Oat Hulls as Addition to High Density Panels Production
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Wood-based panels have been widely used around the world in various segments of timber industry. Thus, alternative raw materials have been systematically researched in order to reduce demand in particleboard production. The aim of this work was to produce and evaluate particleboards of *Eucalyptus grandis* and oat hulls (*Avena sativa*), bonded under pressure with castor oil based polyurethane resin, in the proportion of 10% relative to the dry mass of the particles. The percentages of particles used per material were: *Eucalyptus grandis* 100, 85, 70 and 0% and oat hulls 0, 15, 30 and 100%, respectively. Physical-mechanical performance of the panels produced was evaluated based on standards ABNT NBR 14810:2006[1] and BS EN 312:2003[2]. By analysis of variance (ANOVA), the fraction of particles of *Eucalyptus grandis* was significant only in the modulus of rupture (MOR) in static bending. Panels produced with 100% oat hulls presented highest value of MOR.
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1. Introduction

Wood panels manufacture show advantages of possibility to use alternative raw materials, as agro-industrial waste. Such products have increasing their importance, representativeness and use around the world, including Brazil, stimulated by the economic and environmental benefits of natural and renewable raw materials.

Considering wood-based panels from reconstituted wood, particleboard can be highlighted because it is the most consumed and produced worldwide (Brazilian Association of Wood Panels Industry – ABIPA). In Brazil, according to ABIPA particleboard represents about 50% of reconstituted panel manufacture and continues providing growth prospects for the next years. Particleboard is commonly used in furniture sector, mainly in the production of cupboards sides, dividers, shelves, table tops, and also in buildings (e.g. wood floors).

At the same time, companies should adopt proactive strategies to control and predict the environmental burdens of their activities, providing better results in environmental performance. In this sense, Schweinle has highlighted wood panels because there are several relevant issues that require further developments, such as case study of alternative wood panels manufacture including agro-industrial waste as raw material. In Brazil, agro-industrial residues are available in large volumes and have significant potential for employment. In particular, it is mentioned oats, food product usually consumed in the country and that generates an abundant volume of waste (oat hulls).

In this context, “green” materials were applied in this study, such as reforested wood from *Eucalyptus grandis* species, oat hulls (agro-industrial waste) from *Avena sativa* and polyurethane resin from castor oil.

The aim of this study is to evaluate physical-mechanical properties of particleboards made with *Eucalyptus grandis* particles and addition of oat hulls residue.

2. Literature Review

Brazil is the sixth largest producer of wood panels in the world, and the particleboard is one of main products (Brazilian Association of Producers of Reforested Forests – ABRAF).

Chipboard or particleboard can be produced from any lignocellulosic material and can give a high mechanical strength and predetermined specific gravity because of the lignocellulosic structure is similar to timber, according Rowell et al.

In turn, Brazilian agro-industrial residues are available in large scale and have significant potential for employment. According Tamanini and Hauly, agro-industrial waste...
generation is about 250 million tons/year. Among these residues, oat hulls have great potential, especially in relation to raw materials availability. The production of oats, the country, exceeded 500,000 tons in 2011 (Brazilian Commission of Research in Oat). According to Webster, about 30% of oat production refers to oat hull, a byproduct of processing oat cereal that represents approximately 150,000 tons/year. Oats hulls have been discarded during grain processing, which become a pollutant source to the environment. Thus, it is necessary, essential and appropriate to establish alternatives for its reuse.

Amino resins are synthetic and thermostetting polymers mainly used in wood-based panels manufacture and urea-formaldehyde (UF) resin is one of the major commercial products, because its low cost and good technical performance. However, there are some key environmental problems of formaldehyde-based resins. Silva et al. highlighted environmental impacts of UF resin life cycle for ecotoxicity and human toxicity categories. Formaldehyde emissions are potentially carcinogenic and can cause effects on human health (e.g. nausea, watery eyes, nose and throat irritation). Thus, environmental benign alternatives to UF resin are desired. For this, was used castor oil based polyurethane resin (PU) that is from natural and renewable source.

PU resin from castor oil has been an alternative binder during wood panels manufacturing, as shown by Bertolini, Ferro and Jesus, providing satisfactory technical performance. This bicomponent adhesive was originated in 1997, in the Institute of Chemistry of São Carlos, University of São Paulo, composed of polyol, extracted from castor beans, and prepolymer (isocyanate), resulting in polyurethane, which cure at temperature about 100 °C. Quality of wood-based panels is evaluated by their physical-chemical properties such as modulus of elasticity (MOE) and modulus of rupture (MOR) in static bending, internal bond, density, water absorption, thickness swelling etc, according Iwakiri. Density is one factor that influences panel mechanical performance, and must be as uniform as possible along panel thickness to ensure uniformity properties. Particleboards are usually produced with density range from 600 to 700 kg/m³. According to Kelly, a minimum amount of particles compaction is required to provide their consolidation during pressing cycle.

Iwakiri et al. produced high density particleboard and the results presented a significantly improvement in physical-mechanical properties (as more dimensional stability and better mechanical resistance). Melo et al. determined physical-mechanical properties of particleboard made from Eucalyptus grandis wood and rice husk and the results showed that rice husk addition provided greater dimensional stability and lower the strength of the panels. Bertolini et al. produced high density particleboards and the results of density were between 880 to 970 kg/m³. So, variability in physical-mechanical performance of the panels produced with different type of material showed their different behaviors.

3. Experimental Procedures

3.1. Particles production

In panels manufacturing, were used particles of Eucalyptus grandis (apparent density of 640 kg/m³) and oat hulls (apparent density of 290 kg/m³). These particles were generated in a knives mill, type Willye of Marconi brand MA 680 model, using 2.8 mm sieve opening.

Eucalyptus grandis was obtained from companies in the city and region of São Carlos - SP, while oat hulls (Avena sativa) were obtained from industry sector.

It was made a particle size analysis in order to determine its dimensions. SOLOTEST was the equipment used, with sieves of particle sizes that meet the specifications of ASTM corresponding to 7, 10, 16, 30, 40 and 50 mesh. Also was used a balance of Marconi brand, model AS 5000C, with a sensitivity of 0.1 grams. After generation of particles, a sample of 200 grams was removed for each material. These samples were subjected to vibration for ten minutes in vibration velocity 5 and allowing the material to cross the sieves in descending order of aperture. Three replicates were performed for each material. The particles that passed through the sieve of 50 mesh (sieve with smallest opening) were considered “fine”.

The moisture content of the particles of both materials was 9%. Figure 1 show the particles of both materials, before and after the milling process, and the mill used.

3.2. Panels manufacturing

Particleboards with one layer (homogeneous panels) of high density were produced. In this process were used castor oil based polyurethane resin (PU), bicomponent, 1:1 between prepolymer and poliol, with solids content of 100%. The 1:1 proportion was used because the excellent performance achieved by researchers of the LaMEM (Wood and Timber Structures Laboratory) in studies using this proportion. One of the components (poliol) is derived from vegetable oil with a density of 1.10 g/cm³ and the other component (prepolymer) is the multifunctional isocyanate with a density of 1.24 g/cm³, both supplied by industry sector. This resin was used during the process of excellent performance achieved in previous studies, developed in the LaMEM with wood panels. In each panel was used 640g of particles, bonded with PU resin, in the proportion of 10% relative to the dry mass of the particles, in all treatments. We used this amount of particles per panel (640 g) to ensure that the panels stay with high density (above 800 kg/m³), considering the density of each material used.

Parameters used in press cycle were: press pressure 4 MPa; press time 10 minutes; press temperature 100 °C. Such parameters as well as the dimensions of the residues were evaluated by Dias. Figure 2 show the panels manufacturing.

The particles of both materials were weighed and mixed with glue for five minutes approximately. The glue machine used was Lieme, model BP-12 SL, how to present the Figure 2b. Then, the particles with glue were subjected to small press (about 0.013 MPa). The pre-pressing of the Figure 2b. Then, the particles with glue were subjected to small press (about 0.013 MPa). The pre-pressing
manufacturing (Figure 2c). The next step was the pressing of the panels, done in the semi-automatic press Marconi, model MA 098/50, how to present the Figure 2d. Finally, before 72 hours, necessary for full cure of the resin and reaches the moisture equilibrium with the environment, panels produced were correctly squaring, being removed 20 mm from each edge.

Particleboards were divided into groups according to the different proportions of each particulate material (Eucalyptus grandis and oat hulls). Table 1 shows factors and levels used for design experiments giving rise to four experimental conditions (EC), as shown in Table 2.

3.3. Tests performed and results analysis

For each experimental condition (EC), six panels with identical particles proportion were produced. In total, twenty-four panels produced with nominal dimensions: 280×280×10 mm.

In each panel were removed one specimens for each property evaluated. The mechanical properties evaluated were modulus of elasticity (MOE) and modulus of rupture (MOR), both obtained by testing a three-point in static bending, and internal bond (tension perpendicular to the panel surface). The physical properties evaluated were density, water absorption, thickness swelling and...
compaction ratio. Specimen dimensions as well as physical and mechanical tests were performed according to ABNT NBR 14810:2006[1]. Figure 3 show the physical and mechanical tests performed.

The compaction ratio of the panels was calculated by the following relation: panel density by the density of the material which originated the particles.

The variance analysis (ANOVA) was used to investigate the influence of the fraction of particles of Eucalyptus grandis (compositions between particles of both materials) in the physical and mechanical properties of the panels produced. The significance level ($\alpha$) was 5%, considering the null hypothesis ($H_0$) the equivalence between the means and the non-equivalence as the alternative hypothesis ($H_1$). P-value greater than the significance level involves accepting $H_0$, rejecting it otherwise. In validation of the ANOVA model, normality test of Anderson-Darling and the Bartlett’s tests to verify the homogeneity between variances were used, both at the 5% level of significance, considering the null hypotheses of the normality and of the equivalence between variances. The null hypothesis hypotheses be accepted if the P-value obtained in the tests are higher than the level of significance, rejecting them otherwise. Accused the significance of the factor by ANOVA, was used the Tukey test for grouping of the averages.

4. Results and Discussion

The Table 3 shows the results of particle size analysis. As can be seen in Table 3, about 70% of the particles of Eucalyptus grandis and 75% of the particles of oat hulls were retained on sieves of 16 mesh (1.190 mm) and 30 mesh (0.595 mm). The material Eucalyptus grandis showed more “fine” that oat hulls.

Table 4 presents the average values per experimental condition (EC) for the response variables: MOE and MOR, internal bond (IB), density, water absorption (WA) and thickness swelling (TS).


Experimental values of MOE were obtained for samples ranged from 1654 to 2865 MPa. All values of MOE of panels met the requirement established by BS EN 312:2003[2].

Table 1. Factors and experimental levels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Input Factors (%)</th>
<th>Experimental Levels</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus grandis</td>
<td>100 85 70 0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oat hulls</td>
<td>0 15 30 100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Factors composition.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC</th>
<th>Ratios constituents</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>100% Eucalyptus grandis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>(85% Eucalyptus grandis - 15% Oat hulls)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>(70% Eucalyptus grandis - 30% Oat hulls)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>100% Oat hulls</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. (a) Static bending test, (b) internal bond test, (c) water absorption and thickness swelling tests, (d) density test.
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In your study, Lee and Kang \(^{24}\) and Melo et al. \(^{19}\) have obtained similar results as the oat hulls factor in this study, that shows the phenomenon of reduced MOE as it increases the percentage of addition of other material.

The MOR property of the particleboards ranged from 13 and 30 MPa. All values of MOR of panels met the requirement established by standard ABNT NBR 14810:2006 \(^{[1]}\), with minimum value of 18 MPa (Table 4).

It was observed that the MOR property increased as the added oat hulls, probably explained by the interphase region, noted of the chemical interaction between phases.

Internal bond property varied between 0.80 MPa and 2.74 MPa. All values of internal bond of panels met the requirements established by standards ABNT NBR 14810:2006 \(^{[1]}\) and BS EN 312:2003 \(^{[2]}\), with minimum values of 0.40 and 0.45 MPa, respectively. High values of internal bond are related to good interaction resin-particles. The results obtained for internal bond are similar to those obtained by Bertolini \(^{13}\).

There are no requirements for water absorption property (2h) in the standards of particleboard. The results of water absorption (2h) obtained resemble those found by Bertolini \(^{13}\).

All results obtained for the thickness swelling (2h) were lower than of 8% stipulated by ABNT NBR 14810:2006 \(^{[1]}\), for panels with thickness between 8 and 13 mm.

Compound density ranged between 797 and 1068 kg/m\(^3\). Practically all panels classified as high-density. This large variation in panel’s density (797 to 1068 kg/m\(^3\)), it was associated the large difference in density of the materials used.

The compaction ratio was not subjected to analysis of variance. Table 5 presents mean values of compaction ratio (CR) and variation coefficient (VC) for each of the four experimental conditions evaluated.

Mean values of compaction ratio ranged from 1.49 to 1.77 for the experimental conditions 1 to 3, consistent with established by Maloney \(^{11}\) and Moslemi \(^{25}\). For the experimental condition 4, the mean value of compaction ratio obtained (3.50) is consistent with the results of Mendes et al. \(^{26}\), that obtained values between 1.39 to 3.12.

The variation coefficients (VC) obtained for the compression ratio are lower than 8%, reflecting a small variation of this property between the panels evaluated.

Panels of experimental condition 4 presented higher density than panels of experimental condition 1. It can be justified by lower apparent density of oat hulls particles (290 kg/m\(^3\)), a fact which provides greater accommodation in the material (higher compaction ratio), and consequently higher density to this panels.

Table 6 shows the results of normality tests and equivalence between variances (ANOVA) for each property. Table 5, P-values of the Anderson-Darling and Bartlett tests were both higher than the level of significance, concluding be normal distributions and equal variances between treatments per response-variable, validating the ANOVA model. By analysis of variance, the fraction of particles of *Eucalyptus grandis* was significant only in

### Table 3. Mean values of particle size analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sieve (mesh)</th>
<th>Sieve opening (mm)</th>
<th>Mass retained (g)</th>
<th>Mass retained (%)</th>
<th>Mass retained (g)</th>
<th>Mass retained (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eucalyptus grandis</td>
<td>Oat hulls</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.830</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.000</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>13.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1.190</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>69.9</td>
<td>34.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>0.595</td>
<td>73.0</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>80.7</td>
<td>40.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>0.420</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>8.8</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>0.297</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>3.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Finos &lt; 50</td>
<td>&lt; 0.297</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>200.0</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Mean values of response variables by experimental condition (EC).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC</th>
<th>MOE (MPa)</th>
<th>MOR (MPa)</th>
<th>IB (MPa)</th>
<th>WA (%)</th>
<th>TS (%)</th>
<th>Density (kg/m(^3))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2349</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>951</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2366</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.65</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>941</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>2342</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.74</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>946</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1942</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5. Results of compaction ratio of the panels.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EC</th>
<th>CR</th>
<th>VC (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.49</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>6.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.77</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.50</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the modulus of rupture in static bending (P-value < 0.05), providing equivalent results between treatments stipulated for other assessed properties. Table 7 presents the results of grouping by Tukey test for MOR.

In Table 7, the highest value of the MOR was derived from the panels produced with 100% oat hulls, and was equivalent to the composition of particles between 70% *Eucalyptus grandis* and 30% oat hulls. The lowest values were derived from the condition with 85% of the particles of *Eucalyptus grandis* and 15% oat hulls, and this condition was equivalent to the composition with 100% *Eucalyptus grandis*.

5. Conclusions

From the results it can be concluded that:
- Mean values to physical-mechanical properties evaluated met the requirements established by standards ABNT NBR 14810:2006\(^1\) and BS EN 312:2003\(^2\):
  - Proportion of resin used (10%) proved to be sufficient, as it responded to requirements of national and international standards cited;
  - Progressive insertion of oat hulls was responsible for increasing MOR, conducting the greatest value for composition to 100% oat hulls;
  - The compaction ratio ranged between 1.49 to 3.50 for the panels produced;
  - By analysis of variance, the fraction of particles of *Eucalyptus grandis* was significant only in the MOR in static bending;
  - The highest value of the MOR was obtained from the panels produced with 100% oat hulls, and the lowest values were derived from the condition with 85% of the particles of *Eucalyptus grandis* and 15% oat hulls.
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