Preparation and Characterization of Maleic Anhydride Grafted Poly (Hydroxybutyrate-CO-Hydroxyvalerate) – PHBV-g-MA

Thaís Larissa do Amaral Montanheiro*a, Fábio Roberto Passador*, Mauricio Pinheiro de Oliveira*, Nelson Duránb,c, Ana Paula Lemes*

aInstituto de Ciência e Tecnologia, Universidade Federal de São Paulo - UNIFESP, Rua Talim, 330, CEP: 12231-280, São José dos Campos, SP, Brazil
bInstituto de Química, Universidade Estadual de Campinas - UNICAMP, CP 6154, Rua Carlos Gomes, 241, CEP: 13083-970, Campinas, SP, Brazil
cLaboratory on Nanostructures Synthesis and Biological Interactions - NanoBioss, Universidade Estadual de Campinas - UNICAMP, Rua Carlos Gomes, 241, CEP: 13083-970, Campinas, SP, Brazil

Received: August 24, 2015; Revised: October 27, 2015; Accepted: December 14, 2015

A compatibilizer agent was successfully produced by grafting maleic anhydride (MA) to poly(hydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV) chains on a reactive processing by mechanical mixing, using a mixture of PHBV, MA and dicumyl peroxide (DCP) as initiator. The resulting PHBV grafted MA (PHBV-g-MA) was characterized by Fourier transform infrared (FTIR) spectroscopy, thermogravimetric analysis (TGA), differential scanning calorimetry (DSC) and gel permeation chromatography (GPC), and its properties were compared to neat PHBV. FTIR showed an absorption band at 699 cm⁻¹ for PHBV-g-MA, related to CH group of grafted anhydride ring. The initial thermal degradation temperature of the compatibilizer agent was reduced when compared to neat PHBV. DSC analysis showed that after grafting MA onto PHBV the crystallization temperature was about 20°C higher than neat PHBV, and the degree of crystallinity was increased. GPC analysis showed that MA when grafted onto PHBV led to a reduction of molecular weight and polydispersity.
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1. INTRODUCTION

The addition of fillers or nanofillers for reinforcement of polymeric matrices needs always the analysis of interfacial region between filler and polymer matrix, since the compatibility between these components determines the final properties of composites and nanocomposites. It is through the interfacial region that occur the strain transfer from the matrix to the reinforcement agent. When there is no compatibility, the interfacial region is the weaker region of the composite, and is where the failure occurs, due to inefficient strain transfer. For this reason, many works have as object of study the improvement of compatibility between filler and matrix.

Compatibilizer agents are materials that promote compatibility to incompatible materials, and it results in a material with a reduced interfacial stress. Compatibilization strategies have to be considered to optimize the effects of the reinforcement phase.

Several methods have been proposed to increase the interfacial adhesion between the reinforcement agent and the polymer matrix, including fiber modifications and grafting MA to the matrix as compatibilizer. Maleic anhydride is highly reactive with a great variety of polymer chains and biological macromolecules, as protein, lipid and glucose. The MA groups grafted to the polymer may react with the reinforcement agent functional groups, resulting in grafted copolymers. The MA grafted to thermoplastic polymer can increase the polarity, which leads to better adhesion with biofillers that have polar groups on their surfaces.

Some works in the literature have proposed the use of MA grafted to thermoplastics as polyethylene and polypropylene to improve the interfacial adhesion between the matrix and some reinforcement agents, as oak wood flour, bamboo pulp fiber, kenaf fiber, clay, bio-flour-filled and others.

It was observed that the presence of the compatibilizer agent induces a stronger interfacial adhesion and improvement of the mechanical properties, compared to uncompatibilized composites, besides improving the particle dispersion into polymer matrix, and the thermal stability of composite.

For the bio-flour-filled polypropylene composites with MA functionalized polypropylene as compatibilizing agent, the authors observed improved mechanical and thermal stability and interfacial adhesion. The polyethylene/clay nanocomposites produced with polyethylene grafted to MA (PE-g-MA) as compatibilizer were compared to other produced with oxidized polyethylene (Ox-PE) as compatibilizer.
The results showed better clay dispersion and more exfoliated structure for the nanocomposite produced with PE-g-MA as compatibilizer. Passador et al. studied the influence of two different compatibilizers agents and their combination (MA grafted high density polyethylene, HDPE-g-MA; MA grafted linear low density polyethylene, LLDPE-g-MA; and 50/50 wt% mixture of these compatibilizers) on the rheological, thermo-mechanical and morphological properties of HDPE/LLDPE/organoclay blend-based nanocomposites. In this study, compatibilized nanocomposites exhibited an intercalated morphology with a small number of individual platelets dispersed in the HDPE/LLDPE matrix and the mechanical performance of the system was not governed only by the clay exfoliation and clay content but also by the presence of a significant amount of the compatibilizer agent.

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHA) are a family of biodegradable polyesters which are naturally synthesized by microorganisms from several carbon substrates, and exist inside the cell as granules, as carbon or energy storage. PHBV is a straight-chain semicrystalline copolymer from PHA family with smaller amount of hydroxyvalerate (HV) units and higher proportion of hydroxybutyrate (HB) units. PHA can be depolymerized by hydrolysis reactions, at which the molecules of water cause the scission of ester bonds, producing carboxylic acid and alcohol groups. The biodegradation of PHA can occur inside the cell, by microorganisms that produce PHA, or outside the cell by microorganisms that do not produce PHA, but can also use it as carbon and energy sources. However, in both cases the hydrolysis is catalyzed by specific enzymes, intracellular, or extracellular PHA depolymerases.

PHBV have attracted much attention as environmentally degradable polymer, which are useful for a wide range of applications. No special attention was given to the compatibilizer agent, and the changes that the MA may cause to the graft polymer. Furthermore few studies have reported the changes that grafting MA caused to biodegradable polymers, as poly(hydroxybutyrate), PHB and PHBV, as did the work of Chen et al., where the authors compared the non isothermal crystallization and melting behavior of PHB and maleated PHB, PHB-g-MA, by DSC using different cooling rates. The authors showed that the crystallization and melting behaviors of PHB and PHB-g-MA are affected by the cooling rates and degree of grafting.

In another study, Chen et al. employed MA as the grafting monomer to be grafted onto PHB chains. Authors supposed that introducing MA onto PHB chains could disturb the regularity of PHB chains, then control the morphological structures and improve its properties.

The grafting reaction of PHBV occurs in two stages showed in Figure 1. In the first stage the alkyl radical is produced, using dicumyl peroxide (DCP) as initiator, and in the second stage the compatibilizer agent is produced,
by grafting MA to PHBV chains. The purpose of this work was to produce a MA grafted poly(hydroxybutyrate-co-hydroxyvalerate), PHBV-g-MA, and evaluate its structural and thermal characteristics.

2. EXPERIMENTAL

2.1. Materials
Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) was provided by PHB Industries, Brazil, with 15 mol % of hydroxyvalerate units and average molecular weight (Mw) of 159,000 g mol⁻¹, average number molecular weight (Mn) of 61,100 g mol⁻¹ and PDI of 2.60. The material was used as received. Maleic anhydride (MA) from Aldrich with 99% of purity and dicumyl peroxide (DCP) from Aldrich with minimum 98% of purity were used as received.

2.2. Grafting of MA to PHBV
The grafting reaction of MA to PHBV chains was made by reactive processing in a torque rheometer (Haake 600). In this procedure, a mixture of PHBV and MA at the ratio of 90:10 (w/w) and 6% (w/w) of DCP was mixed in the molten state operated at 175°C, 50 rpm during 5 min using counter-rotation and interpenetrated rotors. The crystallization and melting behaviors of neat PHBV was taken as 109 J g⁻¹.

2.3. Characterization

2.3.1. FTIR spectroscopy
FTIR spectroscopy analysis was performed using a Shimadzu IR Affinity-1 Spectrometer, with 2 cm⁻¹ resolution to confirm the grafting reaction between PHBV and maleic anhydride. Samples were prepared into potassium bromide (KBr) disks.

2.3.2. Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA)
The thermal stability of neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA was evaluated by thermogravimetric analysis, using a simultaneous TGA/DSC Analyser SDT Q600 from TA Instruments. Samples were heated from room temperature to 1000°C with a heating rate of 10°C min⁻¹ under nitrogen atmosphere with a flow rate of 100 mL min⁻¹.

2.3.3. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC)
The crystallization and melting behaviors of neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA were characterized by DSC using a TA Instruments Q10 equipment. Samples were sealed in an aluminum DSC pan and heated from room temperature to 200 °C at 10 °C min⁻¹. They were kept at 200°C for 2 min to eliminate the heat history, subsequently cooled to -20°C at 10°C min⁻¹, and kept at this temperature during 1 min. After this, they were heated to 200°C at 10°C min⁻¹. The analyses were performed under nitrogen atmosphere with a flow rate of 50 mL min⁻¹. The degree of crystallinity, Xc (%) was calculated according to Equation 1, where $\Delta H_{mol}^m$ is the total melting enthalpy on second heating, $W_{PHBV}$ is the weight fraction of PHBV in the sample and $\Delta H_m^a$ is theoretical melting heat value of 100% crystalline PHBV, which was taken as 109 J g⁻¹.

\[ X_c(\%) = \frac{\Delta H_{mol}^m}{W_{PHBV} \Delta H_m^a} \times 100 \] (1)

2.3.4. Gel Permeation Chromatography (GPC)
The weight and number-average molecular weights (Mw and Mn) and the polydispersity index (PDI) for PHBV and PHBV-g-MA polymers were measured by GPC (Waters) equipped with a Waters 1515 HPLC pump, a Waters 2414 refractive index detector, a Waters Plus 717 autosampler and a Viscotek 302 dual detector. Polyethylene standards were used to calibrate the dual detector, and set of PLgel, (Phenomenex) column oven with porosities of 10³, 10⁴ and 10⁵ Å. Each polymer sample was dissolved in chloroform in a concentration of 0.8 g L⁻¹, prepared at 35°C overnight to allow full dissolution in oil bath and then filtered through a 0.45 μm polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) syringe filter (Millipex LCR). The eluent was chloroform at a flow rate of 1.0 mL min⁻¹ and temperature of 30°C. The molecular weight and PDI (Mw/Mn) values were derived from a calibration curve based on narrow polyethylene standards.

3. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1. FTIR spectroscopy
Figures 2 and 3 show a comparison between the infrared spectrum of neat PHBV and PHBV grafted with 10% (w/w) of MA (PHBV-g-MA). All the characteristic peaks of neat PHBV can also be observed on PHBV-g-MA spectrum on Figure 2, as the peaks at 2975 and 2937 cm⁻¹ that are attributed to symmetric and asymmetric stretching of CH₃ and CH vibrations and C-O-C and C-C stretching are also observed. The peaks present between 1500 and 900 cm⁻¹ related to CH₃ and CH vibrations and C-O-C and C-C stretching are also observed.

Figure 3 shows the region between 900 and 500 cm⁻¹, where is possible to suggest that MA is grafted to PHBV chains, due to the appearance of a new absorption band at 699 cm⁻¹, which is attributed to the bending of the CH group of grafted anhydride ring.

![Figure 2. FTIR spectroscopy of neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA.](image-url)
3.2. Thermogravimetric Analysis

Thermal degradation behaviors for neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA are shown on Figure 4, where can be observed that both neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA have a very similar thermal decomposition pattern, with little difference only in the beginning of weight loss. In the final stages of weight loss it is possible to observe some differences between the curves, but the thermal degradation temperatures of 1, 10, 65 and 95% (T1%, T10%, T65% and T95%) of weight loss and temperature of maximum weight loss rate (T_{\text{max}}) from both samples are close, as shown on Table 1.

The grafting reaction of MA reduces the initial thermal degradation since the values of T1% and T10% of PHBV-g-MA are lower than values obtained to PHBV. This is a common behavior due to the presence of MA, which shows low thermal stability like observed for many systems.\textsuperscript{24} The values of T65%, T95% and T_{\text{max}} are close for both samples, proving that grafting MA to PHBV only influences the outset of the copolymer thermal degradation.

The thermal degradation of PHBV is usually a statistical process based on a random scission mechanism of ester groups\textsuperscript{25}, with elimination of a β hydrogen.\textsuperscript{4} Some kinetically favored scissions occur next to the end of macromolecules chains,\textsuperscript{25} due to the major conformational freedom and free volume.

From Figure 4 it is possible to observe that the thermal degradation of neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA occur in a single process and from the first derivative curves showed in Figure 5 it is observed that the thermal degradation occur with a temperature of maximum weight loss rate. The temperature of maximum weight loss rate from neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA is the same, indicating that grafting MA to PHBV does not change the maximum weight loss degradation behavior of the PHBV matrix. Thus, thermogravimetric curves indicate that the compatibilizer agent will not be degraded during its processing.

3.3. Differential scanning calorimetry

The grafting reaction of maleic anhydride to PHBV was also evaluated by differential scanning calorimetry, to compare the melting and crystallization behaviors from both samples. The first and second heating curves are shown on Figures 6 (a) and (b), respectively. The values of melting temperature (T_m), melting enthalpy (∆H_m), crystallization temperature (T_c) and crystallization enthalpy (∆H_c), degree of crystallinity (X_c) and glass transition temperature (T_g) from second heating scan are shown on Table 2.

In Figure 6 (a), it is observed that PHBV shows bimodal endothermic melting peaks at approximately 156 and 173 °C. The presence of these peaks is justified by the melting of crystals with different lamellar thickness and/or different crystal structures.\textsuperscript{26} For this reason, on second heating scan, the crystallization temperatures are not observed.

Table 1 – Temperatures of 1, 10, 65 and 95% of weight loss and temperature of maximum weight loss rate (T_{\text{max}}).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>T1% (°C)</th>
<th>T10% (°C)</th>
<th>T65% (°C)</th>
<th>T95% (°C)</th>
<th>T_{\text{max}} (°C)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PHBV</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>277</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>302</td>
<td>291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHBV-g-MA</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>273</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>307</td>
<td>290</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. FTIR spectroscopy of neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA in the region between 900 and 500 cm\(^{-1}\).

Figure 4. Thermal degradation of neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA.

Figure 5. First derivative thermogravimetric curve from neat PHBV and PHBV-g-MA.
new peak at 143°C ($T_m$). This new peak indicates that the crystalline fraction of PHBV-g-MA is greatly heterogeneous, compared to neat PHBV.

The grafting reaction of MA causes great changes on PHBV structure, increasing the values of crystallinity degree ($X_c$), but with large crystal size distribution.

The second heating scan also shows the drop on the baseline for neat PHBV and for PHBV-g-MA, which indicates the glass transition temperature ($T_g$), arising from changes in heat capacity of the material, due to the mobility of the chains on the amorphous phase. This drop happens sharply in a small temperature range. For neat PHBV, $T_g$ is 0.2°C and for PHBV-g-MA the value is -0.3°C, indicating no significant difference between $T_g$ values.

### 3.4. Gel permeation chromatography

The weight average molecular weight ($M_w$), number average molecular weight ($M_n$), and polydispersity index (PDI) of PHBV and PHBV-g-MA polymers are presented in Table 3. PHBV-g-MA sample had a much lower $M_w$ and $M_n$ than neat PHBV processed without the addition of MA. PHBV-g-MA polymer had larger PDI when compared to neat PHBV. This decrease of $M_w$ and $M_n$ could be attributed to the chain scission of PHBV chains induced by hydrolysis reactions in the PHBV-g-MA polymer. Higher amount of MA leads to a higher radical molecule concentration that could induce macromolecular scission of PHBV, significantly reducing the molecular weight and increasing the PDI.

On the other hand, the process conditions employed could be also responsible for a decrease of the PHBV-g-MA molecular weight and larger PDI. This behavior suggests a higher rate of degradation of PHBV as function of the MA amount and process conditions employed. Avella et al., reported similar results. The authors observed that the MA amount and process conditions had a negative effect on the molecular weight of PHBV-g-MA. According to the authors, the molecular weight of PHBV grafted with MA decreased by chain scission of PHBV due to hydrolysis and thermal degradation.

For polypropylene grafted with maleic anhydride (PP-g-MA), produced by melt processing or reactive extrusion, the reduction of $M_w$ is also observed, due to the cleavage of C-C backbone bonds. Shi et al., reported reduction in $M_w$ of about 70% for PP-g-MA, compared to neat PP. Polypropylene is a thermally stable polymer and suffers reduction of molecular weight when processed at high temperatures. For PHBV this behavior is more pronounced, since it is not thermally stable.

Although there is a reduction of PHBV-g-MA molecular weight, the polymer remained thermally stable, as shown by thermogravimetric analysis. The increase on the values of...
crystallinity for PHBV-g-MA may be assigned to PHBV chain scission, which led to smaller polymer chains with easier packing, resulting higher values of crystallinity. Simultaneously, the increase of PDI values affect the crystal size distribution, observed on DSC for PHBV-g-MA. The appearance of a new peak at 143°C on the second heating scan for the MA grafted sample confirms that the crystalline fraction for this sample is greatly heterogeneous, compared to neat PHBV.

4. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has reported a method to produce a compatibilizer agent, by grafting MA to PHBV chains. The proposed method was efficient for the expected results, and it was confirmed on the infrared spectroscopy, which showed a new absorption band at 699 cm\(^{-1}\) for PHBV-g-MA, related to the bending of the CH group of grafted anhydride ring. Thermal analyses showed that the grafting reaction of MA reduces the initial weight loss temperature in about 80°C for 1% of weight loss, but does not change the main degradation behavior of the PHBV matrix. The crystallization process was retarded in about 20°C with the grafting of MA, and the crystalline fraction was increased. The presence of MA grafted to PHBV led to a reduction of molecular weight and a larger PDI. This effect was attributed to the formation of a higher number of PHBV chains scission during processing. The grafting of MA using the proposed methodology may be an alternative to produce polymers with lower molecular weight, without impairing its thermal stability.
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Table 3 – Weight-average molecular weights (\(M_w\)), number-average molecular weights (\(M_n\)) and polydispersity index (PDI) of PHBV and PHBV-g-MA polymers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>(M_w) (g mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(M_n) (g mol(^{-1}))</th>
<th>PDI ((M_w/M_n))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PVBV</td>
<td>158.940</td>
<td>61.140</td>
<td>2.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHBV-g-MA</td>
<td>16.260</td>
<td>5.640</td>
<td>2.90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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