Thermal Stability and Microstructure of Nanometric 2024 Aluminum Alloy Powder Obtained by Cryogenic Milling and Spark Plasma Sintering
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Metals and nanocrystalline alloy powders can be produced by high-energy milling and be consolidated by sintering techniques; the use of relatively low sintering temperatures maintains nanometric grains by avoiding grain growth. In the present study, 2024 aluminum alloy powders were produced by milling at cryogenic temperatures (cryomilling) and consolidation using spark plasma sintering (SPS). The thermal stability and microstructure of the nanometric 2024 Al alloy powder produced by cryomilling were analyzed. The milled powder was degassed to improve its sintering behavior and avoid the presence of pores in the final sintered piece. When the material was consolidated by SPS at a temperature of 525 ºC and under a pressure of 60 MPa, the resulting alloy density was 98% and an ultra-fine grained (100-300 nm)/micrometric microstructure. The hardness and microhardness of the milled degassed sintered samples showed values superior to atomized samples. The material also presented nanopores in the grain boundaries, very fine precipitates of AIN, rounded θ phases (Al₂Cu), and elongated S phases (Al₂CuMg).
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1. Introduction

Aluminum alloys have a low specific weight, making them highly interesting for structural applications, such as those in the civil, metallurgical, and aeronautical industries. While their mechanical properties are lower than those of steel, structural refinement (limiting the grain size of the materials) extends their suitability to applications that require, e.g. excellent mechanical resistance1. Nanostructured metals (with grain sizes of 1-200 nm) and ultra-fine grained (UFG) materials (with grain sizes between 200 and 500 nm) are of interest as they demonstrate unique combinations of physical and mechanical properties.

It has been demonstrated that nanostructured Al alloys can be obtained using high-energy milling techniques2,3. During milling, the grain size is determined by the balance between the recovery and formation of defects that arise due to severe plastic deformation4. Face-centered cubic (FCC) materials, such as Al and its alloys, are quite ductile; hence, it is difficult to reduce the crystallite size by mechanical milling. The opposite behavior is observed for body-centered cubic (BCC) and hexagonal close-packed (HCP) metals due to an accumulation of defects during milling and rapid recovery kinetics5,6. Cryogenic milling is a possible alternative to high-energy milling, where the powders are milled at very low temperatures, e.g. milling in liquid nitrogen at -185 ºC5,7.

Cryogenic milling techniques can achieve nanostructured alloys through severe plastic deformation of the material during this process1. Due to the low temperature, cryomilling suppresses or limits the recovery and recrystallization of grains, which quickly creates a finer grain structure compared to other methods1,8. In addition, cryogenic milling does not require the use of a process control agent that can contaminate the powder with carbon and oxygen, for example2.

The thermal stability of the nanostructure is a very important factor for preserving its properties and depends on the balance between the driving and resistance forces inside the grains. It is known that smaller grain sizes have a higher tendency for grain growth1,8. In most cases, the thermal stability of a nanostructure depends on the reticular defects present inside the grains, and on the particles surfaces, e.g. nitrides and oxides precipitated in the grain boundaries9-11. It is very important to obtain a balance between the grain size and the thermal stability of the material to prevent grain growth during sintering. In addition, very fine (< 200 nm) powder particles are highly reactive with oxygen and sintering becomes difficult due to the oxide layers formed on the surfaces.

Dense nanomaterials can be produced using various powder metallurgy techniques, such as hot isostatic pressure, dynamic consolidation, hot extrusion, and spark plasma sintering (SPS)12,13. These processes can effectively achieve completely dense materials12-16. SPS is an innovative technology that has great potential due to the lower temperature and...
shorter sintering time compared to other techniques\textsuperscript{17-20}. In this process, an electric current generates sparks that pass through the powder particles, resulting in efficient and localized high-temperature heating. Al powders are difficult to sinter due to the oxide layer formed on their surfaces; this layer needs to be broken to allow the particle sintering\textsuperscript{17}. SPS has shown to be effective and efficient for producing Al\textsuperscript{17,21} and iron alloys\textsuperscript{22} from nanostructured powders. A bimodal microstructure can be formed during sintering due to localized overheating generated by the sparks and the low thermal stability of the material\textsuperscript{12}. Such a bimodal microstructure produces high ductility\textsuperscript{23-25}, which is the most critical characteristic of nanostructured metals. In summary, UFG/nanometric crystallites result in materials with high mechanical resistance and the micrometric grains provide increased ductility. Another strategy for improving the ductility is to apply high rates of deformation at low temperatures, which results in an accumulation of defects within the UFG/nanometric structure, resulting in more effective deformation hardening\textsuperscript{26}. This study demonstrates the processing of nanometric 2024 Al alloy powders using cryogenic milling and the production of ultra-fine/micrometric grains of dense material sintered by SPS. The physical and chemical properties of these powders were analyzed, along with their thermal stability. In addition, the sintering behavior of the powders processed using SPS was investigated. The effect of degassing the powders before sintering on the microstructure, hardness, and microhardness was also investigated.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1 Cryogenic milling

The powders used were produced by Ecka Granules (Germany) using a gas atomization method. The chemical composition of the 2024 aluminum alloy used was 4.0 wt% Cu, 1.5 wt% Mg, 0.6 wt% Mn, and Al (balance). The 2024 Al alloy powder was processed by cryomilling in liquid nitrogen without the use of a process control agent (PCA; such as methanol, stearic acid and/or paraffin compounds), which is normally used as a lubricant in milling process to prevent the formation of agglomerates. The milling was performed in a container with stainless steel milling balls. The ball-to-powder ratio was 32:1 (corresponding to the high-energy regime). In order to improve the metal sinterability by eliminating humidity and gases, the milled powders were degassed at two different temperatures, either 250 °C or 350 °C, for 20 h in a tubular oven under high vacuum (10\textsuperscript{-3} Pa). To investigate the grain size distribution of the milled powders, optical microscopy images from a Zeiss LOM microscope equipped with a camera (Leica DC300\textsuperscript{®}) were analyzed using image analysis software (Image J\textsuperscript{®} version 1.51r). Sieving was performed separately for each mesh size (<25, 25-45, 45-90, 90-120, and >120 µm) for the as-received and milled powders. The cryomilled powders were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD) where the diffraction patterns were recorded using Cu Kα radiation (\(\lambda = 1.5418\)). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM; Philips XL 30\textsuperscript{®} and a Supra 40 ZEISS with a GEMINI\textsuperscript{®} column) was used to observe the morphology of the metal particles before and after milling. Transmission electron microscopy (TEM; Philips CM12) was used to identify precipitates in the material after sintering. Identification of the precipitates was made via indexing selected-area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns. Differential scanning calorimetry (DSC; Perkin-Elmer DSC7-TAC7/7 Instrument Controller) under argon at 20 ml/min fluxing atmosphere was undertaken on the powders to investigate their thermal stability, where temperature cycling was performed on the atomized and milled powders at different heating rates between 10 and 40 °C/min.

2.2 Spark plasma sintering

SPS was performed using a Dr. Sinter 1050\textsuperscript{®} SPS system (Sumitomo Coal & Mining Ltd.) with a graphite die. During sintering, the material was pressed into the matrix and the plasma sparks were applied to the sample. The graphite used in the matrix and punches is a conducting material that facilitates localized sintering. The cylindrical samples (diameter of 3 mm and height of 6 mm) were sintered at temperatures of 350 or 525 °C with an applied pressure of 60 MPa, holding time of 1 min, and free cooling. The geometric density of the samples was calculated using Archimedes’ method. The microhardness was measured using a Vickers Paar MHT-4\textsuperscript{®} micro-indentor and load of 0.05 N for 10 s holding time and the hardness was measured using the Brinell method, with an Emco Test Automatic M4U 025\textsuperscript{®} instrument with a load of 62.5 N. The nitrogen and oxygen contents were analyzed by chemical analyses using a LECO TC400 analyser. The same SEM and TEM instruments were used to characterize the microstructure of the sintered samples, where identification of the precipitates was undertaken using a built-in energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDS) system. The diffraction pattern was collected using a Cu Kα (\(\lambda = 1.5418\)) source and an image plate detector over the 2θ range from 10° to 100° in reflection geometry.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Cryomilled powder morphology

Figure 1 shows the crystallite size as functions of milling time and microstrain. The size of the crystallites decreased and the internal deformation increased with increasing milling time, as expected\textsuperscript{7}. After 30 h of high-energy milling, the grain size was 21 nm and the microstrain was 0.31.
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Figure 2 shows the particle size distribution and morphology of the as-received atomized and milled powders. Figure 2(a) shows that the atomized powder consisted of particles with a spherical shape, where approximately 60% of the particles were smaller than 25 µm. The milled particles were approximately spherical. Figures 2(b) and (c) show that the cryomilled particles were in the form of flakes, indicating that the milling process had not reached its final stage (producing rounded particles). The size distributions showed narrow peaks, where most of the particles were below 30 µm after 8 h and below 15 µm after 25 h of milling. Figure 3 shows TEM images of powder particles milled for 8 h; bright field (BF), dark field (DF), and SAED images are shown. These images show nanometric grains with diameters of about 20-100 nm.

3.2 Thermal stability

It is well known that the thermal stability of a nanostructured material depends on the balance between the driving force, due to the surface energy of the grain boundary, and resistance force, which reduces the mobility of the grain boundary\(^{10,11,28,29}\). The driving force for the growth of the grain \(\Delta G\) is the excess of Gibbs free energy related to the surface of the grain boundary, given by equation (1)\(^9\).

\[
\Delta G = \gamma \Delta S = \frac{3.3 \gamma}{D} \tag{1}
\]

where \(\gamma\) is the specific energy of the grain boundary (from 0.18 to 0.32 J/m\(^2\) for Al alloys\(^{29}\)), \(\Delta S\) is the specific surface area of the grain boundary (m\(^2\)/m\(^3\)), and \(D\) is the average grain size (m). From the calorimetric point of view, once \(\Delta G\) increases by decreasing the average size of the grain, the smaller grains have a greater tendency for grain growth. The mobility of the grain boundaries is reduced by the presence of neighboring geometric dislocations, which explains the residual deformation accumulated during milling\(^{29}\).

The average microstrain and the grain size (obtained by XRD analyses) were used to calculate the dislocation density, using equation (2)\(^{12}\).

\[
\rho = (\rho_d \rho_s)^{1/2} = \frac{2 \sqrt{3} (\varepsilon^2)^{1/2}}{Db} \tag{2}
\]

where \(\rho\) is the dislocation density (m\(^2\)), \(\rho D\) is the dislocation density due to the size of the grain (m\(^2\)), \(\rho S\) is the dislocation density due to the microstrain (m\(^2\)), \(D\) is the average grain size (m), \(<\varepsilon^2>^{1/2}\) is the root mean square lattice microstrain (%), and \(b\) is the Bürger’s vector modulus (0.286 x 10\(^{-9}\) m)\(^{32}\). The dislocation densities of samples in this study depended on the milling times and are shown in Table 1.

When the grain boundary is described as an arrangement of defects, the resistance offered by the accumulated deformations among crystallites and inside the grains can be expressed as the stress required to move these defects\(^9\). From the micromechanical point of view, it can be assumed that the movement of a grain boundary is due to the driving stress \(\tau_1\) resulting from the driving force of grain growth, which is opposed by the resistance stress \(\tau_2\) which is the drag force induced by defects in the deformed regions; grain growth can occur if \(\tau_1\) is higher than \(\tau_2\)\(^{33}\). The driving stress is expressed by equation (3)\(^9\).

\[
\tau_1 = \phi \Delta G = \phi \gamma \Delta S = \frac{3.30 \gamma}{D} \tag{3}
\]

where \(\phi\) is a constant assumed equal to unity and described in equation (1) and (2).

The resistance stress is given by equation (4)\(^9\).

\[
\tau_2 = \frac{Gb \rho^{1/2}}{8\pi(1-\nu)} \tag{4}
\]
Figure 3. (a) Bright field (BF) and (b) dark field (DF) TEM micrographs of powder milled for 8 h. The nanoparticles are indicated by arrows. The inset shows a SAED pattern.

Table 1. Dislocation densities of high energy milled powder calculated using equation 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milling time (h)</th>
<th>Particle size (µm)</th>
<th>&gt;D(nm)</th>
<th>ρ(m⁻²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>1.15 x 10¹⁵</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>1.80 x 10¹⁵</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

where \( G \) is the shear modulus (0.262 x 10¹¹ Pa) and \( v \) is the Poisson coefficient (0.345). The driving and resistance stresses in the grain boundary of samples are listed in Table 2.

The driving stress was calculated using an average value of specific energy in the grain boundary as reported in the literature (0.25 J/m²)³⁰. The stress decreased with increasing crystallite size; however, \( \tau_1 \) was always greater than \( \tau_2 \), meaning that the thermal stability of these nanostructured powders was quite low. Hence, rapid grain growth during heating was expected. The ball-to-powder ratio of 32:1 was considered effective for producing a nanostructured 2024 Al alloy powder. The particles were extremely fine (< 15 µm) after 25 h of milling, since the aluminum is extremely reactive with oxygen as finer the particles. In addition, the 8 h cryomilled powder showed grain sizes close to the minimum grain size \( (d_{min}) \) of around 24.5 nm. The grain sizes, microstrains, and dislocation densities were similar for powders milled for 8 h or 25 h. Therefore, the tests were performed only on the powder milled for 8 h.

The DSC curves of the powders (figure 4) showed an endothermic peak (I) at 250-330 ºC for the atomized powders and at 110-240 ºC for the milled powders. This region represents the dissolution of Guinier-Preston (GP) zones formed during the heat treatment³⁴,³⁵. The precipitation of the GP zones was present for both powders. Wang et al.³⁶ assigned the large subsequent exothermic peaks (II and III) at 330-420 ºC for the atomized powder and 250-420 ºC for the milled powders to the precipitation of coherent \( \theta'' \) and semi-coherent \( \theta'/S' \) phases. According to Badini et al.³⁴ two different ageing sequences can occur separately or concurrently depending on the Cu content and the Cu:Mg ratio in the alloy. During artificial ageing of these as-quenched alloys Al-Cu and/or Al-Cu-Mg metastable phases take place. If the ageing treatment is carried out for a long time, the stable incoherent \( Al,Cu (0) \) and/or \( Al,CuMg (S) \) phases occur according to the isothermal section at the ageing temperature of the Al-Cu-Mg phase diagram. The decomposition of supersaturated Al-Cu solid solution results in the formation of the phases: GP zone \( \rightarrow \theta'' \rightarrow \theta' \rightarrow \theta (Al_{2}Cu) \). The formation of a supersaturated Al-Cu-Mg solid solution leads to the following precipitation sequences: GPB zone \( \rightarrow S' \rightarrow S (Al_{2}CuMg) \)³⁴-³⁶.

Endothermic peaks (IV and V) at 470-500 ºC and 420-490 ºC, respectively, for the atomized powder and milled powders were observed. The atomized powder showed two

Table 2. Driving stress (\( \tau_1 \)) and resistance stress (\( \tau_2 \)) values in the grain boundaries.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milling time (h)</th>
<th>Particle size (µm)</th>
<th>( D(nm) )</th>
<th>( \tau_f(N/mm²) )</th>
<th>( \tau_f(N/mm²) )</th>
<th>( \tau_1 - \tau_2(N/mm²) )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>&lt; 30</td>
<td>24.5</td>
<td>3.41 x 10⁷</td>
<td>1.54 x 10⁷</td>
<td>1.87 x 10⁷</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>&lt; 15</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>3.63 x 10⁷</td>
<td>1.93 x 10⁷</td>
<td>1.70 x 10⁷</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 4. DSC curves for (a) as-received atomized powder and (b) powder milled for 8 h, measured using heating rates of 10, 20, 30, and 40 °C/min.

endothermic peaks, while the milled power had only one peak (where the two peaks were probably overlapping). Such endothermic peaks are related to the dissolution of the θ" and θ'/S' phases. The highest temperature exothermic peak (VI) was observed at 550-570 °C for the atomized powder and at 490-550 °C for the milled powder and is associated with the precipitation of the incoherent θ/S phase and may be related to structural relaxation and/or grain growth. The DSC curve of the milled powder showed a large exothermic peak over the studied temperature range, attributed to the deformation recovery and grain growth during heating. An investigation of the transformations involving GP zones and precipitates was published by Badini et al. for an Al alloy reinforced with SiC. Such transformations were attributed to the tension accumulated in the interface between the particle and metal matrix. The same effect is thought to be relevant here and could be used to explain the changes in the DSC peaks of the nanostructured powder as the milled powder had a large deformation in the crystalline lattice due to the nanostructure produced during milling. The milling process introduces a high density of dislocations into the material, which causes a large quantity of preferential nucleation sites for precipitation; in addition, precipitation was accelerated by a reduction in the energy barrier for nucleation and the activation energy of precipitate formation. The DSC curves showed that the introduction of defects by the milling process did not alter the sequence of precipitation during heating, but resulted in faster kinetics.

3.3 Powder densification

Figure 5 shows the sintering curves (displacement rate and vacuum pressure as functions of temperature) for the non-degassed powder milled for 8 h and then sintered at 525 °C under 60 MPa of pressure. The displacement rate presented two peaks; the first peak, around 140 °C, corresponded to the rearrangement of particles and the removal of gas adsorbed on the surface of the particles during heating (in situ degassing of the powder), at the same temperature found in the vacuum curve. At this point, the samples did not show evidence of sintering, consistent with results presented in the literature. The second peak, around 260 °C, corresponded to the densification process, where the powder was compacted. The formation of necks between the particles starts when material is transferred via small areas of contact between the particles, after which time this contact area continuously increases.

Figures 6(a) and (b) show SPS curves for cryomilled powders degassed at 250 and 350 °C, respectively, in a tubular furnace under high vacuum. These curves did not show a degasification step and the vacuum remained at the
Figure 5. Sintering curves at 525 °C and 60 MPa of pressure for a non-degassed sample cryomilled for 8 h

initial level over the whole cycle. Hence, it can be concluded that the gas adsorbed on the powder surface was removed during the degasification pre-treatment. This degasification process before sintering resulted in easier sintering and was essential for achieving high density and a desirable microstructure. The Archimedes densities of the sintered samples are shown in Table 3.

The atomized sample reached full density (> 99.9), while the sample milled for 8 h without degassing only achieved a relatively low density of about 87%. On the contrary, the samples that were both cryomilled and degassed approached full density, around 98%.

3.4 Microstructure and purity of the sintered samples

Table 4 shows the concentration of nitrogen and oxygen impurities in the samples sintered using atomized and milled powders degassed at two different temperatures. The amount of impurities in both types of samples was low, while a high concentration of nitrogen and oxygen was found in the milled sintered sample because of the use of liquid nitrogen during milling.

Figure 7 shows SEM images of the atomized and milled powders after sintering. The atomized sintered sample displayed equiaxial grains, several larger precipitates at the grain boundaries, and finer intragranular precipitates, as shown in Figure 7(a). The milled sintered sample showed residual micropores and precipitates, some of which were clustered; the grains were not visible due to the residual deformation, as shown in Figure 7(b). Figure 8 shows SEM micrographs of sintered samples after degasification. No differences were observed in the microstructures of samples sintered, milled, and degassed at different temperatures, as shown in Figure 8. Residual micropores and precipitates were still visible, as well as residual deformation.

Table 5 shows the hardness and microhardness values of the atomized and milled samples. Despite the grain growth that occurred during the degassing treatment, the hardness and the microhardness of the degassed sintered samples were higher than those of the atomized grains due to their finer structure.

### Table 3. Archimedes density of atomized and 8-h milled samples, sintered at 525 °C under 60 MPa.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Degassing</th>
<th>Archimedes density (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atomized</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>99.96 ± 0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milled 8 h</td>
<td>250 °C/20 h</td>
<td>97.87 ± 0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>350 °C/20 h</td>
<td>97.93 ± 0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 4. Nitrogen and oxygen contents in atomized samples, milled for 8 h in cryogenic atmosphere and degassed.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>N₂(%)</th>
<th>O₂(%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atomized</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milled 8 h</td>
<td>4.330</td>
<td>11.120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 °C/20 h</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.541</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 °C/20 h</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.220</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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TEM analyses were performed on the degassed sintered samples. The crystallites showed a bimodal size distribution with ultra-fine and micrometric zones, due to some grain growth during sintering. Such bimodal microstructures were also observed by Lee et al. 12, who investigated SPS of copper particles subjected to single pulse discharges; they observed that sparks were formed only among some particles. Consequently, we propose that the bimodal distribution occurred due to local overheating caused by such sparks. Figure 9 shows TEM images, where ultra-fine zones without significant precipitation can be seen. The crystallites measured about 100 to 300 nm and the nanopores were generally distributed at the grains boundaries. There was no difference in the microstructures of the samples degassed at the two different temperatures. The micrometric zone contained precipitates distributed inside the grains (rounded θ phases and elongated S phases39), as shown in Figure 10. The precipitates measured around 20-200 nm. The EDS microanalyses confirmed the chemical composition of the θ (Al,Cu), S (Al,CuMg) phases and matrix, as shown in Figure 11 (a), (b), and (c), respectively. During the milling, the powder in contact with the liquid nitrogen formed some impurities, such as AlN; Figure 12 shows such AlN particles formed within the grains. As the microstructures of the samples degassed at 250 and 350 ºC were similar, sample degassing at the lower temperature is preferred.

Table 5. Hardness and microhardness of the atomized and 8 h milled and sintered samples.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Degassing</th>
<th>Hardness (HB 62.5)</th>
<th>Microhardness (HV 0.05)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Atomized</td>
<td>72 ±0.05</td>
<td>118 ±3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milled 8 h</td>
<td>77 ±0.15</td>
<td>133 ±4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>250 ºC/20 h</td>
<td>83 ±0.09</td>
<td>190 ±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>350 ºC/20 h</td>
<td>83 ±0.02</td>
<td>188 ±5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

TEM analyses were performed on the degassed sintered samples. The crystallites showed a bimodal size distribution with ultra-fine and micrometric zones, due to some grain growth during sintering. Such bimodal microstructures were also observed by Lee et al. 12, who investigated SPS of copper particles subjected to single pulse discharges; they observed that sparks were formed only among some particles. Consequently, we propose that the bimodal distribution occurred due to local overheating caused by such sparks. Figure 9 shows TEM images, where ultra-fine zones without significant precipitation can be seen. The crystallites measured about 100 to 300 nm and the nanopores were generally distributed at the grains boundaries. There was no difference in the microstructures of the samples degassed at the two different temperatures. The micrometric zone contained precipitates distributed inside the grains (rounded θ phases and elongated S phases39), as shown in Figure 10. The precipitates measured around 20-200 nm. The EDS microanalyses confirmed the chemical composition of the θ (Al,Cu), S (Al,CuMg) phases and matrix, as shown in Figure 11 (a), (b), and (c), respectively. During the milling, the powder in contact with the liquid nitrogen formed some impurities, such as AlN; Figure 12 shows such AlN particles formed within the grains. As the microstructures of the samples degassed at 250 and 350 ºC were similar, sample degassing at the lower temperature is preferred.
Figure 9. TEM images showing the microstructure of cryomilled sample degassed for 20 h at (a) 250 °C and (b) 350 °C and then sintered.

Figure 10. TEM analyses showing θ and S precipitates in the sample cryomilled for 8 h, degassed at 250 °C for 20 h, and then sintered.

Figure 11. EDS microanalysis results verifying the chemical composition of the (a) θ and (b) S phases and (c) matrix.
1. Lavernia EJ, Han BQ, Schoenung JM. Cryomilled nanostructured refined UFG/micrometric microstructure. Degasification and sintering and the material maintained a range of 100-300 nm (UFG) with micrometric zones. The milled samples exhibited ultra-fine bimodal grain size distributions in the range of 100-300 nm (UFG) with micrometric zones. The material also contained nanopores in the grain boundaries, very fine precipitates ofAIN, rounded θ phases (Al2Cu), and elongated S phases (Al2CuMg). EDS microanalyses confirmed the stoichiometric composition of the matrix and these two precipitates. Grain growth did not occur during degasification and sintering and the material maintained a refined UFG/micrometric microstructure.

4. Conclusion

The thermal stability of powder milled under cryogenic conditions should be low due to the high microstrains introduced into the grains during the high-energy milling. The oxygen and nitrogen present during cryogenic milling was successfully eliminated by degasification for 20 h at 250 and 350 °C. However, this degasification treatment promoted grain growth and the degassed powder showed a structure with grain sizes smaller than 100 nm. UFG/micrometric 2024 Al alloy samples were produced by SPS at different temperatures. The milled samples reached total density with a high sintering temperature of 525 °C and an applied pressure of 60 MPa. The densities were lower for cryomilled samples produced with non-degassed powders. Therefore, nearly total density (~98%) was observed for degassed powders sintered at 525 °C with 60 MPa of applied pressure. The hardness and microhardness of the milled degassed sintered samples showed values superior to those of the atomized samples. The milled samples exhibited ultra-fine bimodal grain size distributions in the range of 100-300 nm (UFG) with micrometric zones. The material also contained nanopores in the grain boundaries, very fine precipitates of AIN, rounded θ phases (Al2Cu), and elongated S phases (Al2CuMg). EDS microanalyses confirmed the stoichiometric composition of the matrix and these two precipitates. Grain growth did not occur during degasification and sintering and the material maintained a refined UFG/micrometric microstructure.
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