Synthesis and Characterization of New Copolymer Based Cinnamyl Methacrylate Monomer: Determination of Monomer Reactivity Ratio and Statistical Sequence
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Radical-initiated copolymerization of Cinnamyl methacrylate (CMA) and Ethyl methacrylate (EMA) monomers were carried out at lower conversions (<10%) using 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) as initiator, in 1,4-dioxane at 60 °C and nitrogen atmosphere. Structure and composition of copolymers for wide range of monomer feed were determined by Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) and Ultra-violet (UV) spectroscopy analysis through recorded of analytical absorption bands for monomers units. Monomer reactivity for CMA (1)–EMA (2) pair were determined by the Finneman-Ross (F-R) and Kelen- Tudos (K-T) methods. They are \( r_1 = 0.135 \) and \( r_2 = 0.868 \) as determined by K-T method. Parameters \( Q_1, e_1 \) of CMA monomer were calculated according to Alfrey-Price model. A relatively high activity of EMA was observed as compared to CMA growing radical. This result can be explained by sterical hindrance caused by chemical structure of cinnamyl pendant group in chain growth reactions.
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1. Introduction

Free radical copolymerization is a valuable tool for tailoring the properties of polymeric materials for a broad range of applications. However, the properties of obtained copolymer depend essentially on the chemical structure of the two-monomer units and their distribution in the polymer chain.

Poly (Ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA) is methacrylic ester polymer having excellent chemical resistance, high surface resistance and offers high optical transparency. It’s widely used because of its great interest in many industrial applications and various fields, such as enhancing of electrical properties of schottky diode and conductivity of batteries. Also, polymers systems, containing PEMA, show use in dental applications, drug release and cartilage repair.

On the other hand, more attention is ported to cinnamic acid, ethyl cinnamate and cinnamaldehyde were used to modify the polystyrene in order to prepare new photosensitive polymers able to crosslinked by ultraviolet light.

Zhang et al. have reported the interest of grafting cinnamic acid on poly (vinylidene fluoride) (PVDF) to improve its hydrophilicity for membrane applications. The microporous membrane prepared from this modified PVDF-cinnamic acid showed better hydrophilicity than the pure polymer. Also, cinnamic acid has widely used to incite or enhance miscibility of polymers blends initially immiscible or few miscible, such as poly (ethyl methacrylate)/ poly (styrene) by ultraviolet light to prepare new photosensitive polymers able to copolymerization reaction, grafting or blending. So, knowledge of the reactivity ratios of monomers in a copolymer system is a key to predict the composition and structure of so-obtained copolymer. Estimation of the copolymer composition and determination of their reactivity ratios is a key to evaluate the application fields of the so obtained materials.

In the copolymerization of two monomers, 1 and 2, the reactivity ratios, \( r_1 \), of a monomer 1 in copolymer with monomer 2, is defined as the ratios \( k_1/k_2 \), where \( k_1 \) is the rate constant of the reaction between a growing polymer chain having 1 as its terminal radical unit and monomer 1 (homo-propagation), and \( k_2 \) is the rate constant of the reaction between the same reactive chain end and monomer 2 (co-propagation). These values of \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \), based on copolymer molar composition, can fundamentally characterize the physical properties of the new material. Spectral methods are the most widely used...
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for analysis of copolymers composition and determination of reactivity ratios values.

In this paper, we present the radical copolymerization results of cinnamyl methacrylate monomer noted as CMA with ethyl methacrylate (EMA). The choice of cinnamyl group is supported by its biocompatibility and Photo-crosslinking properties. For a fundamental characterization of this new material, we report the reactivity ratios of the comonomers calculated using Finneman-Ross (F-R) and Kelen-Tudos (K-T) methods. The parameters \((Q, e)\) of the cinnamyl methacrylate were calculated according to Alfrey-Price equation. Dyad monomer sequence distribution was also established.

2. Experimental Part

2.1. Reagents

Ethyl methacrylate (EMA) (Aldrich, 98%), methacryloyl chloride (Aldrich, 98%) were purified by distillation under reduced pressure before use. Cinnamyl alcohol (Aldrich, 98%) and 2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile (AIBN) (Aldrich, 99%) were purified by recrystallization in heptane and methanol respectively. The organic solvents such as methanol, 1, 4-dioxane, heptane, THF, acetonitrile, triethylamine with important grade of purity, are used as received from Merck, Aldrich without further purification.

2.2. Synthesis of monomer cinnamyl methacrylate (CMA)

In order to underline the cinnamyl alcohol reactivity in polymerization conditions, many experiments were carried out in dioxane at 60 °C, using AIBN as initiator. The reactions were conducted 57 hours but any viscosity was observed in solution. Moreover, no polymer precipitation was obtained when adding heptane. Similar results were obtained in presence of styrene and anhydride-maleic, used as comonomers.

Indeed, the synthesis of cinnamyl derivative was carried out as follows: To a solution of cinnamyl alcohol (CA) (30g, 0.22mol) in acetonitrile (300 ml) was added triethylamine (2g, 0.19mol), followed by an excess of methacryloyl chloride (21ml, 0.21mol). The solution was stirred for 6 hours at room temperature. In order to ensure the complete conversion of hydroxyl groups, the temperature was increased at 40 °C for 1 h. The solution was washed first with distilled water and then with saturated sodium hydrogen carbonate solution. The organic layer was isolated and dried over magnesium sulfate, filtered off and the solvent was removed under vacuum to give the desired product: cinnamyl methacrylate, noted as CMA (Scheme 1) (Yield: 85%). \(^{1}H\) NMR (300 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)), \(\delta\): 1.1 ppm (s, -CH\(_3\)), 4.5 ppm (m, O-CH\(_2\)-C), 4.8 ppm (m, =CH\(_2\)), 6.2 ppm (m, =CH), 7.2 ppm (m, -CH Ar).

2.3. Copolymerization of cinnamyl methacrylate and ethyl methacrylate monomers CMA-EMA

In order to study the copolymerization of cinnamyl methacrylate (CMA) with ethyl methacrylate (EMA), a set of five experiments with different feed ratios (CMA:EMA) were conducted each time (10:90, 21:79, 50:50, 70:30, 90:10). An appropriate quantities of freshly distilled monomers, AIBN (0.1%) as free radical initiator and dioxane were placed in the reactor and stirred magnetically at room temperature under inert nitrogen atmosphere for few minutes for homogenization. Then, the temperature was increased at 60 °C for 240 minutes to polymerize at low conversion as required by the reliable determination of the reactivity ratios. The reaction was stopped by cooling down the glass reactor in liquid nitrogen. The resulting copolymer was purified by precipitation in cold heptane to remove the residual monomers, followed by dissolution in THF and re-precipitation in heptane. The obtained copolymer was dried under vacuum until constant weight. The copolymer was noted as PCMAEMA (Scheme 2). For sake of comparison, the corresponding homopolymers, poly (cinnamyl methacrylate) (PCMA) and poly (ethyl methacrylate) (PEMA) were prepared in the same conditions.

2.4. Instrumentation and characterization

FTIR spectra of polymers with different compositions were recorded on Perkin Elmer spectrometer at 2cm\(^{-1}\) resolution with 64 scans over the spectral range of 4000-400 cm\(^{-1}\), using the samples in powder form. The copolymer compositions were determined by UV analysis using a Lambda 650 Perkin Elmer model UV-Visible spectrophotometer. A calibration curve was constructed using poly (cinnamyl methacrylate) PCMA prepared by free radical polymerization and measuring the absorbance at 254 nm, using spectroscopic THF as solvent.

Scheme 1. Synthesis of cinnamyl methacrylate monomer.
2.5. Solubility measurement

Solubility of this new material was tested in polar and non-polar organic solvents. To a 3.0 ml solvent, a know amount of the copolymer sample (3-6 mg) was added and kept stirred for 12 hours. The observations showed that the copolymer is soluble the polar solvents like acetone, tetrahydrofurane, chloroform, dimetylformamide, ethyl acetate. It’s was insoluble in non-polar solvents such as alkanes and benzene.

3. Results and Discussions

3.1. Copolymers characterization

The free radical copolymerization of CMA with EMA was conducted under nitrogen flow, at 60 °C, using AIBN as initiator (Scheme 2). The conversion was lower than 10%, satisfying the differential copolymerization evaluation. As presented in Scheme 1, the chemical structure of cinnamyl methacrylate presents two double bonds: the first in α position of carbonyl, the second in α of aromatic group. We suggest that the growing of the polymerization is available only on the double bond in α of C=O function. The second bond becomes inactive, as demonstrated by the failure of the cinnamyl alcohol homopolymerization experiments, as well as its copolymerization with styrene and maleïc anhydride respectively.

Infrared spectra of the new copolymer PCMAEMA is presented in Figure 1. The peaks at 2961 cm\(^{-1}\) and 2876 cm\(^{-1}\) are assigned to the characteristic stretching vibrations of methyl groups (-CH\(_3\)) and methylene groups (-CH\(_2\)) in the copolymer. The strong absorption peak at 1730 cm\(^{-1}\) is assigned to the characterization peak of carbonyl ester group (C=O) of monomers CMA and EMA units of the copolymer. Additionally, the absorption peaks at 3059 cm\(^{-1}\) and 3026 cm\(^{-1}\) are attributed to the C-H stretching vibrations of the phenyl ring in cinnamyl group. The absorption peaks at 1600 cm\(^{-1}\) and 1576 cm\(^{-1}\) in both spectra of (b) and (c) correspond to the stretching vibrations of phenyl ring and C=C stretching modes, which are the characters of cinnamyl group. The peaks at 750 cm\(^{-1}\) and 695 cm\(^{-1}\) are due to symmetric and asymmetric deformation vibrations of alkyls (-CH\(_2\), -CH\(_3\)) groups of both monomers. The peak at 970 cm\(^{-1}\) is attributed to ketone function (C=O). However, the copolymers composition was monitored by ultra-violet spectroscopy on the basis of Beer-Lamber law. The absorbance versus concentration curve of poly (cinnamyl methacrylate) (PCMA) (coefficient extinction \(\varepsilon = 60,736 \text{ cm}^{-1}\text{g}^{-1}\text{l}) and absorption maxima of different copolymers PCMAEMA at 254 nm are illustrated in Figures 2a, 2b. The composition of copolymers samples (m\(_1\), m\(_2\)) obtained...
from various feed ratios of CMA and EMA ($M_1, M_2$) are summarized in Table 1. The mole fraction of CMA ($m_1$) in the copolymer PCMAEMA is equal to that in the feed ($M_1$) at a composition 21:79 (CMA: EMA). Figure 3 shows the molar fraction of CMA in the copolymers versus the molar fraction in the comonomer feed. In all the cases, incorporation of cinnamyl methacrylate into the copolymer is lower than that of ethyl methacrylate, being obviously more reactive than CMA. However, the percentage of cinnamyl methacrylate monomer involved in the copolymerization is less than the percentage of the monomer in the feed (Figure 3 (●)). On the other words the conversion of CMA monomer remains below the ideality (Figure 3(■)). This result was confirmed by calculating the reactivity ratios of the copolymer.

3.2. Monomer reactivity ratios and statistical distribution

It’s clear that the copolymer composition depends on the monomer feed composition and the relative monomers reactivity in the synthesis conditions such as temperature, solvent and initiator. Copolymerization reactivity ratios of CMA and EMA in the copolymer were determined according to the low conversion reported by Finneman-Ross (F-R) and Kelen-Tudos (K-T) graphical methods based on ultra-violet spectroscopy values$^{15,19}$. According to the Finneman–Ross method (F-R), the monomer reactivity ratios can be obtained by the following equation:

$$G = H r_1 - r_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (1)

Where: $r_1$ and $r_2$ are the reactivity ratios relating to the monomers CMA and EMA, respectively. The F-R parameters reported in Table 1 are defined as:

$$G = X (Y - 1) / Y and H = X^2 / Y$$  \hspace{1cm} (2)

With:

$$X = M_1 / M_2, \ Y = m_1 / m_2$$  \hspace{1cm} (3)

$M_1$ and $M_2$ are the monomer molar composition in feed and $m_1, m_2$ the copolymer molar composition. The reactivity ratios are determined according to the plots of the $G$ versus...
H values as shown in Figure 4. On the other hand, reactivity ratios of CMA and EMA were determined by the application of Kelen-Tudos (K-T) method according to Equation 4:

$$\eta = (r_1 + r_2 / \alpha) \xi - r_2 / \alpha$$  \hspace{1cm} (4)

Where:

$$\eta = G / (\alpha + H), \xi = H / (\alpha + H) \text{ and } \alpha = (H_{\text{max}} - H_{\text{min}})^{1/2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5)

$H_{\text{max}}$ and $H_{\text{min}}$ are the maximum and the minimum H values, respectively from the series of measurements. The values of $\eta$ for $\xi = 0$ and $\xi = 1$ are used to calculate the reactivity ratios according to the Equation 5. K-T parameters are illustrated in Table 1. A K-T plot of $\eta$ vs. $\xi$ is presented in Figure 5. The plots were linear in all cases and for all graphical methods, thus indicating that this copolymerization follows the conventional copolymerization kinetics. The values obtained by the F-R and K-T methods are in good agreement (Table 2). PMCIEMA copolymer undergoes statistical enchainment with more EMA units. As seen from these values, homopolymerization of EMA is favored over that of CMA. In other words, EMA is the more active comonomer in copolymerization with CMA. This result is an agreement with reactivity ratios values reported by Demirelli et al., relating to 3, 4-Dichlorobenzyl methacrylate–ethyl methacrylate copolymer.\(^\text{21}\)

The low reactivity of the monomer CMA towards its own radical can be attributed to the size of the substituted cinnamyl pendant group which creates a sterical hindrance and decreases consequently the probability that the radical cinnamyl methacrylate (CMA•) is added on a growing macroradical of ~CMA•. This observation is accented by the great reactivity of the smaller ethyl methacrylate radical (EMA•). The copolymer will have statistical arrangement units in which EMA will tend to predominate. The product $r_1 r_2 < 1$ suggests a tendency towards a random distribution of monomers units. The copolymer chains remain rich on EMA sequences. This result is similar to that obtained by Pekel et al. for the free radical copolymerization of N-vinylimidazole and ethyl methacrylate.\(^\text{22}\)

The parameters of specific activity ($Q_1$) and polarity ($e_1$) for this new monomer (CMA) were calculated according to Alfrey and Price equation, on suggesting that the parameters $e$ of the monomer and the corresponding radical are equal ($e_1 = e_{\text{rad}}$)\(^\text{18}\) (Equations 6 and 7).

$$r_1 = (Q_1 / Q_2) \exp [-e_1 (e_1 - e_2)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

$$r_2 = (Q_2 / Q_1) \exp [-e_2 (e_2 - e_1)]$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

Know of $Q$ and $e$ of EMA monomer ($Q = 0.56, e = 0.17$)\(^\text{22}\), the values of CMA are calculated: $Q_{\text{rad}} = 0.826, e_{\text{rad}} = 1.603$. These results correlate with the energy localization and pi-electron density of CMA double bond. However, in the copolymerization reaction, activity of ~EMA• growing radical increases, and therefore more easily adds to EMA.
monomer then that to CMA monomer. In order to illustrate the reactivity of each monomer, the statistical distribution of the dyad monomers sequences CMA-CMA, EMA-EMA and CMA-EMA were calculated according to Igarashi method based on Equations 8-10:

\[
\begin{align*}
    f_{11} &= \phi_{CMA} - \frac{2\phi_{CMA}(1-\phi_{CMA})}{1+\left[(2\phi_{CMA}-1)^2 + 4r_{CMA-r_{EMA}}\phi_{EMA}(1-\phi_{CMA})\right]} \quad (8) \\
    f_{22} &= 1 - \phi_{CMA} - \frac{2\phi_{CMA}(1-\phi_{CMA})}{1+\left[(2\phi_{CMA}-1)^2 + 4r_{CMA-r_{EMA}}\phi_{EMA}(1-\phi_{CMA})\right]} \quad (9) \\
    f_{12} &= \frac{4\phi_{CMA}(1-\phi_{CMA})}{1+\left[(2\phi_{CMA}-1)^2 + 4r_{CMA-r_{EMA}}\phi_{EMA}(1-\phi_{CMA})\right]} \quad (10)
\end{align*}
\]

Where:

- \( f_{11}, f_{22}, f_{12} \): moles fractions of the CMA-CMA, EMA-EMA and CMA-EMA dyads in the copolymer respectively.
- \( \phi_{CMA} \): The CMA mole fraction in the copolymer.

Also, mean sequence length \( \mu_{CMA} \) and \( \mu_{EMA} \) were calculated using the Equations 11 and 12 respectively. The data are summarized in Table 3.

\[
\begin{align*}
    \mu_{CMA} &= 1 + r_{CMA}(M_{CMA} / M_{EMA}) \quad (11) \\
    \mu_{EMA} &= 1 + r_{EMA}(M_{EMA} / M_{CMA}) \quad (12)
\end{align*}
\]

Table 3. Structural data for the statistical copolymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>( f_{11}^a )</th>
<th>( f_{22}^a )</th>
<th>( f_{12}^a )</th>
<th>( \mu_{CMA}^b )</th>
<th>( \mu_{EMA}^b )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PCMAEMA 1</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.962</td>
<td>0.036</td>
<td>1.016</td>
<td>9.127</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCMAEMA 2</td>
<td>0.023</td>
<td>0.643</td>
<td>0.333</td>
<td>1.038</td>
<td>4.397</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCMAEMA 3</td>
<td>0.054</td>
<td>0.374</td>
<td>0.571</td>
<td>1.142</td>
<td>1.903</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCMAEMA 4</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>0.726</td>
<td>1.331</td>
<td>1.387</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCMAEMA 5</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.015</td>
<td>0.610</td>
<td>2.278</td>
<td>1.100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) defined in Equations 8-10. \( ^b \) defined in Equations 11, 12.

Figure 6 displays the variation of the dyad fractions with the EMA mole fraction in the copolymer. It is clear that the mole fraction of the CMA-CMA dyad is the minority component for almost all copolymer compositions. It achieves a maximal value of 0.4 for a polymer composition of 70 on CMA. However, the mole fraction of the CMA-EMA dyad is predominant for that composition. On contrary, the mole fraction of the EMA-EMA dyads increases and becomes very important. This a manifestation of the difference of monomers reactivity, as reflected at the reactivity ratios values for the corresponding monomers.

4. Conclusion

The CMA and EMA monomers have been selected to investigate their free-radical copolymerization behavior. By applying Finneman–Ross and Kelen-Tudos methods to the copolymerization data obtained from UV spectroscopy analysis of copolymers quenched at low conversion, the reactivity ratios of cinnamyl methacrylate (1) and ethyl methacrylate (2) were found to be \( r_1 = 0.142 \) and \( r_2 = 0.903 \) respectively. Relatively low activity of CMA growing radical was explained by the size of the cinnamyl pendant group, conducting on formation of random copolymers. The result is an agreement with the dyads statistical distribution in the copolymer.

It appears essential to promote other copolymers based- cinnamyl methacrylate to propose applications of this new synthesized material. Such an approach is under current investigation by combining polymerization/grafting reactions and blending of PCMAEMA with other copolymers.
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