Microstructural and Electrochemical behaviour of Aluminium Alloy Composites Produced Using Different Sintering Techniques
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Present research work analyses the effect of heating modes on the densification, microstructure and mechanical properties of aluminum alloys [65Al-20Cu-15Mn] fabricated through powder metallurgy route. Sintering of compacts using conventional, microwave and spark plasma sintering methods has been carried out at 525°C. From the results, it has been observed that the spark plasma sintering method produced the samples with better mechanical properties than the other two methods, followed by microwave and conventionally sintered counterparts. Microstructural analysis using optical microscopy revealed that spark plasma sintered sample has finest microstructure due to faster rate of heating than microwave and conventional methods.
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1. Introduction

Aluminum (Al) was selected in this study due to its distinctive characteristics, such as low density (2.7 g/cm³) and combination of moderate strength, and high ductility, thermal conductivity, electric conductivity, corrosion-resistance¹. In common practice, casting techniques are used to manufacture Al based products. Unfortunately, casting techniques give rise to microcracks. Hence, powder metallurgy (P/M) routes are used to avoid segregations in bulk production of alloys and to obtain uniform microstructures in complex components²-³. The application of P/M aluminum alloys has been increasing in locomotive industry where low cost lightweight materials are the essential design parameters. The P/M aluminum alloys are gradually replacing Aluminum die casting that entail accurate net shaping and improved material utilization⁴. It is a well-known fact that pure aluminium is highly ductile and addition of copper reduces the excess ductile that helps in precipitation hardening. As copper content increases hardness increases and so the tensile strength⁵. Increasing content of copper have no impact on the corrosive properties of the alloy. Aluminium-copper alloys are heat-treatable and possess high strength (especially at high homologous temperature) and high toughness to make them for a wide range of applications in aircraft and transportation industry⁶. Aluminium-manganese alloys have high formability, corrosion resistance with high heat transfer coefficient and hence are good for radiators, packaging and roofing applications⁷.

Manganese quantity exceeding 0.5 weight percent in Al alloys increases yield and tensile strengths without compromising the ductility. Accretion of Mn leads to the development of Mn dispersoid of Al₆Mn. Consequently, the motion of dislocation is hindered which increases strength. After blockage, slip system is modified through cross-slip. The presence of cross-slip helps in maintaining uniform ductility and considerably provides better fatigue resistance⁸. Mn addition also gives good corrosion resistance. Thus our goal of this work has been to make an alloy with increasing strength, lowering ductility and improvement in the low cycle fatigue resistance, This can be achieved by Al-Cu-Mn alloys⁹-¹⁰. Microwave sintering has been used widely for sintering of ceramics and hard metals, until Roy et.al⁶ showed effective coupling of microwaves and metals in powder form. Later on many researchers have reported microwave sintering of many compacts fabricated through powder metallurgy and alloyed compositions (steel, stainless steel, copper, Al, Ni, Mo Co, Ti, Sn, etc) to nearly full density with improved mechanical properties¹¹-¹². Spark plasma sintering (SPS) incorporates pressure to form solid powder in the presence of a pulsed direct current during the consolidation of powder in a graphite die. Remarkable advantages associated with this technique are short sintering cycle, high densification rates and fine microstructures. Xie et al¹²-¹³ have extensively studied the impact of SPS on air atomized pristine Al and Mg-doped aluminium powders¹⁴-¹⁵. In the current work, a
comparison of conventional, microwave and spark plasma sintering techniques has been done in order to improve densification and mechanical properties of the alloy chosen. There has been reported very little on the Microwave and spark plasma sintering of Al-Cu-Mn composites. In our study we compare the mechanical properties of the sintered samples of Al-Cu-Mn alloys by three sintering methods. A comparative analysis and explanation have been presented.

2. Experimental Procedure

The powders of Al, Mn and Cu (purity >96% and average particle size <40 µm) in elemental form were blended to obtain nominal compositions of Al0.65Cu0.3Mn0.15. Commensurate blending of powders was carried out in a Turbula mixer for an hour. Compaction of cylindrical pellets using the mixed compositions was done at 400 MPa by employing a 50 T hydraulic press with floating die. Green density of compacts was ~ 72% of theoretical. Conventional sintering of green compacts was carried in a MoSi2 tubular furnace (Supplier: VB ceramics consultants, Chennai, India) with a heating rate of 5°C/min. in H2 with a dew point of (dew point: -35°C). The sintering temperature was set at 525°C for one hour. The sintered density was obtained through Archimedes principle. For microwave sintering 6 kW, 2.45 GHz, multimode microwave furnace microwave furnace (supplier: VB ceramics consultants, Chennai, India) was used in this study. The green compacts were placed in a multilayered insulation package was used to provide sufficient insulation to obtain high and uniform temperatures throughout the sample. A mullite tube was placed at the Centre of the package, and samples were placed in this mullite tube on a 1 cm thick layer of fused alumina powder for additional insulation. The samples were sintered using Microwave sintering machine at a heating rate of 40°/min and the holding temperature was set at 10 minutes, Temperature was monitored by IR pyrometer (Raytek, Marathon Series). The microwave furnace being based on emissivity readings of temperature monitoring by IR pyrometer being based on emissivity readings of temperature. The mechanical properties were measured using tensile bars following MPIF standard procedure with gauge length 26 mm and full-load 20kN with an initial strain rate of 3.3 × 10⁻⁴ s⁻¹. For determining electrochemical behaviour of Al-Cu-Mn alloys, all samples were polished and electrode electrochemical corrosion cell was employed. For referencing, saturated calomel electrode was employed. For counter electrode, a platinum electrode was employed. 0.1N HCl solution was used as electrolytic solution. In the electrochemical cell, the selected area of about 1cm² of the sample is focused to the electrolytic solution. A potentiostat (IVIUM), controlled by a personal computer, and software (Ivium-soft) was used to get the Potentio-dynamic polarization curves. Prior to every experiment, the open circuit potential was noted for 60 min as per ASTM standard F2129. Polarization curves were obtained from potentiodynamic studies. The corrosion potential (Ecorr) and corrosion current (Icorr) corrosion rate were found from polarization curves by using intercept method. The 1st-Stern method the corrosion rate had been found and is empirically related as described in

\[ \text{Corrosion rate (mmpy)} = \frac{0.0033 (e / \rho)}{I_{\text{corr}}} \]

Where, e is the equivalent weight (g); \( \rho \) is the density of the material (g/cm³) and \( I_{\text{corr}} \) is the corrosion current (A/cm²).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Density

Densification response of Al-Cu-Mn alloys sintered in conventional, microwave and spark plasma furnaces has been compared in Figure 1. Independent of heating mode, the compacts achieved considerable densification at higher temperature (525°C). Spark plasma sintered compacts can be attributed with better sintered density (~99%) as compared to its counterparts. This is attributed to the combination of pressure and high heating rate. Also localized plasma generation between particles, vaporization of surfaces of particles during the Spark plasma sintering process occurs; contorted shapes in the form of "necks" are developed near the contact region between particles. Development and plastic
transformation of the necks results in sintered compacts with 99 percent density. As, exclusively temperature of surface of particles increases at an appreciable pace through self-heating, particle growth of the starting powder materials has been brought under control\textsuperscript{38-39}. Whereas, in microwave sintering the enhanced densification is attributed to rapid heating rate, that limits the grain growth\textsuperscript{40}. On the other hand conventional sintering involves external heating coupled with slower heating rates. Added to this there is only one driving force i.e. the thermal energy is supplied and hence least densification compared to SPS and microwave process. A similar trend is shown by the densification parameter as sintered density in both heating modes (conventional and microwave) in figure 2. The densification parameter (0.3) was achieved for compacts that underwent sintering 525 °C in conventional furnace.

![Figure 1. Sinter density with respect to heating mode and composition](image1)

![Figure 2. Densification parameter with respect to heating mode and composition](image2)

### 3.2 Microstructure

Figures 3 and 4 show optical microscope and SEM images of transverse cross section of compact at various heating modes after etching. No appreciable difference was observed. Few voids (~27%) were noticed in conventional compact (red arrow in Fig. 3(a); but, most of these vanished as the heating rate varied. In the end, the densified Al bulk (99%) sintered at 525°C for SPS was obtained. The observed black points (marked by orange arrow in Fig. 3(c)) show the created grooves at the time of etching. The microstructures exhibit the distribution of Cu and Mn phases in Al matrix. SPS process has resulted in a much finer microstructure as compared to the conventional sintering. The grain coarsening was restricted due to higher heating rate and is obvious from Figure 4. Figure 5 displays the X-Ray diffractograms of [65Al-20Cu-15Mn] samples sintered using conventional, microwave and SPS modes. It was evident that there was no evidence of formation of intermetallic between Al and Mn because of the limited solid solubility at the sintering temperature. The only intermetallic that was observed are Al\textsubscript{1}Cu and Al\textsubscript{3}Cu. As per Aluminium-Copper phase diagram, exclusively Al\textsubscript{1}Cu (\( \theta \), 31.9 to 33.0 at. pct Cu) along with Al\textsubscript{3}Cu (\( \eta \), 49.8 to 52.3 at. pct Cu) phases are shown on Al-rich side of Al-Cu phase diagram. Owing to extremely higher diffusivity and less solubility limit of Cu in Al, at sintering, it can be anticipated that Al\textsubscript{3}Cu solid solution undergoes saturation in the beginning, giving rise to nucleation of Al\textsubscript{1}Cu that is the most Al-rich intermetallic phase\textsuperscript{41}. Intermetallic phases formed in our study agree with the report by\textsuperscript{42} in Conventional and Microwave sintering processess soaking periods were higher which eventually resulted in coarse grain microstructures. No significant particle growth for the Al compacts was found\textsuperscript{22-23}. It can also be seen from the Fig. 5 that the amount of intermetallic phases was much higher for conventional and microwave mode of sintering as compared to spark plasma sintered compacts. It is mainly because of very high heating rate and due to that, the diffusion time available for the formation of intermetallic is much less in case of spark plasma sintering. Added to this, the time spent by the compact during sintering in case of spark plasma sintering is much less and has resulted in much finer grain size as compared to the other two counter parts.

### 3.3 Micro Hardness

Table 1 shows the micro hardness data of sintered samples. There was not a considerable difference between hardness of conventional and microwave sintered samples. Spark plasma sintered sample showed higher hardness due to finer grain structure and high density. The considerable dip in hardness of conventional samples can be ascribed to lower final density of compacts as per Kubota et al.\textsuperscript{31}. Addition of copper particulates could effectively upgrade the micro-hardness as the elemental hardness of Cu is higher than the other elements in the composition. Addition of Cu to Al will also improve the hardness through precipitation strengthening mechanism, i.e. by precipitate formation in the lattice by aging process as described by J. Sun et al\textsuperscript{32} and W.M. Rainforth et al\textsuperscript{33}. 

---

**Table 1** Micro hardness of sintered samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heating Mode</th>
<th>Micro Hardness</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>60 HBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>65 HBL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spark Plasma</td>
<td>70 HBL</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Figure 1.** Sinter density with respect to heating mode and composition

**Figure 2.** Densification parameter with respect to heating mode and composition
3.4 Tensile test

Table 2 provides a summary of strength and ductility data of sintered 65Al-20Cu-15Mn alloys. The tensile strength, yield strength and ductility follow the similar trend as micro hardness. For Al powder, Spark plasma sintering process gave a pellucid advantage over conventional powder metallurgy. As expected, conventionally sintered compacts and microwave sintered compacts showed no plastic deformation due to the presence of intermetallic compounds. In addition to this, the microstructural observations (Figure 3(a, b, and c)) revealed a dearth of microstructural development and effective absence of inter-particle bonding. Residual porosity was seen everywhere in the image along with a surfeit of prior particle boundaries. Metallurgical quality of the spark plasma sintered material

![Figure 3. Optical micrographs of etched Aluminium compacts sintered in) Al-20%Cu-15%Mn (a) Conventional Sintering; (b) Microwave Sintering; (c) Spark Plasma Sintering](image)

![Figure 4. SEM micrographs of etched Aluminium compacts sintered in) Al-20%Cu-15%Mn (a) Conventional Sintering; (b) Microwave Sintering; (c) Spark Plasma Sintering](image)

![Figure 5. XRD curves of Al-20Cu-15Mn compact (a) Conventional; (b) Microwave; (c) Spark Plasma](image)
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Table 1. Micro-Hardness and Grain size values of sintered samples

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Conventional, HV&lt;sub&gt;0.02&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Microwave, HV&lt;sub&gt;0.02&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
<th>Spark plasma, HV&lt;sub&gt;0.02&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65Al-20Cu-15Mn</td>
<td>57.3±1.2</td>
<td>63.06±0.8</td>
<td>116.04±0.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grain Size</td>
<td>36µm</td>
<td>24µm</td>
<td>15µm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Ultimate Tensile Strength, Yield Strength and percentage elongation for 65Al 20Cu-15Mn compositions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Ultimate Tensile Strength [MPa]</th>
<th>Yield Strength [MPa]</th>
<th>% Elongation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CS MWS SPS CS MWS SPS CS MWS SPS</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65Al-20Cu-15Mn</td>
<td>45±3.5 63±2.1 89±1</td>
<td>32±1.2 51±1.7 67±0.2</td>
<td>12±.3 23±.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Potentio-dynamic polarization values for the conventional, Microwave and Spark plasma Sintered samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Composition</th>
<th>Mode of Sintering</th>
<th>I&lt;sub&gt;corr&lt;/sub&gt; (Amp./mm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;)</th>
<th>E&lt;sub&gt;corr&lt;/sub&gt; (Volt)</th>
<th>Corr. Rate (mm/year)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>65Al-20Cu-15Mn</td>
<td>Conventional</td>
<td>1.7918</td>
<td>-0.002</td>
<td>2.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Microwave</td>
<td>1.6466</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>1.826</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spark plasma</td>
<td>0.7752</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.903</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 6. The potentio-dynamic polarization curves of Al-20Cu-15Mn compact sintered at 525°C was homogeneous in the microstructure (Figure 3(c))<sup>33</sup>. Due to the presence of a fine grained microstructure, spark plasma sintered sample exhibited very high strength without causing much damage to its ductility. Potentio-dynamic polarization curves of samples sintered at 525ºC are shown as in Figure 6. It was obvious that all spark plasma sintered samples had higher corrosion current densities as compared to the microwave and conventional samples. Table 3 shows that potentio-dynamic curve values for the sintered samples. The Spark plasma sintered alloy depicts a decrease in current density in comparison with counter parts. Lower corrosion resistance was noted for finer grain structures of the Al-Cu-Mn alloy (bigger areas of Al<sub>2</sub>Cu that are more prone to corrosive action). As heating rate is increased, the corrosion current density of the material decreased by 50% for SPS. The percentage of porosity reduced the chance of corrosion attack due to the reduction in anodic region on the surface layers of the sintered compact in spark plasma sintering as compared with the other two sintering methods. Higher corrosion potential (E<sub>corr</sub>), lower corrosion current density (I<sub>corr</sub>) and corrosion rate for compacts sintered in conventional were observed. This is consistent with the results reported by Padmavathi et al<sup>16</sup>.

4. Conclusion

The selected alloy composition Al-20Cu-15Mn was successfully prepared using powder metallurgy route and various sintering processes. The sintered density was highest by spark plasma sintering followed by microwave and conventional, respectively. Mechanical properties were also found to be highest in spark plasma sintered samples followed by microwave and conventional sintering. Microwave and spark plasma sintering produce finer microstructure and smaller pore size than conventionally sintered sample. Corrosion resistance is highest for spark plasma sintered compact followed by conventional and microwave sintering.
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