Prey preference of the stone crab *Platyxanthus crenulatus* (Decapoda: Platyxanthidae) in laboratory conditions
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**Abstract** - The preference for a particular type of prey implies an active behavioral choice of the predator. The present work deals with the prey preference of the large stone crab *Platyxanthus crenulatus* among similar sized gastropods and bivalves of different substrates using a recent proposed methodology based on a two-stage approach. In laboratory, crabs received different mollusk species separately to determine the consumption in number of each species when choice was not possible. Further, crabs were offered the same mollusc species but together to record differences of specific consumption when choice was possible. Results were then compared to assess prey preferences. *Platyxanthus crenulatus* is able to effectively attack and consume the majority of the sympatric mollusk species, although preferred the dominant species *Brachidontes rodriguezii* over the others. Such preference seems to be driven by shell shape and thickness which may be a common pattern in prey preference among large stone crab species.
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**Introduction**

The distribution and abundance of a consumer can be explained largely by the distribution and abundance of the resources it uses and vice versa (Begon *et al*., 1996). However, most predators do not consume prey items in proportion to their abundance, but select particular sizes and/or types of prey (Micheli, 1995), generating non random associations.

Such associations are often explained as a direct consequence of the preference for a particular prey (Underwood *et al*., 2004). Nevertheless the concept of preference implies the existence of active behavioral choice (Singer, 2000). Furthermore, consumption patterns are influenced by intrinsic features of prey and its interaction with the predator (Underwood *et al*., 2004) rather than predator choice alone.

In this sense, many different definitions and experimental designs have been suggested and used to test preference (Johnson, 1980; Newman *et al*., 2010; Saunders *et al*., 2012), but there is certainly a consensus that it is essential to clarify the used definition before starting to work (Taplin, 2007). A relatively new point of view has been developed by some authors (Singer, 2000; Underwood *et al*., 2004). Singer (2000) stated that preference depends exclusively on predator and implies
some predator own behavior. Underwood and Clarke (2005) include this point in the definition: “When an animal is confronted with choices of resource, preference would be a behavior that results in use not predicted from behavior when no choice is available” and this concept was the approach used in the present study.

Large crabs, particularly those which prey on mollusks, were successfully used as model to test general hypothesis on prey selection and optimal foraging (e.g. Cancer sp. - Yamada and Boulding, 1998; Carcinus sp. - Smallegange et al., 2008; Menippe sp.- Aronhime and Brown, 2009). Platxanthus crenulatus A. Milne-Edwards, 1879, is one of four edible crab species belonging to the South American family Platxanthidae Guinot, 1977 (Ng et al., 2008). The species is restricted to south western Atlantic coasts, inhabiting the intertidal and shallow subtidal waters of north of Patagonia (Boschi, 1964). Adults are more common in subtidal rocky reefs, where are the most conspicuous invertebrate predators. In Mar del Plata these crabs are often seen feeding on small mussels and sporadically on other invertebrates such as ascidians and polychaetes. However, their claws show strong positive allometry in both sexes, with marked heteroquely and laterality (i.e. dimorphism between chelae and the tendency to present them in a particular side of the body); both traits often associated to durophagous habits (Seed and Hughes, 1995). The general morphology of the species is the classical of the large stone crabs, i.e. conspicuous animals with robust bodies that reach large sizes and strong claws. Such crabs are usually high-level predators (Gerhart and Bert, 2008) and dominant over other competitor crab species (Brown et al., 2005).

Mollusk species differ in life history traits, morphology and shell thickness among others. Moreover, they often inhabit different substrates and consequently present distinctive defenses, such as burying and/or clustering behaviors, which may decrease encounter rates or increase handling times. In turn, the different shell morphologies can affect selection patterns (Bourdeau and O’Connor, 2003; Addison, 2009). Whilst the prey size preference has received much attention (see Juanes, 1992; Smallegange and van der Meer, 2003), works dealing with preference among different prey species are contrastingly rare (Ebersole and Kennedy, 1995; Mascaró and Seed, 2001) probably due to the complexity of such systems, in which different factors interact at the same time.

In this work we investigate the prey preference of P. crenulatus among different mollusk species. For this, laboratory experiments were carried out (1) to determine prey preference among preys of differing shell shape and (2) to test for differences in the pattern of preference in different habitats.

**Material and Methods**

**Species and sampling**

In December 2008 and January 2009, 80 individuals of Platxanthus crenulatus were manually collected from the rocky shore adjacent to Nágera Biological Coastal Station, Mar del Plata (38°09’S / 57°38’W) and by diving from Mar del Plata port and La Restinga reef. Simultaneously, the most abundant mollusks cohabiting with these crabs (Scelzo et al., 2002; Farias, 2011) were collected from hard and soft bottoms. Hard bottom species were the gastropod Tegula patagonica (d’Orbigny, 1835), the mussels Brachidontes rodriguezii (d’Orbigny, 1842) and Mytilus edulis Linnaeus, 1758, which were collected by hand from the intertidal area of Waikiki beach, Mar del Plata (38°04’S / 57°32’W). The soft bottom species were the clam Mesodesma mactroides Reeve, 1854 which was collected manually at Punta Mogotes beach, Mar del Plata (38°03’S / 57°32’W) and the gastropod Buccinanops monilifer (Kiener, 1834) which was collected in shallow waters off that beach using a dredge (100 cm opening, 30 cm height and 1 cm mesh size) at 5 m depth.

Only crabs ≥ 50 mm in carapace width (adults) with undamaged chelae and in the late inter-moult stage were used in the experiments to avoid any interference of the life cycle or moult stages in the results. The commonest prey sizes found in the field varied between 25 to 30 mm in T. patagonica, 20 to 30 mm in B. rodriguezii, 35 to 45 mm in M. edulis platensis, 25 to 40 mm in B. monilifer and 35 to 45 mm of M. mactroides. Animals were kept in
500 l tanks with flow-through seawater. Prior to experiments, crabs were starved during 72 hours to standardize hunger levels.

**Experimental design and statistical analysis**

Experiments were carried out under 12L:12D light conditions in 100 l close system sea water aquaria and containing a 10 cm sand bottom to ensure that *M. mactroides* could reach its usual life depth (Cledón and Nuñez, 2010). To provide substrate to preys and refuge to crabs, rocks were placed at the center of each tank simulating the rocky outcrops found in the natural habitat. Water was continuously oxygenated through air injection pumps and replaced each 48 hours.

The experimental design and the statistical analysis were performed according to Underwood and Clarke (2005) based on the definition of preference exposed in the previous section. The experiments consisted in two stages as follows: in the first one, individual crabs were presented with a single prey species; in stage 2, individuals were offered all prey types simultaneously (Fig. 1).

**Stage 1: Consumption without choice**

In this stage we first determined if *P. crenulatus* is able to consume the offered prey species. Further, for the prey species they can consume, we registered the number of consumed individuals of each species when choice is not available. It consisted on five experiments (E1-E5), one per each prey species (Fig. 1). Each crab was offered three individuals of the same prey species and allowed to feed for 48 hours. Ten replicates of each experiment were done. Preys were introduced at first and when they were on their life position, crabs were placed in the tanks. Due to the small size of *B. rodriguezii*, it was placed in groups of a similar volume to one individual of the other prey species. Each group was considered as a unique individual in statistical analysis.

**Stage 2: Consumption with choice**

In the stage 2, crabs were allowed to choose among the prey species. It consisted on three experiments (E1-E3) where each crab was offered: three individuals (or groups) of each hard bottom species (E1), three individuals of each soft bottom species (E2) and three individuals of all prey items (E3), simultaneously (Fig. 1). Ten replicates of each experiment were done and after 48 hours the number of individuals consumed of each prey species when choice was possible was registered. All trails were carried out within a six-week period, with different individuals of *P. crenulatus* and different individuals of preys in order to avoid autocorrelation of data.

**Preference Test**

Some difference in the consumed proportions between stages 1 and 2 for at least one prey species would be indicating preference by *P. crenulatus*, since its feeding behavior would differ according to the possibility of choice or not. Thus, the consumed numbers of each prey species were compared with the numbers expected on the basis of no preference, using $\chi^2$ test. The expected numbers were obtained through Maximum Likelihood equations derived from Underwood and Clarke (2005; Section 2.5 and Appendix A.4) while the observed values were those obtained from experiments of stage 2. Once we proved preference, we could determine a rank of most preferred preys by simply ordering the specific $\chi^2$ values, the higher the $\chi^2$ the higher the preference for it.

**Predation marks**

At the end of each experiment, we recorded and photographed the marks left by the crab on the shell of consumed animals, in an attempt to determine if there are specific patterns on prey manipulation that might be related either to the different substrates or to specific shell features.
RESULTS
All the offered species were consumed by *Platyxanthus crenulatus* except *Tegula patagonica* (Table 1), whose shells actually did not show any sign of attack. In all experiments, there were differences among expected and observed values (all $p < 0.01$; Table 1) indicating that at each trial, preys were eaten through an active election based in preference instead of attacking preys randomly.

In “hard bottom experiments” (E1), the preferred prey species was *Brachidontes rodriguezii*. In “soft bottom experiments” (E2), the preferred prey species was *Mesodesma mactroides*. When crabs were offered all prey species simultaneously (E3) the most preferred was *B. rodriguezii* and followed by *M. mactroides* (Table 2).

Predation marks
Among the studied bivalves there were two types of shell remains. *Mytilus edulis* appeared almost intact but with the anterior part of the valve broken. In turn, valves of *M. mactroides* and *B. rodriguezii* appeared totally destroyed and in all cases the soft tissue completely consumed. In contrast, the shells of the gastropod *Buccinanops monilifer* were found with characteristic predation marks in the lip and soft tissues were not fully consumed (Fig. 2).

**DISCUSSION**
Our experiments first confirmed that the stone crab *Platyxanthus crenulatus* is able to consume the majority of the sympatric mollusk species, whether they inhabit hard or soft bottoms. Secondly, we have demonstrated the preference of *P. crenulatus* for the most abundant of all, the mussel *Brachidontes rodriguezii*, and probed that these crabs use particular handling techniques regarding the different species consumed.

**Table 1.** Consumed individuals of each prey species by ten *P. crenulatus* in 48 h. At stage I preys species were offered separately; at stage II (with hard bottom species) *T. patagonica, M. edulis platensis* and *B. rodriguezii* were offered together; at stage II (with soft bottom species) *M. mactroides* and *B. monilifer* were offered together and at stage II (both bottoms species) all prey items were offered at the same time. Observed values were obtained directly from experiments. Expected values of stages II were obtained from the fitting of stage I data. Three Chi square tests evaluate the difference between those values.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>Tegula patagonica</em></th>
<th><em>Mytilus edulis platensis</em></th>
<th><em>Brachidontes rodriguezii</em></th>
<th><em>Mesodesma mactroides</em></th>
<th><em>Buccinanops monilifer</em></th>
<th>Chi square</th>
<th>p-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage I</strong></td>
<td>Obs: 0</td>
<td>Exp: 10</td>
<td>Obs: 16</td>
<td>Exp: 10</td>
<td>Obs: 11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Stage II</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(hard bottom)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.75</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4.75</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(soft bottom)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.71</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>&lt; 0.01</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(both bottoms)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Table 2.** Differences between consumption of *P. crenulatus* at stage II (when choice among species of hard bottom, soft bottom or both was possible) and the expected consumption (Obs.-Exp.)/Exp. The last one was calculated from consumption when choice was not possible (stage I). a: Prey species preferred by *P. crenulatus* at the corresponding experiment.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th><em>Tegula patagonica</em></th>
<th><em>Brachidontes rodriguezii</em></th>
<th><em>Mytilus edulis platensis</em></th>
<th><em>Buccinanops monilifer</em></th>
<th><em>Mesodesma mactroides</em></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hard bottom</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>37.26a</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soft bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>18.75a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hard and soft</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>85.33a</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>bottom</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Although using different methodologies, previous works dealing with prey selection in other large stone crab species, had found similar results as ours. Morales and Antezana (1983) observed that Homalaspis plana (H. Milne Edwards, 1834) preferred the mussel Seminypthius algosus (Gould, 1850) over the snail Tegula atra (Lesson, 1830), although the energy content and the manipulation time were estimated the same for the two species. However, the probability of successfully breaking the shells was higher for S. algosus than for T. atra, which would explain the preference. In turn, Cancer novaezealandiae (Jacquinot, 1853) also selected the mussel Mytilus edulis over gastropod preys, which again is related with prey profitability (Energy content/manipulation time) (Creswell and McLay, 1990). Moreover, soft bottoms crabs, although generally classified as opportunistic and generalised predators (Gordon and Wear, 1999), have shown similar preferences. Callinectes sapidus (Rathbun, 1896), exhibited a strong relation between their preference among three bivalves species and the profitability of each one, choosing that of the thinnest shell (Ebersole and Kennedy, 1995). Carcinus maenas (Linnaeus, 1758) choose mussels over oysters; in that case the authors related this preference to differences in profitability and shell volume (Dare et al., 1983; Mascaró and Seed, 2001).

As in the above cited studies, in the present work purple stone crabs preferred bivalves with the thinnest shells over thick shelled bivalves and those over gastropods, and did not attack the snail Tegula patagonica, even when no other prey species were available. Moreover, the remains of attacked preys during our experiments showed clear differences in the way in which the preys are caught if comparing different substrates, thus affecting consumption rates. P. crenulatus inhabit caves and crevices of hard rocky bottoms (Boschi, 1964); therefore they encounter hard bottom preys much more frequently than soft bottom ones. However, these crabs did not prefer preys of any particular substrate (at E3 of stage 2 crabs prefer Mesodesma mactroides at second place instead of Mytilus edulis). Thus, the prey substrate is not a factor driving the P. crenulatus consumption of the prey species used here.

Lastly, predation affects directly community structure (Virstein, 1977; Menge et al., 1985). Due to B. rodriguezii is the dominant species at the study area (Penchasadheh, 2004) we could expect that the P. crenulatus preference has important indirect effects on community diversity and structure however this is not the case. We assume this because these mussels reach a great abundance of about 81.262 ind./m² (Penchasadheh, 1973) and the predation rate of P. crenulatus in laboratory condition was 6 to 8 ind/day. Thus, the preferred prey population would endure the predation pressure of this crab species.

Under the light of these findings, P. crenulatus selects its preys following the same pattern than other congeners stone crabs species which might be explained by foraging elections based on preys shell shape and thickness. In this context when similar sized preys are encountered, the crab attacks those with the softer shell, even when hard shelled preys are easily accessible. Further studies should be addressed to explore more in deep these prey features that drive P. crenulatus preference.
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