728.8 ± 30.5*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8552 / PW</td>
<td>921.7 ± 48.9</td>
<td>80.5 ± 4.2</td>
<td>656.4 ± 17.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8552 / 8HS</td>
<td>873.2 ± 35.7</td>
<td>67.7 ± 6.4</td>
<td>668.2 ± 20.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Result of a previous work (14)

2.4. Specimen inspection

After the tensile tests, the specimens were photographed and the failure modes were classified in accordance with the type and the location of the damages attending the ASTM D3039 (Figure 1). Table 1 presents the codes depicted in Figure 1 and used to classify the failure modes of the studied laminates.

3. Results and Discussion

Table 2 presents the average results of interlaminar shear, compressive and flexural strength values of the studied laminates. Considering the flexural strength results obtained in accordance to ASTM D790, method I (3-point loading), it is observed that the PW reinforcement laminates show an increase of the flexural strength when compared with the 8HS laminate. This behavior is attributed to the PW fabric arrangement to provide a more resistance to the interlaminar shear effort and also a more adequate condition for mechanical anchorage of the polymeric matrix, favoring a stronger fiber-matrix interface.

A previous work(14) shows flexural strength results for F584/PW and F584/8HS laminates of 1288.5 ± 45.4 MPa and 1119.1 ± 43.2 MPa, respectively. These results show that the F584 (modified epoxy, medium toughness) resin gives a higher flexural strength for the laminate composite than the 8552 (toughened epoxy, amine cured) epoxy system. Comparing the tendencies presented in this study and that one reported in literature(14), it is also verified that the PW laminate confers a better flexural strength performance for the processed laminates.

Comparing the determined flexural strength values for the F584/PW and F584/8HS laminates with that one reported by the prepreg supplier, approximately 1000 MPa, it is observed a good accordance.

Generally epoxy matrices applied in aeronautical components are based on DGEBA (diglycidyl ether of bisphenol-A) type with curing agent or hardener type 4,4'-diamino diphenyl sulfone (DDS). Epoxy resin modifications involve generally a trifunctional resin, as for example, tri-glycidil p-amino phenol modified with a thermoplastic, for example polysulphone of bisphenol-A (PSF)(16-18). The modified epoxy resins are considered the state-of-art in thermostet matrix for laminate composites.

Schwartz reports flexural strength values for unidirectional carbon fiber (HMS high modulus) reinforced epoxy matrix of nearly 1034 MPa. Norwood shows flexural strength results of glass fiber fabric reinforced epoxy matrix of approximately 480 MPa. In a general way, these results are expected, considering the 2 different reinforcements.

Table 2 shows the average of the interlaminar shear strength results of F584/PW, F584/8HS, 8552/PW and 8552/8HS laminates in accordance with ASTM D 2344(12). These results show the influence of the reinforcement on the interlaminar shear strength values. It is clearly observed that the PW laminates present higher results than the 8HS ones. Probably, the PW fabric arrangement (warp:fill - 1:1) favored a more efficient mechanical anchorage of the resin on the carbon fiber reinforcement. These results agree with the interlaminar shear strength values reported by the prepreg supplier, approximately 70 MPa(19). These results show that both epoxy matrices present good performance in shear tests. In a previous work(14), the authors show that the epoxy laminates based on F155/PW and F155/8HS present similar interlaminar shear strength values, 63.9 ± 1.8 MPa and 63.5 ± 3.5 MPa, respectively. F155 matrix is an epoxy resin without specific modifiers.
The compressive strength values and the standard deviations for F584 and 8552 laminates are close, excepted for the F584/8HS one determined in a previous study\textsuperscript{14}. Comparing the influence of the 2 reinforcements it is verified the tendency of higher compressive strength values for the 8HS laminates. The same tendency is verified for the F584-epoxy based laminate when the 2 resin systems are compared. In the latter case, the higher compressive strength results are attributed to the modification of this epoxy matrix. Polymeric modifiers generally contribute to increase the fracture resistance of the epoxy system. This behavior is attributed to the formation of a second tough phase, which is initially miscible in the epoxy resin during the mixture formulation, but it separates at some point during the cure to forming 2 phases, a thermoplastic rich phase and an epoxy rich phase. This modification produces multiphase morphology able to dissipate the crack propagating energy which favors the toughening mechanisms\textsuperscript{17}.

Table 3 shows the tensile strength results and the failure modes of the specimens tested. According to Table 3 the F584-epoxy matrix laminates present higher mechanical properties when compared to the 8552 resin system. This result allows concluding that the modifier used in the F584 system presents a good compatibility with both the F584 epoxy matrix and the carbon fiber, improving the F584 matrix toughness and the fiber-resin interface, respectively.

Considering the fabric arrangements, the PW type shows an increase of tensile strength when compared with 8HS type fabric for both matrices (F584 and 8552). However, the composites reinforced with 8HS-fabric style show a tendency to increase the modulus value, mainly when combined with the F584 epoxy matrix. This behavior is related to the F584 matrix toughness which favors an appropriate elongation of strained carbon fibers, mainly for the 8HS arrangement.

All tensile strength results depicted in Table 3 attend the requirements for qualification and conformance assurance of aeronautical industry. The literature reports medium tensile strength values for composites reinforced with fabric (0/90°) of around 689 MPa and a range of tensile modulus between 58 and 79 GPa\textsuperscript{24}. This literature\textsuperscript{1} reports that continuous unidirectional carbon fiber reinforced epoxy matrix presents tensile strength of approximately 1450 MPa when tested at 0°-direction and 62 MPa when tested at 90°-direction. The main advantage of fabric use as reinforcement in composite processing is that the laminates have balanced properties in orientations 0° and 90°. Meanwhile, the tensile strength and the modulus values of fabric-reinforced composites are lower than that observed for unidirectional fiber (tape) reinforced composites\textsuperscript{1}.

Figures 2-4 show typical optical micrographs of the specimens fractured in flexure tests. All laminate families presented total failure of the tested specimens (as marked on the figures), independent of the different arrangements of the warp and fill of the carbon fabrics. The fractured regions of the tested laminates are in accordance with the requirements pointed in the standard ASTM D790, which make valid the performed flexural tests\textsuperscript{4,6}.

The tested specimens show on the top side of the specimen (load application side) (Figures 2a, 3a and 4a) that the cracks propagation...
follows preferable the fiber arrangement in the fabric, but the bottom side (flexural effort) (Figures 2b, 3b and 4b) shows a straight line, similar to brittle fractures. Figures 5-7 show SEM micrographs of the fractured specimens after the interlaminar shear tests. Independent of the damages, firstly it is observed that the specimen present a homogeneous distribution of the reinforcement and the matrix. Focusing on the damages, it is observed that all specimens show a typical failure mode by shear, with the interlaminar cracking in the middle part of the transverse region of the specimen. This behavior agrees with the literature and makes valid the performed test, which requires that the failure must reveal interlaminar failure mode. In these figures it is also observed delaminations among the layers that propagate through...
the specimen until the total rupture of the specimen. In a general way, it is observed that the crack propagation occurs preferable between the layers with the change of the crack propagation direction at the warp and fill crossing PW laminates present a larger number of cracks than the 8HS ones.

Figures 8 and 9 show typical micrographic aspects obtained in stereoscopic analyses of the specimens fractured in compressive tests. For all tested laminate families it is observed valid fracture modes. The fracture regions of tested laminates are in accordance with the literature. Figure 8 shows a typical failure mode observed for the F584/PW laminates, with the kink zones presence and the carbon fiber rupture. Figure 9 shows the failure mode observed for the 8552/8HS laminate specimens with microbuckling, kink zones and fiber rupture aspects. Figures 8 and 9 present also delaminations (showed by the arrows) that provoke the separation of the layers in the CFRC laminates. Figure 10 shows a fractured region of a 8HS specimen tested in compression emphasizing the carbon fibers rupture.

Figures 11 and 12 are representatives of specimens tested in tensile. These figures show valid failure modes classified in accordance with ASTM D3039 (Figure 1) and depicted in Table 1. After the tensile tests all specimen/tab bonded regions were evaluated and
it was verified no occurrence of failure by shear and/or debonding in the interface between laminate/tab. It is observed that some specimens fractured near to the tab (Figure 12), as classified in ASTM D3039 and cited in Table 1, but no specimens showed adhesion failure. Thus, all failure modes were considered valid and the obtained experimental data could be used to calculate the tensile strength and the modulus of the tested specimens.

**4. Conclusions**

Flexural and interlaminar shear tests showed that the plain weave style (PW) of carbon fabric arrangement favored an increase of these mechanical properties when compared with the eight harness satin (8HS) type. This behaviour is attributed to the PW balanced arrangement and also to the fact of this arrangement to favor a better fiber-matrix interfacial anchorage. In compressive tests it was observed a tendency of higher strength values for F584-epoxy based laminate. Tensile strength results showed also that the F584-epoxy matrix laminates present higher mechanical properties when compared to the 8552 composite laminates. These results are attributed to the F584-epoxy matrix modification which favored a better compatibility with the carbon fiber reinforcement and a higher F584 matrix-toughness.

For all performed mechanical tests, the respective failure modes were considered valid according to the literature and also to the technical standard of aeronautical industries.
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