1. Introduction

Biomaterials are notably designed to be used in medical devices, in direct contact with biological tissues. They can be defined as part of a system that deals with, improving or replacing any tissue, organ or body function. The choice of a material to be used as a biomaterial depends on a series of requirements, among these, biocompatibility and biodegradability can be highlighted. Biocompatibility is related to the behavior of biomaterials, referring to the ability of a material to perform with an appropriate host response in a specific situation. Biodegradability, on the other hand, is a property in which the material is degraded or solubilized being gradually replaced by the tissue that is aimed to be regenerated. Several natural polymers, such as starch, chitin, chitosan and cellulose, are recognized by the human body due to their chemical structure, hence these polymers are used in biomedical field.

Currently, natural polymers are often used in the production of blends, among these corn starch and cellulose are both the most abundant polysaccharides in nature that can be obtained from renewable sources. A blend can be developed in order to improve mechanical and thermal properties, or for reducing the final cost of polymers. Studies show that the use of the plasticizers in the blend has the objective to obtain a better interaction between all components. Natural polymers exhibit the advantages of biodegradability, biocompatibility, non-toxicity and high reactivity. The starch belongs to the class of polysaccharides and naturally occurs on stems, roots or in seeds of plants such as corn, wheat, rice, barley and potatoes. Starch is the most important polysaccharide polymer used to develop biodegradable films and it consists of two types of polymers of glucose: the amylose that represents about 20-30% of the starch and amylopectin which represents approximately 70-80% of the starch. The crystallinity is originated from amylopectin while amylose units form an amorphous region, arranged irregularly within an ordered amylopectin region.

The bacterial cellulose (BC) is produced by the biosynthesis of the bacteria Acetobacter Xylinum, which is gram negative, rod-shaped and aerobic. Chemically, BC is a linear polysaccharide which structural unity is cellobiose. This biosynthesized polymer has the chemical structure similar to the vegetable cellulose, but presents a high crystallinity and also a higher purity, similar to inert natural components such as lignin and hemicellulose.
In biomaterials, membranes can be classified as an osteogenic material. This type of material is characterized by the physical environment which promotes and allows the selection and the proliferation of a group of cells. In addition, prevents the action of competing factors other than those specific of regeneration\textsuperscript{28,29}. Fu et al.\textsuperscript{27} explains in his study that bacterial cellulose accelerates the repair of burned skin. Bacterial cellulose creates a favorable environment for cure because of its chemical structure\textsuperscript{27}.

The present work has the objective to evaluate the morphology and the wettability of a polymeric bacterial cellulose/corn starch membrane by two techniques: scanning electron microscopy and contact angle. The contact angle between the implant and the biological environment is highly influenced by the wettability of the surface, the greater the wettability, greater is the interaction among the means\textsuperscript{30}.

2. Material and Methods

2.1. Material

The Corn starch (Amidex 3001) used in this study was manufactured and obtained from Corn Products (Brasil LTDA). The bacterial cellulose membrane was produced by UNESP. The Glycerin P.A was manufactured by Quimica Moderna and Sodium hydroxide P.A by Cromoline Quimica Fina.

2.2. Methods

Bacterial cellulose membrane (BC) was previously treated by immersing it in a solution of sodium hydroxide (NaOH) 10\% (w/v) at 90 °C for 30 minutes. This treated BC was added in a mixture containing corn starch, glycerin P.A. and water. This blend remained in constant agitation and heating for 25 minutes at 70 °C. Table 1 presents the raw materials used in the blend and their respective concentrations.

The blend was poured into falcon tubes, where the BC membrane remained submerged for seven days. After this period, it was removed from the solution, washed and dried at room temperature, in a desiccator. In this step occurs the residual solvent evaporation, as the casting technique. Within seven days the BC membrane was ready to be evaluated.

The morphological analysis of SEM was held at JEOL, model KAL equipment-6510LV, available in the laboratory of advanced studies of materials the University Feevale. The sample were metallized with gold layer overlay, as standard procedure and was applied a voltage between 5 and 10 kV.

Contact angle analysis was performed in the laboratory of advanced studies of materials in the University Feevale using the appliance brand Dataphysics model tension meter and OCA-15EC. The sample of presented 1 dimension, 5 cm$^2$ and were subjected to 1 drop of deionized water (3 µl). The evaluations were conducted at 0, 5, 10, 15, 20, 25 and 30 seconds.

3. Results and Discussion

Results obtained in relation to the SEM and contact angle for BC and BC/starch blend will be discussed in Figure 1.

Figure 1a shows a homogeneous surface differently of Figure 1b, where the presence of the bacterial cellulose fibers is evident. These fibers are noticeable due to the treatment of the surface with 10\% NaOH (w/v) which is responsible to the changes in bacterial cellulose structure, showing its fibers more apparent in their morphology. According to the literature the homogeneity of a film is a good indicator of the integrity of its structure\textsuperscript{24}.

In the sample it was possible to identify a grain of corn starch that wasn’t satisfactorily dispersed in the polymer matrix. It has not been possible to identify the presence of pores in none of the samples.

Contact angle results were presented in the Table 2 and in Figures 2 and 3. It was possible to observe that the contact angles were less than 90 °C and, thus it is possible to affirm that both, BC and BC/starch blend, presented wettability in relation to surface until the first five seconds of analysis.

### Table 1. Formulation of polymeric membrane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Materials</th>
<th>%wt</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Corn starch</td>
<td>35.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerin</td>
<td>35.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deionized Water</td>
<td>17.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bacterial cellulose membrane</td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. Micrograph of (a) standard BC and (b) blend of BC/starch membrane. 2000 ×.
However, it was possible to evidence that the wettability in the sample was total after five seconds of analysis, when it was no longer possible to verify the contact angle by the equipment. In this case, the drop of water added in the sample was completely absorbed by the same, occurring in this way, the thermodynamic equilibrium at the interface of materials. This balance is probably due to the interactions between the solid and liquid particles, according to the bibliography30.

The contact angle values found for the BC, in zero and 30 seconds of analysis, were of 32.55° and 26.70°, respectively. It was evident that the membrane produced using the method presented in this paper showed the best results in terms of wettability when compared to the standard BC. It was possible to identify the presence of adhered to the surface of the drop default BC with 30 seconds, compared to the time of 5 seconds to blend membrane analysis of BC/starch, being finalized the review by lack of contact angle.

It is assumed that these values are considered quite satisfactory, when compared to literature, where the BC membranes produced in the laboratory performed the result of 31.1° contact angle test31. Thus, it is possible to affirm that the other components of the formulations covered in this work left BC membrane more hydrophilic.

Table 2. Time and contact angle for pure BC membrane and blend of BC/starch membrane.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
<th>Time (s)</th>
<th>Angle (°)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>32.55</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>30.45</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>26.70</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Analysis of contact angle for BC: (a) zero time; (b) 5 seconds; (c) 30 seconds.

Figure 3. Analysis of contact angle for sample: (a) zero time and (b) 5 seconds.
4. Conclusions

SEM analysis showed that there was compatibility between BC and the other raw materials used in the production of the BC/starch blend, because when compared to standard BC, the sample showed an increase in thickness of cellulose fiber. Similarly, the contact angle analysis presented satisfactory results, because it showed that the addition of starch and glycerol in bacterial cellulose membrane boasted a positive result as regards the wettability.

Thus, it is possible to affirm that the blend conducted by casting technique promoted the interaction of the use draw materials and improved the wettability of BC membranes.
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