New endemic species of freshwater crayfish *Parastacus* Huxley, 1879 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Parastacidae) from the Atlantic forest in southern Brazil
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**ABSTRACT**

Two new species of *Parastacus* Huxley, 1879 are described from the Atlantic Forest in southern Brazil: *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. from a highland stream in the hydrographic basin Maquiné river, Maquiné, state of Rio Grande do Sul; and *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. from a first order stream in the hydrographic basin Araranguá River, Morro Grande, state of Santa Catarina. *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. is distinguishable from all other *Parastacus* species via its large and globose chelipeds, by the presence of tufts of long and simple setae in the cutting edge of cheliped fingers, which are more abundant proximally and by possessing a telson with an acute distal margin. *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. is diagnosed mainly by the distal portion of the carpus which possess tufts of long, simple setae, and by having an epistome whose anteromedian lobe is heptagonal and as long as it is wide. Finally, *P. pilicarpus* antennal scales lateral margin terminates in a very strong terminal spine and mandibles incisive lobe has nine teeth, with the first tooth from the anterior margin.
being the largest. The conservation status based on the sub-criterion B1 of IUCN Red List allowed us to classify these species as “ENDANGERED” and “DATA DEFICIENT”, respectively.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Brazil is known for its biodiversity and unique biomes such as the Atlantic Forest, which is considered one of the most biodiverse areas in the world (Mittermeier et al., 2004). The forest is distributed along the Brazilian coast, from the state of Piauí to the state of Rio Grande do Sul. Approximately 88.27% of its original extension has been lost and only 16,377,472 ha remain (Ribeiro et al., 2009). In its southernmost region, the rivers and lakes of the Atlantic Forest harbor great aquatic biodiversity, including decapod crustaceans such as freshwater anomuran crabs of the genus *Aegla* Leach, 1820, true crabs of the family *Trichodactylidae*, prawns of the families *Atyidae* and *Palaemonidae*, and freshwater crayfish including members of the genus *Parastacus* Huxley, 1879 (Melo, 2003).

The genus *Parastacus* is composed of eleven species that occur in the southern portion of South America: Argentina, Brazil, Chile and Uruguay (Buckup and Rossi, 1980; 1993; Ribeiro et al., 2016; 2017). The crayfishes of this genus have a disjoint distribution, with two species occurring on the plains of the southern Andean region in Chile: *Parastacus nicoleti* (Philippi, 1882) and *Parastacus pugnax* (Poeppig, 1835) (Philippi, 1882; Poeppig, 1835; Rudolph, 2010; Ribeiro and Araujo, 2017); and nine species distributed in Argentina, southern Brazil and Uruguay: *Parastacus brasiliensis* (von Martens, 1869), *Parastacus caeruleodactylus* Ribeiro & Araujo in Ribeiro et al. (2016), *Parastacus defossus* Faxon, 1898, *Parastacus flaviatilis* Ribeiro & Buckup in Ribeiro et al. (2016), *Parastacus laevigatus* Buckup & Rossi, 1980, *Parastacus pilimanus* (von Martens, 1869), *Parastacus saffordi* Faxon, 1898, *Parastacus tuerkayi* Ribeiro, Huber & Araujo in Ribeiro et al. (2017) and *Parastacus varicosus* Faxon, 1898 (Buckup and Rossi 1980; Ribeiro et al., 2016; 2017).

In Brazil, the species of *Parastacus* are recorded only in the states of Rio Grande do Sul (RS) and Santa Catarina (SC) (Buckup and Rossi, 1980). *Parastacus laevigatus* and *P. tuerkayi* are endemic to SC, while *P. brasiliensis*, *P. caeruleodactylus* and *P. flaviatilis* are endemic to RS (Buckup, 2003; Ribeiro et al., 2016; 2017).

The aim of this contribution is to describe two new species of *Parastacus* collected from first order streams in RS and SC, Brazil. The habitat characterization and the conservation status based on the IUCN Red List criteria are provided for both species.

**MATERIAL AND METHODS**

*Morphological analysis*

The type material was deposited in the Museu de Zoologia of the Universidade de São Paulo (MZUSP), São Paulo, Brazil, and in the Carcinological Collection of the Departamento de Zoologia, Instituto de Biociências, Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul (UFRGS), Porto Alegre, Brazil. The comparative material is deposited in UFRGS. The drawings were made with the aid of a stereomicroscope fitted with a *camara lucida*. All measurements were performed with vernier caliper with 0.1 mm accuracy and a millimetric ocular on a stereomicroscope. Shape and size of the S2 pleura were defined according to Ribeiro et al. (2016). Sex was identified based on the morphology of the gonopores according to Rudolph (1997). Morphological descriptions are based on Riek (1971), Buckup and Rossi (1980), Hobbs (1987), Morgan (1997), Holdich (2002) and Ribeiro et al. (2016; 2017). Branchial count follows Huxley (1879). The taxonomic classification follows Crandall and De Grave (2017).

*Comparative material examined*


**Conservation analysis**

The extinction risk of the new species was defined according to the B1 sub-criterion, sub-items “a” and “b” of the *International Union for Conservation of Nature* - IUCN (IUCN 2012). This sub-criterion takes into consideration the estimated Extent of Occurrence (EOO) which was calculated in Arcview 9.3 program (ESRI, 2009). The definition of the hydrographic basins follows the Otto Bacias shape method (level 4) (ANA, 2006).

**Abbreviations**

SLP = Thoracic Sternite Lateral Processes  
S1 = Pleonal Somite 1  
S2 = Pleonal Somite 2  
TL = Total Length  
CL = Carapace Length  
CW = Carapace Width  
CD = Carapace Depth  
CeL = Cephalon Length  
RL = Rostral Length  
RW = Rostral Width  
RCL = Rostral Carina Length  
CMW = Cornea Maximum Width  
OW = Orbital Width  
POCL = Post Orbital Carina Length  
FW = Frontal Width  
ASL = Antennal Scale Length  
ASW = Antennal Scale Width  
AreL = Areola Length  
AreW = Areola Width  
RPrT/LPrT = Right/Left Propodus Thickness  
RPrL/LPrL = Right/Left Propodus Length  
RPrW/LPrW = Right/Left Propodus Width  
RDL/LDL = Right/Left Dactylus Length  
RML/LML = Right/Left Merus Length  
PL = Pleon Length  
PW = Pleon Width  
TeL = Telson Length  
TeW = Telson Width

The definition of each measurement can be found in Ribeiro *et al.* (2016), with the exception of PL and PW following the definition of pleon by Schram (2013).

**Other abbreviations**

sni – sex not identified  
w/n – without number  
Gon – Female Gonopore Maximum Width  
F – Female  
M – Male

**Systematics**

*Infraorder Astacidea Latreille, 1802*

*Superfamily Parastacoidea Huxley, 1879*

**Genus Parastacus Huxley, 1879**

*Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov.  
(Figs. 1–3, 8)


**Etymology.** Named in honor of the Emeritus Professor Ludwig Buckup from Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. He was one of the pioneers of carcinological studies in Brazil, dedicating several years of his life to the study of decapod crustaceans, especially conducting research on the biology and taxonomy of freshwater crayfish.

**Diagnosis.** Narrow cephalon front with a short triangular rostrum. Rostral apex inverted U-shaped with an upward blunt spine. Suborbital carinae weakly prominent. Cervical groove weakly V-shaped. Areola narrow, 2.1x as long as wide. Telson with acute distal margin. Mandible with caudal molar process unicuspitate with one cephalodistal cusp, incisive lobe with ten teeth. S2 pleurae low and long with shallow groove parallel to margin. Chelipeds large and globose with the cutting edge of fingers covered by tufts of long and simple setae more abundant in the proximal portion.

**Description of the holotype.** Rostrum: triangular, longer than wide (RW 89% of RL), short (10% of CL), reaching proximal portion of the second article of the antennular peduncle (Fig. 1A–C). Dorsum straight, apex inverted U-shaped, ending in an upward blunt spine (Fig. 1B, C). Few plumose setae on lateral margins. Rostral carinae almost straight, prominent and narrow, extending back to carapace, surpassing rostral basis (Fig. 1B, C). Few plumose setae on lateral margins. Rostral carinae almost straight, prominent and narrow, extending back to carapace, surpassing rostral basis (Fig. 1B).

Cephalon: Carapace lacking spines or tubercles. CeL 63.41% of CL. Eyes small (CMW 65% of OW); suborbital angle 90° and unarmmed (Fig. 1C). Front narrow (FW 36% of CW). Postorbital carinae longer than rostral carinae (RCL 71% of POCL) and weakly prominent. Lateral cephalic edge with sparse setation (Fig. 1A–C).

Thorax: carapace laterally compressed, deep and wide (CD 42% of CL; CW 45% of CL). Cervical groove weakly V-shaped. Branchiocardiac grooves inconspicuous (Fig. 1A). Areola narrow, 2.1x as long as wide (31% of CL) (Fig. 1A).

Pleon: lacking spines or tubercles, short and wide (PL 65% of CL; PW 83.4% of CW), smooth, sparsely covered with small setae on pleural margins (Fig. 1A). Pleural somites with rounded posterior margins. S1 pleurae with a large distal lobe not overlapped by S2 pleurae. S2 pleurae low and long with shallow groove parallel to margin (Fig. 1D–F).

Talıfan: telson weakly calcified in the distal margin, subrectangular, longer than wide (TeW 90% of TeL), with small sharp spines on lateral margins; acute distal margin with abundant long plumose setae and short simple setae; dorsal surface with tufts of short setae and inconspicuous dorsomedian longitudinal groove (Fig. 1G). Uropod protopod bilobed, with rounded and unarmmed margins; proximal lobe largest (Fig. 1G); exopod lateral margin bears a small and sharp spine, mid-dorsal carina few prominent, ending in a sharp spine; transverse suture (diaeresis) straight, with four dorsolateral spines (outer) and four dorsolateral spines (inner) on right exopod and six dorsolateral spines (outer) and five dorsolateral spine (inner) on the left exopod; endopod, mid-dorsal carina few prominent, ending in a very sharp spine; lateral margin with one sharp spine at level of diaeresis (Fig. 1G).

Epistome: anterolateral section with a blunt conical projection. Posterolateral section smooth and with deep lateral grooves converging to the basis of the anteromedian lobe, and small median circular concavity. Anteromedian lobe pentagonal, as long as wide, apex acute and straight with serrate setae, reaching median part of antepenultimate article of antennal peduncle; dorsal surface straight, and basis with a shallow groove (Fig. 2A).

Thoracic sternites: SLP4 smallest and close to each other, median keel present and not inflated; SLP5 small and close to each other, median keel present and not inflated; SLP6 larger than SLP4 and SLP5 and with a slightly concave surface, median keel inflated; SLP7 largest and with surface slightly concave, median keel inflated, bullar lobes absent; SLP8 small and slightly concave, median keel absent, vertical arms of paired sternopleural bridges close to each other, bullar lobes separated and clearly visible (Fig. 2B, C).

Antennule: internal ventral border of basal article with one small sharp spine (Fig. 2A).

Antenna: when extended back reaching up to the posterior margin of the carapace. Antennal scale
widest distally at midlength, reaching midlength of third antennal article, ASW 42.7\% of ASL (Fig. 2A, D), lateral margin curved with strong spine and distal margin straight. Coxa with prominent carina above nephropore and a blunt spine laterally displaced. Basis unarmed (Fig. 2A).

Mandible: cephalic molar process molariform, caudal molar process unicuspidate with one cephalodistal cusp. Incisive lobe with ten teeth. The fourth tooth from the anterior margin is the largest (Fig. 2E).

Third maxilliped: ischium, ventral surface partially covered by tufts of long and simple setae (Fig. 2F); dorsal surface glabrous (Fig. 2G). Crista dentata bearing 27 and 30 teeth in right and left ischium respectively. Merus ventral surface partially covered by tufts of long and simples setae. Exopod longer than ischium,
Figure 2. *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. A, epistome (holotype); B, thoracic sternites and gonopores (holotype); C, thoracomere 8, caudal view (holotype); D, antennal scale lateral view (paratype 4); E, mandible (paratype 4); F, third maxilliped ventral view (paratype 4); G, third maxilliped dorsal view (paratype 4); H, first pereiopod lateral view (holotype); I, first pereiopod dorsal view (holotype); J, second pereiopod lateral view (holotype). Scale bars: A – 3.3 mm; B, H–J – 5 mm; C, D – 1.6 mm; F, G – 2.50 mm; E – 2.00 mm.
with flagellum reaching proximal margin of merus (Fig. 2F, G).

First pair of pereiopods (chelipeds): large and subequal, globose (RPrT 29.4% of RPrL; LPrT 29.3% of LPrL) (Figs. 1A; 2H, I). Ischium ventral surface with 17 tubercles. Merus: right merus (RML) 50.5% of propodus length (RPrL); left merus (LML) 53.2% of propodus length (LPrL); ventral surface with two longitudinal series of tubercles: inner series with 16 tubercles, outer 17 and mesial 26, arranged irregularly on right merus; inner series bearing 13 tubercles, outer 16 and mesial 21, arranged irregularly on left merus; dorsal and midventral spine absent. Carpus with dorsomedial surface divided longitudinally by shallow groove (Fig. 1A; 2I). Internal dorsolateral margin with row of tubercles, distally increasing in size; inner surface with up to nine small mesial tubercles; carpal spine absent (Fig. 2I). Propodus width (RPrW and LPrW) 49.3% of length in right cheliped and 49.5% in left cheliped; dorsal surface of palm with squamose tubercles irregularly distributed (Fig. 2H, I); inner margin without tubercles; ventral surface bearing two rows of squamose tubercles, reaching the beginning of the fixed finger (Fig. 2H). Dactylus: moving subvertically, right dactylus (RDL) 57.6% of propodus length (RPrL), left dactylus (LDL) 57.5% of left propodus (LPrL); dorsal surface without tubercles (Fig. 2I). Cutting edge of fingers covered by tufts of long and simple setae (Fig. 2J). Propodus length (RPrL and LPrL) 39.4% of cephalothorax length (CL).}

**Measurements.** Holotype male, CL 39.11 mm and TL 74.76 mm. In type series, CL ranging from 12.28 to 39.11 mm (22.42 ± 9.44 mm). FW/CW: 0.37 ± 0.02 (min: 0.35; max: 0.41). RL/RW: 1.2 ± 0.1 (min: 1.08; max: 1.33). MCW/OW: 0.72 ± 0.1 (min: 0.55; max: 0.82). Postorbital carina longer than rostral carina in all specimens. CW/PW: 1.17 ± 0.04 (min: 1.12; max: 1.25). AreW/RW: 1.08 ± 0.26 (min: 0.73; max: 1.46) (Tab. 1).

**Color of living specimens.** Rostrum dark brown. Antennal flagellum light brown. Cephalothorax anterior and lateral regions dark brown to reddish brown. Chelipeds brown with dark brown fingers. Pereiopod pairs 2–5 light brown to dark brown. Dorsal pleon and tailfan light brown to reddish brown (Fig. 3C).

**Remarks.** *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. is morphologically similar to *P. brasiliensis* in having a wide pleon, large chelipeds, rostral apex inverted U-shaped with an upward blunt spine and a pentagonal anteromedian lobe of epistome. *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. is also similar to *P. fluviatilis* and *P. pilimanus* in having the cutting edge of fingers covered by tufts of long and simple setae (Fig. 7). *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. differs from all other *Parastacus* species by the large and globose chelipeds with tufts of plumose setae in the cutting edge of fingers, which are more abundant in the proximal portion (Fig. 7A) and telson subrectangular with acute distal margin (Fig. 1A, G). It was observed that the distal margin of the telson is rounded in smaller specimens (Paratype 1–5; Tab. 1). All specimens are intersexed. In males paratypes, the female gonopores are semi-ellipsoidal (average maximum diameter 1.76 mm) covered by a calcified membrane. The male gonopores are similar in all type material.

**Habitat and Ecology.** Carvão stream is included in the Serra Geral Biological Reserve (Vilella et al., 2004), with headwaters located about 800 m above sea level (a.s.l.; Fig. 3A, B). This stream is nearly 3.5 km of length with a catchment area of approximately 6 km² and the margins are surrounded by riparian vegetation including Araucaria angustifolia (Bertol.) Kuntze, 1898 and grasslands (Araucaria Forest province) (IBGE, 1990; Vilella et al., 2004; Sydow et al., 2008; Morrone,
The substrate of the creek is basaltic with arenite in the lower portions (Vilella et al., 2004) (Fig. 3A, B). Data concerning burrow morphology and burrowing behavior are not available since they were not recorded when the specimens were collected. However, it is possible that the new species shows some level of burrowing activity especially considering that the burrowing behavior is probably found in all species of *Parastacus* (Buckup and Rossi, 1980).

**Distribution.** *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. is so far recorded in Carvão stream in the municipality of Maquiné, state of Rio Grande do Sul, southern Brazil (Fig. 8).

**Conservation status.** The EOO was estimated at approximately 301.885 km² (Fig. 8), indicating that this species can be included in the Endangered – EN category, in which the EOO is less than 5,000 km² (IUCN, 2012). The species is categorized as EN under the subitem “a” for an EOO, which is severely fragmented; and subitem “b” (iii): continuing decline in quality of habitat. Both subitems are compatible with the threats existing in the species occurrence area: a small fragment of a stream with approximately 6 km² of catchment area (Vilella *et al.*, 2004). The region of Maquiné basin is impacted mainly through the substitution of natural vegetation by agriculture (Becker *et al.*, 2004). Conservation in the Maquiné basin is of fundamental importance since the region constitutes the southern limit of dense Ombrophylous Forest (Atlantic Forest) and all associated animals and plants (Bencke and Kindel, 1999; Becker *et al.*, 2004). We suggest therefore that the conservation status of this species be classified as ENDANGERED B1ab(iii).

---

**Table 1.** Measurements (mm) of the type series of *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. For abbreviations see Material and Methods section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (MZUSP 36515)</th>
<th>Paratype 1 (UFRGS 3581)</th>
<th>Paratype 2 (UFRGS 3893)</th>
<th>Paratype 3 (UFRGS 3894)</th>
<th>Paratype 4 (UFRGS 3895)</th>
<th>Paratype 5 (UFRGS 3896)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>74.76</td>
<td>49.03</td>
<td>30.77</td>
<td>31.05</td>
<td>47.24</td>
<td>46.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>39.11</td>
<td>23.76</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>12.28</td>
<td>22.62</td>
<td>22.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>8.96</td>
<td>9.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>16.38</td>
<td>13.48</td>
<td>7.39</td>
<td>8.41</td>
<td>12.23</td>
<td>12.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSL</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>10.29</td>
<td>10.58</td>
<td>15.29</td>
<td>14.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td>2.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>1.84</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>2.34</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMW</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.79</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.23</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OW</td>
<td>2.17</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>1.43</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>6.34</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>2.69</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>3.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>4.16</td>
<td>2.65</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>3.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POCL</td>
<td>8.58</td>
<td>5.18</td>
<td>3.67</td>
<td>3.25</td>
<td>4.86</td>
<td>4.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASL</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>3.26</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.81</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>2.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASW</td>
<td>1.89</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aul</td>
<td>12.06</td>
<td>6.03</td>
<td>3.66</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>5.93</td>
<td>6.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AaW</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>2.31</td>
<td>1.36</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>14.68</td>
<td>8.43</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>5.76</td>
<td>7.69</td>
<td>8.23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PL</td>
<td>25.4</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>13.45</td>
<td>11.54</td>
<td>17.88</td>
<td>16.22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPrT</td>
<td>9.39</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>3.12</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>5.06</td>
<td>3.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPrL</td>
<td>31.92</td>
<td>18.18</td>
<td>10.01</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>16.94</td>
<td>13.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPrW</td>
<td>15.75</td>
<td>7.99</td>
<td>4.78</td>
<td>1.78</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>6.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RML</td>
<td>16.12</td>
<td>10.05</td>
<td>5.32</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>9.52</td>
<td>8.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDL</td>
<td>18.38</td>
<td>10.37</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>3.45</td>
<td>9.64</td>
<td>7.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPvT</td>
<td>9.34</td>
<td>6.08</td>
<td>2.93</td>
<td>3.43</td>
<td>4.69</td>
<td>5.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPvL</td>
<td>31.89</td>
<td>21.19</td>
<td>10.28</td>
<td>11.52</td>
<td>16.11</td>
<td>18.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPvW</td>
<td>15.77</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>5.39</td>
<td>8.22</td>
<td>8.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LML</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>11.17</td>
<td>5.74</td>
<td>6.89</td>
<td>9.83</td>
<td>9.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>18.34</td>
<td>11.71</td>
<td>6.04</td>
<td>6.09</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>9.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TeL</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>6.98</td>
<td>3.98</td>
<td>4.61</td>
<td>6.46</td>
<td>6.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TeW</td>
<td>9.73</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>5.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gon</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>1.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3. *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov, habitat and living specimens. A, B, Typical habitat, a first order stream at Maquiné, state of Rio Grande do Sul; C, living specimen, habitus, dorsal view. Photographs by Fábio S. Vilella. No available information of scale in photograph C.
**Parastacus pilicarpus** sp. nov.  
(Figs. 4–8)

**Type material.** Holotype: male, Brazil, Santa Catarina, Morro Grande, Bacia do Rio Araranguá (28°41’57.44"S 49°48’8.81"W), 20/VIII/2015, coll. C. Feltrin & F.H. Llanos (MZUSP 36516). Paratypes: 1 – Brazil, Santa Catarina: one female, same data as holotype (UFRGS 6303); 2A and 2B – two males, same data as holotype (UFRGS 6304); 3 – one female, same data as holotype (UFRGS 6305); 4 – one male, same data as holotype (UFRGS 6306); 5 – one juvenile, same data as holotype (UFRGS 6506).

**Etymology.** Latin: pilus = hair; carpus = an article of the cheliped.

**Diagnosis.** Wide front with a short triangular rostrum. Rostral apex inverted U-shaped and unarmored. Suborbital angle > 90°. Postorbital carinae weakly proeminent. Cervical groove weekly V-shaped. Areola wide, 2.5x as long as wide. Mandible with caudal process bicuspidate with one cephalodistal cusp and one small distoproximal cusp. Incisive lobe with nine teeth. The first tooth from the anterior margin is the largest. S2 pleurae low and short with shallow groove parallel to margin. Epistome with the anteromedian lobe heptagonal, as long as wide. Antennal scale lateral margin curved with a very strong terminal spine. Distal portion of the cheliped carpus with tufts of long simple setae. Chelipeds laterally flattened with the cutting edge of the fingers densely covered by setae.

Description of the holotype. Rostrum: triangular, wider than long (RL 64.86% of RW), short (8.87% of CL), reaching the proximal portion of the second article of the antennular peduncle (Fig. 4A–C). Dorsum apex inverted "U"-shaped, apex lacking spine (Fig. 4B, C). Few plumose setae on lateral margins. Rostral sides convergent and rostral basis parallel. Carinae almost straight, prominent and large, extending back to carapace, surpassing rostral basis (Fig. 4B, C).

Cephalon: Carapace lacking spines or tubercles. CeL 65.1% of CL. Eyes large (CMW 81.9% of OW); suborbital angle >90°, unarmored (Fig. 4A–C). Front wide (FW 49.2% of CW). Postorbital carinae longer than rostral carinae (RCL 57.5% of POCL) and weakly prominent. Lateral cephalic edge with sparse setation (Fig. 4A–C).

Thorax: carapace laterally compressed, deep and narrow (CD 51% of CL; CW 40% of CL). Cervical groove weekly V-shaped (Fig. 4A). Branchiocardiac grooves conspicuous (Fig. 4A). Areola wide, 2.5x as long as wide (34.8% of CL) (Fig. 4A).

Pleon: lacking spines or tubercles, short and wide (AL 72.3% of CL; AW 92% of CW), smooth, sparsely covered with small setae on pleural margins (Fig. 4A). Pleural somites with rounded posterior margins. S1 pleurae with a large distal lobe overlapped by S2 pleurae. S2 pleurae low and short with shallow groove parallel to margin (Fig. 4D).

Tailfan: telson weakly calcified in the distal portion, subrectangular, longer than wide (TeW 81.7% of TeL), with inconspicuous sharp spines on lateral margins; rounded distal margin with abundant long plumose setae and short simple setae. Dorsal surface with tufts of short setae and inconspicuous dorsondorsal longitudinal groove (Fig. 4G). Uropod protopod bilobed, with rounded and unarmored margins; proximal lobe largest; exopod lateral margin bears a small and sharp spine, mid-dorsal carina few prominent, ending in a sharp spine; transverse suture (diaeresis) straight, with three dorsolateral spines (outer) and two dorsolateral spines (inner) on right exopod and two dorsolateral spines (outer) and three dorsolateral spine (inner) on the left exopod; endopod, mid-dorsal carina few prominent, ending in a very sharp spine; lateral margin with one sharp spine at level of diaeresis (Fig. 4G).

Epistome: anterolateral section with a sharp conical projection. Posterolateral section smooth and with deep lateral grooves converging to the basis of the anterolateral lobe, and large median circular concavity. Anterolateral lobe heptagonal, as long as wide, apex acute and straight, reaching the median part of antepenultimate article of antennal peduncle; dorsal surface straight, and basis with a shallow groove (Fig. 5A).

Thoracic sternites: SLP4 smallest and close to each other, median keel present and not inflated; SLP5 small and close to each other, median keel present and not inflated; SLP6 larger than SLP4 and SLP5, separated to each other and with a slightly concave surface, median keel not inflated; SLP7 largest, close to each other and
Figure 4. *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. A, habitus dorsal view (holotype); B, cephalon dorsal view (holotype); C, cephalon lateral view (holotype); D, female pleon, dorsal view (paratype 1); E, male first to third pleonal pleura (holotype); F, female first to third pleonal pleura (paratype 1); G, telson and uropods dorsal view (holotype). Scale bars: A, D, F - 1 cm; E - 5 mm; C, G - 3.33 mm; B - 2.5 mm.
with surface slightly concave, median keel not inflated, bulbar lobes absent; SLP8 larger than SLP4, SLP5 and SLP6 and with a slightly concave, median keel absent, vertical arms of paired sternopleural bridges close to each other, bulbar lobes close to each other and clearly visible (Fig. 5B, C).

Antennule: internal ventral border of basal article with small sharp spine (Fig. 5A).

Antenna: when extended back reaching up to the posterior margin of the carapace; antennal scale widest distal at midlength, almost reaching the end of third antennal article, ASW 59% of ASL (Fig. 5A, D), lateral margin curved with a very strong terminal spine and distal margin emarginated. Coxa with prominent carina and blunt spine above nephropore. Basis unarmed (Fig. 5A).

Mandible: cephalic molar process molariform, caudal molar process bicuspidate with one cephalodistal cusp and one small distoproximal cusp. Incisive lobe with nine teeth. The first tooth from the anterior margin is the largest (Fig. 5E).

Third maxilliped: ischium, ventral surface covered by tufts of long and simples setae (Fig. 5F), dorsal surface glabrous (Fig. 5G), crista dentata bearing 23 and 24 teeth in right and left ischium respectively. Merus ventral surface follows the same pattern that of ischium. Exopod longer than ischium, with flagellum reaching proximal margin of merus (Fig. 5F, G).

First pair of pereiopods (chelipeds): laterally flattened and subequal (RPrT 30.1% of RPrL; LPrT 31.8% of LPrL) (Fig. 5A, H, I). Ischium ventral surface with 13 tubercles. Merus: right merus (RML) 50.2% of propodus length (RPrL); left merus (LML) 54.2% of propodus length (LPrL); ventral surface with two longitudinal series of tubercles: inner series with 13 tubercles, outer 13 and mesial 12, arranged irregularly on right merus; inner series bearing 13 tubercles, outer 13 and mesial 13, arranged irregularly on left merus; dorsal and midventral spines absent. Carpus with dorsomedial surface divided longitudinally by shallow groove and the distal margin covered with tufts of long and simple setae (Fig. 5A, H, I); internal dorsolateral margin without tubercles; inner surface without tubercles; carpal spine absent (Fig. 5I). Propodus width (RPrW and LPrW) 47.7% of length in right cheliped and 49.8% in left cheliped; dorsal surface of palm with squamose tubercles irregularly distributed (Fig. 5H, I); inner margin without tubercles; ventral surface bearing two rows of squamose tubercles, reaching the beginning of the fixed finger (Fig. 5H). Dactylus: moving subvertically, right dactylus (RDL) 51.9% of propodus length (RPrL), left dactylus (LDL) 58.2% of left propodus (LPrL); dorsal surface without tubercles (Fig. 5I). Cutting edge of fingers densely covered by tufts of long and simple setae. Fixed finger and dactylus bearing eight teeth, being the fourth teeth the largest. (Fig. 5H, I).

Second pair of pereiopods: ventral and dorsal surface of carpus, propodus and dactylus with sparse covering of simple and long setae (Fig. 5J).

Gonopores: Presence of both genital apertures on coxae of third and fifth pairs of pereiopods. Female gonopores semi-ellipsoidal (maximum diameter 1.69 mm) with a well-calcified membrane. Male gonopores rounded, opening onto apical end of a small, fixed, calcified and truncated phallic papilla, close to inner border of ventral surface of coxae of fifth pair of pereiopods. Male cuticle partition present (Fig. 5B).

Branchial count: 20 + epr + r. Branchial arrangement follows the same described by Huxley (1879) and Hobbs (1991) with the epipod of the first maxilliped with rudimentary podobranchial filaments.

Measurements. Holotype male, CL 21.63 mm and TL 44.78 mm. In type series, CL ranging from 12.90 to 33.54 mm (22.65 ± 7.76 mm). FW/CW: 0.46 ± 0.06 (min: 0.35; max: 0.56). RL/RW: 1.22 ± 0.1 (min: 0.76; max: 1.54). MCW/OW: 0.82 ± 0.1 (min: 0.69; max: 0.96). Postorbital carina longer than rostral carina in all specimens analyzed. CW/PW: 1:10 ± 0.07 (min: 01.08; max: 1.25). AreW/RW: 1.15 ± 0.25 (min: 1.00; max: 1.65) (Tab. 2).

Color of living specimens. Rostrum dark brown. Antennal flagellum light brown. Cephalothorax anterior and lateral regions dark reddish brown to light reddish brown. First pair of pereiopods dark reddish brown dorsally and reddish brown in ventral and lateral surfaces. Dactylus dark reddish brown in the proximal portion and reddish brown distally. Pereiopod pairs 2–5 light reddish brown to reddish brown. Dorsal pleon and tailfan reddish brown (Fig. 6C).
Figure 5. *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. A, epistome (holotype); B, thoracic sternites and gonopores (holotype); C, thoracomere 8, caudal view (holotype); D, antennal scale lateral view (paratype 1); E, mandible (paratype 1); F, third maxilliped ventral view (paratype 1); G, third maxilliped dorsal view (paratype 1); H, first pereiopod lateral view (holotype); I, first pereiopod dorsal view (holotype); J, second pereiopod lateral view (holotype). Scale bars: A, E – 2.50 mm; B, C, H, J – 5 mm; D – 2.00 mm; F, G, I – 3.33 mm.
Remarks. *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. is morphologically similar to *P. buckupi* sp. nov. in having the rostral apex inverted U-shaped and short rostral carinae. *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. is also similar to *P. buckupi* sp. nov., *P. fluviatilis* and *P. pilimanus* by the presence of tufts of long and simple setae on the cutting edge of fingers of chelipeds (Fig. 7). *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. differs from all other *Parastacus* spp. in having the epistome anteromedian lobe heptagonal, as long as wide (Fig 5A), antennal scale lateral margin curved with a very strong terminal spine (Fig. 5D), mandible incisive lobe with the first tooth from the anterior margin being the largest and the presence of tufts of long and simple setae in the distal portion of carpus (Fig. 5I). All specimens are intersexed. In males paratypes the female gonopores are semi-ellipsoidal (average maximum diameter 1.69 mm) covered by a calcified membrane (Fig. 5B). The male gonopores are similar in all type material.

Habitat and Ecology. All animals were collected in the headwaters of Manoel Alves stream which is considered a first order stream. Based on photographs (Fig. 6A, B), it is possible to infer that the margins are surrounded by riparian vegetation, gravel and rocks probably from basaltic origin. Despite the absence of information on burrowing behavior and burrow morphology, the possibility of the species shows some burrowing ability, cannot be discarded since according to Buckup and Rossi (1980) burrowing behavior is probably found in all species of *Parastacus*.

Table 2. Measurements (mm) of the type series of *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. (* broken appendage). For abbreviations see Material and Methods section.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holotype (MZUSP 36516)</th>
<th>Paratype 1 (UFRGS 6303)</th>
<th>Paratype 2A (UFRGS 6304)</th>
<th>Paratype 2B (UFRGS 6304)</th>
<th>Paratype 3 (UFRGS 6305)</th>
<th>Paratype 4 (UFRGS 6306)</th>
<th>Paratype 5 (UFRGS 6306)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>44.78</td>
<td>64.62</td>
<td>38.57</td>
<td>43.53</td>
<td>65.17</td>
<td>32.61</td>
<td>25.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>21.63</td>
<td>33.54</td>
<td>18.87</td>
<td>21.87</td>
<td>32.70</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>12.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>13.97</td>
<td>7.40</td>
<td>9.17</td>
<td>12.95</td>
<td>6.18</td>
<td>4.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD</td>
<td>11.04</td>
<td>17.05</td>
<td>9.55</td>
<td>10.98</td>
<td>16.37</td>
<td>8.53</td>
<td>6.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CSL</td>
<td>14.08</td>
<td>22.37</td>
<td>12.36</td>
<td>14.17</td>
<td>22.16</td>
<td>11.03</td>
<td>8.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>1.87</td>
<td>2.19</td>
<td>3.65</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>1.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RW</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMW</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.62</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OW</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>2.16</td>
<td>1.39</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>1.96</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>4.93</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>6.01</td>
<td>3.37</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td>3.49</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>2.84</td>
<td>2.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POCL</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>4.14</td>
<td>3.39</td>
<td>8.09</td>
<td>4.58</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASL</td>
<td>2.61</td>
<td>4.12</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.86</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>2.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASW</td>
<td>1.54</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td>1.26</td>
<td>1.92</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AaL</td>
<td>7.54</td>
<td>9.00</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>7.72</td>
<td>10.81</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>3.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AaW</td>
<td>3.01</td>
<td>4.96</td>
<td>2.23</td>
<td>3.93</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>2.06</td>
<td>1.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PW</td>
<td>7.97</td>
<td>11.15</td>
<td>17.91</td>
<td>8.19</td>
<td>12.14</td>
<td>5.92</td>
<td>4.45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPrT</td>
<td>5.40</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.76</td>
<td>3.78</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.44</td>
<td>1.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPrL</td>
<td>17.95</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>12.73</td>
<td>14.32</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>12.53</td>
<td>6.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RPPrW</td>
<td>8.57</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>6.21</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>5.73</td>
<td>2.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RML</td>
<td>9.02</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7.96</td>
<td>8.34</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7.34</td>
<td>470</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RDL</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7.51</td>
<td>8.50</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>6.78</td>
<td>3.92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBrT</td>
<td>5.35</td>
<td>9.43</td>
<td>3.69</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>3.58</td>
<td>1.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LBrL</td>
<td>16.81</td>
<td>33.12</td>
<td>13.02</td>
<td>17.03</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>12.93</td>
<td>7.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LPrW</td>
<td>8.38</td>
<td>13.89</td>
<td>6.24</td>
<td>8.76</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>6.31</td>
<td>3.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LML</td>
<td>9.11</td>
<td>16.60</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>9.32</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7.20</td>
<td>5.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LDL</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>18.62</td>
<td>7.26</td>
<td>8.37</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>7.12</td>
<td>4.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TeL</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>9.21</td>
<td>5.56</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>9.75</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>3.86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TeW</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>8.04</td>
<td>4.64</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>8.02</td>
<td>4.08</td>
<td>3.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gon</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>0.92</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.64</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.47</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 6. Parastacus pilicarpus sp. nov., habitat and living specimens. A, B, Typical habitat, a first order stream in the municipality of Morro Grande, state of Santa Catarina; C, living specimen. Photographs by Caio R. M. Feltrin. No available information of scale in photograph C.
**Figure 7.** Comparative board of the chelipeds of selected species of genus *Parastacus* Huxley, 1879 with pilous cutting edge of fingers. A – *P. buckupi* sp. nov. (holotype); B – *P. pilicarpus* sp. nov. (holotype); C – *P. fluviatilis* Ribeiro & Buckup in Ribeiro et al. (2016) (UFRGS 2704); D – *P. pilimanus* (von Martens, 1869) (UFRGS 2413, CL 38.74). Scale bars: 1 cm.

**Figure 8.** Distribution of *Parastacus buckupi* sp. nov. (star) and *P. pilicarpus* sp. nov. (triangle) in the states of Rio Grande do Sul and Santa Catarina, southern Brazil.
Distribution. *Parastacus pilicarpus* sp. nov. is so far recorded in the headwater of Manoel Alves stream in the municipality of Morro Grande, state of Santa Catarina, southern Brazil (Fig. 8).

**Conservation status.** The EOO was estimated at approximately 1,037.123 km² (Fig. 8), indicating that this species should be included in the Endangered – EN category, in which the EOO is less than 5,000 km² (IUCN, 2012). This classification as EN takes into consideration the subitem “a”: for an EOO, which is severely fragmented; and subitem “b” (iii): continuing decline in quality of habitat. The region of Araranguá River basin is target of industrial and agricultural waste (Araújo et al., 1989). The Araranguá River basin is recognized as an endemic area of fish species (Malabarba and Isaia, 1992; Reis and Schaefer, 1998), as *Hollandichthys taramandahy* Bertaco & Malabarba, 2013 (Lambari-striped). Unfortunately, the area shows high level of anthropogenic disturbances, as the deforestation of riparian forest (Bertaco and Malabarba, 2013). However, we do not have enough surveys to make that claim yet for the state of Santa Catarina. Consequently, the EOO for *P. pilicarpus* sp. nov. could be underestimated in our study. Taking this into account, we decided to classify this species as DATA DEFICIENT.
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