Preparation and Application of a Magnetic Composite (Mn$_3$O$_4$/Fe$_3$O$_4$) for Removal of As(III) from Aqueous Solutions
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The introduction of magnetic properties in adsorbent materials has the aim of improving solid-liquid separation processes. In this work, a magnetic composite was synthesized through the precipitation of manganese oxide in the presence of magnetite particles using O$_2$ as an oxidant. The composite proved to be chemically and physically stable within a wide range of pH values. The composite characterization indicated that hausmannite (Mn$_3$O$_4$) represents the precipitated manganese phase and that magnetite undergoes no phase transformation during the synthesis. The composite and Mn$_3$O$_4$ particles were used to remove As(III) from aqueous solutions. The magnetic composite and Mn$_3$O$_4$ sample presented high and similar affinity for As(III), with maximum sorptive capacities of 14 mg g$^{-1}$ (0.0048 mmol As m$^{-2}$solid) and 20 mg g$^{-1}$ (0.0049 mmol As m$^{-2}$solid), respectively, at pH 5.0. The combination of an active high surface area sorbent (Mn$_3$O$_4$) with a magnetic phase (Fe$_3$O$_4$) allows for efficient As(III) removal and solid/liquid separation.
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1. Introduction

The presence of arsenic in drinking water is of great concern due to its toxicity and carcinogenic potential. Arsenic concentration below 10 µg L$^{-1}$ is the World Health Organization’s (WHO) recommendation for drinking water supplies. The increasing water quality demand for human consumption and industrial support, coupled with stringent environmental legislation, has stimulated the development of new materials and methods for the treatment of arsenic-contaminated aqueous solutions. Adsorption processes are commonly present in water treatment. As desired features, adsorbents should be low-cost and should present a considerable contaminant adsorption capacity. When trace or sub-trace concentrations are of concern, powder adsorbents with small-sized particles and a large specific surface area are required. Separating small-sized particles of a highly specific surface area from a solution is a challenge that can be addressed with the use of magnetic adsorbents. Magnetic adsorbents can be conveniently recovered by magnetic separation, in turn avoiding the filtration steps, which represent a barrier to the application of high-performance, small-sized materials in environmental remediation processes and the treatment of great volumes of aqueous solutions. Some investigations have shown that magnetic iron oxides, such as magnetite nanoparticles, lead to the efficient removal of arsenic from contaminated water. Nevertheless, the instability of these magnetic nanoparticles
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inexpensive catalyst in various oxidation and reduction reactions. The use of powder magnetic manganese oxide composites as adsorbents may combine the excellent adsorptive and oxidation properties of manganese oxide with good performance recovery of magnetic separation techniques. Nevertheless, very few works have been focusing on magnetic manganese oxide composites to be used in water treatment. Moreover, in these published works, the magnetic composites are often synthesized by precipitation of manganese oxide, when in presence of magnetite, by using relatively costly oxidants, such as potassium permanganate and hydrogen peroxide.

Considering the aforementioned context, the present work aims to synthesize low-cost magnetic Mn$_2$O$_3$ composites, with chemical stability and physical integrity, in stirred solid-aqueous systems. The magnetic sorbent is applied to As(III) removal in environmental systems.

2. Experimental

All chemicals were of analytical grade and used without further purification. All solutions were prepared with deionized water with a conductivity of 18.2 μS cm$^{-1}$ obtained with a Milli-Q water purification system (Millipore). To remove contaminants that had been potentially adsorbed onto the glass and plastic walls, all vessels and instruments were cleaned by soaking in detergent solution, then in 1.0 mol L$^{-1}$ HNO$_3$ solution, and subsequently in deionized water, in each case for at least 24 hours. All parts of the spectroscopic equipment used to extract and fill the sample cells was cleaned and rinsed properly with acetone. The pH electrode (713 pH Meter, Metrohm) was calibrated everyday with three pH buffers (pH 4.0, 7.0, and 10.0).

2.1. Synthesis of the magnetic manganese oxide composite

For the preparation of the composite, 1000 mL of deionized water was placed in contact with 1.0 g of commercial magnetite particles (Sigma-Aldrich, < 5 μm) and 45 mL of 1.0 mol L$^{-1}$ MnCl$_2$·4H$_2$O (Sigma-Aldrich) solution at pH 12 (1.0 mol L$^{-1}$ KOH – Sigma-Aldrich) in a 2000 mL Pyrex beaker under stirring (mechanical stirrer, Fisatom 713 D) and constant air input (aquarium pump Power 500). This same reaction was also carried out in the absence of magnetite particles for comparison. The resulting solid were separated from the liquid by using a neodymium magnet (180 x 100 x 35 mm, IMATEC PRODUTOS MAGNÉTICOS LTDA) and washed using deionized water. The solutions were analyzed by Atomic Absorption Spectrometry, AAS (Perkin Elmer Analyst A300), for iron and manganese content.

2.2. Evaluation of the sorbent chemical stability and physical integrity

The chemical stability of the composite is associated with the dissolution of solid constituents, while the physical integrity of the composite is associated with the coating detachment.

The chemical stability and physical integrity tests consisted of batch experiments in which 0.2 g of the magnetic composite was placed in contact with 100 mL aqueous solutions in different pH values (from 2.0 to 12) in 250 mL Pyrex vessels sealed with laboratory parafilm (Pechiney plastic packaging, USA) and stirred for 24 hours in a thermostatic shaker (New Brunswick Scientific Edison, USA) at room temperature. The solid was separated from the solution by a neodymium magnet, while the supernatant solution was vacuum-filtered through a 0.22 μm membrane filter (Fisher Scientific). The membrane filters before and after filtration were weighed on a precision balance (Mettler AE 200) to estimate the coating detachment. The solution was analyzed by AAS for Mn and Fe contents, whereas the solids were analyzed by EDS for Mn and Fe contents. The tests were carried out in duplicate.

2.3. Spectroscopic and image analyses techniques

Raman spectroscopic and X-ray diffraction (XRD) analyses were carried out for solid identification. Raman spectra were collected on a Horiba Jobin Yvon LABRAM-HR 800 spectrophotograph, equipped with a 633 nm helium-neon laser, 20 mW of power, attached to an Olympus BXH microscope equipped with 10, 50, and 100X lenses. The diffractograms were obtained on a Philips-PANalytical PW 1710 X-ray diffractometer equipped with a texture chamber.

Transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images of the samples were obtained using a Tecnai – G2-20- FEI 2006 microscope. A Thermo Noram (Quest) spectrometer with energy dispersive X-ray analysis (EDS) was used for elemental detection.

X-ray absorption near-edge structure (XANES) spectra were obtained to determine arsenic oxidation states using the synchrotron facilities at the Laboratório Nacional de Luz Síncrotron (LNLS), in Campinas, Brazil, at the XAFS2 workstation in the transmission mode at room temperature using a Si (111) double crystal monochromator.

Mössbauer spectroscopy data were collected on a conventional constant acceleration Mössbauer spectrometer (Halder) in transmission mode with a $^{57}$Co (Rh) source to identify the composite’s magnetic phase.

Measurements of the specific surface area were taken by means of the BET (Brunauer-Emmett-Teller) – Multipoint method through nitrogen adsorption using a Quantachrome Autosorb-1.

2.4. Arsenic immobilization

In the batch arsenic sorption experiments, 0.2 g of the adsorbent material (magnetic composite or manganese oxide) was added into the 250 mL Pyrex erlenmeyers flasks, filled with 100 mL of As(III) solution at initial pH 5.0, and the vessels sealed with laboratory parafilm (Pechiney plastic packaging, USA). The As(III) stock solution was prepared by dissolving NaAsO$_2$ (Fluka) in Milli-Q water. Initial As(III) concentrations varied from 1.0 to 50 mg L$^{-1}$. Agitation at 200 rpm was provided by a thermostatic shaker (manufactured by New Brunswick Scientific Edison, USA). The temperature was maintained at $(25 \pm 0.5) ^{\circ}C$. After 24 hours, the pH of the solutions was measured, and the samples were separated from the liquid by using a magnet.
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3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Evaluation of the composite chemical stability and physical integrity

The supernatants obtained after the magnetic separation of the solid samples stirred in pH 10 and pH 12 aqueous solutions were turbid (brown yellow) and had to be vacuum-filtered twice through a 0.22 µm membrane filter (Fisher Scientific) to achieve clarification. EDS results have shown the presence of only manganese in the fine filtrate particles, indicating that the physical integrity of the magnetic composite was compromised in these pH values (22% of Mn$_3$O$_4$ was separated from magnetite particles). When stirring at pH values from 3.0 to 9.0, no turbidity could be observed in the supernatants obtained after magnetic separation, which indicates that the physical integrity was preserved (less than 1% of Mn$_3$O$_4$ was separated from the magnetite particles). A significant manganese dissolution (49%) could only be observed in pH 2.0. In pH values from 3.0 to 9.0, less than 1% of manganese was dissolved. Iron dissolution was not detected (< 10 µg.L$^{-1}$).

It can be concluded that the composite has shown a good chemical stability and physical integrity in pH values ranging from 3.0 to 9.0, which is the commonly used range for arsenic adsorption in water treatment units.

3.2. Sorbent characterization

The values of specific surface areas for commercial magnetite, manganese oxide, and magnetic manganese oxide composite samples are 6, 54 and 39 m$^2$.g$^{-1}$, respectively. The TEM image of the composite (Figure 1) shows dominantly octahedral morphology.

Figure 2 shows the XRD diagrams of the samples. The synthesis of Mn$_3$O$_4$, in both the absence and presence of magnetite, can be confirmed by the presence of diffraction peaks corresponding to a tetragonal structure of Mn$_3$O$_4$ (JCPDS, 24-0734-hausmannite structure, I41/amd, with lattice constants $a = 5.746$ Å and $c = 9.463$ Å). The diffraction peaks of pure Mn$_3$O$_4$ are broader than the diffraction peaks of the composite. This result indicates that the synthesis in the presence of magnetite favors the formation of a more ordered Mn$_3$O$_4$ material with a single phase of manganese oxide. The diffraction pattern of the composite has also shown some peaks which correspond to the diffraction pattern of commercial magnetite, as was expected from the bulk.

Raman spectra of the composite and manganese oxide (Figure 3) have confirmed the presence of Mn$_3$O$_4$ [13,14]. The Raman spectrum of bulk Mn$_3$O$_4$ (MnMn$_2$O$_4$ in spinel notation) is characterized by a very sharp peak at 654 cm$^{-1}$. This peak corresponds to the Mn-O breathing vibration of divalent manganese ions in tetrahedral coordination. Two smaller peaks are located at 300-310 and 350-360 cm$^{-1}$. Bulk Mn$_3$O$_4$ also presents a weak signal at 485 cm$^{-1}$[15].

However, these values may shift to higher values as grain sizes decrease due to the phonon confinement effect [16].

Mössbauer results show that the ratios of the areas of octahedral and tetrahedral sites of magnetite and the composite indicate oxidation from Fe(II) to Fe(III) (Table 1, Figure 4). However, the oxidation does not compromise magnetic separation.

3.3. Arsenic immobilization experiments

In the As(III) sorption experiments with the composite and manganese oxide samples, the pH values of the solutions increased from 5.0 to 7.0 and from 5.0 to 6.0, respectively, indicating that H$^+$ ions are being consumed. The As(III) sorption isotherms are shown in Figure 5. The maximum
sorption capacity and affinity of arsenic ions were evaluated from the isotherms by Langmuir (1), Freundlich (2) and combined Langmuir and Freundlich (3) models expressed as follows:

\[ q = \frac{bq_mC_e}{1 + bC_e} \]  

\[ q = kC_e^{(1/n)} \]  

\[ q = \frac{bq_mC_e^{1/n}}{1 + bC_e^{1/n}} \]

where \( q \) is the amount of arsenic adsorbed per unit surface area of adsorbent (mmol.m\(^{-2}\)), \( C_e \) is the equilibrium concentration of arsenic (mg.L\(^{-1}\)), \( b \) is the constant related to the free energy of adsorption (L.mg\(^{-1}\)), \( q_m \) is the maximum adsorption capacity (mmol.m\(^{-2}\)), \( k \) is the Freundlich constant, indicative of the relative adsorption capacity of the adsorbent (mmol.m\(^{-2}\)), and (1/n) is the adsorption intensity.

The isotherms best fitting results are listed in Table 2. The maximum sorption capacity is 14 mg As.g\(^{-1}\) (0.0048 mmol As.m\(^{-2}\) solid) for the composite and 20 mg As.g\(^{-1}\) (0.0049 mmol As.m\(^{-2}\) solid) for Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) sample. The values are comparable to the value (14.7 mg.g\(^{-1}\)) found for a raw material rich in Fe\(_2\)O\(_3\) and MnO\(_2\) with a specific surface area of 40.8 m\(^2\).g\(^{-1}\) (0.0048 mmol As.m\(^{-2}\) solid), and are considered high when compared to other synthetic manganese dioxides found in the literature, such as birnessite (~0.0029 mmol As.m\(^{-2}\) solid), for the same range of initial arsenic concentration (less than 50 mg.L\(^{-1}\)). The composite and the Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) sample have shown high and similar affinity for As(III). The similarity is associated with the good coating of the composite. High

### Table 1. Mössbauer hyperfine parameters of magnetite and magnetic composite.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>mag (O)</th>
<th>mag (T)</th>
<th>mag (O)</th>
<th>mag (T)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnetite</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B(_{hf}) (T)</td>
<td>45.78</td>
<td>49.22</td>
<td>45.79</td>
<td>49.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Area (%)</td>
<td>53.3</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>55.53</td>
<td>44.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( A_{\text{O}}/A_{\text{T}} )</td>
<td>1.14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.25</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

IS: isomer shift; QS: quadrupole splitting; B\(_{hf}\): hyperfine field; T: tetrahedral sites; O: octahedral sites.

### Table 2. Isotherm parameters for As(III) on the composite and Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) sample.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>( q_m ) (mmol.m(^{-2}))</th>
<th>b (L.mg(^{-1}))</th>
<th>Best model</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Composite</td>
<td>(0.0048 ± 0.0003)</td>
<td>(1.7 ± 0.5)</td>
<td>Langmuir</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn(_3)O(_4)</td>
<td>(0.0049 ± 0.0007)</td>
<td>(0.9 ± 0.4)</td>
<td>Langmuir</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

b: constant related to the free energy of adsorption; \( q_m \): maximum adsorption capacity.

**Figure 3.** Raman spectra of the magnetic composite and synthesized Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) sample.

**Figure 4.** Mössbauer spectra of commercial magnetite and the magnetic composite measured at 300 K.

**Figure 5.** Isotherm for As(III) sorption on the magnetic composite and synthesized Mn\(_3\)O\(_4\) sample. Experimental conditions: pH 5.0, 200 rpm, 24 hours, (25 ± 0.5)°C. Error bars represent the error calculated considering the equipment error of 5%.
affinity adsorbents are desired for the removal of trace and sub-trace contaminants, as is true in the removal of arsenic from water.

The reactions that would most likely occur during the sorption of arsenate in MnO₄ tests are the oxidation of arsenite to arsenate and a reductive dissolution of MnO₄, in turn releasing Mn(II) into the solution (Equation 4) as well as causing the adsorption of arsenate ions onto hausmannite (Equation 5):

\[
\text{MnO}_4^- + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 5\text{H}^+ = 3\text{Mn}^{2+} + 3\text{H}_2\text{O} + 5\text{O} \quad (4)
\]

\[
> \text{Mn} - [\text{OH}] + \text{H}_2\text{AsO}_4^- + \text{H}^+ = > \text{Mn} - [\text{AsO}_4^3^-] + \text{H}_2\text{O} \quad (5)
\]

According to Equation 4, there should be three times more Mn released than As sorbed. Manganese and iron release were analyzed during the sorption tests. Iron was not detected (< 10 µg.L⁻¹). A relation between Mn release and As sorption is shown in Figure 6. It can be seen that, in initial concentrations of above 20 mg.L⁻¹, there is more As sorbed than Mn released. Figure 7 compares the As K-edge XANES spectra of the composite and MnO₄ sample after arsenic removal tests with arsenic salts used as standards, indicating that all As adsorbed is in the oxidized arsenic form, As(V). Therefore, it can be concluded that part of the Mn(II) in solution is being adsorbed or precipitated, or both. This will be confirmed by ongoing Raman and Extended X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (EXAFS) analyses.

Mechanisms of As(III) oxidation by Mn-oxides can be quite complex, involving several simultaneous reactions. Some investigations suggest that the oxidation of As(III) to As(V) using manganese dioxide involves the reduction of Mn(IV) to Mn(III), followed by Mn(III) to Mn(II), consistent with one electron transfer reactions. Many works on the complexation of arsenite and arsenate by manganese dioxide using XAFS show that As(III) is oxidized to As(V), while only arsenate is adsorbed onto MnO₄ surfaces. The majority of these works show that arsenate is adsorbed on the edge of MnO₄, binding to the Mn(IV) octahedron in bidentate binuclear forms. A more recent work has shown that arsenate can bind to the Mn(IV) octahedron in both monodentate mononuclear and bidentate binuclear forms, as well as to the Mn(III) octahedron in both monodentate mononuclear and bidentate mononuclear forms. A work from our group has shown that during As(III) sorption onto a manganese dioxide (Na-birnessite, Na₂MnO₄·1.5H₂O), hausmannite (MnO₄) is the intermediate product of reductive dissolution of manganese dioxide, whereas arsenate is adsorbed by MnO₄. Moreover, it has been demonstrated that the precipitation of a Mn(II) arsenate, Mn₃(AsO₄)₂·4H₂O, occurs for high arsenic concentrations (above 160 mg.L⁻¹). However, no detailed study has been carried out to show how arsenic is complexed on the surface of hausmannite.

4. Conclusions

The results of the synthesis of manganese oxide (MnO₄) coated magnetite have demonstrated that stable magnetic adsorbents with high affinity for As(III) solutions can be generated.

The composite has shown a good chemical stability and physical integrity in pH values ranging from 3.0 to 9.0, which is commonly used range for arsenic adsorption in water treatment units.

Magnetic MnO₄ composites have shown high affinity for arsenic and represent a practical approach to the separation of arsenic from water. All As adsorbed onto the composite was in oxidized arsenic form, As(V). Moreover, the magnetic property of magnetite, which is attached to the active MnO₄, allows for the removal of the sorbent particles from the solution.
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