Effects of Carburization Time and Temperature on the Mechanical Properties of Carburized Mild Steel, Using Activated Carbon as Carburizer
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Due to the complexity of controlling parameters in carburization, there has been relatively little work on process variables during the surface hardening process. This work focuses on the effects of the carburizing temperature and time on the mechanical properties of mild steel carburized with activated carbon, at 850, 900 and 950 °C, soaked at the carburizing temperature for 15 and 30 minutes, quenched in oil, tempered at 550 °C and held for 60 minutes. Prior carburization process, standard test samples were prepared from the as received specimen for tensile and impact tests. After carburization process, the test samples were subjected to the standard test and from the data obtained, ultimate tensile strength, engineering strain, impact strength, Youngs’ moduli were calculated. The case and core hardness of the carburized tempered samples were measured. It was observed that the mechanical properties of mild steels were found to be strongly influenced by the process of carburization, carburizing temperature and soaking time at carburizing temperature. It was concluded that the optimum combination of mechanical properties is achieved at the carburizing temperature of 900 °C followed by oil quenching and tempering at 550 °C.

Keywords: packed carburization, carburizing temperature, activated carbon, hardness

1. Introduction

Carburizing is one of the most commonly performed steel heat treatments. For perhaps three thousand years it was performed by packing the low carbon wrought iron parts in charcoal, then raising the temperature of the pack to red heat for several hours. The entire pack, charcoal and all, was then dumped into water to quench it. The surface became very hard, while the interior or “core” of the part retained the toughness of low carbon steel1. The engineering of surfaces of components to improve the life and performance of parts used in automobiles and aerospace engineering is an active area of research. Suitable thermal / mechanical / thermomechanical surface engineering treatments will produce extensive rearrangements of atoms in metals and alloys and a corresponding marked variation in physical, chemical and mechanical properties. Among the more important of these treatments are heat treatment processes such immersion hardening, induction hardening and case carburizing2.

Automobile components such as rack and pinion, gears, cam shaft valve rocker shafts and axles, which require high fatigue resistance, are normally case hardened by carburizing. The carburizing furnaces are either gas fired or electrically heated. The carburizing temperature varies from 870 to 940 °C the gas atmosphere for carburizing is produced from liquid or gaseous hydrocarbons such as propane, butane or methane3. The study of process parameters in metals during heat treatment has been of considerable interest for some years4,5,6,7 but there has been relatively little work on process variables during the surface hardening process8 since controlling parameters in carburization is a complex problem. The major influencing parameters in carburization are the holding time, carburizing temperature, carbon potential and the quench time in oil9. The present work is focused on the effects of carburizing temperature and holding time on the mechanical properties of carburized mild steel.

2. Materials and Method

The materials used for this research work are Mild steel (i.e. AISI/SAE 1020, with the chemical composition shown in Table 1 was sourced from Universal steel company, Ogba Industrial Estate, Ikeja, Lagos.), activated carbon, Industrial engine oil (produced by Petro-Canada; Table 2) as quenching medium.

2.1. Test specimen preparation

The mild steel was machined to standard test sample sizes of tensile and impact tests. This was done according to ASTM's specifications on standard tensile and impact sample dimensions.

2.2. Carburization of mild steel samples

the prepared test samples were embedded in the activated carbon inside a steel pot which was then tightly sealed with clay cover to prevent the CO from escaping and prevent unwanted furnace gas from entering the steel pot during heating. The furnace temperature was adjusted to the required temperature (850, 900 and 950 °C for
The effect of the experiment on the mechanical properties

3.1. Absorbed (impact) energy and ultimate tensile strength (UTS)

From Figure 1, it is observed that the absorbed (impact) energy reduces with increase in the carburizing temperature, the impact energy of the samples soaked for 30 minutes (0.25 hours) were higher than those of the samples soaked for 15 minutes (0.50 hours).

In Figure 2, it is observed that for both series of samples, the ultimate tensile strength (UTS) increases initially with increase in the carburizing temperature and then begins to decrease at around 900 °C with further increase in the carburizing temperature. It is also observed that initially the UTS of the samples soaked for 15 minutes was higher than those soaked for 30 minutes at the carburizing temperature of 850 °C, but at around 880 °C there was a ‘crossover’ when the UTS of the samples soaked for 30 minutes were higher than those of samples soaked for 15 minutes. Comparing these with the properties of untreated as received sample, whose impact energy and UTS are respectively 61.25 J and 799.18 Nmm², the value of impact energy for as received sample is greater than those of carburized and tempered samples, it is expected that due to increased volume fraction of carbides which were formed in the material, impact strength will be reduced 10.

Similarly, the UTS of the samples soaked for 15 and 30 minutes were higher than that of as received sample when carburized at 850 °C, the UTS of both initially increased as the carburizing temperature was increased from 850 to 900 °C, though at different rate (see Table 3 and Figure 2), it decreased slightly (856.69 Nmm² for the sample soaked for 30 minutes and 799.18 Nmm² for the sample soaked for 15 minutes) when the carburizing temperature was increased to 950 °C10,11. This agrees with the findings of Guttman and Burgett12, carburization has some influence on the mechanical properties of steels. Also this relationship of the UTS with the carburizing temperature is similar to its relationship

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>Si</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>Mn</th>
<th>Ni</th>
<th>Cr</th>
<th>Mo</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Avg content</td>
<td>0.1999</td>
<td>0.1548</td>
<td>0.0594</td>
<td>0.0459</td>
<td>0.5826</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.1105</td>
<td>0.016</td>
<td>0.0013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.7. Hardness test

In present experimental work, Rockwell hardness was measured on carburized, tempered mild steel samples which are carburized under different temperature range of 850, 900 and 950 °C. For each of the sample, test was conducted for 3 times and the average of all the samples was taken as the observed values in each case.
Figure 1. The effect of carburizing temperature on the impact energy of the samples.

Figure 2. The effect of carburizing temperature on the ultimate tensile strength of the samples.

Figure 3. The effect of carburizing temperature on the engineering strain of the samples.

Figure 4. The effect of carburizing temperature on the Young’s modulus of elasticity of the samples.

Figure 5. The effect of carburizing temperature on the case hardness of the samples.

Figure 6. The effect of carburizing temperature on the core hardness of the samples.
with the percentage carbon content of steel in both annealed and tempered conditions\textsuperscript{11,14}, it can be reliably stated that the amount of carbon that diffused into the samples increases with the carburizing temperature.

### 3.1.2. Strain

Furthermore, from Figure 3 it is clearly seen that for the samples soaked at the different carburizing temperature for 15 minutes, the strain reduces linearly with increase in the temperature of carburization: This loss in ductility can be attributed to the volume fraction of carbides formed in the materials\textsuperscript{14,15}. While the strain initially increase from its minimum level of 0.04335 (i.e. 4.335 % elongation) at 850 °C, to its maximum of 0.13001 (i.e. 13.001 % elongation) as the carburizing temperature is increased to 900 °C which then reduced slightly to 0.09985 (i.e. 9.985 % elongation) with further increase in the carburizing temperature to 950 °C for the samples soaked for 30 minutes\textsuperscript{10}. The reason for the initially increase in ductility is due to an increased interface area produced by the carbide formation at grain boundaries which lead to the impurities (cavities and cracks) being redistributed, because their concentration is low the problem of embrittlement is negligible\textsuperscript{12}. For those samples soaked for 15 minutes at the carburizing temperature, it can be observed that the strain reduces with increased carburizing temperature, this means that the ductility reduced as it has been stated earlier; it is due to increased volume fraction of carbides which were formed in the material\textsuperscript{10}.

#### 3.1.3. Young’s modulus of elasticity

From Figure 4, it can be observed that the Youngs’ modulus of elasticity of the samples soaked for 15 minutes at the carburizing temperature of 850 °C increases from 8,511.6 to 15,506.99 Nmm\textsuperscript{-2} (almost double) as the carburizing temperature is increased to 900 °C and then increased abruptly to 67,157.98 Nmm\textsuperscript{-2} (more than four times) as the carburizing temperature is increased to 950 °C. This shows that the stiffness of this sample increases with increase in the carburizing temperature\textsuperscript{14,16,17}. On the other hand for the samples soaked for 30 minutes, the Youngs’ modulus at carburizing temperature of 850 °C reduces from its maximum value of 19,883.99 to 7,088.76 Nmm\textsuperscript{-2} as the carburizing temperature is increased to 900 °C and then increased slightly to 8579.5 Nmm\textsuperscript{-2} as the carburizing temperature is increased to 950 °C. This shows that the samples lost their stiffness with increase in the carburizing temperature. The different in the behaviour of these series of sample can be attributed to time of transformation: The 15 minute was not sufficient for complete transformation to take place.

#### 3.1.4. Case and core hardness

From Figures 5 and 6, it is observed that the for the samples soaked for 15 minutes case hardness was 62.7 HRA (while the core hardness was 65.85 HRA), at the carburizing temperature of 850 °C; when the sample was carburized at 900 °C, the case hardness reduced to 61.5 HRA (while core hardness increased to 67.5 HRA); when the sample was carburized at 950 °C, the case hardness then increased to 63.6 HRA (while the core hardness reduced to 68.6 HRA). Comparing these with the hardness of as received samples of 51.2 HRA it is glaring that there was actually carbon enrichment in the carburized samples. Furthermore, for those samples soaked for 30 minutes at different carburizing temperatures the case hardness was 66.1 HRA (while the core hardness was 65.3 HRA), at the carburizing temperature of 900 °C; when the sample was carburized at 950 °C, the case hardness reduced to 62.2 HRA (while core hardness reduced to 60.6 HRA). From Figures 1, 2, and 3 that the samples soaked for 30 minutes have better property being considered within the soaking temperature range of 900 and 950 °C. From Figure 4, it is glaring that the high Youngs’ modulus of the samples soaked for 15 minutes is responsible for the low impact strength of the said samples in Figure 1. From Figures 5 and 6, only the sample carburized at 900 °C soaked for 30 minutes showed a trend of hard case with softer core, hence it is considered the optimum carburization process route.

### 4. Conclusion

From the discussions so far it is concluded that:

- The mechanical properties of mild steels were found to be strongly influenced by the process of carburization, carburizing temperature soaking time at carburizing temperature;
- The carburization treatment followed by the oil quenching and tempering at 550 °C strongly influence the hardness and tensile strength of mild steels;
- The carburization process decreases the impact energy (toughness) of the mild steels. And the toughness is decreases with increase in the carburization temperature; and
- The optimum combination of mechanical properties is achieved at the carburizing temperature of 900 °C soaked for 30 minutes followed by oil quenching and tempering at 550 °C for 60 minutes.
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