Comparative morphology of gill glands in externally fertilizing and inseminating species of cheirodontine fishes, with implications on the phylogeny of the family Characidae
(Actinopterygii: Characiformes)
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The structure and form of gill gland among inseminating and externally fertilizing species of the Cheirodontinae are described under light microscopy, scanning electron microscopy, and transmission electron microscopy, and compared to other members of the family Characidae. At least one species from thirteen cheirodontine genera were analyzed, totaling seventeen species. Gill glands were found in all analyzed mature males of Cheirodontinae and were always absent in females, being located on the anteriormost portion of the branch of the first gill arch, extending posteriorly through a variable number of gill filaments. Gill glands of all cheirodontines and of all characid species in which this organ has been described possess the same structure, being considered homologous and supporting a single origin of the structure in a common ancestor to Clade A and Clade B characids.
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Introduction

The subfamily Cheirodontinae consists of 16 genera and approximately 54 species (Malabarba, 2003; Bührnheim et al., 2008), currently arranged into two tribes, Compsurini (including the genera Acinocheirodon, Compsura, Kolpotocheirodon, Macropsobrycon, and Saccoderma), and Cheirodontini (including the genera Amazonspinther, Cheirodon, Heterocheirodon, Nanocheirodon, Serrapinnus, and Spintherobolus), in addition to five genera of uncertain phylogenetic position within the subfamily (Aphyocheirodon, Cheirodontops, Odontostilbe, Prodontocharax, and Pseudocheirodon).

Species within Cheirodontini and the incertae sedis genera are all externally fertilizing, whereas those within Compsurini are all inseminating, as observed by the presence of spermatozoa in their ovaries (Burns et al., 1997; Malabarba, 1998). The timing of fertilization in inseminating species, however, is unknown since no fertilized eggs have been observed in the ovaries (Burns et al., 1997, 1998; Burns & Weitzman, 2005). In addition to the Cheirodontiniae, insemination has also been confirmed in all species within the subfamilies Glandulocaudinae and Stevardiinae (sensu Weitzman et al., 2005 and Menezes & Weitzman, 2009), and in several characid genera of uncertain affinities (Javonillo et al., 2009).
Inseminating male characids often have hypertrophied tissues, that appear to be glandular, at discrete sites on the body. Such tissues have been described on the caudal fin of the compusrin chiroesiroidines Acinocheirodon melanogramma (see Malabarba & Weitzman, 1999), Kolpotocheirodon theloura and Kolpotocheirodon figueiredoii (see Malabarba & Weitzman, 2000; Malabarba et al., 2004) and on the caudal fin of glandulocaudines and stevardiines (see Weitzman et al., 2005; Menezes & Weitzman, 2009). The fact that these hypertrophied tissues are restricted to mature males has been used to suggest that some components of the gland secretions may be used as chemical signals, perhaps even pheromones, for communication between males or between the sexes, possibly related to courtship and insemination (Kutagil, 1959; Nelson, 1964; Weitzman & Fink, 1985).

Some characids also present a gill-derived gland, first described in the inseminating stevardiine Corynopoma ritsbei, and termed gill gland by Burns & Weitzman (1996). In the study of Bushmann et al. (2002), gill glands were shown to be present in mature males of the inseminating species of twelve genera of the Stevardiinae (Acrobycon, Argopleura, Chrysobrycon, Corynopoma, Gephyrocharax, Hysterotus, Phenacobrycon, Planaltina, Pterobrycon, Scopaeocharax, Tytocharax, and Xenurobrycon), but absent in the inseminating species of two stevardiine genera (Diapoma and Pseudocorynopoma) and in all inseminating species of the Glandulocaudinae [Tycnocharax was too immature to make a definitive statement]. This gland originates from the union and functional modification of the most anterior filaments of the first right and left gill arches. The entire lateral and medial surfaces of the gland are covered by stratified squamous epithelial tissue, resulting in individual chambers internally between adjacent gill filaments. A small opening remains near their ventral tips where the secretion is led to the exterior. Tall columnar secretory cells are found between adjacent secondary lamellae within the gland. The fact that they are present only in mature males suggests they may also release some type of chemical signal involved in reproduction and courtship and/or intermale aggression (Bushman et al., 2002).

The presence of gill glands was also described for some characid genera belonging to a monophyletic subgroup of the Characidae designated clade A by Malabarba & Weitzman (2003) and latter named Stevardiinae by Mirande (2009, 2010), that includes the Glandulocaudinae and the Stevardiinae sensu Weitzman et al. (2005). These gill glands were registered for Attonitus bounties (Weitzman et al., 2005: fig. 19a, b), A. irisae (Weitzman et al., 2005: fig. 19c), Bryconadenos tanaothoros (Weitzman et al., 2005: fig. 20), B. weitzmani (Menezes et al., 2009a: p.150), Bryconamericus exodon (Menezes et al., 2009a: p.49), Hemibrycon helleri (Bertaco & Malabarba, 2010: p.743, fig. 7), H. huambonicus (Bertaco & Malabarba, 2010: p.746, fig. 7), H. inambari (Bertaco & Malabarba, 2010: p.748, fig. 7), H. jeekii (Bertaco & Malabarba, 2010: p.749, fig. 7), Lepidobrycon burnsi and L. diamantina (Ferreira et al., 2011: p.288), and Phalloblephrycon adenacanthus (Menezes et al., 2009a: fig. 5).

Among characids outside of Clade A or Stevardiinae sensu Mirande (2009, 2010), published data report the presence of gill glands in mature males of one species of the Aphyocharacinae, Aphyocharax anisitsi (Gonçalves et al., 2005: fig. 3); for six species of externally fertilized chiroesiroidines, Odontostilbe ecuadorenis (Bührnheim & Malabarba, 2006: fig.16), O. fugitiva (Bührnheim & Malabarba, 2006: fig.3), O. parecis (Bührnheim & Malabarba, 2006: fig.16, p.92), O. pulchra (Bührnheim & Malabarba, 2007: fig. 7), O. splendida (Bührnheim & Malabarba, 2007: fig. 20), and O. pao (Bührnheim & Malabarba, 2007: fig. 25); and one species of inseminating compsurins, Macropsobrycon uruguayanae (Azvedo et al., 2010: figs. 6-8; Jerep & Malabarba, 2011: fig.7). In Aphyocharax anisitsi and Macropsobrycon uruguayanae, the development of the gill gland was found to be associated with the maturation of the testes (Gonçalves et al., 2005; Azvedo et al., 2010). The analysis of the gill gland of Macropsobrycon uruguayanae under transmission electronic microscopy found that the secondary lamellae within most of the gill gland are greatly reduced, with tall columnar cells between adjacent secondary lamellae containing abundant vesicles, suggesting secretory activity (Azvedo et al., 2010).

The presence of a gill-derived gland in males was tentatively used as a character in the characid phylogeny proposed by Mirande (2009, 2010). In this study, the presence or absence of this gland was found to be useful in phylogenetic studies. Although not described or illustrated, the gill gland was coded by Mirande (2010) as present in the characine Phenacogaster tegatus, in the aphyocharacines Aphyocharax anisitsi and A. dentatus, in the cheirodontines Axelrodia lindae, Cheirodon interruptus, Odontostilbe microcephala, O. paraguayensis, O. pequira, Prodontocarax melanotus, and Serrapinnus callius, in the stevardiines Acrobycon tarajae, Attonitus ephemerus, Bryconamericus agna, B. exodon, B. cf. exodon, B. cf. heringii, B. thomasi, and Cyanocarax albimus, and in the incertae sedis characids Priomobrama paraguayensis and Aphyochacaridium bolivianum. The presence of the gill gland was interpreted as independently acquired, representing an autapomorphy for Phenacogaster tegatus and a synapomorphy for a clade containing the subfamilies Aphyocharacinae, Aphyoditeinae, Cheirodontinae, and Stevardiinae (sensu Mirande, 2010). Its loss was considered a synapomorphy for some internal clades of the Stevardiinae and for one species of the Aphyocharacinae (Mirande, 2010).

The purpose of the present study is to determine the distribution of gill glands in the Cheirodontinae, and to describe and compare their form and structure between inseminating and externally fertilizing species of the Cheirodontinae, as well as other members of the family Characidae.

**Material and Methods**

At least one species from thirteen cheirodontine genera were analyzed (including the compusrin “Odontostilbe” dialeuptra that deserves a new generic assignment separately from Odontostilbe; Malabarba, 1998), totaling seventeen
species, plus four species of other characid genera for comparative studies. Species of *Amazonspinther, Pseudechirodon*, and *Spintherobolus* were not analyzed due to the lack of mature specimens. Gills of at least three mature males and two mature females of each species were selected, when available. Histological sections of the gonads were made to confirm degree of sexual maturity. Most specimens were obtained from museum collections; therefore the whole fish had been fixed in formalin and stored in 70% ethanol. The first and second gill arches of both right and left sides were removed, the right arches were used for light microscopy and the left arches were used for Scanning Electron Microscopy (SEM). Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) analysis were made on the gill arch fixed from freshly collected specimens.

For light microscopy, the first and second right gill arches were decalcified in 10% ethylenediamine tetracetic acid (EDTA) for five days, dehydrated in an ethanol series, infiltrated and embedded in glycol methacrylate. Sagittal sections of the gills were cut at 3 to 5µm and stained with hematoxylin and eosin (HE), toluidine blue, or periodic acid/Schiff reagent (PAS).

For SEM, the first and second left gill arches were cleaned with ultrasound equipment for 6 minutes, dehydrated in a graded ethanol series, critical point dried in liquid CO2, glued onto stubs with carbon double-stick tape and sputter-coated with carbon and gold. Images were visualized and photographed in a Jeol 6060 scanning electron microscope at the Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil or in a Philips XL 30 scanning electron microscope at the Pontifícia Universidade Católica do Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil.

For TEM, fishes were sacrificed in the field by a cut through the spinal cord. The first left gill arch of five mature males of *Compsura heterura* were fixed in 2.5% glutaraldehyde and 2% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer, pH 7.4 and kept under refrigeration until the start of sample processing. The gills were post-fixed in 1% osmium tetroxide in phosphate buffer, dehydrated in an acetone series, infiltrated and embedded in Araldite-Epon (Embed-812). Ultrathin sections were stained with a saturated solution of uranyl acetate at 50% alcohol and lead citrate. The sections were viewed in a Philips CM100 transmission electron microscope at the Universidade Estadual Paulista, Câmpus Botucatu, Brazil.

Examined specimens belong to ANSP - Academy of Natural Sciences, Philadelphia, USA; CAS - California Academy of Sciences, San Francisco, USA; MCP - Museu de Ciências e Tecnologia, Porto Alegre, Brazil; MCNG - Museo de Ciencias Naturales, Guanare, Venezuela; MNRJ - Museu Nacional, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; NRM - Swedish Museum of Natural History, Stockholm, Sweden; UFRGS - Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Sul, Porto Alegre, Brazil; and USNM - National Museum of Natural History, Washington D.C., USA.

**Material examined. Inseminating species.** *Acinocheirodon melanogramma*, MCP 19142, 3 males 32.2-35.0 mm SL, 2 females 33.6-38.3 mm SL. *Compsura heterura*, UFRGS 8978, 3 males 28.1-29.7 mm SL, 2 females 28.1-28.7 mm SL. *Kolpotocheirodon theloura*, MNRJ 18081, 6 males 25.0-26.3 mm SL, 2 females 24.1-24.8 mm SL; UFRGS 8792, 3 males 32.8-35.3 mm SL, 2 females 29.5-38.8 mm SL. *Odontostilbite* “dialipeta”, USNM 209511, 4 males 32.2-35.1 mm SL, 2 females 32.5-32.6 mm SL. *Saccodermia hastata*, ANSP 139487, 8 males 29.1-31.3 mm SL, 3 females 29.0-29.5 mm SL; CAS 70918, 2 males 25.1-26.3 mm SL, female 26.1 mm SL; MCP 16169, 2 males 24.4-24.6 mm SL, female 26.1 mm SL. **Externally fertilizing species.** *Aphyocheirodon hemigrammus*, NRM 17307, male 29.0 mm SL. *Cheirodon ibihiensis*, UFRGS 9042, 3 males 31.5-34.1 mm SL; UFRGS 9041, 2 females 38.5-43.1 mm SL. *Cheiroleontops geayi*, MCNG 14197, 5 males 27.7-30.1 mm SL, 2 females 29.9-31.3 mm SL. *Heterocheirodon jaciensis*, MCP 14283, 3 males 40.0-42.1 mm SL, 3 females 38.9-43.0 mm SL. *Heterocheirodon yatai*, MCP 14284, 4 males 31.6-34.8 mm SL, 3 females 33.7-35.3 mm SL. *Nanocheirodon insignis*, USNM 121518, 4 males 20.3-25.6 mm SL, female 21.1 mm SL. *Odontostilbite fugitiva*, MCP 35775, 5 males 33.8-36.0 mm SL. *Odontostilbite pequiura*, UFRGS 8980, 3 males 36.7-38.7 mm SL; 2 females 40.9-41.1 mm SL. *Prodontocharax melanolus*, USNM 326941, male 38.1 mm SL. *Serrapinus heterodon*, UFRGS 8793, 6 males 27.8-30.8 mm SL, 2 females 28.7-29.0 mm SL. *Serrapinus piaba*, UFRGS 8794, 3 males 28.4-28.5 mm SL, 2 females 29.0-31.2 mm SL).

**Results**

Gill glands were found in all analyzed mature males of the Cheirodontinae (Figs. 1 and 2) and were always absent in females. They were always located on the anteriormost portion of the lower branch of the first gill arch, extending posteriorly through a variable number of gill filaments. The gill gland was also present on the second gill arch of *Compsura heterura* and *Saccodermia hastata*, but occupying just half the length of the filaments of the second gill arch and extending through a smaller number of gill filaments than those from the first gill arch.

The development of gill glands begins with the multiplication of epithelial cells that will form a lateral cover over the anteriormost portion of the lower branch of the first gill arch. This cover will continue to extend over successively more posterior filaments. When gill glands do not occupy the entire gill arch, unmodified filaments are located immediately posterior to the gill glands (Figs. 1-4). The gill glands are covered laterally by stratified squamous epithelial tissue and are divided internally by the gill filaments thus forming separate elongate chambers. No connections were seen between adjacent chambers (Figs. 3 and 4). On some sections, the skeletal muscle associated with the hyaline cartilage of the gill filaments was visible (Fig. 3f, i). Secretory cells occupy the areas between adjacent secondary lamellae within the gill glands (Figs. 3 and 4). The secretions produced by the glands exit through distal openings of the gland chambers, where the adjacent filaments are not fused.

The pseudostratified epithelial tissue located between the adjacent secondary lamellae consists of tall columnar cells. With TEM, abundant electron-dense vesicles are visible throughout the cytoplasm of most of the cell (Fig. 5). The nucleus, endoplasmatic reticulum and Golgi complex are located in the basal region of the cells.

In spite of the basic gill gland structure being similar among species, some differences were observed in gland size (number
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Fig. 1. Scanning electron micrographs (SEMs) of first left (A-D, F) and right (E) gill arches of male inseminating cheirodontines, (A) *Acinocheirodon melanogramma*, (B) *Compsura heterura*, (C) *Kolpotocheirodon theloura*, (D) *Macropsobrycon uruguayanae*, “*Odontostilbe" dialeptura*, 28 filaments; *Saccoderma hastata*, 28 filaments; externally fertilizing species (Figs. 2 and 4) have fewer filaments comprising the glands (*Aphyocheirodon hemigrammus* has 9 filaments; *Cheirodon ibicuhiensis*, 9 filaments; *Cheirodontops geayi*, 10 filaments; *Heterocheirodon jacuiensis*, 7 filaments; *H. yatai*, 7 filaments; *Nanocheirodon insignis*, 7 filaments; *Odontostilbe fugitiva*, 6 filaments; *O.
Fig. 2. Scanning electron micrographs (SEMs) of first left gill arch of male externally fertilizing cheirodintines, (A) Aphyocheirodon hemigrammus, (B) Cheirodon ibicuiensis, (C) Cheirodontops geayi, (D) Heterocheirodon yatai, (E) Odontostilbe fugitiva, (F) Nanocheirodon insignis, (G) Prodontocharax melanotus, and (H) Serrapinnus heterodon. Gill glands (g), unmodified gill filaments (u). Scale bars: 200 µm (A, B, C, D, H), 500 µm (E, G).
Fig. 3. Sagittal histological sections through first gill arches of males of the inseminating cheirodontines, (A, B, C) Acinocheirodon melanogramma, (D, E, F) Kolpotocheirodon theloura, (G, H, I) Macropsobrycon uruguayanae, and (J, K, L) Saccoderma hastata. Asterisk = lumen of gill gland chamber; c = columnar cells; f = gill filaments; sl = gill secondary lamellae; s = secretion; u = unmodified gill filaments; arrow = ventral opening of the chamber; arrowhead = gill gland cover; m = skeletal muscle; h = hyaline cartilage of gill filaments. Scale bars: 150 µm (A, D, G, J), 50 µm (B, E, H, K), 25 µm (C, F, I, L).
Fig. 4. Sagittal histological sections through first gill arches of males of the externally fertilizing cheirodontines, (A, B) Aphyocheirodon hemigrammus, (C, D) Heterocheirodon yatai, (E, F) Prodontocharax melanotus, (G, H) Serrapinnus heterodon. Asterisk = lumen of gill gland chamber; f = gill filaments; sl = gill secondary lamellae; u = unmodified gill filaments; arrowhead = gill gland cover; arrow = gill gland cover. Scale bars: 150 µm (A, C, E, G), 25 µm (B, D, F, H).
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peiquira, 10 filaments, Prodontocharax melanotus, 4 filaments; Serrapinnus heterodon, 8 filaments; S. piaba, 7 filaments). The only exception is the compsurin Acinocheirodon melanogramma (Figs. 1A, 3A) whose glands were formed by fewer gill filaments (8-10 filaments) than other inseminating species. In Compsura heterura and Saccoderma hastata gill glands were also present on the second gill arch, comprising 5 and 4-13 filaments, respectively (Fig. 6).

Unlike the other examined cheirodontine species, the chambers of the gill glands of Kolpotocheirodon theloura do not appear to open distally (Fig. 6B) but were fused at their distal tips instead. This species has a turgid gill gland when viewed externally, and internally it is possible to observe large chambers with noncellular stained material within (Fig. 3E).

The secondary gill lamellae within the gill gland chambers of Aphyocheirodon hemigrammus, Compsura heterura, Kolpotocheirodon theloura, Macropsobrycon uruguayanae, and Saccoderma hastata were greatly shortened or even absent in some regions with only the columnar secretory cells remaining (Fig. 3F).

Discussion

Relationships among characids sharing a gill gland.

Gill glands of all characid species in which this organ has been described possess the same structure. They are formed externally by the growth of stratified squamous epithelial tissue over and around the most anterior gill filaments of the lower branch of the first gill arch thus forming chambers between adjacent gill filaments that generally open into the main gill cavity ventrally. In addition, gill secondary lamellae are generally reduced in length or completely atrophied, with pseudostratified columnar epithelial cells containing secretory vesicles located between adjacent secondary lamellae (Burns & Weitzman, 1996; Bushmann et al., 2002; Weitzman et al., 2005; Azevedo et al., 2010; this paper). This similar complex structural pattern of the gill gland supports a primary hypothesis of homology and unique origin among the species of the Characidae. So far, this complex structure has been described for species of Clade A (sensu Malabarba & Weitzman, 2003) or Stevardiinae (sensu Mirande, 2010) both including the Glandulocaudinae and Stevardiinae (sensu Weitzman et al., 2005); and for species of the Aphyocharacinae, Characinae, and Cheirodontinae.

There are three recent phylogenies proposed for characid fishes, all recognizing a large and monophyletic internal characid clade composed of those species lacking a supraorbital bone, as previously proposed by Malabarba & Weitzman (2003), but with alternative views of the relationships among the components of this clade (Fig. 7). One of the main differences among the three disputing hypotheses is related to the position of the subfamily Characinae, placed in a more basal position among the characids lacking a supraorbital bone in Mirande’s hypothesis, which requires the gill gland to have appeared independently twice in the Characinae (Phenacogaster tegatus) and in a clade formed by Aphyocharacinae, Aphyoditeinae, Cheirodontinae, and Stevardiinae (sensu Mirande, 2010). The alternative hypotheses of Javonillo et al. (2010) and Oliveira et al. (2011) suggests a single appearance of the gill gland in the common ancestor of the group formed by their Clade A.
(including Glandulocaudinae and Stevardiinae sensu Weitzman et al., 2005 and some incertae sedis genera) plus Clade B (containing the Aphyocharacinae, Characinae, Cheirodontinae, Tetragonopterinae (sensu stricto), Exodon and Roeboexodon)). The last two hypotheses support the single appearance of the gill gland which is proposed herein as a morphological synapomorphy supporting the monophyly of the group formed by Clade A and Clade B of Javonillo et al. (2010) and Oliveira et al. (2011).

It is important to note that although the gill gland seems to have appeared in a common ancestor of those clades discussed above, this structure is absent in the phenotype of several of their members, as for example in two of the fifteen genera of the Stevardiinae (Bushmann et al., 2002). Also, detection of the gill gland depends on the examination of mature or late maturing males, otherwise they are not developed (Gonçalves et al., 2005, Azevedo et al., 2010). The alternative expression of this character among taxa may have diminished its weight in the implied weighting analysis of Mirande (2010) reducing the explanatory power of this character for characid phylogeny.

Although Mirande (2010) coded the presence of a gill gland in Phenacogaster tegatus, no description of the gland was provided. JRB had available histological preparations of the gill gland of a mature male of *P. franciscoensis* (37.4 mm SL, USNM 345675) and can report that the location, anatomy and histology of the *Phenacogaster* gill gland is essentially identical to that of other species that possess them.

Among taxa of Clade A, gill glands were found to be present on histological preparations by JRB (unpublished) in mature inseminating males of *Creagrutus melasma* and *Monotocheirodon* species, and in mature males of externally fertilizing species of the genera *Bryconamericus*, *Caiapobrycon*, *Creagrutus*, *Cyanocharax*, *Hemibrycon*, *Hypobrycon*, *Knodus*, *Piabina*, *Rhinobrycon*, and *Rhinopetitia*.

The absence of a gill gland has been registered for some taxa belonging to Clade C of Javonillo et al. (2010) and Oliveira et al. (2011), further supporting this hypothesis: *Astyanax utiaritii* by Bertaco & Garutti (2007), *Astyanax jordanensis* by Alcaraz et al. (2009), *Astyanax goyanensis* and *Astyanax*

---

**Fig. 6.** Scanning electron micrograph of gill arches. A) Lateral view of first gill arch of *Compsura heterura*, with gill glands occupying both hemibranchs, both with the same extension. Scale bar: 100 μm. B) Medial view of first gill arch of *Kolpotocheirodon theloura*, with gland of external hemibranch embracing internal filaments. Scale bar: 200 μm. C) Lateral view of second gill arch of *Saccoderma hastata*. In *Saccoderma hastata*, the glands may be in the external (e) or internal hemibranch (i and asterisks) of the second gill arches. Scale bar: 500 μm. D) Detail of micrograph C. Scale bar: 100 μm.
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by Bertaco et al. (2010), Astyanax obscurus and A. laticeps by Bertaco & Lucena (2010), Ectrepoterurus uruguayensis by Malabarba et al. (2012) [see Thomaz et al., 2010 for the relationships of Ectrepoterurus uruguayensis, formerly as Hyphessobrycon uruguayensis, with clade C taxa], Hasemania kalunga by Bertaco & Carvalho (2010), Hemigrammus tocantinsi by Carvalho et al. (2010), Hemigrammus ora by Jerep et al. (2011), Hyphessobrycon melanostichos and Hyphessobrycon notidanos by Carvalho & Bertaco (2006), Hyphessobrycon vinaceus by Bertaco et al. (2007), and Oligosarcus itau by Mirande et al. (2011).

Putative function of the gill gland in inseminating characids.

It is evident that both externally fertilizing and inseminating characids may have gill glands, therefore, there is no relationship between the presence of a gill gland and insemination. Comparing the gill glands of inseminating and externally fertilizing species of the Cheirodontinae, however, we clearly find a difference in their degree of development. The gill gland tends to be smaller in externally fertilizing species of the Cheirodontinae, being composed of a maximum of 10 gill filaments. In the inseminating species of the tribe Compsurini, such as in Macropsobrycon uruguayensis, “Odontostilbe” dialeptura and Saccoderma hastata, the gill gland develops from a larger number of gill filaments, and in some cases occupies nearly the entire gill arch, comprising up to 28 gill filaments (Fig. 1F).

Gill glands of Kolpotocheirodon theloura appeared turgid upon dissection, and histological examination confirmed the presence of noncellular material within the gill gland chambers. The state of expansion and secretion of gill glands can vary greatly even within a given species (Burns & Weitzman, 1996).
with this possibly being related to cyclic changes in testis maturation. Immature male specimens do not have gill glands, while males undergoing the early stages of testis maturation have glands in intermediate stages of development, and mature males have well-developed glands (Burns and Weitzman, 1996; Gonçalves, et al., 2005; Azevedo, et al., 2010). In one mature male specimen of Corynopoma riisei, the gill glands had regions that were more expanded and turgid containing a light yellow viscous secretion upon dissection (Burns & Weitzman, 1996).

The union of the gill filaments, the covering with a stratified squamous epithelium, and the reduction or loss of secondary lamellae within the gland all contribute to decrease or impede gaseous exchanges within the gill glands themselves (Burns & Weitzman, 1996), making respiration more costly. The presence of the gill gland in mature males and absence in females suggest that the secretion produced may serve as some type of chemical signal, perhaps to attract females during courtship (Bushmann et al., 2002) or intermale aggression (Bushmann et al., 2002). The comparatively larger size of the gill gland in inseminating versus externally fertilized species of the Cheirodontinae suggests that these secretions may be particularly important for the reproductive success of inseminating cheirodontines. Gill glands of most species of Compsurini also reach larger sizes than those of the inseminating Stevardiinae, that also show varying degrees of gill gland sizes (Bushmann et al., 2002), ranging from 4 to 13 gill filaments.

A recent preliminary study by Shrestha et al. (2010) used the technique of laser ablation electro spray ionization (LAESI) combined with mass spectrometry (MS) to study potentially unique compounds in gill glands. With this technique, the tissue to be analyzed is vaporized with a laser, and the compounds released immediately detected and analyzed by a mass spectrometer. Tissues studied included male gill glands, adjacent unmodified gill areas in the same males, and gill areas from females in the same region that gill glands are located in males, from mature specimens of the bloodfin tetra, Aphyocharax anisistis, purchased from a local pet shop. This technique identified a protein of molecular weight 11,386 Daltons that was found only in the gill gland tissue; it was absent from adjacent male gill areas and from female gill tissue. This unique gill gland protein could potentially be the putative chemical signal proposed above, or possibly serve as an enzyme for the production of such a chemical signal. Further studies involving conventional proteomics, chemical isolation and behavioral experiments will be required to confirm this.
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