Synthesis and Impregnation of Copper Oxide Nanoparticles on Activated Carbon through Green Synthesis for Water Pollutant Removal
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In this article was developed a green synthesis of CuO nanoparticles on vegetal activated carbon (VAC), using pomegranate leaf extract as reducing and stabilizing agent in the removal of multiple pollutants. The impregnated carbons with CuO nanoparticles were characterized morphologically and structurally. The SEM and XRD analysis, after carbons modification, showed that the surface structure remained porous with CuO nanoparticles sizes between 40 and 78 nm. As concern to the contaminants atrazine, caffeine and diclofenac, it is observed that the maximum adsorption capacities practically did not suffer interference by the presence of 1.5% Cu nanoparticles, keeping their values very close to those obtained with pure carbon. The nitrate removal was favored by the impregnation of CuO nanoparticles, from 0.93 mg g⁻¹ to 4.09 mg g⁻¹. The results are promising and demonstrate that it is possible to obtain VAC impregnated with nanoparticles of CuO by a non-polluting and low cost method.
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1. Introduction

The nanotechnology development in conjunction with biotechnology has significantly expanded the nanomaterials application in several fields, such as medicine, electronics, wastewater treatment, water purification, food processing, industrial and household purposes, among others. Copper oxides nanoparticles are technologically interesting due to their physical and chemical properties, and they can be applied in high temperature superconductors, catalysis, batteries, gas sensors, solar energy conversion, or even as an antibacterial agent with low cost and low toxicity.

There are several methods for copper nanoparticle synthesis. However, some limitations and disadvantages are presented in conventional methods, such as use of special equipment or high energy, resulting in high cost. The chemical substances involved in these processes are toxic, corrosive and flammable and they can cause several environmental damages. A simple and viable alternative to chemical and physical methods is the green synthesis of nanoparticles.

Amongst various natural materials used for nanoparticle obtainment, plants seem to be the best candidates because the nanoparticles produced using plants compounds are more stable and the production rate is fast. Among these plants, pomegranate (Punica granatum) can be mentioned, which has been successfully used in the green synthesis of silver, iron oxide and gold nanoparticles. Due to its excellent antioxidant properties, with high polyphenols concentrations, pomegranate becomes an interesting option of reducing agent in the process of obtaining nanoparticles.

Several studies relate nanoparticles with other materials, such as activated carbon, silica, graphene, using them as support. The activated carbon is known as an effective adsorbent because of its high surface area, well-developed internal pores structures and high surface reactivity. Although the structural properties of activated carbon are effective at adsorption capacity, specific interactions of adsorbate/adsorbent play an important role in the adsorption process. Improvements in the activated carbon properties can be obtained by impregnating metals over its surface, from copper, iron, chromium and zinc salts. A large amount of research mentioned pesticides, drugs and nitrate as important pollutants that can be removed by this adsorbent.

Nitrogen compounds, drugs and pesticides have been frequently detected in the aquatic environment. Among these detected pesticides, atrazine causes serious risks for the environment and public health, since the pesticide has endocrine disruption reported in animals and it can be linked to cancer in humans. In addition to pesticides, other compounds that have been detected in wastewater and surface water around the world are caffeine and diclofenac. Caffeine can cause several physiological effects such as stimulating the central nervous system, gastric acid secretion and diuresis. It has also been associated with certain disorders, including heart disease, carcinogenesis, renal failure and asthma. The continuous diclofenac intake by human being has several
biochemical adverse effects, such as cytotoxicity in liver and kidneys, and renal injury even at a concentration 1 µg L\(^{-1}\)\(^{136}\). In turn, nitrate in drinking water has been linked to infectious diseases outbreaks\(^{46}\). Nitrate excess in drinking water can cause congenital problems in newborns and it is directly related to the Blue Baby Syndrome\(^{37}\).

It is usually found in literature studies with materials that remove one or two of these contaminants\(^{22,38-41}\). However, considering that it is common to find several contamination forms in drinking water and not just one, studying a material with multi-pollutant removal potential is extremely valuable, since it could make the water treatment process simpler and more cost-effective\(^{42-44}\).

Therefore, the aim of this study was to develop a green method of copper oxide nanoparticles impregnation on vegetal activated carbon, using pomegranate leaf extract, and to evaluate its action in removing multiple pollutants often found in drinking water.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Synthesis and impregnation of nanoparticles

Wang et al.\(^4\) adapted methodology was used for the synthesis and impregnation of the copper oxide nanoparticles. It was used coconut activated carbon as nanoparticles support. The pomegranate leaf extract was prepared by boiling 60 g fresh leaves in 1000 mL deionized water at 80 °C for 1 h. After this period, the mixture was cooled at approximately 25°C and filtered for its immediate use. Copper sulfate was used to obtain a Cu concentration of 0.5%, 1% and 1.5% in relation to carbon mass. Pomegranate leaf extract and copper sulfate aqueous solutions were added in a ratio of 2:1 (v/v) to the coconut activated carbon (40 g). The mixture was stirred at 180 rpm, 25°C, for 24 h. The pH was measured and recorded as final pH. The difference between initial and final pH (ΔpH) was plotted in relation to initial pH.

The point of zero charge (PZC) of carbon was determined using the method known as "experiment of 11 points". The procedure consisted of mixing 50 mg of the samples with 50 mL of deionized water under different pHs, ranging from 1 to 12, using sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid solutions, both with 0.1 M and 1 M, for the adjustment. Then, the mixture was stirred at 180 rpm, 25°C, for 24 h. The pH was measured and recorded as final pH. The difference between initial and final pH (ΔpH) was plotted in relation to initial pH.

2.2 Characterization of impregnated carbons

Characterization of the as-prepared materials was carried out by different techniques. Morphological characteristics were analyzed using a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) coupled with energy dispersive spectrometer (EDS) (SS-550 Superscan, Shimadzu, Japan) and a transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (JEM-1400, JEOL, Japan). X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns of samples were recorded with a Bruker-AXS D8 Advance analytical X-ray diffractometer using CuKα radiation (λ= 1.5406Å). The total reflection X-ray fluorescence (TXRF) spectrometry was used for elemental analyses in carbon samples. TXRF measurements were carried out by means of a TXRF spectrometer model S2 Picofox\(^{38}\) (Bruker, Germany) with an air-cooled X-ray tube and a Mo target was used. The TXRF spectra of the samples were recorded using a 600 s acquisition time and processed by the Spectra software, according to an internal standard-based analytical method.

The impregnation efficiency was calculated according to equation (1). The amount of copper present on the activated carbon surface (Cu\(_{\text{impregnated}}\)) was verified by the TXRF analysis, and the amount of copper loaded (Cu\(_{\text{loaded}}\)) in the impregnation solution was determinate according to item 2.1.

\[
\eta = \frac{\text{Cu}_{\text{impregnated}}}{\text{Cu}_{\text{loaded}}} \times 100
\]  

(1)

Brunauer-Emmet-Teller (BET) surface area analysis of samples was performed using a Quantachrome NOVA 1000 series by \(N_2\) adsorption-desorption isotherms at 77 K. The micropore volume and area was calculated by Horvath-Kawazoe (HK) method and t-plot method, respectively\(^{45-47}\).

The diameters (D) of copper oxide nanoparticles were estimated using Scherrer equation (2) from the most intense diffraction peaks related to copper compounds in XRD.

\[
D = \frac{K\lambda}{\beta \cos \theta}
\]  

(2)

Where:

- \(D\) is the average particles diameter.
- \(K\) is the Scherrer constant that depends on the particle shape (in this case, 0.89); \(\lambda\) is the electromagnetic radiation wavelength (Cu-Kα radiation, \(\lambda = 1.54056Å\)); \(\theta\) is the diffraction angle and \(\beta\) is the full width half-maximum of the diffraction peak (FWHM).

The point of zero charge (PZC) of carbon was determined using the method known as "experiment of 11 points". The procedure consisted of mixing 50 mg of the samples with 50 mL of deionized water under different pHs, ranging from 1 to 12, using sodium hydroxide and hydrochloric acid solutions, both with 0.1 M and 1 M, for the adjustment. Then, the mixture was stirred at 180 rpm, 25°C, for 24 h. The pH was measured and recorded as final pH. The difference between initial and final pH (ΔpH) was plotted in relation to initial pH.
pH and the zero charge point was verified where the curve intersected the X axis.\textsuperscript{45-49}

2.3 Adsorption experiments

To evaluate the adsorption capacity of the impregnated carbons, the following compounds were used as pollutants: atrazine pesticide, diclofenac, caffeine and nitrate.

Gupta et al.\textsuperscript{19} adapted methodology was used for atrazine removal assays. Batch experiments were performed in shaking incubator with agitation of 150 rpm, 25°C for 4 h, using 25 mL of commercial atrazine (500 SC, Nortox, Brazil) aqueous solution (5 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}), pH 7, and 0.1 g of adsorbent. At the end of this period, the solutions were filtered and analyzed by a HPLC system (Gilson, USA) controlled by Born software, equipped with manual injector Rheodyne, with injection volume of 20 µL, pump Model 307, UV/visible model 151 detector set to 220 nm. The analysis was conducted using acetonitrile/water with ratio (65/35 v/v) as mobile phase and Microsorb-MV Column (5 µm, 100 Å; 4,6ϕ -250 mm) with a flow rate of 0.75 mL min\textsuperscript{-1} at 35°C.

Caffeine and diclofenac removal testing used Torrellas et al.\textsuperscript{33} methodology. Batch experiments were performed in shaking incubator with stirring (200 rpm) at 30°C, for 6 h, using 50 mL of potassium diclofenac or caffeine (Natural Pharma, Brazil) solution at 100 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}, pH 7 and 0.12 g of adsorbent. At the end of this period, the samples were filtered and analyzed by UV-Vis spectrophotometer (DR 5000, HACH) at 274 nm for caffeine and 276 nm for diclofenac.

Öztürk and Bektas\textsuperscript{36} methodology was used for nitrate adsorption testing. Batch experiments were carried out in shaking incubator with 140 rpm stirring at 25°C, for 3 h, using 25 mL of sodium nitrate (Anidrol, Brazil) solution at 100 mg L\textsuperscript{-1}, pH 7, and 0.25 g of adsorbent. At the end of this period, the samples were filtered and analyzed by UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 205 nm.

The adsorption capacity in equilibrium of the adsorbents was calculated by mass balance equation, equation (3), considering that the amount of adsorbate on the adsorbent is equal to the amount of adsorbate removed from the solution, mathematically:

\[
q_e = \frac{V(C_0 - C_e)}{m} \quad (3)
\]

Where \(q_e\): adsorption capacity at equilibrium (mg g\textsuperscript{-1}), \(C_0\): initial adsorbate concentration in solution (mg L\textsuperscript{-1}), \(C_e\): equilibrium concentration of adsorbate in solution (mg L\textsuperscript{-1}), \(m\): adsorbent weight (g), \(V\): volume of aqueous solution (L).

The experiments were performed in duplicate and the results are presented as the mean ± standard deviation.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Adsorbents characterization

The XRD pattern of pure and copper impregnated carbons is presented in Figure 1. The graph is plotted between 2\(\theta\) = 15° to 65° and it shows the crystalline peaks found on the carbon amorphous matrix.

Figure 1. XRD pattern of pure and copper nanoparticles impregnated carbons at different concentrations and for different contact times.

Figure 1 shows pure carbon curve is apparently linear comparing to the other samples, due to the crystalline peaks intensity that emerged with copper impregnation. A halo located around 25° was found in the samples, which is typical of amorphous carbon.\textsuperscript{50} In some cases, it almost disappears completely with metal impregnation in activated carbon, due to the strength signal of the new compounds.\textsuperscript{51,52} The peak observed at 2\(\theta\) ≅ 27° is derived from an interference caused by quartz sample holder used in the XRD equipment. Foletto et al.\textsuperscript{53} also reported the existence of this signal in the sodium silicate crystalline spectrum. In addition, the peaks located at 2\(\theta\) ≅ 39.7° and 54.6° are derived from silicon dioxide and silicon carbide, respectively (JCPDS 18-1170 and JCPDS 22-1301), since the silicon is one of natural components present in vegetal carbon.

Using the available MDI Jade 5 XRD software database, peaks related to copper compounds were found in the impregnated carbon samples. Copper (II) oxide (CuO) was detected in 2\(\theta\) 20.76°; 36.49° and 42.48° (JCPDS 44-0706) while copper (I) oxide (Cu\(_2\)O) was found in 2\(\theta\) equal to 40.20° and 45.72° (JCPDS 35-1091 and 05-0667 JCPDS). The CuO and Cu\(_2\)O nanoparticles average diameter was estimated using Scherrer equation (1), from the diffraction peaks 20.76° and 45.72°, respectively, which are more intense. The results are shown in Table 2.

The Table 2 shows the estimated size of the cupric oxide nanoparticles (CuO) is between 40 and 78 nm, while the
cuprous oxide nanoparticles (Cu$_2$O) is between 104-165 nm. These values match the literature reports for the diameter of copper oxide nanoparticles synthesized from green methods. It was found CuO nanoparticles sizes of 5 to 10 nm; 20 to 30 nm; 48 nm; 140 nm and 544 nm obtained by green method using leaves extract of Gloriosa superba, Calotropis gigantea, Tabernaemontana divaricata, Carica papaya and Ficus religiosa, respectively, as reducing agents$^{64-67}$. Whereas Cu$_2$O nanoparticles sizes were 30 to 50 nm; 60-80 nm and 100-200 nm, using as reducing agents of nanoparticles leaves extract of Arachis hypogaea L., Tridax procumbens and lignin, respectively$^{6,54-57}$.

The literature presents the use of pomegranate extract in the synthesis of silver nanoparticles with diameters of 20 to 50 nm, gold nanoparticles up to 400 nm and iron nanoparticles of 10-30 nm$^{68-69}$. Regarding copper nanoparticles using this reducing agent, studies are still limited, so it is important to evaluate the performance of pomegranate extract also in obtaining these nanoparticles, since its reducing potential is already known.

It is noticeable that there was not a linear relationship between the nanoparticles diameter and the copper concentration used in carbon impregnation. However, each copper oxide nanoparticles had diameters close to each other between samples. Among oxides, the nanoparticles have differences in diameter due to the CuO monoclinic crystal structure and Cu$_2$O cubic crystal structure. With these results, it could be noticed that the phenolic compounds present in plant matrix, although the difference between the images was not significant, suggesting that most part of the copper oxide nanoparticles are deposited within the pores. This behavior was also observed by Park and Jang$^{66}$ when impregnating iron oxide nanoparticles on activated carbon.

SEM and EDS analysis were performed to characterize the morphology and elemental structure of pure and impregnated carbons surface. Figures 2, 3, 4 and 5 show SEM images and EDS spectra of pure and impregnated carbons with 0.5%, 1% and 1.5% Cu-36h, respectively. The remaining samples, obtained with 12 or 24 hours, showed similar morphologies to those obtained with 36 hours of impregnation and therefore they were omitted.

Through pure carbon micrograph obtained by SEM (Figure 2), it was found that its surface structure is porous, with uniformly distributed pores over the carbonaceous matrix, which enables the achievement of a high surface area value as presented earlier in the BET analysis results. After carbons modification (Figures 3, 4 and 5), it was observed that the surface structure remained porous, with different pore sizes distributed over the surface. Besides, there was the emergence of small particles on the carbon matrix, although the difference between the images was not significant, suggesting that most part of the copper oxide nanoparticles are deposited within the pores. This behavior was also observed by Park et al.$^{67}$ when impregnating iron oxide nanoparticles on activated carbon.

The EDS spectra obtained confirm the presence of copper element in activated carbon samples impregnated with the metal. The same element was not detected in the sample of pure activated carbon, in which peaks of carbon, oxygen, gold (due to sample covering for the SEM analysis)

### Table 2. Copper oxide nanoparticles diameter synthesized by the green method.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nanoparticles diameter (nm)</th>
<th>Carbon sample</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CuO</td>
<td>Cu$_2$O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 3. Textural characterization parameters of the pure and impregnated coals.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>SBET (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>S$_{mic}$ (m$^2$/g)</th>
<th>V$_{mic}$ (cm$^3$/g)</th>
<th>D$_p$ (Å)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>696</td>
<td>423</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>596</td>
<td>391</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>619</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>604</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>613</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>599</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>638</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>10.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>628</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>10.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 2. Micrograph obtained by SEM and EDS spectra of pure activated carbon.

Figure 3. Micrograph obtained by SEM and EDS spectra of carbon impregnated with 0.5%Cu-36h.

Figure 4. Micrograph obtained by SEM and EDS spectra of carbon impregnated with 1%Cu-36h.
were found in addition to the metals Al, Si and Mg, typical of biomass, since activated carbon was produced from palm coconut.

Still on the nanoparticles morphology evaluation, TEM carbons images impregnated with copper oxide nanoparticles were obtained, as shown in Figure 6 for the same samples showed in SEM and EDS figures. The remaining samples impregnated with Cu showed similar behavior, and thus their photomicrographs are not displayed.

Figure 6 shows the carbonaceous matrix grayish with darker particles, typical of metal particles, in this case, copper compounds nanoparticles. In TEM images, the presence of Cu is observed, in the form of nanoparticles or clusters. It is noted that the copper oxide nanoparticles have predominantly spherical shapes, and the most extensive dark bands are agglomerates of such particles. As confirmed by the XRD analysis (Figure 1), the largest nanoparticles exceed little more than 100 nm. Kumar et al. also identified through TEM images copper oxide nanoparticles in spherical shape with a diameter of approximately 53 nm, synthesized by green method using Andean blackberry fruit and leaf extract.

Table 4 presents the results of the impregnation efficiency (η) for each impregnated carbon. Table 4 shows that, as expected, pure activated carbon did not present copper in its composition. It is also possible to verify that the impregnation efficiency was higher using impregnation solutions with lower percentages of copper, reaching 100% efficiency in the coal containing 0.5% Cu - 12h. Impregnation efficiencies of 65 and 52% were obtained for 1% and 1.5% Cu, respectively. The same behavior was observed for all impregnation times evaluated. The results demonstrate that increasing the amount of loaded copper does not lead to increased impregnation efficiency. This effect is probably related to the limited number of sites available for the impregnation of metal particles.

These nanoparticle characterization results indicate that the pomegranate extract acted as an excellent copper agent reducing. The use of pomegranate extracts was studied by Machado et al. to production of zero-valent iron nanoparticles. The authors studied the antioxidant capacity (as measured by the FRAP method) of several leaf extracts, and have found...
that the oak and pomegranate tree leaf extracts provided the highest antioxidant capacity (>40mmol L⁻¹), indicating that those leaves were the most appropriate for nanoparticles production. Probably, this high antioxidant capacity should be due to the high concentration of tannins and flavonoids present in pomegranate leaves⁶⁹.

The point of zero charge of the obtained carbons was evaluated in order to understand how the load distribution on the samples occurs when varying the pH solution. Table 5 shows the pHₚₗₜ₉ₜ values of the samples.

Table 5 shows the pHₚₗₜ₉ₜ is about 6 to 7 for the carbons analyzed. In this zone, the carbon surfaces have a neutral charge. In solutions with pH below PZC, the carbons have a positive surface charge and in pH above the PZC, the surface charge is negative. There was no significant pHₚₗₜ₉ₜ variation with copper oxide nanoparticles impregnation on the activated carbon, so it can be concluded that the carbons surfaces present neutral properties. Tseng and Wey⁷⁰ impregnated CuO on activated carbon of coconut shell and they also identified a neutral surface charge in pure activated carbon (pHₚₗₜ₉ₜ = 6.3), however, since the impregnation methodology used acid treatment, pHₚₗₜ₉ₜ of impregnated carbons was around 3.8, due to the increase of acid groups on material surface. In this study, no chemical reagent (acid or basic) was used, there is no charges generation in these molecules that pore blocking caused by copper oxide nanoparticles, different from the nitrate, which due to the punctual charges generated over the coal may have electrostatic interactions with copper. Besides, it is important to notice that pore blocking caused by copper oxide nanoparticles did not influence the organic compounds removal, since the impregnated carbon removed efficiently all pollutants.

### 3.2 Adsorption experiments

The pollutant removal assays showed the efficiency of activated carbon impregnated with copper oxide nanoparticles in the adsorption. Since all prepared carbons showed nanoparticles on its surface, fact confirmed by XRD analysis, impregnated carbons with three copper concentrations in the intermediate time of 24 h were chosen for comparison. Table 6 presents the results of these tests.

Table 6 shows that nitrate adsorption capacity is directly proportional to the amount of copper present in the activated carbon, where the impregnation with 1.5% Cu increased more than 4 times the nitrate removal capacity by pure activated carbon. This is due to the fact that nitrate is an anion, therefore it binds by electrostatic forces to the copper oxide nanoparticles present on carbon surface, leading to higher nitrate ions values removal from solution.

As concern to the contaminants atrazine and caffeine, it is observed that the maximum adsorption capacities practically did not suffer interference by the presence of nanoparticles, keeping their values very close to those obtained with pure carbon. For diclofenac contaminant, a worse result was observed with 0.5% Cu carbon. For this contaminant, 1.5% Cu was required to increase the same adsorption capacity of the pure carbon.

The removal of atrazine¹⁹,²¹, caffeine and diclofenac³³ by adsorption has been studied. Adsorption capacity values higher than those found in the present study are observed. However, one should consider the severe temperature and reagent methods used by the authors.

It can be seen that impregnated carbon with Cu 1.5% achieved a performance as good as the commercial pure carbon for removal of contaminants atrazine, caffeine and diclofenac, removing almost 100% for atrazine and caffeine. In these cases, since three organic contaminants were used, there is no charges generation in these molecules in neutral pH, thus adsorption possibly occurs in carbon and there is no influence of copper oxide nanoparticles, different from the nitrate, which due to the punctual charges generated over the coal may have electrostatic interactions with copper. Besides, it is important to notice that pore blocking caused by copper oxide nanoparticles did not influence the organic compounds removal, since the impregnated carbon removed efficiently all pollutants.

Considering the behavior presented by impregnated carbons in the intermediate time for the different pollutants, adsorption tests only for nitrate were performed with the remaining impregnated carbons, because results were more satisfactory for this contaminant, and the tests were performed in order to verify metal concentration and contact time of impregnation influences in the adsorption process efficiency, as shown in Figure 7.

### Table 5. Efficiency of impregnation of the green method analyzed by means of TXRF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>η (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Cu – 12 h</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Cu – 12 h</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5% Cu – 12 h</td>
<td>52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Cu – 24 h</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Cu – 24 h</td>
<td>75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5% Cu – 24 h</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5% Cu – 36 h</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1% Cu – 36 h</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5% Cu – 36 h</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The pure activated carbon did not present copper in its composition.

### Table 4. pHₚₗₜ₉ₜ values of pure activated carbon and copper oxide impregnated carbon

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>pHₚₗₜ₉ₜ</th>
<th>Carbon</th>
<th>pHₚₗₜ₉ₜ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Pure</td>
<td>6.86</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>6.10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7.03</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The pure activated carbon did not present copper in its composition.
Table 6. Adsorption capacity of the multiple pollutants presented by the pure coal and impregnated with Cu and residual concentration at the solution.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Adsorbent</th>
<th>Atrazine a</th>
<th>Caffeine b</th>
<th>Diclofenac b</th>
<th>Nitrate b</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>qₑ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>qₑ (mg g⁻¹)</td>
<td>qₑ (mg L⁻¹)</td>
<td>qₑ (mg L⁻¹)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pure carbon</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.000</td>
<td>41.6 ± 0.131</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.315</td>
<td>61.4 ± 0.980</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.5%Cu-24h</td>
<td>1.26 ± 0.056</td>
<td>40.8 ± 0.885</td>
<td>4.0 ± 0.205</td>
<td>74.5 ± 0.940</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1%Cu-24h</td>
<td>1.25 ± 0.069</td>
<td>38.5 ± 0.371</td>
<td>9.5 ± 0.890</td>
<td>66.5 ± 0.635</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5%Cu-24h</td>
<td>1.27 ± 0.015</td>
<td>41.0 ± 0.308</td>
<td>3.7 ± 0.090</td>
<td>61.5 ± 0.685</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Cinitial: 5 mg L⁻¹;  
*b Cinitial: 100 mg L⁻¹.

Figure 7. Nitrate removal of pure and impregnated with copper oxide carbons

It is observed in Figure 7 that the amount of nitrate removed from the water increases proportionally as the amount of copper present in the carbon is increased, where carbons impregnated with 1.5% of Cu removed more than 40% nitrate, whereas pure carbon removed less than 10%. The standard deviation of each sample was calculated according to equation (3) and it is represented in black by the error bars on the graph. There were no major variations between the measurements of the samples, being error range between 0.08% and 1.01%. Nitrate removal efficiency has not presented linear relation with impregnation time, since the time of 12 h showed greater removal than time of 24 h, especially for carbon impregnated with 0.5% and 1% of Cu. Therefore, the smallest contact time is sufficient for the proposed objective.

Considering the results in Table 6 and Figure 7, it can be said that activated carbon impregnation with copper oxide nanoparticles expands the application area of the commercial activated carbon, since in addition to the contaminants naturally removed by carbon; the impregnation significantly increased the nitrate removal potential from water. As long as it is common to find multiple contaminants in natural waters, this is a promising alternative to conventional methods of treatment.

4. Conclusion

Obtainment and impregnation green method of copper oxide nanoparticles on the surface of activated carbon using pomegranate leaf extract, as a reducing/stabilizer agent, was effective for achieving the objectives. It has been found Cu₂O nanoparticles with diameters between 104 and 165 nm and CuO measuring between 40 and 78 nm on the activated carbons surfaces. The impregnated carbons showed a great water pollutants removal, in particular nitrate, providing a non-polluting and cost-effective alternative to the conventional treatment methods. Among the samples, carbon impregnated with 1.5%Cu-24h can be highlighted, because it showed a removal similar to pure carbon for organic contaminants, however it increased carbon efficiency in nitrate ions removal in more than four times. So, an impregnation time of 24 h is sufficient to obtain copper oxide nanoparticles on carbon activated with a good pollutant removal potential.
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