Zeta Potential Measurement in Bioactive Collagen
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Zeta potential has traditionally been measured by electrophoresis, because all inorganic particles assume a charge when dispersed in water. By inserting electrodes into a suspension and applying a DC Voltage, the carged particles are attracted towards the anode (positive electrode). The velocity of the travelling particles depends on the particle surface charge (or zeta potential) and the voltage applied. Therefore if the velocity can be measured, zeta potential can be determined using the Henry or Van Smolukoski equations.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Samples and chemical treatment

Type I collagen discs intended to be used as implant barriers (Asspress Cirúrgica Ltda.) were used as a substrate in this study. The samples were separate in two groups, chemically non-treated (control), and an experimental group whose samples were carefully placed into polyethylene vi-
als with tetraethoxysilane (TEOS) at room temperature for 1 h. After this time, TEOS was eliminated by filtration and the samples from both groups were washed with ethanol.

A silica glass control sample was prepared for zeta potential study. In this case, TEOS was added to an acidified (HCl - pH 1.7) aqueous solution, and next added with ethanol in a molar proportion of H₂O:TEOS:CH₃CH₂OH of 4:1:4. The solution was magnetically stirred until gelation. The material was placed under a humidified atmosphere overnight, and next treated at 400 °C for 2 h.

2.2. SBF immersion test

Individual fiber samples were immersed into a simulated body fluid (SBF) in polyethylene vials in a digital temperature water bath at 37 °C. SBF and blood plasma ion concentrations are given in Table I. A weight / volume solution ratio equal to 0.002 g/cm³ was used for all samples tested. SBF immersion tests were made in triplicate. Chemically non-treated samples were removed from the SBF solution after 5 days, while the treated ones were removed after 5 and 10 days. All the samples were carefully rinsed twice with distilled water and dried at room temperature after removal from the SBF solution. The rinsing procedure was important to eliminate some aqueous soluble salts, such as NaCl, deposited onto the fiber surfaces. For all experiments, the SBF solution was completely removed and replaced every 48 h by a fresh solution to avoid contamination by microorganisms and to keep the pH close to 7.3.

2.3. Characterization methods

The morphology of the fibers was studied using Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM) (JEOL-JSM 840 A). Prior to analysis, the samples were fastened to a sample holder with the help of a double carbon ribbon and covered with gold. Energy Dispersive Spectroscopy (EDS) (JEOL-JXA 8900RL) was also used to evaluate semi-qualitatively the composition of the fibers. Sample structure and homogeneity, and HA layer formation were characterized by comparison of FTIR spectra (Bomen MB-100C23 FTIR) of different samples. For these analyses, samples were pressed against a diamond sample holder and analyzed in transmission mode. The determination of ζ potential was performed in COULTER DELSA 440SX. For this, a suspension of ground material in deionized water was homogenized with ultrasound for 15 min. Afterwards, another suspension was made by adding drops of the previous suspension into an aqueous solution of KCl 10⁻³ M. The pH of the initial solution was measured and adjusted to the range from 2 to 12 with an aqueous solution of either KOH 10⁻³ M or HNO₃ 10⁻³ M.

3. Results and discussion

SEM micrographs of TEOS-treated and non-treated fibers did not show significant morphological differences. However, EDS spectra (Fig. 1) showed that the TEOS treatment was effective in producing a silica layer on the fiber surface. A Si peak appears, while in those pure collagen it is absent, indicating that this surface was recovered by Si.

Non-treated fibers did not evidence any adherent coating after 5-day SBF immersion. More extended periods were not investigated, because there is a faster fiber degradation after 5 days. Otherwise, treated samples presented a coating after 5 days in SBF, which remained even after water rinsing. This coating was reasonably continuous after 10 days (Fig. 2), and the morphology of the layer was consistent

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Na⁺</th>
<th>K⁺</th>
<th>Ca²⁺</th>
<th>Mg²⁺</th>
<th>Cl⁻</th>
<th>HCO₃⁻</th>
<th>HPO₄²⁻</th>
<th>SO₄²⁻</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SBF</td>
<td>142.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>147.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Human Plasma</td>
<td>142.0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>103.0</td>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 1. EDS of collagen fibers: a) from control group, b) chemically treated with TEOS for 1h.
with the different immersion times. EDS analysis (Fig. 3) shows the presence of Ca and P in this coating not seen before immersion in SBF.

FTIR spectra of the different samples before and after SBF immersion for 5 days are shown in Fig. 4. Collagen (Fig. 4a), exhibits typical bands such as C = O stretching at 1653 cm$^{-1}$ for amide I, N–H deformation at 1545 cm$^{-1}$ for amide II and N–H deformation at 1233 and 1281 cm$^{-1}$ for amide III band. The sample bands are observed in the Fig. 4c.

After TEOS treatment (Fig. 4b), absorption bands at 1170, 1080, 800 and 460 cm$^{-1}$ due to the Si-O-Si bonds appeared together with peaks at 960 and 550 cm$^{-1}$ associated to OH and Si-OH bands, respectively (Fig. 4b). The spectrum for treated samples immersed in SBF for 5 days (Fig. 4d) presented other peaks at 1060 cm$^{-1}$, 598 cm$^{-1}$ referring to P-O bonds (P-O asymmetric stretch), and 940 cm$^{-1}$, 603 cm$^{-1}$, and 560 cm$^{-1}$ (P-O bending modes) characteristic of HA, beside bands related to the Si-O-Si bond at 810 cm$^{-1}$ and 460 cm$^{-1}$. Longer immersion times enhanced absorbance at 603 cm$^{-1}$, and consequently this peak becomes more clearly defined.

Fig. 5 shows ζ potential variations as a function of solution pH for: collagen, chemically treated sample, and pure
silica glass. The pH corresponding to the isoelectric point (pH_{IEP}) of collagen is about 10. Chemically treated samples exhibited a pH_{IEP} of 6.8, evidencing the partial covering of the collagen surface by silica. As expected, glass pH_{IEP} was 2, similar to silica pH_{IEP}^{20}. This shift from 10 to 6.8 was sufficient to charge the material surface negatively when in contact with the SBF solution. This explains why treated fibers show a bioactive behavior, while not-treated ones do not.

4. Conclusions

TEOS treatment applied to collagen fibers resulted in a silica coating that decreased pH_{IEP} from 10, for pure collagen, to 6.8. This shift was sufficient to charge the material negatively when in contact with the SBF solution. This result can explain why treated collagen shows a bioactive behavior, while non-treated collagen does not. Moreover, TEOS treatment enhances fiber degradation time in physiological solution.
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