Designation of a new genus Michaelimenes (Decapoda: Caridea: Palaemonidae), with new host record and range extension of its type species, M. perlucidus (Bruce, 1969)
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Abstract

A new palaemonid shrimp genus, Michaelimenes n. gen., is established for three Indo-West Pacific species, Periclimenes latipollex Kemp, 1922, Periclimenes perlucidus Bruce, 1969 (type species) and Periclimenes platydactylus Li, 2008. The present new genus can be immediately distinguished from other related genera by the combination of the second pereiopod with the dorsal flange on the dactylus, the proximal excavation on the fixed finger and the smooth propodus, and the unarmed, non-subspatulate fingers of the first pereiopod. The type species, Michaelimenes perlucidus, is recorded from Japan for the first time on the basis of 34 specimens associated with the alcyonacean genus Chironephthya Studer, 1887. The intraspecific morphological variation and host specificity of M. perlucidus are commented upon. Periclimenes involens Bruce, 1996 is regarded as a junior synonym of M. perlucidus.
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INTRODUCTION

Shrimps of the palaemonid genus *Periclimenes* Costa, 1844 are chiefly associated with various taxa of marine invertebrates and distributed from the intertidal to deep sea waters worldwide except for the higher latitudinal regions. Within marine palaemonid shrimps, it is the most species-rich genus comprising over 150 species (De Grave and Fransen, 2011). However, Gan et al. (2015) and Horká et al. (2016) suggested that *Periclimenes* is a polyphyletic taxon on the basis of molecular evidence. High morphological diversity is also shown within the genus, and *Periclimenes* is divided into some species groups, for instance, *Periclimenes obscurus* Kemp, 1922, *Periclimenes diversipes* Kemp, 1922, and *Periclimenes iridescens* Lebour, 1949 (Bruce, 1989; De Grave and Anker, 2009; Eilbracht and Fransen, 2015). Furthermore, there are numerous *Periclimenes* species not included in any species group. Recent taxonomic studies dealing with *Periclimenes* have removed many species to other genera (e.g., Okuno and Bruce, 2010, and Ďuriš and Horká, 2017 for cnidarian associates; Marin and Chan, 2014, and Ďuriš and Horká, 2017 for echinoderm associates; Kou et al., 2016 for deep sea species), and several remaining species of *Periclimenes* should be taxonomically re-evaluated with regards to their generic position.

One of the species of *Periclimenes* not included in any species group, *Periclimenes perlucidus*, was originally described by Bruce (1969) on the basis of a single ovigerous female from the South China Sea. The original description is detailed but without any illustration. The fully illustrated detailed redescription of the species by the original author was based on numerous specimens from Madagascar, western Indian Ocean (Bruce, 1978a). During a survey of the Japanese caridean shrimp fauna, over 30 individuals referred to *P. perlucidus* associated with the octocorallian soft corals of *Chironephthya* spp. (Alcyonacea: Nidaliidae) were captured from warm temperate to subtropical regions of the country. Careful examination on the specimens from Japanese waters revealed that *P. perlucidus* should be elevated to full generic status on account of the unusual structure on the dactylus and fixed finger of the second pereiopod. Herein, I establish a new genus, *Michaelimenes* for *P. perlucidus*, and consider *P. latipollex* Kemp, 1922 and *P. platydactylus* Li, 2008 as congeneric. Furthermore, *Periclimenes involens* Bruce, 1996 is regarded a junior synonym of *M. perlucidus* in the present study.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The specimens examined herein were directly captured from the host colonies of alcyonaceans by diving. The illustrations were made with a drawing tube mounted on a LEICA MZ12 stereomicroscope. The postorbital carapace length is abbreviated as CL in the text. The specimens examined in this study are deposited in the Coastal Branch of Natural History Museum and Institute, Chiba (CMNH), and Naturalis Biodiversity Center (former Rijksmuseum van Natuurlijke Historie), Leiden (RMNH).

SYSTEMATICS

Family Palaemonidae Rafinesque, 1815

*Michaelimenes* n. gen.

*Type species.* *Periclimenes perlucidus* Bruce, 1969; by present designation.

*Etymology.* The present new genus is named in honor of the late Dr. Michael Türkay (1948–2015) for his great contributions to the systematics of various groups of decapod crustaceans from all over the world, combined with part of *Periclimenes*. Gender: masculine.

*Diagnosis.* Small sized palaemonid shrimp with subcylindrical, slender body form. Carapace smooth, glabrous, antennal and fixed hepatic spines present, orbit feebly developed, inferior orbital angle produced, without reflected inner ventral flange, pterygostomial margin rounded, feebly produced. Rostrum well developed, horizontal, ventral margin straight, dorsally and ventrally dentate, lateral carina obsolete. Ophthalmic somite without interocular beak. Fourth thoracic sternite without acute median process. Abdomen smooth, third tergite non-carinate or posteriorly produced, pleura of fourth and fifth segments posteroventrally produced, rounded. Telson slightly longer than sixth abdominal somite, gradually tapering distally, with two pairs of dorsolateral spines, posterior margin with three pairs of spines. Eyes with well developed globular cornea. Antennule normally...
developed. Antenna with basipecten armed distolaterally with a single acute tooth, without dorsal angular process, scaphocerite well developed. Epistome without horn-like process. Mandible without palp, molar and incisor processes normal. Maxillula with bilobed palp, upper and lower laciniae well developed. Maxilla with normal palp, distal endite bifid, proximal endite feebly produced, scaphognathite broad. Maxillipeds with slender exopodal flagella. First maxilliped with caridean lobe large, epipod triangular. Second maxilliped with epipod oval, without podobranch. Third maxilliped with coxal plate large, subquadrate; arthrobranch small. First pereiopod slender, with dactylus normal, not subsapulate, fingers with cutting edges unarmed. Second pereiopods smooth, glabrous, equal or unequal in length and shape. Major second pereiopod with carpus short, slightly widened distally; palm elongate, subcylindrical, entire; fixed finger with proximal excavation on the cutting surface, for the reception of proximal part of dactylar cutting edge when both fingers closed, dactylus short, dorsally with developed flange, cutting edge dentate proximally. Minor second pereiopod with carpus short or elongate; palm subcylindrical, entire; fixed finger with proximal excavation similar to major pereiopod, dorsomesial flange of dactylus distinct or obsolete. Ambulatory pereiopods slender, meri unarmed, propodi armed with ventral spines, but without transverse rows of tufts of dense setae, dactyli with distoventral tooth on corpus small or lacking. Male first pleopod with endopod distally rounded, mesially with slender or angular process. Male second pleopod with endopod with appendix interna longer than appendix masculina.

Species included. Periclimenes latipollex Kemp, 1922, Periclimenes perlucidus Bruce, 1969 (type species) and Periclimenes platydactylus Li, 2008.


Remarks. Michaelimenes is discriminated from other allied genera by the combination of having the fingers of the first pereiopod unarmed and non-subspatulate (Fig. 1A), the second pereiopod with a smooth palm, a proximal excavation on the cutting surface of the fixed finger (Fig. 1B, E) and a dorsal flange on the dactylus (Fig. 1C, D), the straight and horizontal rostrum dorsally and ventrally dentate (Fig. 3A), and the feebly developed orbit (Fig. 3A). It is noteworthy that the proximal excavation on the second pereiopodal fixed finger assumes the reception to the dactylar proximal cutting edge when the fingers closed (Fig. 1D), therefore, the dactylus and fixed finger slide against each other along both proximal cutting edges. In most of shrimps belonging to the genus Periclimenes and its related genera, the cutting edges coincide each other. Furthermore, the distal edge of the fixed finger fits in the oblique mesial surface ventrad to the dorsal flange of the dactylus and thus, the gape does not occur between the completely closed fingers. This unusual structure distinguishes Michaelimenes from the genus Periclimenes, as typified by its type species, P. amethysteus (Risso, 1826) (see Grippa and Udekem d’Acoz, 1996), and other congeneric species as generic rank except for P. latipollex and P. platydactylus.

Periclimenes latipollex was originally described by Kemp (1922) on the basis of three specimens from the Mergui Archipelago, eastern Indian Ocean. It has been additionally recorded from the depths of 73–440 m at Somalia, Kenya, Philippines, Indonesia, New Caledonia and Japan (Hayashi, 2005; Li and Bruce, 2006). Kemp (1922) mentioned that the positional relation between the dactylus and fixed finger of the second pereiopod in P. latipollex resembles that of the blades of scissors, and Bruce (1991) illustrated this structure. Since this diagnostic character links P. latipollex with M. perlucidus, this species should also be considered as a member of Michaelimenes.

Li (2008) originally described P. platydactylus on the basis of the single ovigerous female holotype from a depth of 108–112 m at the Marquesas Islands in French Polynesia. At that time, P. platydactylus was compared with the Periclimenes alcocki Kemp, 1922 species group (now considered as the separate genus under the name of Bathymenes Kou, Li and Bruce, 2016). The similarity between P. platydactylus and P. perlucidus was not alluded to the original description, although these two species share the flange on the dactylus and proximal excavation on the fixed finger of the second pereiopod. Herein, I regard the two species as congeneric, and place P. platydactylus into Michaelimenes.
A new palaemonid genus *Michaelimenes*

**Figure 1.** Diagnostic morphological features of *Michaelimenes* n. gen. based on the type species, *M. perlucidus* (Bruce, 1969), n. comb. Male, CL 1.6 mm (CMNH-ZC 02526) (A–D); ovigerous female, CL 2.3 mm (CMNH-ZC 02528) (E). A, right first pereiopod, distal part of carpus and chela, lateral; B, fingers of right major second pereiopod, lateral; C, same, mesial; D, same, weakly closed, mesial; E, fingers of left minor second pereiopod, lateral.

In general morphology, *P. latipollex, P. perlucidus* and *P. platydactylus* also share the straight and horizontal rostrum with both margins dentate, and the feebly developed orbit. The differences among these congeneric species are summarized in the following key.

**Key to the species of *Michaelimenes* n. gen.**

1. Rostrum styliform, more than 2 rostral dorsal teeth situated posterior to posterior orbital margin; distolateral tooth of scaphocerite reaching distal margin of lamella ........................... *M. latipollex* (Kemp, 1922) n. comb.
   –. Rostrum not styliform, 0–1 rostral dorsal tooth situated posterior to posterior orbital margin; distolateral tooth of scaphocerite falling clearly short of distal margin of lamella ................................................................. 2

2. Rostral lateral carina obsolete; second pereiopods distinctly unequal in length, dissimilar in shape, minor pereiopod with flange of dactylus feeble, carpus elongate; ambulatory dactyli stout, biunguiculate .............................. *M. perlucidus* (Bruce, 1969) n. comb.
   –. Rostral lateral carina proximally distinct; second pereiopods slightly unequal in length, similar in shape, minor pereiopod with flange of dactylus developed, carpus short; ambulatory dactyli slender, simple (except for left third pereiopod with minute accessory tooth) ............................... *M. platydactylus* (Li, 2008) n. comb.
Two species from the Indo-West Pacific, *Periclimenes carinidactylus* Bruce, 1969 and *Periclimenes compressus* Borradaile, 1915, one from the Eastern Pacific, *Periclimenes infraspinis* (Rathbun, 1902), and one from the north-western Atlantic, *Periclimenes tenellus* (Smith, 1882) also have a dorsal flange on the dactylus of the second pereiopod (Holthuis, 1951; Bruce, 1978b, 1980). From the literature, however, the presence of the excavation on the proximal part of cutting surface of the fixed finger and the occurrence of the sliding cutting edges of both fingers (see Fig. 1D) are not recognized in these species. Therefore, they should remain in *Periclimenes*, and the generic position of them should be determined in future works with the investigation on the exact specimens.

*Periclimenes forcipulatus* Bruce, 1991, known only from deep water of the Loyalty Islands, is similar to *Michaelimenes* in the structure of the fingers of the second pereiopod, but differs from the present new genus by having 3–4 acute denticles on the distal cutting edges of the tapered first pereiopodal fingers (Bruce, 1991) instead of the unarmed edges in *Michaelimenes* (Fig. 1A). The particular morphological structure in the first pereiopod is considered as one of the diagnostic characters for generic rank within *Periclimenes* and its related genera (cf. Li, 2009; Ďuriš and Horká, 2017), therefore, it is better that in time, a further new genus should be erected for *P. forcipulatus*.

Michaelimenes perlucidus (Bruce, 1969) n. comb. (Figs. 1–5)


*Periclimenes involens* Bruce, 1996: 234, figs. 13, 28h; Li, 2000: 198 (list), fig. 251; Bruce, 2003: 236 (list); Li and Bruce, 2006: 696, fig. 22; De Grave and Fransen, 2011: 363 (list) (new synonymy, see “Remarks”).

*Periclimenes* sp. B.– Kato and Okuno, 2001: 40, unnumbered fig. in color (see “Remarks”).
A new palaemonid genus Michaelimenes

**Material examined.** Japan. **Honshu.** CMNH-ZC 02526, 1 ♂ CL 1.6 mm, CMNH-ZC 02527, 1 ♂ CL 1.7 mm, CMNH-ZC 02528, 1 ovig. ♀ CL 2.3 mm, CMNH-ZC 02529, 5 ♂ ♂ CL 1.4–1.8 mm, 6 ♀ ♀ CL 1.2–1.8 mm, 5 ovig. ♀ ♀ CL 1.9–2.4 mm, 5 juveniles CL 0.8–1.1 mm, off Uki-shima Islet, Katsuyama, Kyonan, W coast of Boso Peninsula, 35°06.7’N 139°48.8’E, 25 m, 13 November 2016. CMNH-ZC 02501, 1 ♀ CL 1.9 mm, Ose-zaki, Numazu, Suruga Bay, 35°02.1’N 138°48.8’E, 20 m, 9 October 1996; RMNH. CRUS.D.57229, 1 ovig. ♀ CL 2.1 mm, same locality as CMNH-ZC 02501, 27 m, November 2001; RMNH. CRUS.D.57230, 1 ovig. ♀ CL 2.6 mm, same locality as CMNH-ZC 02501, 21 m, 20 July 2003. **Izu Islands.** CMNH-ZC 02517, 2 ovig. ♀ ♀ CL 1.9–2.0 mm, Akino-hama, Izu-ohshima Island, 34°47.2’N 139°24.5’E, 40 m, 12 January 2011; CMNH-ZC 02502, 1 ♂ CL 1.3 mm, Kyokucho-hama, Hachijo-jima Island, 33°09.3’N 139°46.7’E, 30 m, 2 August 1999; CMNH-ZC 02503, 1 ♀ CL 1.6 mm, same locality as CMNH-ZC 02502, 3 August 1999; CMNH-ZC 02514, 1 ovig. ♀ CL 2.0 mm CL, same locality as CMNH-ZC 02502, 25 m, 2 August 2011; CMNH-ZC 02513, 1 ♂ CL 1.5 mm, Nazumado, Hachijo-jima Island, 33°08.5’N 139°44.4’E,
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Figure 4. Michaelimenes perlucidus (Bruce, 1969), n. comb. Male, CL 1.6 mm (CMNH-ZC 02526) (A, C, E); male, CL 1.7 mm (CMNH-ZC 02527) (B); ovigerous female, CL 2.3 mm (CMNH-ZC 02528) (D, F). A, B, right major second pereiopod, lateral; C, left minor second pereiopod, lateral; D, same, chela, dorsal; E, left third pereiopod, propodus and dactylus, lateral (setae omitted); F, right third pereiopod, distal part of propodus and dactylus, lateral.

35 m, 4 August 2004. Ryukyu Islands. CMNH-ZC 01901, 1 ovig. ♀ CL 2.7 mm, Hoshu, Onna Village, Okinawa-jima Island, 26°30.2′N 127°50.7′E, 42 m, 5 May 2005.


Distinguishing features. Small-sized, typical palaemonid form with slender ambulatory pereiopods (Fig. 2).
Figure 5. *Michaelimenes perlucidus* (Bruce, 1969), n. comb. Ovigerous female, CL 2.3 mm (CMNH-ZC 02528). Fresh specimen, lateral.

Carapace (Fig. 3A), smooth, glabrous, without supraorbital spine; orbit feebly developed, inferior orbital angle produced, tip rounded; antennal spine marginal, reaching level of tip of inferior orbital angle; hepatic spine slightly ventrad to antennal spine; epigastric spine situated anterior fourth of median carina, separated from first rostral tooth by almost same gap as between first and second dorsal teeth. Rostrum (Fig. 3A) straight, horizontal, slightly overreaching level of distal margin of antennular distal segment (Fig. 2), 1.0–1.3 times as long as carapace; dorsal carina armed with 6–8 equidistant teeth, first tooth situated just above posterior orbital margin; ventral margin straight, carina obsolete, armed with 1–3 teeth on distal third.

Ophthalmic somite without interocular beak. Fourth thoracic sternite (Fig. 3B) with low, transverse central ridge with median small notch, lacking finger-like median process. Sixth abdominal segment (Fig. 2) stout, moderately short, 0.7–0.9 times as long as telson. Telson (Fig. 3C) slightly tapering distally, with two pairs of small dorsolateral spines situated on posterior half, posterior margin with three pairs of spines.

Antennular peduncle (Fig. 3D) with proximal segment armed ventromesially with a single acute tooth; lateral margin straight, terminating distally in acute tooth; stylocerite acute, reaching proximal two fifths of proximal segment. Antenna with scaphocerite (Fig. 3E) well developed, lateral margin terminating in acute tooth falling short of bluntly angulate distal margin of lamella. Epistome (Fig. 3F) with a pair of rounded, low, submedian eminences.

First pereiopod overreaching level of distal margin of scaphocerite by length of dactylus; cutting edges of both fingers unarmed, dactylus not subspatulate (Fig. 1A). Second pereiopods unequal in length, dissimilar in shape. Major second pereiopod (Fig. 4A, B) overreaching level of distal margin of scaphocerite by lengths of chela and part of carpus; carpus usually short (Fig. 4A) (rarely slightly elongate as in Fig. 4B), feebly widening distally, 0.2–0.4 (usually 0.3) times as long as chela; chela with palm stout, elongate, subcylindrical, surface smooth, 2.4–3.1 times as long as dactylus,
fingers short, slightly sinuous laterally; fixed finger with proximal excavation on the cutting surface (Fig. 1B) for reception of proximal part of dactylar cutting edge, proximal part of cutting edge armed with 3–4 teeth, anterior tooth largest, triangular (Fig. 1C, D); dactylus with distinct dorsomesial flange on distal two thirds, mesial surface ventrad to the flange oblique, cutting edge armed proximally with 2 triangular teeth (Fig. 1C). Minor pereiopod (Fig. 4C) overreaching level of distal margin of scaphocerite by length of chela; carpus moderately elongate, 0.2–0.4 times as long as chela; chela with palm slender, elongate, subcylindrical, surface smooth (Fig. 4D), 1.4–2.6 times as long as dactylus, fingers somewhat elongate, slightly sinuous laterally; fixed finger with proximal excavation similar to major pereiopod, cutting edge armed proximally with 2–4 teeth (rarely unarmed), anterior tooth largest, triangular (Fig. 1E); dactylus with feeble distomesial flange on distal two thirds (Fig. 4D), cutting edge armed proximally with 1–2 triangular teeth (rarely unarmed) (Fig. 1E).

Ambulatory pereiopods similar to each other. Propodi armed with 4 small spines ventrally, without rows of tuft of long setae (Fig. 4E). Dactyli (Fig. 4F) biunguiculate, terminal unguis demarcated, distinctly longer and stouter than preterminal unguis.

Endopod of male first pleopod oblong, distally rounded, mesially with slender process. Endopod of male second pleopod with appendices masculina and interna, the former falling short of tip of the latter.

Color in life. Body and appendages generally translucent; anterior to ventral margin of carapace and ventral margins of abdominal somites bright yellow; antennal basicerite yellow (Fig. 5).

Distribution. Type locality: Macclesfield Bank, South China Sea, 16°06.5’N 114°38.3’E–16°05.8’N 114°38.2’E, 78.7–80.5 m depth (Bruce, 1969). Also known from Madagascar, La Réunion, Papua New Guinea, Philippines and Japan (Bruce, 1978a, 1996; De Grave, 2000; Kato and Okuno, 2001; Li and Bruce, 2006; present study). Bathymetrically known from 20 to 110 m. Boso Peninsula of Japan is the northernmost record in its known range.


Remarks. Bruce (1969) originally described *P. perlucidus* on the basis of a single ovigerous female holotype collected from the South China Sea. Although no illustration was provided in the original description, subsequently, the original author re-described and fully illustrated *P. perlucidus* based on numerous specimens from Madagascar, western Indian Ocean (Bruce, 1978a). As a result of the comparison with these previous studies, the present specimens from Japan can be identified as *M. perlucidus* on account of the straight and horizontal rostrum armed dorsally with 6–8 teeth and ventrally with 2–3 teeth (Fig. 3A), the remarkably unequal second pereiopods in size and shape (Fig. 3A, C), the dactylus of the major second pereiopod with a distinct flange dorsomesially (Fig. 1C, D), and the biunguiculate dactyli of the ambulatory pereiopods (Fig. 4F). Although Bruce (1969) did not allude to the length of the dorsal flange of the major second pereiopodal dactylus, the holotype of *P. perlucidus* has it on the distal two thirds of the dactylar length as depicted in the present study (Fig. 1C, D) (C.H.J.M. Fransen, in litt.). Some minor morphological differences exist between the present specimens and previous reports as mentioned below. I consider these gaps to be intraspecific variation. All the Japanese specimens possess an epigastric spine, and have the hepatic spine situated at a lower level than the antennal spine (Fig. 3A) as in the holotype (Bruce, 1969). The specimens from Madagascar reported upon Bruce (1978a), however, show variation in the absence or presence of the epigastric spine, and have the hepatic spine situated at the same level as the antennal spine. The carpus of the major second pereiopod is short in most of the present specimens (Fig. 4A), but some of the examined specimens possess a relatively slender carpus being over one third of the length of the chela (Fig. 4B), the proportion of which corresponds to the original description. In the herein examined specimens, the palm of the second pereiopod is 2.4–3.1 times as long as the dactylus, instead of 4.0 times in the holotype and 2.3 times in the specimens from Madagascar (Bruce, 1969; 1978a).

Bruce (1996) described *P. involens* as a new species on the basis of 1 male and 3 female specimens from the depths of 92–97 m off Mindoro, Philippines. This species has also been recorded from La Réunion, western Indian Ocean by Li and Bruce (2006).
In the original description, Bruce (1996) compared *P. involens* with *Periclimenes vaubani* Bruce, 1990 and *Periclimenes richeri* Bruce, 1990, described from considerably deeper waters at 445–650 m in New Caledonian waters (Bruce, 1990). However, *P. involens* appears closest to *M. perlucidus* rather than *P. vaubani* and *P. richeri* on account of the form of the rostrum and the development of the dorsal flange of the dactylus and the proximal excavation of the fixed finger in the major second pereiopod. The present study reveals that the proportion of the major second pereiopod is intraspecifically variable within *M. perlucidus*, and that of *P. involens* is closely similar to the typical form of the present specimens of *M. perlucidus* (Fig. 4A). Therefore, *P. involens* is considered a synonym of *M. perlucidus*.

The host of the *P. perlucidus* holotype was indicated as “gorgonian” in the original description (Bruce, 1969). Later, Bruce (1978a; 1979) identified the host as *Verrucella sanguinolenta* (Gray) (Octocorallia: Alcyonacea: Ellisellidae, now called as *Phenilia sanguinolenta* Gray, 1859). In addition, Bruce (1978a) listed three species of soft corals included in the family Nephtheidae, viz., *Roxasia speciosa* (Kükenthal), *Morchellana gilva* (Henderson), and *Morchellana nova* Tixier Durivault. The valid names of the former two species are now applied as *Dendronephthya speciosa* Kükenthal, 1905 and *Dendronephthya gilva* Henderson, 1909 respectively, and *Morchellana nova* Kükenthal, 1905 is considered as an alternative host. Therefore, *Chironephthya* spp. associated with the present specimens examined represents a new host record. In Japanese waters, the relationship between *M. perlucidus* and *Dendronephthya* has not been observed, nevertheless colonies of *Dendronephthya* are abundant at the collection sites of the present shrimp specimens. A hypothesis for this host selection seems to be that the relationship appeared only in the warm temperate waters of the Western Pacific. More observations from other areas in the Indo-West Pacific are required to be more conclusive with regards to the host specificity of *M. perlucidus*.

The color pattern of *M. perlucidus* has only been previously recorded by De Grave (2000) as “transparent with a yellow hue”. The coloration of the present specimens agrees with this indication on account of the transparent body and appendages and yellow markings on the anterior and ventral margin of the carapace and ventral margins of the abdominal somites (Fig. 5). This color pattern is characteristic, and differs from other palaemonid shrimp species associated with alcyonaceans. In the popular guidebook on the decapod and stomatopod crustaceans from Hachijo-jima Island, Japan, Kato and Okuno (2001) reported a palaemonid shrimp associated with *Chironephthya sp. B* under the name of “*Periclimenes* sp. B”. Although a voucher specimen was not collected, the color pattern of the photographed individual corresponds to those of the specimens examined in this study, and part of these were captured from the same island. Therefore, *Periclimenes* sp. B sensu Kato and Okuno (2001) can be identified without hesitation as *M. perlucidus*.

Fransen (1994) reported two male and two ovigerous female specimens of *P. perlucidus* from the Seychelles, western Indian Ocean. However, the illustrated male and female have the symmetrical and slender second pereiopods as in the minor pereiopod of the Madagascar specimens reported upon by Bruce (1978a). The examined specimens from Japan contain the similar sized individuals to the Fransen’s (1994) specimens, and showed the markedly unequal second pereiopods and the distinct flange on the dactylus of the major pereiopod. Thus, I consider the specimens from the Seychelles being a species outside of *Michaelimenes*.
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