Aegla chilota, new species of anomuran freshwater crab from Chiloé Island, western Patagonia
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ABSTRACT
We describe a new species of freshwater crab, Aegla chilota, from two small streams at Yaldad Bay, in the southern end of Chiloé Island, western Patagonia. The new taxon is distinguished from the remaining Chilean species of Aegla Leach, 1820 by the following morphological diagnostic features: rostrum neatly triangular, short, scarcely surpassing eyes; orbital sinus wide, shallow, limited by tiny extra-orbital spine and wide extra-orbital sinus; carpal lobe prominent, triangular, tipped by at least two coalescent acute conical scales; second abdominal epimeron little produced, armed with acute scale; telson plate roughly pentagonal, with functional median suture. Molecular phylogenetic analyses also support the separation and diagnosis of A. chilota from its sister taxon, A. hueicollensis Jara and Palacios, 1999. The type locality for A. chilota n. sp. is a small river that drains a small hilly
portion of the south-eastern coast of Chiloé Island; the other river in which the species was found is isolated from the type locality by the Yaldad Bay into which both rivers drain.
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**Introduction**

The genus *Aegla* Leach, 1820 (Crustacea: Decapoda: Anomura) currently includes 85 species and subspecies (Moraes *et al*., 2016; Santos *et al*., 2017; WoRMS, 2018). It is distributed along and across the southern cone of South America, comprising Brazil, Bolivia, Paraguay, Uruguay, Argentina, and Chile. In spite of that wide geographical range and the diversity of environmental conditions encompassed by it, the morphology of aeglid crabs is surprisingly uniform, resulting in a rather restricted suite of morphological characters useful for species identification. Phenetic similarity among nominal species of freshwater crabs of *Aegla* is not always positively correlated with genetic relatedness. Cryptic species are more common than intuitively accepted by taxonomists. However, geographical exploration coupled with molecular assays provides a basis for recognizing as new species populations in certain areas which otherwise are almost indistinguishable from species previously known. In fact, the combination of molecular tools and morphological evidence has already led to the separation of *Aegla occidentalis* Jara, Pérez-Losada and Crandall, 2003 in Chile from *A. araucaniensis* Jara, 1980, to which it closely resembles (Jara *et al*., 2003).

A survey conducted in March 2006 in the Incopulli River (Yaldad Bay, near the southern end of Chiloé Island) to collect *Aegla alacalufi* Jara and López, 1981, led to the collection of a population of crabs which lacked the most evident diagnostic character of *A. alacalufi*, i.e., telson plate longitudinally undivided. This population was then preliminary assigned to *A. araucaniensis* due to its morphological similarity. However, subsequent molecular analysis carried out by Xu *et al*. (2009) showed instead that the Incopulli River aeglids were genetically different from *A. araucaniensis*. In this article, we describe the crabs found in Incopulli River, Yaldad Bay, as a new nominal species and discuss its evolutionary relationships and conservation status.

**Methods**

*Population sampling.* Specimens of *Aegla chilota* n. sp. were collected by towing a sack-like net 0.8 m wide, 0.5 m high at mouth, and 1 m long, 500 µm mesh size, counter current over gravel and boulders on river bottom. Specimens were preserved in 96% commercial ethanol.

*Deposition of type specimens.* Holotype, allotype and paratypes were deposited at the National Museum of Natural History (Santiago, Chile) under catalog numbers with prefix MNHNCL DEC.

*Morphometrics.* Morphometric descriptors were taken from Jara (1982) and Bond-Buckup and Buckup (1994). Measurements were made with a digital caliper to the nearest decimal fraction of mm. Differences in morphometric features were assessed using the Student’s t-test for independent samples.

*Molecular analysis.* Sequences available in GenBank for the mtDNA genes cytochrome oxidase (CO) I (up to 1292 bp), COII (568 bp) and 16S rRNA (466 bp) from 15 specimens of *A. chilota* (*Aegla* sp. JX-2009) were combined with sequences from 47 representatives of all of the 19 *Aegla* species occurring in Chile (see Fig. 1). Nucleotide sequences from each gene region were aligned using MAFFT v6 (Katoh, 2008) under the global (G-INS-i) algorithm and default settings. No ambiguous regions were observed in the alignment. All gene regions were analyzed as separate partitions under the best-fit model of evolution selected by JModelTest v1.0.1 (Posada, 2009). The general time reversible model of evolution, with proportion of invariable sites and gamma distribution was selected for each data partition (GTR+Γ+I). Maximum likelihood analysis of
Figure 1. Maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree of *Aegla* from Chile. Branch lengths are shown proportional to the amount of change along the branches. Bootstrap proportions (if ≥ 70%) are indicated for main internal nodes.
the concatenated partitions was performed in RAxML
v7.2.0 (Stamatakis et al., 2008) using 1,000 searches
and 100 runs. Clade support was assessed using the
non-parametric bootstrap procedure (Felsenstein,
1985) with 5,000 bootstrap pseudoreplicates run in
the portal CIPRES Science Gateway portal (Miller
et al., 2010).

**Systematics**

**Family Aeglidae Dana, 1852**

**Genus Aegla Leach, 1820**

*Aegla chilota*, new species

![Figure 2 (a–g)](image)

*Description* (based on male holotype). Body
almond-shaped. Margins of carapace smooth. Rostrum
short (CL/RL ratio 6.8), rather flat, neatly triangular,
scarcely surpassing eyestalks corneae. Rostral margins
with row of tiny acute scales extended between orbital
sinus and rostral tip; scales increasing in size toward
rostral tip. Rostral carina low, almost merged with
rostral body, with diffuse row of scales along its
longitudinal axis; close to rear end between epigastric
lobes, profile becomes concave. Tip of rostrum with
blunt apical scale surrounded by “crown” of smaller
scales and very short setae. Both sides of rostral carina
with flat, slightly concave area limited by margin of
rostrum and epigastric eminence. Epigastric eminences
as arquate, low, blunt crest extended between most
prominent portion, just behind deepest part of orbit,
and fronto-lateral part of gastric area where it fades out.
Protagastric lobes inconspicuous. Anterolateral lobe of
carapace wide, with prominent acute anterolateral spine
separated from orbital sinus by shallow extraorbital
notch. Limit between orbital and extraorbital sinus
marked by small acute scale mounted on edge of orbital
ridge; below it another small scale on margin of orbital
wall. Orbital sinus amply wide, not particularly deep.
Gastric area wide, markedly convex, somewhat bulbous
just behind protogastric lobes; lateral margins clearly
delimited from hepatic areas by irregular semicircular
crease. Hepatic lobes well defined, blunt margins
with row of small conical scales. First hepatic lobe
separated from anterolateral lobe of carapace by wide
depth indentation.

Branchial areas rather narrow compared with wide
expanded cardiac area. Cervical groove moderately
incised at limit between gastric and cardiac areas,
and between third hepatic lobe and interior branchial
area. “Bar” lineæ, at junction of interior, anterior and
posterior branchial areas and cardiac area, diverging
from carapace midline in 45°. Cardiac area almost
square, little longer than wide, with broad areola and
large button-like swellings both sides anterior end of
it. Cardiac area as wide as posterior branchial area.
Margin of branchial areas smooth, without teeth or
spines, only with irregular row of small acute conical
scales atop tiny tubercles more marked along anterior
branchial margin. Margin of posterior branchial area
slightly recurved, as continuation of thick carapace rear
dend margin. Anterior branchial lobe pyramidal, little
Figure 2. *Aegla chilota* n. sp., male holotype, MNHNCL DEC-15104. a, Dorsal view of carapace; b, lateral view of pre-cervical carapace; c, ventral view of proximal segments of left cheliped showing absence of tubercle on ventral face of carpus; d, enlarged dorsal view of carpus inner margin of left cheliped showing apical ornamentation of carpal lobe; e, lateral view of second abdominal epimeron; f, sternum of third and fourth thoracic segments; g, telson plate. Scale bar = 5 mm.
Figure 3. Map of Yalda Bay showing the type locality of *Aegla chilota* n. sp. in the Incopulli River (1) and also the Yeco stream (2), from which additional specimens were obtained.
protuberant; tip does not surpass the level of branchial margin behind it, bearing a conical scale little larger than those along branchial margins.

Abdomen normal, with dorso-latero-external angle of second epimeron produced as conical acute tubercule tipped by conical scale; behind it a blunt lateral ridge that fades out on epimeron rear end; tip of latero-external angle does not surpass level of posterior branchial margin. Ventro-lateral angle of second epimeron (seen from ventral side) blunt, with irregular line of stiff, long setae extending along ventral border to the tip of dorso-latero-external angle; ventral inner margin of same epimeron with dense fringe of long plumose setae. Lateral angle of third and fourth epimera tipped with minute conical scale. Same angle on fifth and sixth epimera blunt, unarmed. Telson plate sub-pentagonal, little wider than long, with functional median suture.

Chelipeds large, moderately massive at propodus of chelae; left larger than right. Coxae without ornamentation. Basi-ischium with blunt tubercle on dorso-external edge; ventro-external border unornamented; ventro-internal margin with three, low crater-like tubercules, each bearing a bunch of stiff long setae; one of them at proximal end; one at the limit between the proximal and the middle third; and, one at the distal end which is the largest; that one on left ischium with minute apical scale. Ventro-internal margin of merus with row of equidistant pits from which bunches of 3 to 5 long, stiff, setae arise. Small acute scale on rear part of two of the pits (right cheliped). Distal end of ventrolateral margin with large conical, acute tubercule. Ventro-external margin smooth; distal end with two small conical tubercules tipped with one small sub-acute scale. Sub-articular tubercle on distal end of same margin with 2 (left), 3 (right cheliped) small scales in a dorso-ventral row. Dorsal margin of ischium rather sharp edged, with row of low tubercules tipped by small conical scales increasing in size from proximal to distal; distal largest. Dorso-distal swelling above ischio-carpal joint little developed, its free border with 7 (left) and 9 (right) minute scales in a row. Carpus not particularly ornamented. Dorso-internal border with 3 large conical spines, tipped with acute scale, in a row increasing in size from proximal to distal. Carpal lobe large but not particularly prominent, summit frontally displaced so that it is aligned with distal border of carpus, close to dorsal carpus-propodus articular knob. Apex of carpal lobe with 3 (left) and 2 (right) large conical scales, flanked by scales of lesser size and minute stiff setae. Frontal margin of carpus with fringe of small, equidistant, conical scales. Dorsum with two tuberculate ridges; larger one arquate, parallel to base of spines of dorso-internal margin, thick, prominent, formed by 7 (left) and 6 (right) knob-like tubercles bearing irregular oblique row of two to six minute rod-like scales; lesser one formed by 3 or 4 low flat tubercules bearing 2 or 3 minute scales and stiff setae, situated on external half of dorsum, proximal to merus-carpus joint. Ventral face of left carpus smooth, only with some scabrosities and long, stiff, simple setae; right carpus with a broad-based conical tubercle tipped by acute, short, scale surrounded by long simple setae. Left propodus larger than right, their surface rough due to minute acute scales pointing frontally. Palmar crest moderately expanded; margin of left one with 3 serrated teeth, margin of right with 4 teeth, tipped by acute scale. Disto-dorsal margin above dactylar joint with row of small scales; upper angle with a scale larger than those in adjacent row. Ventral face with well-defined sub-marginal ridge behind fixed finger; row of pits from which bundles of long simple setae arise; each pit adjacent to small tubercle tipped by scale. Cutting edge of left propodus with large angular tooth at about midpoint. Dactylus regularly curved, dorsal margin near propodus joint with low pyramidal lobe tipped by one or two large conical scales. Distal half of ventral face of dactylus markedly excavate, forming with opposite and equally excavate propodal finger a deep concavity. Distal tip of dactylus at closing border of chela with large canine-like corneous teeth. Cutting edge of left dactylus with moderately thick molar process at proximal end. Pereopods with fringe of plumose setae along dorsal margin of merus; opposite margin with row of acute scales intermingled with short stiff setae; scales on margin's distal end larger and regularly spaced forming a palisade. Margins of remaining articles with band of short, stiff setae mixed with scattered minute scales. Disto-dorsal angle of carpus of second and third pair of pereopods produced as conical tubercule tipped by acute scale. Dactylus as long as propodus, tip with curved acute, long, conical scale; postero-ventral margin, adjacent to tip, with longitudinal row of 6 or 7 acute rod-like scales increasing in size from proximal to
distal end. Thoracic sterna flat, their surface punctuated by shallow pits bearing 2 or 3 short simple setae.

**Allotype.** It differs from holotype by having slightly longer rostrum (CL/RL ratio 5.6), with acute apical scale; sub-apical “crown” of short stiff setae and minute scales absent (probably worn out). Gastric area apparently more elevated, summit almost flat, deeply punctate. Antero-lateral angle of second abdominal epimeron less protruding; same angle of third and fourth epimera blunt, without apical scale. Chelipeds slender; homochelic. Tubercles on dorsal border of merus very low, blunt. Inner margin of carpus with only two large spines; ventral face with low, blunt, tubercle; carpal lobe with three apical acute scales of similar size in a row.

**Paratypes.** No morphological variations with respect to the holotype were observed, except for the absence, in both chelipeds of P3F, of the broad-based conical acute tubercle present on the ventral face of the carpus of the right cheliped of the holotype. Both male paratypes had injuries of unknown origin that left notorious scars. Male P1M has a deep scratch on the upper part of the gastric area, oblique to the longitudinal median line, about 3.8 mm long; furthermore, the right third maxilliped is completely lacking except its coxa, and from the left maxilliped only remains the exopod. Male P2M lacks the right uropod completely, and the right half of the telson, including the anus orifice; the healing process produced the deformation of the remaining telson plate and the obliteration of its median suture; the anus orifice opens without protection from proctal plate and sphincter, which were destroyed.

**Additional material.** Diagnostic characters are well expressed in all six specimens except the absence of spine, and the coalescent acute scales on its tip, on the carpal lobe of the right cheliped of M1. Variation is also observed in relation with the broad-based conical acute tubercle that is present on the ventral face of the carpus of the right cheliped of the holotype. Among the additional specimens, that particular conical tubercle is present on the right carpus of M1 and M4, on both carpuses of M2, M3, and M5 but absent from both carpuses of F1.

**Morphological variation.** Examination of the whole sample of A. chilota n. sp. collected at Ñipulli stream (53 specimens) led to find one male (9 mm CL) with partially, and one female (15.8 mm CL) with completely obliterated telson median suture.

**Morphometry.** Table 1 summarizes the morphometric data related to the type series specimens. Table 2 summarizes the same measurements for the additionally examined material. No significant morphometric differences (Student’s t-test for independent samples) were found when comparing the mean value of CL/RL, PCW/FW, and AL/AW ratios of both populations. A. chilota n. sp. has short rostrum (CL/RL mean value for type series 5.67 ± 0.53, n = 6), narrow front (PCW/FW 1.92 ± 0.14), and square areola (AL/AW 1.27 ± 0.05) (see Bond-Buckup and Buckup, 1994).

**Biology.** The ovigerous female (P4F) had 104 eggs attached to its pleopods, all of them in moderate state of development, equivalent to stage 7, early zoea, described by Lizardo-Daudt and Bond-Buckup (2003) in A. platensis Schmitt, 1942.

**Etymology.** Specific epithet, i.e., *chilota*, from Latin (Spanish), inhabitant of (endemic to) Chiloé Island.

**Comparison.** Aegla chilota n. sp., at first glance resembles A. alacalufi in having short, neatly triangular, rather flat rostrum, flanked by wide orbital sinus; little pronounced rostral carina; wide, protuberant, gastric area with thick, nodulose, epigastric prominences, and scarcely marked protogastric lobes; epibranchial tooth little protuberant; branchial margins smooth; carpal lobe pyramidal, its apex close to the distal end of carpus; and, palmar crest rectangular, little expanded, its free border denticate or nodulate. A. chilota differs from A. alacalufi in having an irregular row of tiny scales along the rostral carina; latero-external margin of the antero-lateral lobe of carapace slightly sinuose but not markedly sigmoid; antero-lateral angle of second abdominal epimeron produced, bearing conical sharp scale; telson plate with well-marked median suture; and sternum of fourth thoracic segment flat, without broad, low anteromedial tubercle. A. chilota n. sp. shares with A. hueicollensis Jara and Palacios, 1999 all the latter diagnostic characters, differing from it solely by consistently having the carpal lobe tipped by two to four acuminate scales, a character that sporadically appears in A. hueicollensis.
Table 1. Morphometry of *Aegla chilota* n. sp. type series from River Incopulli at 43°06'02" S; 73°41'47" W. Measurements in mm. M= male; F= female; F*= ovigerous female; Holo= holotype; Allo = allotype; P1 to P5 = paratypes. CL, carapace length between rostral apex and posterior margin of cephalothorax; RL, rostral length between rostral tip and transversal line tangent to deepest point of orbits; PCL, precervical length between rostral tip and midpoint of cervical groove; FW, frontal width between tips of anterolateral angles of carapace; PCW, maximum precervical width across third hepatic lobes; CW, maximum carapace width; AL, areola length along carapace dorsal midline; AW, areola maximum width; LCL, left cheliped length; RCL, right cheliped length; L2PL, left second pereiopod length; L2DL, dactylar length of left second pereiopod; L4DL, dactylar length of left fourth pereiopod; TL, telson length.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Holo</th>
<th>Allo</th>
<th>P1</th>
<th>P2</th>
<th>P3</th>
<th>P4</th>
<th>P5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>F*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>16.8</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>13.7</td>
<td>11.3</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>11.6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCW</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>10.9</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>14.2</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL</td>
<td>28.2</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>16.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>19.7</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>17.2</td>
<td>16.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2PL</td>
<td>29.6</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22.3</td>
<td>23.7</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2DL</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4DL</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/RL</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCW/FW</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL/AW</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2. Morphometry of *Aegla chilota* n. sp. additional examined material from a stream at 43°05’06” S, 73°43’07” W. Measurements in mm. M= male; F= female. Captions as in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>M1</th>
<th>M2</th>
<th>M3</th>
<th>M4</th>
<th>M5</th>
<th>F1</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CL</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>15.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RL</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>10.5</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FW</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCW</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9.9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CW</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.5</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>11.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AW</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LCL</td>
<td>20.5</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RCL</td>
<td>19.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>19.3</td>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2PL</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>23.6</td>
<td>23.5</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>19.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2DL</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>4.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4DL</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TL</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3.4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL/RL</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>6.5</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCW/FW</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AL/AW</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Systematics. The 15 *A. chilota* n. sp. specimens formed a clade (Fig. 1) in our maximum likelihood tree sister related to *A. hueicollensis* (bp = 71%). Both species belong to clade A in Pérez-Losada et al. (2004) together with *A. affinis* Schmitt, 1942, *A. bahamondei* Jara, 1982, *A. occidentalis*, *A. alacalufi*, *A. manni* Jara, 1980, and *A. denticulate*, Nicolet, 1849. It is also interesting to highlight that of all the *Aegla* species in this clade A, only *A. alacalufi* and *A. denticulata* currently occur on the island of Chiloé.

Conservation. Since the taxon is new, a conservation status has not been assigned. However, field
observations by C. Jara (pers. obs., October 2014) suggest that *A. chilota* n. sp. populations are neither threatened by human activities nor by foreign invasive species such as the American mink *Neovison vison*. However, the known extent of occurrence (as defined in the IUCN, 2017) is limited and estimated to be <100 km²; consequently, the conservation status of *A. chilota* can be considered Vulnerable (IUCN, 2017).

**Remarks.** Obliterated telson median suture is a morphological feature found, as a norm, in two Chilean species, *i.e.*, *A. papudo* Schmitt, 1942, and *A. alacalufi*. However, molecular data (Pérez-Losada et al., 2002; 2004) indicate that those two species do not share a recent common ancestor; therefore, the obliterated telson median suture appears as an apomorphic character acquired independently by them. In the case of *A. chilota* n. sp., the cause of the obliteration of the telson median suture in a small fraction of the population is an open question, but it seems relevant to note that *A. chilota* n. sp. resembles *A. alacalufi*, and that its micro-endemic distribution is included in the much wider geographic range of *A. alacalufi*, implying perhaps occasional gene flow between populations of both species.
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