Feed Forward Neural Network for Prediction of end Blow Oxygen in LD Converter Steel Making
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A multi layered feed forward neural network model is being developed for the prediction of end blow oxygen in the LD converter using a two step process. In the first step intermediate stopping temperature is being predicted and using this as an input the end blow oxygen is predicted. In both the cases two hidden layers had given the best results compared to the single layer neural network. Intermediate and end blow temperatures played a vital role in end blow oxygen and intermediate stopping temperature predictions. The model acts a guide for the operator and thereby enhances the yield of the converter steel making process.
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1. Introduction

LD converter steel making also known as Basic Oxygen Steel making (BOS) or Basic Oxygen Furnace (BOF) process converts hot metal, from blast furnace, and scrap into steel by exothermic oxidation of metalloids dissolved in the iron. Oxygen also combines with carbon, eliminating the impurities by gas collection. The main purpose of this process is to decrease carbon percentage from approximately 4.5% to less than 0.08% in liquid steel.

The LD Converter process is a very complex chemical batch process1. The amount and quality of scrap iron change from batch to batch, the grades of steel produced can change frequently.

A first principles model – called charge balance or static model-which is a complete heat and mass balance of the steel making process is used to predict total oxygen blow necessary to each batch2. However, model mismatches and the unsteady-state nature of decarburization rate lead to a poor control in end-point temperature and carbon percentage. One procedure to overcome this problem is to take measurements of carbon percentage and temperature during the process and then decarburization rate is determined. Oxygen blown correction is carried out through the ‘end-blow model’3. This model aims to correlate measurements taken during the process and the end-point conditions of the BOS process. One of the efficient tools, which enable one to obtain a numerical description of this kind of complex process, is the artificial neural networks.

Artificial Neural Networks (ANN), or commonly known as neural networks, have been attractive for applications in complex function modeling and classifications due to the fact that neural networks have very different computing approaches from traditional computing machines4-5. The neural network system has an ability to construct the rules of input-output mapping by itself. Thus, the designer of the system does not need to know the internal structure and instructions of the system, or the functional rules like traditional systems. Instead, the neural network system requires the feed-in’s of input-output patterns to “learn” before the system can function correctly. Due this flexibility neural networks have gained increasing interest in different fields of material science and other ferrous metallurgical areas6-9.

Several researchers have tried to predict the conditions of the LD converter in the past with varied success10-12. The conditions of the converter from one plant to the other and from one converter to another in the same plant may vary. Taking these into consideration in this paper, an attempt has been made to predict the end blow oxygen required by using Feed Forward Back Propagation algorithm using the previous heats data of an integrated Steel Plant.

2. Process Description

The integrated steel plant’s steel melting shop (which had chosen for our study) consists of three LD Converters. Each converter produces approximately 120 t of liquid steel from 135 t of charge (Scrap and blast furnace hot metal) in about 45 minutes. Approximately 72 heats are carried out every day.

During the process, hot metal at about 1400 °C is converted into steel at 1700 °C by exothermic oxidation of metalloids dissolved in the iron. The converter is a cylindrical steel shell lined with basic refractory materials, such as dolomite and magnesite. The vessel can be rotated 180° on its axis. Oxygen is blown into the vessel with the help of water cooled lance.

The ‘heat’ begins with the addition of scrap into the slightly tilted converter. This is followed by the hot metal after which the converter is straightened and oxygen is blown into the bath through the lance. The necessary fluxes are added during blowing. Flux addition is done automatically and precisely through bunkers situated above the converters. During blowing operation, oxygen oxidizes iron into iron oxide and carbon into carbon monoxide. The iron oxide immediately transfers the oxygen to the tramp elements (Carbon, Silicon, Manganese, and Phosphorus). The center of the reaction has temperatures of around 2000-2500 °C. The development of carbon monoxide during refining process promotes agitation within the molten bath. The reaction of the tramp elements with the oxygen and the iron oxide developed in the center of reaction leads to formation of reactive slag. As blowing continues, there is a continuous decrease of carbon, phosphorous,
manganese and silicon within the melt. Phosphorous is removed by inducing early slag formation by adding powder lime with oxygen. The blow is continued up to a predetermined point (about 88% of the total blow time) and the converter is tilted and temperature is measured by a thermocouple and a sample is taken out for the estimation of carbon. By this time Silicon, Manganese, Phosphorus is almost eliminated. The converter is again kept in vertical position and further blowing is continued. From now onwards oxidation of carbon and iron are predominant. After completion of blowing the converter is tilted and liquid steel is tapped via tap hole and the slag is tapped into the ladles by tilting the converter exactly opposite to the steel tapping side. The time between the sample point and the end of the process is known as the end blow period. The amount of oxygen blown during this period is known as end blow oxygen.

Our aim is to design a model to predict this end blow oxygen so that the desired end point temperature of the steel is reached at the end of the blow without any excessive oxidation of the liquid steel.

3. Design Methodology

3.1 Method of analysis

3.1.1. Parameter selection

The selection of process parameters that affect the end blow oxygen is an important step in carrying out the analysis. A survey was conducted in the Integrated Steel Plant’s (ISP) steel melting shop and based on the heuristic knowledge provided by the plant personnel, a total of 6 process parameters viz. Weight of hot metal (tonnes), Weight of scrap (tonnes), End blow oxygen (Nm$^3$), Intermediate stoppage temperature (°C), Intermediate stoppage Carbon (%), End Blow temperature (°C) are considered. LD converter heat data is taken from the same ISP’s historical data base. Table 1 gives the input and output variables used for the two neural networks.

3.1.2. Data pre-processing

The entire data set contains 1524 records (heat analysis) for a particular converter. In order to design an accurate model the data used is to be distributed evenly and should be in line with the manufacturing process. So certain pre-processing steps are carried out on the raw data and a range has been decided for each parameter. Of 1524 heats 936 heats are remained within the decided range. Table 2 shows the process parameters with their descriptive statistics.

3.1.3. Artificial Neural Network (ANN) modeling

Artificial neural networks are interconnected networks of system of neurons or nodes. A neuron is called a small processing unit which takes input and gives output. A Multi-Layer Perceptron (MLP) is a Feed-Forward neural network having one input layer, one output layer and one or more hidden layers in between them. Each layer is composed of a series of neurons. The output of neurons of one layer becomes input to the neurons of succeeding layer. After selecting the network architecture, the network is trained using learning rate and momentum factor. During the training process the network adjusts its weights to minimize the error between the actual and predicted outputs. The back propagation algorithm is used to adjust the weights. The model is developed using the commercial software Statistica.

The data set is divided in the ratio of 2:1:1 for training, selection and testing respectively. The training data set is used to train the neural network where all the input variables are fed to the ANN model along with the output variables. The selection data set is used for the selection of the ANN model during training to keep track of over learning of the model. Test data set is used to test the developed ANN model for prediction of unknown records. In the test data set input data variables are fed to the developed ANN model without the output variables. Since there are 5 input variables and 1 output variable, the input layer of the network contains 5 neurons and the output layer contains 1 neuron and one hidden layer with 13 neurons. A total of 3 ANN models are trained keeping same values of learning rate and momentum factor and number of epochs (iteration) by varying only number of hidden neurons. The synaptic function is dot product and the output function is sigmoid logistic activation function. The ANN model reports the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of the actual and predicted output variables.

### Table 1. Input and output variables for the proposed models.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prediction model</th>
<th>Inverse model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Inputs</strong></td>
<td>Weight of hot metal</td>
<td>Weight of hot metal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate stoppage temperature</td>
<td>Intermediate stoppage carbon</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Weight of scrap</td>
<td>Weight of scrap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End blow oxygen</td>
<td>End blow oxygen</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>End blow temperature</td>
<td>End blow temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate stoppage carbon</td>
<td>Intermediate stoppage temperature</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Intermediate stoppage carbon</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Proposed model’s flow sheet.
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3.2. Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity analysis of the developed ANN model with all the process parameters is performed. To check sensitivity of the model with a parameter, that parameter is varied form minimum to maximum including the mean and all other parameters are kept at their corresponding mean levels. The same procedure is repeated for all other process parameters. Then the variation of the end blow oxygen values is observed and the effect of particular parameter is evaluated. This analysis is performed on the best developed network model.

4. Results and Discussion

Descriptive statistics for all input and output variables of the ANN model are shown in Table 2, which includes mean, minimum, maximum and standard deviation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Process parameter</th>
<th>Description (unit)</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Minimum</th>
<th>Maximum</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HM</td>
<td>Weight of hot metal: Liquid iron coming from the Blast furnace (tonnes).</td>
<td>118.299</td>
<td>110.000</td>
<td>120.000</td>
<td>1.3556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scrap</td>
<td>Weight of scrap : Ferrous material in the solid state (tonnes).</td>
<td>17.015</td>
<td>15.000</td>
<td>20.000</td>
<td>1.0914</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB Oxygen</td>
<td>End blow oxygen: Oxygen blown between sampling and tapping of the converter (Nm³)</td>
<td>457.308</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>998.000</td>
<td>210.2785</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/S Temp</td>
<td>Intermediate Stoppage Temperature: Temperature taken during intermittent turndown of the converter for sampling (°C)</td>
<td>1680.378</td>
<td>1605.000</td>
<td>1753.000</td>
<td>19.4977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I/S Carbon</td>
<td>Intermediate Stoppage Carbon: Carbon in the sample taken at the turndown condition (%)</td>
<td>0.101</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.300</td>
<td>0.0605</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EB temp</td>
<td>End blow Temperature : Final tapping temperature (°C)</td>
<td>1683.728</td>
<td>1640.000</td>
<td>1737.000</td>
<td>19.0304</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the process parameters.

Three ANN models have been developed separately for both Prediction and Inverse model keeping same learning rate, momentum factor and iteration by only varying the number of neurons in the hidden layers and can be seen in Table 3. The learning rate of 0.05 and momentum Factor of 0.2 are used. The number of iterations for all the topologies is predefined as 5000. All the network models are run for 5000 iterations of back-propagation algorithm during which the inter connecting weights are expected to reach global minimum. The error reported is the Root Mean Square Error (RMSE) of the output variable. The training and selection errors first drop sharply at the very early stage of training and thereafter, the decrease of error is gradual. The sharp gradients (|DELTA RMSE / DELTA Iteration|) are observed as learning rates are high in the initial part of training.

Table 3. Best three networks.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Network No</th>
<th>Network topology</th>
<th>Training error</th>
<th>Selection error</th>
<th>Test error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>MLP 5-10-6-1</td>
<td>0.049401</td>
<td>0.050971</td>
<td>0.057783</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>MLP 5-25-16-1</td>
<td>0.057511</td>
<td>0.070563</td>
<td>0.069296</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>MLP 5-10-7-1</td>
<td>0.052465</td>
<td>0.057143</td>
<td>0.059974</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4. Error mean and correlation coefficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Prediction model</th>
<th>Inverse model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Data Mean</td>
<td>1680.378</td>
<td>457.3077</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Data S.D</td>
<td>19.487</td>
<td>210.1661</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error Mean</td>
<td>0.160</td>
<td>5.3224</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Error S.D</td>
<td>9.695</td>
<td>169.1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abs. E mean</td>
<td>4.747</td>
<td>135.9859</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S.D Ratio</td>
<td>0.498</td>
<td>0.8051</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>0.5933</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data Mean is the Average value of the target output variable.
Data S.D. is the Standard deviation of the target output variable.
Error Mean is the Average error (residual between target and actual output values) of the output variable.
Abs. E mean is the Average absolute error (difference between target and actual output values) of the output variable.
Error S.D. is the Standard deviation of errors for the output variable.
S.D Ratio is the error: data standard deviation ratio.
Correlation is the standard Pearson-R correlation coefficient between the predicted and observed output values.
Thus the best model is selected where the selection error reaches a minimum value.

The training, selection and test error for all three models along with the best network code is shown in Table 3. It can be observed that the training error for Prediction model network topology 5-10-6-1 is 0.049401 and for Inverse model network topology 5-25-13-1 is 0.133284, which is lower compared to that in the other two topologies. As well the selection error and test error are also lower compared to error in other topologies. The best network topology achieved are 5-10-6-1 (Prediction model) and 5-25-13-1 (Inverse model).

The error mean and correlation coefficient (R) are indicated for the output in Table 4. For a perfect fit of data R = 1. Thus a good network, a high value of R and a low value of mean square error are desirable. Good correlation is achieved for most of the outputs. This suggests that the model is capable of predicting the end blow oxygen effectively.

The observed (actual) versus predicted output values are shown in Figure 2 and 3 for prediction model and inverse models respectively. As can be observed from the figure, most of the predictions are very close to the actual values. However, the predictions are uncertain especially in very low values. The uncertainty can be resulted from the inadequate training for very low values.

Sensitivity analysis indicates which input variables are considered most important by that particular neural network. Sensitivity analysis can be used purely for informative purposes, or to perform input pruning. Sensitivity analysis can give important insights into the usefulness of individual variables. It often identifies variables that can be safely ignored in subsequent analysis, and key variables that must always be retained. Sensitivity analysis is performed and is shown in Table 5. The sensitivity graphs of the Prediction model and Inverse model network for the process parameters are shown in Figure 4a-d.

Based on sensitivity analysis the following observations are derived:

- Effect of Weight of Hot Metal: During the model design the quantity of hot metal is taken as one parameter, but in sensitivity analysis it got least importance. So in the models to be considered instead of tonnage its analysis may be taken into the consideration;
- Effect of Weight of Scrap: Weight of Scrap also got the same treatment as of hot metal. Scrap as a parameter in tonnage is of less use in modeling;
- Effect of End Blow Temperature: End Blow temperature of the previous heats got maximum importance in the prediction model which is used for finding the Intermediate stoppage temperature of the present heat. In case of inverse model which is used for predicting the end blow oxygen it is the second important parameter after Intermediate stoppage temperature;
- Effect of Intermediate stoppage temperature: The Intermediate stoppage temperature is having very high impact in predicting the end blow oxygen of the inverse model;
- Effect of Intermediate stoppage carbon: As per the sensitivity analysis intermediate stoppage carbon stood at the third important parameter in both the models after End blow temperature and end blow oxygen (in prediction model) and intermediate stoppage temperature and end blow temperature (in inverse model).
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5-10-6-1 and 5-25-13-1 architectures with sigmoidal functions are best suited networks for predicting intermediate stopping temperature and end blow oxygen of the LD converter.

Weight of hot metal and Scrap became the secondary parameter while model predictions.

Intermediate stoppage temperature and end blow temperatures stood as the most important parameters in deciding end blow oxygen and intermediate stoppage temperature respectively.

5. Conclusions

Feed forward network using back propagation algorithm is used for the prediction of end blow oxygen in the LD Converter steel making.

5-10-6-1 and 5-25-13-1 architectures with sigmoidal functions are best suited networks for predicting intermediate stopping temperature and end blow oxygen of the LD converter.

Weight of hot metal and Scrap became the secondary parameter while model predictions.

Intermediate stoppage temperature and end blow temperatures stood as the most important parameters in deciding end blow oxygen and intermediate stoppage temperature respectively.
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Figure 4. a) Sensitivity analysis between EB Temp vs. I/s Temp Predicted; b) Sensitivity analysis between EB OxygenVs I/s Temp Predicted; c) Sensitivity analysis between EB Temp vs. EB Oxygen predicted. and d) Sensitivity analysis between I/s Temp vs. EB Oxygen predicted.