Investigation of Austenitic Stainless Steel Coatings on Mild Steel Produced by Friction Surfacing Using a Conventional CNC Machining Center
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The friction surfacing process allows deposition of similar and dissimilar coatings in the solid state, avoiding some of the problems associated with conventional coating methods in which fusion is involved. In the present work, a viability assessment of producing AISI 304 austenitic stainless steel coatings on AISI 1020 low-carbon steel substrates using a machining center with Computerized Numerical Control (CNC) instead of dedicated friction surfacing equipment was pursued. The influence of consumable rod rotation and translation speed, as well as substrate surface roughness on the geometry and adhesion of the coatings was evaluated. The microstructure of the stainless steel coatings was investigated by optical and scanning electron microscopy, while microhardness analysis was performed in order to evaluate properties near the coating-substrate interface. Finally, the electrochemical corrosion behavior of the coatings and the as-received AISI 304 steel consumables in 0.5M H₂SO₄ solution containing naturally dissolved O₂ was compared. The results revealed that the friction surfacing process can be applied in non-specialized machinery, since the manufactured coatings exhibited good adhesion and corrosion resistance. The formation of hard bands in the coatings was identified near the interface region and the adhesion of the coatings was found to be influenced by initial substrate roughness.
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1. Introduction

The friction surfacing (FS) process is a solid state joining technique which can be applied for producing coatings on similar and dissimilar substrates with the objective of modifying or repairing surfaces. The basics of the process are illustrated in Figure 1. Essentially, a rotating consumable rod is forced upon a substrate material which leads to friction between the surfaces and intense heat generation. As the temperature rises, yield strength at the tip of the consumable rod is reduced, which causes intense plastic deformation and the development of a visco-plastic material flow. This is accompanied by the formation of a characteristic flash at the consumable rod tip, as also shown in Figure 1. After an initial dwell time, relative motion between consumable rod and substrate leads to the formation of a longitudinal deposit. The metallurgical effects which occur during the process as well as details regarding material transfer mechanisms have been explored by previous researchers 1-4. The main operation parameters are axial force (F), consumable rod rotation (R) and forward speed (V). These parameters bear significant influence on the geometry of the coating and its mechanical properties. As such, numerous studies have been performed with the purpose of optimizing or modeling deposition conditions for different coating-substrate combinations 5-9.

Normally, the process is considered to take place entirely in the solid state because the amount of friction heat generated decreases with temperature such that ultimately the materials melting point is not reached 10,11. Thus, some problems that occur in coating deposition techniques in which fusion is involved, such as solidification-induced cracks, pores created by gas imprisonment, residual stresses and distortion (especially when joining dissimilar metals) can be avoided or reduced. Because of these positive characteristics, the FS process has been applied or considered for various industrial applications: recovery or repair of axles, repair of aged structural materials in nuclear plants, anchor chains and steel components in general, deposition of hard layers in agricultural and oil equipment and deposition of corrosion resistant coatings on low-carbon steel components 12,13.

The FS process is particularly interesting for situations which require modification of surface properties for increasing corrosion resistance. A number of researchers have pursued the development of stainless steel coatings on carbon or alloy steels for this purpose by investigating the relation between process parameters and coating characteristics, such as thickness, width and adhesion to the substrate 5,7,14,15. With respect to microstructure, in the case of austenitic stainless steel coatings, it has been established that dynamic recrystallization takes place during FS leading to significant grain refinement 16-18, similar to the microstructure evolution that results from friction stir welding processes 19. Relatively less information can be found, however, on the actual corrosion behavior of these coatings. The existing reports have shown that austenitic and martensitic stainless steel coatings produced by FS exhibited superior corrosion resistance in...
comparison to coatings manufactured by conventional arc-welding deposition techniques. On the other hand, for AISI 304 austenitic stainless steel coatings, it was found that pitting corrosion resistance in comparison to bulk stainless steel samples was considerably impaired because of the formation of strain-induced martensite.

Recently, attempts have been made to broaden possible applications for the FS process by its employment in non-specialized equipment. In an attempt to further explore such possibilities and to advance the current knowledge of corrosion behavior and microstructure formation in FS stainless steel coatings, the present study was conducted. The objective was to investigate the applicability of the FS process in a Computerized Numerical Control (CNC) machining center for producing AISI 304 stainless steel coatings on AISI 1020 carbon steel substrates. To this end, process parameters were adjusted for the system at hand, which does not allow for control of the axial force exerted by the consumable rod on the substrate, as in dedicated FS machinery, and correlated with coating characteristics.

2. Experimental Procedure

2.1 Materials

The materials used in FS experiments were 200 x 100 x 8 mm AISI 1020 carbon steel plates, received initially in the hot rolled condition, and AISI 304 austenitic stainless steel circular bars with 100 mm length and 15.4 mm diameter. The chemical composition of the materials is presented in Table 1.

In all cases, the coatings were produced along the rolling direction of the substrate plates. Prior to FS, the consumable rods were faced by turning and two different techniques were employed in order to remove the mill scale from the substrate materials: finish milling, which resulted in an average surface roughness of $R_a = 0.66 \, \mu m$, and a rotating steel brush, which led to an average surface roughness of $R_s = 2.95 \, \mu m$.

2.2 Coating deposition

The FS procedures were carried out in a ROMI Discover 560 CNC machining center with a power output of 11 kW and maximum rotation of 10000 rpm, as in previous investigations. In order to assess the influence of process parameters on coating characteristics, an experimental plan was developed using a simple Design of Experiments (DoE) methodology which consisted of a complete factorial design with three factors and two levels per factor, which yielded a total of 2³ distinct experimental conditions. The parameters chosen as factors were surface roughness ($R_a$, 0.66 and 2.95 $\mu m$), rotation (1500 and 2000 rpm) and travel speed (190 and 250 mm/min). The roughness of the substrate material can be expected to modify the friction coefficient between the faying surfaces and can therefore influence heat generation during the FS process. It is common in dedicated FS machinery that the axial force is controlled during the process, which was not possible with the CNC machining center employed. In the present study, therefore, the consumable rod was forced onto the substrate by using a 0.5 ratio between vertical and horizontal motions, which also allowed control of the feed rate. The value was chosen after initial tests for determining viable process parameters revealed comparatively worse results for 0.15, 0.4 and 1.0 ratios.

2.3 Characterization procedures

The coatings produced by FS were analyzed in terms of their basic geometry (average width, determined by using a standard capillary and thickness, determined from cross-section macrographs), as well as microhardness, push-off strength and microstructure. Vickers hardness was evaluated on the cross section of the coatings using a Shimadzu HMV-2T tester with an applied load of 100 gf and 20 s holding time. The push-off test was performed by drilling a 6 mm diameter hole on the back side of the coated plate. Hole depth was limited to the thickness of the substrate plate in order to avoid damage to the coating prior to testing. The push-off test itself consisted in moving a 5.95 mm diameter rod through the 6 mm hole and measuring the force necessary to detach the coating from the substrate. The Push-off Strength (PS) considered in each case was taken as the maximum value of the applied force prior to coating fracture or its detachment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>C (wt%)</th>
<th>Mn (wt%)</th>
<th>Si (wt%)</th>
<th>Cr (wt%)</th>
<th>Ni (wt%)</th>
<th>P (wt%)</th>
<th>S (wt%)</th>
<th>Mo (wt%)</th>
<th>N (wt%)</th>
<th>Fe (wt%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>304 steel</td>
<td>0.057</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>0.143</td>
<td>18.42</td>
<td>8.092</td>
<td>0.026</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.340</td>
<td>0.906</td>
<td>bal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1020 steel</td>
<td>0.193</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.131</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>0.011</td>
<td>0.017</td>
<td>0.0167</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.0033</td>
<td>bal.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
from the substrate. For each of the 8 tested experimental conditions, the push-off test was performed 3 times.

The microstructure of the coatings produced by FS was evaluated by optical microscopy (OM) and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). Further microstructure information was obtained by X-Ray Diffraction (XRD) experiments (Cu Kα radiation) which were performed on the consumable rod material, at the surface of the deposited coatings and at a 100 µm distance from the substrate/coating interface. In all cases, the analyzed XRD samples were milled using the same machining parameters in order to obtain flat surfaces with the same surface roughness.

2.4 Electrochemical corrosion behavior

In order to evaluate whether the FS process could hinder the stainless steel coating performance in acid media (in which stainless steels are commonly applied) the electrochemical corrosion behaviour of a selected coating and the consumable rod material was compared. The tests were carried out in an IVIUM Vertex potentiostat connected to a 400 mL horizontal cell prepared for flat specimens and each electrochemical method was applied to three different samples obtained under the same deposition conditions. A three electrode setup was used with a Pt wire counter electrode and a Ag-AgCl (in saturated KCl) reference electrode. Prior to the experiments, the samples were milled in order to produce a flat surface and then ground and polished down to a 1 µm finish in diamond suspension. All electrochemical measurements were carried out in a 0.5M H₂SO₄ solution containing naturally dissolved O₂, which was prepared by dissolving 27.7 mL of 98% purity H₂SO₄ into 1000 mL distilled water. The samples were analysed by electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and potentiodynamic polarization. Prior to all EIS measurements, the open circuit electrode potential (OCP) was monitored for 5,400 s. The EIS tests were carried out at the stabilized OCP within a frequency range of 100,000 to 0.01 Hz and a sinusoidal excitation signal of 10 mV. Data acquisition was set at 5 points per decade for a total of 36 frequencies and the results were analysed by modelling the equivalent circuit. The polarization curves were obtained after the EIS measurements by scanning over a -0.5 to 1.2 V/Ag-AgCl range with a 0.2 mV/s scan rate. The corrosion current density (i_corr) was determined by extrapolation of the cathodic Tafel line to the corrosion potential (E_corr). This procedure was adopted because of different metal dissolution reactions, which yield non-linear or poorly defined anodic Tafel lines.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Coating characteristics

The basic coating geometry characteristics, as well as push-off strength, obtained for each experimental condition tested are presented in Table 2. The standard deviations are given in parentheses next to the corresponding average values. The relative influence of input parameters on coating characteristics was assessed in terms of Pareto diagrams, which are shown in Figure 2(a-c) for, respectively, width (W), thickness (T) and push-off strength (PS). The results presented in Figure 2 designate the most significant process parameter for each output variable and also if a parameter does not affect a given output, i.e. when the standardized effect is lower than the indicated value of 2.776.

The effects of rotation and forward speed on coating geometry have already been considered in published literature and the existing trends could be confirmed in the present study. In summary, coating width is lowered with increasing rotation, which was found to be the most influential input parameter regarding this characteristic in the present study. Forward speed did not significantly influence coating thickness, but had a negative correlation with coating width. These observations are relevant because they show that in non-specialized equipment the overall behavior of the FS process is similar to that obtained in dedicated setups, thus showing the applicability of the process in the former situation for producing stainless steel coatings on carbon steel.

One aspect highlighted in the present study which has received relatively less attention in previous reports is the role of the substrate surface roughness. In this investigation, it was found that surface roughness did not have a significant influence on coating geometry (thickness and width) but had a strong impact on the adhesion between coating and substrate, evaluated in terms of push-off strength: the lower the substrate roughness, the higher the push-off strength (for Ra = 2.95 µm, the average push-off strength was 5.8 kN whereas for Ra = 0.66 µm the average push-off strength was 10.6 kN). In a previous report concerning the deposition of Al-alloy on mild steel the opposite effect was observed. In that case, however, the consumable rod hardness was significantly smaller compared to the substrate. As a consequence, the presence of larger surface asperities (on the substrate) could contribute as anchorage sites providing mechanical interlocking and improving adhesion. In the present case, it is possible to speculate that lower surface roughness values have a positive impact on adhesion because of the increase in the effective contact area between consumable rod and substrate plate. In fact, it is worth noticing that for the samples produced with low surface roughness (#2, 4, 6, 8), the push-off test resulted in hole punctured through the coating, with minor local detachment, as shown in Figure 3. For the remaining situations (samples #1, 3, 5, 7) the coating was lifted and detached along its complete width, indicating poor adhesion. A systematic investigation of the influence of substrate roughness, possibly connected to temperature monitoring during the process (heat generation), would be necessary in order to provide a more thorough explanation to the behavior currently observed.
3.2 Coating microstructure

The microstructure of the coatings was analyzed by optical microscopy and the mechanical properties were evaluated in terms of Vickers microhardness for all experimental conditions. An overview of the coating cross-section is presented in Figure 4(a), along with micrographs corresponding to coating top surface, consumable rod base material and mid-sections of the advancing side, center and retreating side, respectively, in Figures 4(b-f). The results reveal grain refinement in the entire coating. The average grain size, evaluated by using the intercept method and a grain count of 200 grains, was found to be $70 \pm 10 \, \mu m$ for
the base material while for the coating presented in Figure 4 (sample #2), the values were found to be 7.0 ± 4.0 µm for the advancing side, 10 ± 2.0 µm for the center and 7.0 ± 4.0 µm for the retreating side. The surface hardness of the coating analyzed in Figure 4 was also determined and the values obtained were 270 ± 20 HV0.025 and 220 ± 10 HV0.025 for the base material and coating, respectively (average of 8 measurements). A low applied force was employed (25 gf) in order to restrict indentation depth, such that the hardness values reflect differences in surface conditions. These results, along with the observed grain refinement, are consistent with the occurrence of dynamic recrystallization during the FS process, in agreement with previous reports 16.

Details of the coating/substrate interface are explored in Figure 5. A common characteristic observed in all samples was the unbounded undercut region near the edges of the coating, as reported in published literature 5,7 and exemplified in Figure 5(a). Apart from that, in general, the interface at the center of the coatings was found to be smooth, continuous and absent of defects such as pores or inclusions, as shown in Figure 5(b). However, for some cases (specifically, samples #1, #2 and #6, Table 2) it was possible to notice the presence of banded structures, parallel to the interface, on the side of the coating, as indicated in Figure 5(c). In these bands, elevated hardness values were identified, as shown in Figure 6. In contrast, for the remaining samples, hardness values near the interface on the stainless steel side varied between approximately 210 and 260 HV within a distance of 100 µm from the interface indicating that virtually no strain-hardening takes place as a consequence of dynamic recrystallization.

The elevated hardness values observed in Figure 6 suggest transformation of the austenite phase occurred during the FS process. In order to clarify this possibility the coating produced on sample #2 (Figure 6) was analyzed by XRD and the results were compared to the spectrum.
obtained for a reference sample from the consumable rod material, as presented in Figure 7. The diffraction pattern labeled as “interface” in Figure 7 was obtained after milling the coating to a distance of 100 µm from the interface, so that the regions containing the banded structures could be assessed. The spectrum labeled as “coating” corresponds, in turn, to the external surface of the FS coating. In Figure 8, the morphology of the banded region is presented with higher magnification, along with EDX maps for chemical composition analysis.

It is possible to notice that for the initial consumable rod material only austenite reflections could be identified and at the external surface of the coating the same reflections are present, indicating the similar phase composition. In comparison to the reference sample, it can be seen that the austenite peaks are shifted to higher diffraction angles (consider e.g. the 111-peak at 2θ ~44°) which is a possible indication of the presence of internal stresses in the coating. Conversely, a comparison of the diffraction spectra from the reference material and the interface region reveals larger contrasts, with the presence of distinct reflections that could be ascribed to σ-phase and martensite (α’). The σ-phase is a Cr-rich hard intermetallic which normally appears in ageing treatments of stainless steels and is considered undesirable because its presence leads to reductions in toughness and corrosion resistance30,31 and has been previously identified with banded morphology after friction processing of austenitic stainless steels32-34. According to Park et al.32, the formation of σ-phase directly from austenite requires long times, but can be accelerated if precipitated from δ-ferrite when the material undergoes plastic deformation with high strain rates and dynamic recrystallization, as in the case of FS. The formation of δ-ferrite is in turn possible with FS because of the elevated temperatures attained during the process. No residual δ-ferrite could, however, be identified by XRD in the present study.

The formation of σ-phase could not be unambiguously demonstrated, however, since the EDX analysis presented in Figure 8 revealed that the previously identified bands possess a lower amount of Cr in comparison to the bulk of the stainless steel coating. The banded regions exhibit instead elevated amounts of Fe, which indicates that they might be instead formed by particles removed from the substrate and incorporated into the coating by mechanical stirring. In addition, they are enriched in Cr (compared to the substrate material), which would assist the formation of martensite upon cooling after the process, thus explaining the elevated hardness values reported in Figure 6.
3.3 Corrosion behavior

The evolution of OCP over time is presented in Figure 9 for the consumable rod material (reference) and coating #2, which yielded best results in the push-off test (Table 2). It is possible to notice that the OCP is stabilized in both samples after 5,400 s. The results indicate a persistent difference of approximately 0.1 V between coating and reference, with the coating exhibiting less negative (more noble) behaviour. This might be associated with the fact that the coating exhibited a recrystallized microstructure with a lower degree of strain hardening compared to the base material, as presented in Figure 4 and revealed by the corresponding surface hardness values.

The polarization curves obtained for the reference and coating materials are presented in Figure 10. The complete curves, over the entire scanned potential range, are shown in Figure 10(a) while a highlight of the Tafel region is shown in Figure 10(b). The main parameters that can be extracted from the polarization curves shown in Figure 10 are presented in Tables 3 and 4. The passive current density ($i_{\text{pass}}$) was determined, in each case, by considering the average value over the entire passivity range, i.e. between the potential for complete passivation ($E_{\text{cp}}$) and the transpassive potential ($E_{\text{t}}$).
Figure 10. Polarization curves obtained in 0.5M H$_2$SO$_4$ containing naturally dissolved O$_2$ for consumable rod material (reference) and FS coating #2 (a). Highlight of the Tafel region (b).

Table 3. Passivity characteristics for reference and coating samples obtained in naturally aerated 0.5M H$_2$SO$_4$ ($E_{pp}$ – potential for primary passivation; $i_{crt}$ – critical current density for passivation; $E_{cp}$ – potential for complete passivation; $i_{pass}$ – passive current density; $E_{tp}$ – transpassive potential). The standard deviation is given in parentheses next to the average values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>$E_{pp}$ (V/Ag-AgCl)</th>
<th>$i_{crt}$ ($\mu$A/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$E_{cp}$ (V/Ag-AgCl)</th>
<th>$i_{pass}$ ($\mu$A/cm$^2$)</th>
<th>$E_{tp}$ (V/Ag-AgCl)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-0.20 (0.08)</td>
<td>660 (200)</td>
<td>0.03 (0.06)</td>
<td>12.3 (1.0)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating</td>
<td>-0.10 (0.02)</td>
<td>530 (250)</td>
<td>0.01 (0.04)</td>
<td>4.6 (2.6)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.10)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Table 4, the values for anodic and cathodic Tafel slopes are also presented and for both materials similar values were obtained (even though $b_a$ was determined by linear extrapolation of a considerably narrower range in comparison to $b_c$). The values obtained for $b_a$ are close to the theoretical Tafel slope value of -0.120mV/decade obtained by assuming a single electron transfer step for the hydrogen evolution reaction:

$$H_{ads} + H^+ + e^- \rightarrow H_2$$ (1)

In turn, the anodic Tafel slope values are compatible with Fe dissolution forming Fe$^{2+}$ by either the Bockris-Kelly (40mV/decade) or Heusler mechanisms (30 mV/decade) 35. However, it is not possible to reliably ascertain the active mechanism in each case because the anodic Tafel lines obtained experimentally were not as well-defined as for the cathodic reaction.

From the results reported in Table 4 it is possible to notice that the $E_{corr}$ values followed the trend observed for the evolution of OCP presented in Fig. 9, i.e. the coating behaviour was slightly nobler in comparison to the substrate. The corrosion current density was also found to be smaller for the FS coating, even though the observed difference falls between the limits of the standard deviation of each measure (Table 4). The results from the EIS measurements are presented in the form of Nyquist plots in Figure 11 along with the equivalent circuit model. The corresponding numerical results are registered in Table 5. In the equivalent circuit used to model the passive film and electrolyte ensemble, $R_s$ is the resistance due to the solution and $R_p$ is the polarization resistance. The plots presented in Figure 11 exhibit a depressed capacitive arc which was modelled by using a constant phase element (CPE), identified by Q. The impedance behaviour of such an element is given by 36:

$$Z_{CPE} = \left[ Q(j\omega)^n \right]^{-1}$$ (2)

in which Q is a constant, $\omega$ is the frequency and n is an adjustable factor which can assume values ranging from -1, in which case the CPE element acts as an inductor, to 1, for which behaviour is that of an ideal capacitor.

It is possible to compare the polarization results presented in Table 4 by the EIS analyses, since $R_p$ is inversely proportional to $i_{corr}$:

$$i_{corr} = \left[ 2.303R_p \left( \frac{1}{b_a} + \frac{1}{b_c} \right) \right]^{-1}$$ (3)

Using the values determined for $b_a$, $b_c$ and $R_p$ (Tables 4 and 5) in Equation (2) it was possible to obtain a second measure for $i_{corr}$: $13.5 \pm 8 \mu$A/cm$^2$ and $17.0 \pm 9 \mu$A/cm$^2$ for the reference and coating, respectively. As noticed for the corrosion current density determined by the polarization experiments, the values fall within the evaluated standard deviations even though the trend is reversed, i.e. the coating exhibited larger average values for $i_{corr}$ compared to the substrate. In previous investigations, an increase of $i_{corr}$ in coatings obtained by FS was attributed to the formation of a more chemically active surface with higher defect density 20,21. For instance, Puli and Janaki Ram 21 noticed an increase in corrosion rate of
Table 4. Corrosion parameters for reference and coating samples in naturally aerated 0.5M H₂SO₄ (E_corr – Corrosion potential; i_corr – corrosion current density; b_c – cathodic Tafel slope; b_a – anodic Tafel slope). The standard deviation is given in parentheses next to the average values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>E_corr(V/Ag-AgCl)</th>
<th>i_corr(µA/cm²)</th>
<th>b_c(V/dec)</th>
<th>b_a(V/dec)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>-0.28 (0.07)</td>
<td>17.5 (9.3)</td>
<td>-0.095 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.036 (0.008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating</td>
<td>-0.18 (0.01)</td>
<td>7.0 (9.5)</td>
<td>-0.093 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.030 (0.014)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 11. Nyquist diagrams obtained at OCP after 5400 s in 0.5M H₂SO₄ containing naturally dissolved O₂ for the consumable rod material (reference) and FS coating #2 (a). Equivalent circuit used to model EIS data (b).

Table 5. Impedance parameters for reference and coating samples (R_s – solution impedance; R_p – polarization resistance; Q – constant phase element due to surface film). The standard deviation is given in parentheses next to the average values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>R_s(Ωcm²)</th>
<th>R_p(Ωcm²)</th>
<th>Q×10^-4(Fs^-1cm^-2)</th>
<th>n</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reference</td>
<td>7.8 (1.6)</td>
<td>850 (500)</td>
<td>3.7 (1.8)</td>
<td>0.94 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coating</td>
<td>4.1 (1.4)</td>
<td>700 (400)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.04)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

approximately 6 times when comparing bulk and friction surfaced AISI 316L steels. In the present work, however, the differences in corrosion rate are relatively smaller since the coating top surface was found to be recrystallized and less strain-hardened than the base material.

It is worth considering that, even though no significant differences in the uniform corrosion rate between base material and coating were identified, it is apparent that the FS coating exhibited superior corrosion resistance concerning passive behaviour. The results reveal that both tested materials exhibit active-passive behaviour and similar passivity ranges, taken as E_p - E_op, but the coating exhibited lower values for the passive current density compared to the base material (although the critical current density was roughly equivalent), as shown in Table 3. The differences in i_pass cannot be dismissed and indicate that a more compact and dense passive film was formed on the coating compared to the base material. These results are consistent with the considerations of Ralston and Birbilis 37 that decreases in grain size improve passive film stability because of the increased diffusion rates along grain boundaries. As a consequence, it is found that the microstructure modifications induced at the surface of the coatings by the FS process may be beneficial regarding corrosion resistance in the tested medium.

4. Conclusions

The FS process was applied in a machining center for the production of AISI 304 stainless steel coatings on AISI 1020 carbon steel substrates by varying rotation, forward speed and substrate roughness. The influence of rotation and forward speed on coating geometry was consistent to existing reports and the adhesion of the coatings was influenced by the surface roughness of the substrate plates. It was possible to identify the formation of hard banded structures near the interface on the stainless steel coatings but the surface of the coatings presented the same phase composition as the consumable rod material with equiaxed and refined grains. Analysis of the electrochemical corrosion behavior of the coating and base material by EIS and potentiodynamic polarization did not reveal significant differences in corrosion rate, but showed that the FS coatings exhibit superior passivation characteristics in 0.5 H₂SO₄ containing naturally dissolved O₂. Based on these findings, it is concluded that, for the
investigated materials, the FS process can be successfully applied in non-specialized equipment.
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