Molecular phylogeny and biogeographic history of the Neotropical tribe Glandulocaudini (Characiformes: Characidae: Stevardiinae)
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Although former studies on systematics and biogeography represent a progress on the knowledge of the tribe Glandulocaudini, none was grounded on molecular evidence. Thus, the first hypothesis of relationships for the tribe based on a multilocus analysis is presented, including all genera and most of the valid species. DNA sequences of Glandulocauda caerulea and Mimagoniates sylvicola were analyzed for the first time. A molecular clock analysis was used to estimate the origin of the Glandulocaudini and the approximate timing of cladogenetic events within the group. Glandulocaudini was recovered as monophyletic. No hypothesis recovered Glandulocauda as monophyletic, since G. melanopleura is sister to Lophiobrycon weitzmani while G. caerulea is closely related to Mimagoniates. The relationships within the latter genus were resolved. The molecular clock results indicate the origin of the Glandulocaudini during the Miocene with diversification in the group occurring from Neogene to Pleistocene. These results corroborated the hypothesis that its origin took place on the Brazilian crystalline shield with the subsequent occupation of the Atlantic Coastal drainages. Apparently, Pleistocene sea-level fluctuations might have shaped the distribution pattern of some species in Glandulocaudini.
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Embora estudos prévios sobre sistemática e biogeografia representem um avanço no conhecimento da tribo Glandulocaudini, nenhum foi baseado em evidência molecular. Assim, a primeira hipótese de relações para a tribo com base em uma análise multilocus é apresentada, incluindo todos os gêneros e a maioria das espécies válidas. Sequências de DNA de Glandulocauda caerulea e Mimagoniates sylvicola foram analisadas pela primeira vez. Uma análise de relógio molecular foi utilizada para estimar a origem de Glandulocaudini e datas aproximadas de eventos cladogenéticos dentro do grupo. Glandulocaudini foi recuperada como monofilética. Nenhuma hipótese recuperou Glandulocauda como monofilético, uma vez que G. melanopleura é irmã de Lophiobrycon weitzmani e G. caerulea está proximamente relacionada a Mimagoniates. As relações dentro deste último gênero foram resolvidas. Os resultados do relógio molecular indicam que Glandulocaudini originou-se durante o Mioceno, com diversificação dentro do grupo ocorrendo desde o Neogeno até o Pleistoceno. Estes resultados corroboraram a hipótese da sua origem no escudo cristalino brasileiro, com a subsequente ocupação das drenagens costeiras atlânticas. Aparentemente, as flutuações plêistocênicas do nível do mar podem ter moldado o padrão de distribuição de algumas espécies em Glandulocaudini.
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Introduction

The name Glandulocaudinae was originally proposed by Eigenmann (1914: 34) as a subfamily within Characidae to include 11 genera defined by remarkable sexual dimorphism. The history of the classification and hierarchical composition of the group is complex and Glandulocaudinae was already considered as a family (Glandulocaudidae, e.g., Fernández-Yépez, Anton, 1966) and as a tribe (Glandulocaudini, e.g., Myers, Böhlke, 1956; Menezes, Weitzman, 1990; Mirande, 2010). Menezes, Weitzman (2009), based on morphological data, reviewed the systematics of the glandulocaudines and discussed in detail the taxonomic history and the nomenclatural issues involving the group, and these are not repeated here.
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Also, in that paper, the authors recognized Glandulocaudini as monophyletic and closely related to Stevardiinae. More recently, Thomaz et al. (2015a) analyzed the phylogenetic relationships within Stevardiinae based on molecular data and proposed Glandulocaudini sensu Menezes, Weitzman (2009) as tribe Glandulocaudini within that subfamily. Although they represent different hierarchical categories, Glandulocaudini sensu Menezes, Weitzman (2009) and Glandulocaudini sensu Thomaz et al. (2015a) correspond to the same group of Neotropical freshwater fishes, including the genera Glandulocauda Eigenmann, Lophiobrycon Castro, Ribeiro, Benine, Melo, and Mimagoniates Regan, and ten species distributed in freshwater environments of eastern and southern Brazil, Paraguay, and northeastern Uruguay (Menezes, Weitzman, 2009; Eschmeyer et al., 2017). Species of Glandulocaudini are recognized by the possession of different forms of a caudal-fin organ of males that apparently secretes one or more pheromones during courtship (Weitzman, 2006; Menezes, Weitzman, 2009; Serra et al., 2008; Menezes, Weitzman, 2016). Representatives of Glandulocaudini were included in phylogenetic studies based on molecular data by Calcagnotto et al. (2005), Javonillo et al. (2010), Oliveira et al. (2011), and Thomaz et al. (2015a). However, neither of them focused their work on the tribe as a whole and just a few species were analyzed leaving evolutionary issues involving the tribe unsolved. Furthermore, Glandulocaudini has an interesting distributional pattern, characterized by endemic species restricted to lowland areas along Brazilian coastal drainages and others endemic to upland areas of the Brazilian crystalline shield, in addition to species that are shared between both areas. This pattern was already used as example to explain or to propose biogeographic hypotheses (e.g., Buckup, 2011; Lima, Ribeiro, 2011; Camelier, Zanata, 2014; Ribeiro et al., 2016). Menezes et al. (2008) reviewed the biogeography of the Glandulocaudini (former Glandulocaudinae), discussed some hypotheses, and suggested that additional molecular data should be used to test and better understand the evolutionary history of the group.

This study has four aims: (1) to propose a robust hypothesis of phylogenetic relationships for the tribe based on the analysis of all genera and 80% of the valid species in a multilocus dataset; (2) to estimate divergence times within the tribe based on molecular clock analysis; (3) to test previously proposed biogeographic hypotheses; and (4) to update the information on Glandulocaudini distribution based on additional material.

**Material and Methods**

**Taxon sampling, DNA extraction, and sequencing.** Three genera and eight of ten species of Glandulocaudini were included as ingroup. Tissue samples for *M. barberi* and *M.
**Alignment, phylogenetic analyses, and estimation of divergence times.** Electropherograms were inspected and assembled in contigs from forward and reverse strands using Geneious v. 4.8.5 (http://www.geneious.com, Kearse et al., 2012). Sequences of each gene were independently aligned using the MUSCLE algorithm under default parameters (http://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/msa/muscle/, Edgar, 2004). After alignments, the matrix was checked visually for any obvious misalignments and to detect potential cases of sequencing error due to contamination, paralogy, or pseudogenes using Geneious and BioEdit v. 7.0.9.0 (Hall, 1999). Nucleotide variation and substitution patterns were examined using MEGA v. 5.0 (Tamura et al., 2011). To evaluate the occurrence of substitution saturation in the sequences, the index of substitution saturation (Iss) described by Xia et al. (2003) and Xia, Lemey (2009) in DAMBE 5.3.48 (Xia 2013) was estimated.

The mitochondrial and nuclear genes were concatenated into a single matrix, which was partitioned by gene and used to perform all phylogenetic and molecular clock analyses. Only specimens with sequences for all genes were included in the matrix. Phylogenetic relationships among species of Glandulocaudini and between this tribe and outgroups were inferred by Bayesian inference (BI) and Maximum-likelihood (ML) methods. Sequences of *Bryconops caudomaculatus*, the most external characiform in the dataset, were used to root the phylogenetic analyses. The best-fit nucleotide evolution model was estimated independently for each partition using
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MrModeltest v. 2.2 (Nylander, 2004) based on the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC), in conjunction with PAUP* (Swofford, 1998). BI analysis was conducted in MrBayes v. 3.2.6 (Ronquist et al., 2012). Two independent Bayesian runs of 20 million generations with four chains of Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) each were performed, saving trees each 500 generations. Chain convergence (Effective Sample Size - ESS values > 200) was checked using the likelihood plots for each run using Tracer v. 1.5.1 (Rambaut, Drummond, 2009). The Potential Scale Reduction Factor (PSRF) was also used to check chain convergence and burn-in; values close to one indicate good convergence between runs (Gelman, Rubin, 1992). After a graphical analysis of the evolution of the likelihood scores, and checking for the stationarity of all model parameters, the first four thousand generations (10%) were discarded as burn-in. The remaining trees were used to calculate the consensus tree and posterior probability values were calculated to determine the level of support to the Bayesian topology. The ML phylogenetic reconstructions were performed using RAxML v. 8.0.24 (Stamatakis, 2014), random starting trees, and a GTR+GAMMA model of nucleotide substitution. One thousand bootstrap pseudoreplicates were used to investigate the support of each node in the most likely topology. In general, bootstrap values above 75% in the ML analyses were interpreted as well supported, and in the BI analyses, a posterior probability value of 0.99 was taken as a threshold. MrBayes and RAxML analyses were performed remotely at the CIPRES Science Gateway portal (Miller et al., 2010).

Divergence time estimates were obtained by implementing a Bayesian relaxed clock model in BEAST v. 1.7.2 (Drummond et al., 2012) using the concatenated dataset in CIPRES web portal and all clade-age inferences are presented as 95% highest posterior density (HPD). A relaxed clock with an uncorrelated lognormal distribution was used (Drummond et al., 2006); a starting tree was obtained from the Bayesian analysis; a macroevolutionary Birth-Death model for the diversification likelihood values; and under GTR+I+G model (as estimated in MrModeltest). Two calibration points were included based on fossil records of the characids †C. ibicuhiensis (Weiss, Malabarba, 1998; Bührnheim et al., 2008) and †M. unicus (Late Oligocene-Early Miocene, Malabarba, 1998; Bührnheim et al., 2008). According to Mirande et al. (2013), the genus †Paleotetra is included in a clade in which is closely related to (Aphyocharacinae (Aphyoditeinae, Cheirodontinae)), Stevardiinae). Thus, the first calibration point was implemented using a lognormal prior offset to 33.9 million years ago (Mya) with an uncorrelated standard deviation of one for the origin of the clade ((C. ibicuhiensis, S. leptoura) Stevardiinae) proposed by the ML starting tree. This estimated date was based on the mean of the minimum age of 30-25 Mya proposed to †M. unicus (Malabarba, 1998; Bührnheim et al., 2008), which was hypothesized as closely related to Spintherobolus by Bührnheim et al. (2008). Forest (2009) was followed to choose the crown and stem groups. The analysis was performed in two independent runs with 100 million generations each, with parameters sampled every 10,000 steps, and a burn-in of 20%. Convergence between runs and analysis performance were checked using Tracer, and the results were accepted if ESS values were > 200. The resulting trees were combined in LogCombiner v. 1.7.2 (Drummond et al., 2012), the consensus species tree with the divergence times was obtained in the TreeAnnotator v. 1.7.2 (Drummond et al., 2012) and visualized in FigTree v. 1.3.1 (Rambaut, 2009).

Results

Partial sequences of two mitochondrial (16S and COI) and one nuclear (RAG2) genes were obtained from 205 specimens representing all genera and eight glandulocaudin species (23 specimens, Tab. 1) plus 87 species of the outgroup (182 specimens) (S1 - Available only as online supplementary file accessed with the online version of the article at http://www.scielo.br/ni).

The combined sequence data resulted in a matrix with 1,829 base pairs (bp) with 1,063 conserved and 745 variable. Detailed information for each data matrix is provided in Tab. 2. The coding sequences did not show insertions, deletions, stop-codons, or sequencing errors due to contamination or paralogy. The Iss index was significantly lower than the Iss.c (critical substitution saturation index), indicating no saturation in either transitions and transversions in both asymmetrical (Iss.cAsym) and symmetrical (Iss.cSym) topologies. The best-fit model of evolution estimated by MrModeltest for the all data matrices (mitochondrial, nuclear, and concatenated dataset) was GTR+I+G.

A summarized view of the BI and ML trees topologies obtained based on the analyses of the concatenated dataset (16S+COI+RAG2) is shown in Fig. 1a and Fig. 1b, respectively. Both phylogenetic methods produced trees with similar topologies for the outgroup and identical relationships within the Glandulocaudini. An important difference between results of BI and ML involving the ingroup is related to the position of Glandulocaudini within the Stevardiinae. Under BI analysis, Glandulocaudini is recovered as sister group to Stevardiini (Fig. 1a), while under ML it evolved as closely related to a clade composed by (Creagrutini, Diapomini) Hemibryconini (Fig. 1b). However, in both hypotheses these relationships had low statistical support (0.54 of posterior probability and 39% of bootstrap), indicating that the position of Glandulocaudini within the Stevardiinae was not clearly resolved. Both BI and ML methods resulted in identical hypotheses of relationships among glandulocaudin species, although statistical support was not strong for some nodes.
Tab. 2. Information content and characteristics of each dataset used in this study. Number of sequences = 205, bp = base pairs.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Information</th>
<th>Dataset</th>
<th>Mitochondrial</th>
<th>Nuclear</th>
<th>Concatenated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16S</td>
<td>COI</td>
<td>RAG2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length after alignment (bp)</td>
<td>537</td>
<td>522</td>
<td>770</td>
<td>1829</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of conserved sites (C)</td>
<td>333</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>1063</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of variable sites (V)</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>221</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Singletons (S)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>136</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nucleotide frequency</th>
<th>T</th>
<th>C</th>
<th>A</th>
<th>G</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>T</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>31.8</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>22.8</td>
<td>25.9</td>
<td>25.7</td>
<td>24.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>33.0</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>24.8</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>23.0</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>26.9</td>
<td>22.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Therefore, only a Bayesian tree with both ML bootstrap values and BI posterior probabilities is presented (Fig. 2). In all phylogenetic analyses, Glandulocaudini is recovered as a strongly supported monophyletic group. None of the results supported the monophyletic status of the genus *Glandulocauda* as currently recognized, since *G. melanopleura* and *G. caerulea* were not closely related to each other. According to the phylogenetic hypothesis, *G. melanopleura* and *L. weitzmani* are closely related and this clade is sister to (*G. caerulea*, *Mimagoniates*). The former clade presented high statistical support in the ML analysis (90%), but relatively low in the BI (0.84), while the close relationship between *G. caerulea* and *Mimagoniates* was strongly supported in both analyses (BI = 0.99 and ML = 83%). The analyzed species of *Mimagoniates* are resolved as a strongly supported monophyletic group in all phylogenetic hypotheses (BI ≥ 0.95 and ML ≥ 85%). Specimens of *M. microlepis* from different localities, including coastal drainages (from Santa Catarina to Bahia States) plus the upper rio Tietê basin, are herein highly supported as forming a monophyletic group (BI = 0.95 and ML = 81%), with some genetic structuration.
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Fig. 1. Abbreviated phylogenetic trees of Stevardiinae (asterisk) obtained in this study based on concatenated dataset (16S+COI+RAG2, 1,829 bp), indicating the placement of the tribe Glandulocaudini (highlighted): a. Bayesian tree, numbers at branches are posterior probabilities and b. Maximum likelihood tree, numbers at branches are bootstrap values, “Clade B” = (Charax stenopterus (Cheirodon ibicuhiensis, Spintherobolus leptoura)).

Fig. 2. Calibrated Bayesian tree based on concatenated dataset (16S+COI+RAG2, 1,829 bp) showing the relationships within the Glandulocaudini. Numbers at branches are posterior probabilities and bootstrap values. Species/populations from Brazilian crystalline shield are highlighted in brown (upland areas) and species/populations from Brazilian coastal drainages in green (lowland areas).
According to the divergence times results (Fig. 2), Glandulocaudini was estimated to have originated during the Miocene (Neogene) about 14.1 Mya (95% HPD 8.3-21.6 Mya). The oldest split within the tribe was also estimated during the Miocene (10.8 Mya; 95% HPD 6.0-16.4 Mya) diverging in two main lineages, one of them related to *L. weitzmani + G. melanopleura* and the other to the clade *G. caerulea* plus *Mimagoniates*. The next cladogenetic event was estimated at 9.4 Mya (95% HPD 5.2-14.4 Mya), resulting in the split of the ancestral lineage of *Mimagoniates* from *G. caerulea*. The split between *G. melanopleura* and *L. weitzmani* was more recent, estimated at 7.2 Mya (95% HPD 3.2-12.4 Mya). Within *Mimagoniates*, the cladogenetic events were estimated to have originated near the end of the Miocene about 6.8 Mya (95% HPD 3.8-10.6 Mya) with the split between the clades ((*M. inequalis, M. rheocharis*) *M. lateralis*) and ((*M. sylvicola, M. microlepis*), and continued until the Pleistocene (Quaternary). The second oldest divergence was the split between *M. microlepis* and *M. sylvicola*, which probably occurred during the Miocene (about 5.8 Mya). *Mimagoniates lateralis* diverged from (*M. inequalis, M. rheocharis*) around 3.7 Mya (Pliocene) and the last main cladogenetic event within the genus, which resulted in the split between *M. inequalis* and *M. rheocharis*, was very recent, estimated at 1.4 Mya (Pleistocene). Split events among allopatric populations of *M. microlepis* apparently started in the Pliocene (about 4.8 Mya), but most of them probably occurred during the Pleistocene. The split between the analyzed populations of both *M. lateralis* and *M. sylvicola* were also estimated for the Pleistocene (about 0.8 and 1.3 Mya, respectively). The collection and analysis of additional representatives of Glandulocaudini indicated that the distribution of the tribe is broader than previously known (e.g., Menezes et al., 2008: fig. 3). Therefore, an updated distribution map is presented in Fig. 3 and these new records are detailed and discussed below.

![Fig 3. Map showing the updated geographical distribution of Glandulocaudini species analyzed in this study: Glandulocauda caerulea (white triangle), G. melanopleura (blue triangles), Lophiobrycon weitzmani (green crosses), Mimagoniates inequalis (blue circles), M. lateralis (red circles), M. microlepis (black circles), M. rheocharis (yellow circles), and M. sylvicola (white circles). Symbols above the dashed line indicate the northernmost limit of the distribution of Glandulocaudini based on the new records obtained in this study. Some collection points from Menezes et al. (2008: fig. 3).](image)

**Discussion**

**Monophyly of Glandulocaudini, position within the subfamily Stevardiinae, and intergeneric relationships.** Although this is not the first work based on molecular data to test the hypothesis of the monophyly of the Glandulocaudini as currently recognized (see Oliveira et al., 2011 and Thomaz et al., 2015a), the present analysis is the first that focused on the tribe. Furthermore, it includes the most comprehensive taxon-sampling published up to date, including all genera and most species (80%), with DNA sequences of two species, *Glandulocauda caerulea* and *Mimagoniates sylvicola*, analyzed for the first time. The molecular phylogenetic hypotheses presented herein support Glandulocaudini as a monophyletic group to includes *Lophiobrycon, Glandulocauda*, and *Mimagoniates*, as previously proposed by both morphological (e.g., Menezes, Weitzman, 2009; Mirande, 2010) and molecular (e.g., Oliveira et al., 2011; Thomaz et al., 2015a) analyses. Thomaz et al. (2015a: 18) placed *Argopleura* Eigenmann as
an *incerta sedis* genus in Stevardiinae since its relationships with the tribes Glandulocaudini and Stevardiini were not clearly resolved. Likewise, those authors also suggested that *Argopleura* might be included in Glandulocaudini, but with such hypothesis pending further investigation. In this study, additional sequences of representatives of Glandulocaudini besides *A. chocoensis* were incorporated and the phylogenetic results did not corroborate the hypothesis of placement of *Argopleura* within this tribe (Fig. 1).

The position of Glandulocaudini within the Stevardiinae is unclear, since BI results indicated a close relationship to the tribe Stevardiini while the ML results suggested Glandulocaudini as sister to the clade ((Creagrutini, Diapomini) Hemibryconini). According to Thomaz *et al.* (2015a), Glandulocaudini is sister to Stevardiini, however, this clade was not strongly supported (ML = 44%, see their fig. 3). It indicates that the position of the tribe is controversial in the subfamily. The assessment of the relationships between Glandulocaudini and other tribes of Stevardiinae was not a primary objective of the present paper, thus this issue will not discuss in depth. However, all available information suggests a putative relationship between Glandulocaudini and Stevardiini; despite the reduced taxon sampling, previous phylogenetic studies based on both morphology (e.g., Mirande, 2010) and DNA sequences (e.g., Calcagnotto *et al.*, 2005; Javonillo *et al.*, 2010; Oliveira *et al.*, 2011) also proposed a close relationship between Glandulocaudini and Stevardiini *sensu* Thomaz *et al.* (2015a).

A major source of incongruence between previous morphological and molecular phylogenetic hypotheses lay in the intergeneric relationships within the Glandulocaudini. According to morphological studies (e.g., Castro *et al.*, 2003; Menezes, Weitzman, 2009), *Glandulocauda* is closely related to *Mimagoniates* and the clade consisting of those taxa is sister to *Lophiobycon*. However, in both previous hypotheses based on DNA sequences (i.e., Oliveira *et al.*, 2011; Thomaz *et al.*, 2015a), *Glandulocauda* appears as sister to *Lophiobycon* and this clade related to *Mimagoniates*. In the present hypothesis, one species of *Glandulocauda*, *G. melanopleura*, is recovered as sister to *Lophiobycon* while the other, *G. caerulea*, is closely related to the *Mimagoniates* species. The non-monophyletic status of this genus will be discussed below, but considering that *G. melanopleura* is its type species, the present hypothesis is in agreement with previous molecular studies. According to Menezes, Weitzman (2009: 301), the clade *Glandulocauda* plus *Mimagoniates* is supported by the presence of branching of the anterior pelvic-fin ray (character 8, state 1) (although the character 7 has also been indicated in the topology; see their fig. 2). However, the variation of this feature pointed by these authors (cf. Menezes, Weitzman, 2009: 312, 313, 326, and fig. 17), also observed in additional material analyzed herein (e.g., *G. melanopleura*: MZUSP 108577, MZUSP 111017; *M. inequalis*: UFRGS 18074; *M. microlepis*: MZUSP 112396, 112651.), indicates that this apomorphic condition is polymorphic and should be reevaluated.

The hypothesis of non-monophyly of the genus *Glandulocauda*. According to the present phylogenetic hypothesis, the genus *Glandulocauda* as currently composed is not supported as monophyletic, since *G. caerulea* and *G. melanopleura* are not closely related to each other. This study is the first test of the monophyly of *Glandulocauda* based on molecular data since DNA sequences of *G. caerulea* have never been analyzed before. The phylogenetic hypotheses based on each dataset separately (16S, 537 bp; COI, 522 bp; and RAG2, 770 bp) also did not recover the genus as monophyletic (results not shown). The monophyly of *Glandulocauda* was not questioned in the morphological hypothesis of Menezes, Weitzman (2009). Although the graphical representation of their topology is somewhat confused (see their fig. 2), the analysis of the text, matrix (see their tab. 1), and the synapomorphies indicated at the nodes leave no doubt that *G. caerulea* and *G. melanopleura* were recovered as closely related. According to those authors, this clade is supported by two synapomorphies found in mature males of both species: principal caudal-fin rays 11 and 12 slightly decurved ventrally but not involved in the formation of a pump (character 7, state 1) plus the presence of glandular tissue widespread along principal caudal-fin rays 10-15 (character 12, state 2). A large number of specimens of both species (see Material examined) was analyzed, including topotypes used herein in the molecular analyses and, besides these synapomorphies, all other diagnostic characters presented by Eigenmann (1911) and Menezes, Weitzman (2009) to characterize the genus were observed. Furthermore, no morphological feature that justify the transfer of *G. caerulea* to *Mimagoniates* or the proposition of a new genus to allocate this species was found. Considering this and prioritizing the taxonomic stability, taxonomic changes within the genus are not proposed at this moment.

The genus *Mimagoniates* and phylogenetic relationships among its species. In despite of this study represents the most comprehensive taxon sampling analysis based on molecular data for *Mimagoniates*, the monophyly of this genus could not be properly tested due to absence of tissue samples of its type species, *M. barberi*. For the same reason, the position of *M. pulcher* within the genus is unknown. While this species has been recently described (see Menezes, Weitzman, 2009), its description was based on specimens collected in 1934 in an uncertain locality in the Mato Grosso State and, until the moment, only the type material is known (holotype, MNRJ 17814 and 28 paratypes, MNRJ 4233), despite of several unsuccessful attempts to recollect this species. In despite of that, a well-supported clade contained five of the seven valid species of *Mimagoniates* was recovered. Similar results were obtained by previous molecular hypotheses (e.g., Javonillo *et al.*, 2010; Thomaz *et al.*, 2015a). Therefore, apparently there is no doubt about the monophyletic status of this genus. Although the monophyly of *Mimagoniates* is well supported in the most current morphological hypothesis (i.e., Menezes, Weitzman, 2009),
its internal relationships are poorly resolved. According to those authors, there are two subclades within Mimagoniates: a trichotomy composed of *M. barberi*, *M. pulcher*, and *M. inequalis* and a polytomy containing the remaining species, *M. lateralis*, *M. microlepis*, *M. rheocharis*, and *M. sylvicola*. In the present hypothesis, there were no polytomies and the relationships among Mimagoniates species were fully resolved and received strong statistical support. Among the previous molecular hypotheses, only those of Javonillo et al. (2010) and Thomaz et al. (2015a) included more than one species of Mimagoniates and, in both, *M. inequalis* and *M. rheocharis* also appeared as closely related to each other, as found herein. According to Thomaz et al. (2015a), this clade is sister to *M. microlepis*, but sequences of *M. lateralis* and *M. sylvicola* were not analyzed. The phylogenetic relationships proposed by Javonillo et al. (2010: fig. 6) were ((M. inequalis, M. rheocharis) (M. lateralis (M. microlepis, Mimagoniates sp.))).

The voucher specimen of Mimagoniates sp. used by those authors was verified (former UFRGS 10001, currently UFRGS 12442) and, in fact, this is another individual of *M. microlepis*. Therefore, the current hypothesis disagrees on the placement of *M. lateralis*, proposed herein as related to (*M. inequalis*, *M. rheocharis*), but to *M. microlepis* by Javonillo et al. (2010), who did not include sequences of *M. sylvicola* in their analysis.

As emphasized by Menezes, Weitzman (2009), their phylogenetic hypothesis was mainly based on the analyses of primary and secondary sexual characters of fully sexually active mature males. In total, Menezes, Weitzman (2009) proposed 14 characters, but these were not sufficiently enlightening with respect to Mimagoniates species, due to the polytomies observed. Thus, for a better understanding of the internal relations in this genus, it is suggested to perform a comprehensive total evidence analysis, which includes, in addition to the present molecular data, morphological characters additional to those already used by those authors. In addition, this analysis will allow the inclusion of *M. barberi* and *M. pulcher* in the matrix, even if tissue samples are not available for the molecular subdataset.

**Biogeographic history of Glandulocaudini: distributional pattern and estimates of divergence times.** According to Menezes et al. (2008) and Menezes, Weitzman (2009), the northemmost limit of distribution of Glandulocaudini is around the city of Prado, in the southern part of the Bahia State, at the type locality of *M. sylvicola*. However, this species also occurs in some small coastal drainages of the Recôncavo Sul basin (Burger et al., 2011) in addition to the Pardo, Paraguaçu, and Real river basins (Camelier, Zanata, 2014; present study, MZUSP 112657, 112679, 115092, UFBA 7004), located in the Bahia State, but to the north of the Prado region. The rio Real basin is the boundary between Bahia and Sergipe States and it becomes the northernmost limit of the distribution of both *M. sylvicola* and the tribe Glandulocaudini (Fig. 3). These new data indicate that *M. sylvicola* is more widespread than historically thought (see Menezes et al., 2008: fig. 3) and that it occurs not only in blackwater (e.g., Menezes, Weitzman, 1990; Menezes et al., 2007), but also in “whitewater” streams (e.g., rio Real basin). The relatively disjunct distribution of this species associated to environmental degradation processes that occurred throughout the coastal plain of Brazil (Menezes et al., 2007) suggest that *M. sylvicola* probably had a more contiguous distribution in the past along coastal streams of Bahia. Extirpation, however, may have been responsible for its current allopatric distributional pattern. According to Menezes, Weitzman (2009), all species of Glandulocaudini are subject to local extirpation because of its environmental requirements (e.g., cool flowing waters, forested areas), which are becoming increasingly rare.

As aforementioned, species of Glandulocaudina and Lophiobrycon have a distribution apparently restricted to streams draining upland areas of the Brazilian crystalline shield, whereas the species of Mimagoniates occur mainly in the lowland streams along the eastern and southeastern areas of Brazil (Menezes et al., 2008; Menezes, Weitzman, 2009). The single valid species of Lophiobrycon, *L. weitzmani*, is considered endemic to headwater streams in the middle rio Grande basin, a tributary to the upper rio Paraná (cf. Castro et al., 2003, Menezes et al., 2008, Menezes, Weitzman, 2009; Eschmeyer et al., 2017). However, specimens belonging to *L. weitzmani* (LBP 11820) were recently (2011) collected in a small tributary of the upper course of the rio São Francisco basin, in São Roque de Minas, Minas Gerais State. Part of this material was analyzed in this study and the identification of the species was confirmed, representing the first record of *L. weitzmani* outside the upper Paraná basin and also the first record of a species of the tribe Glandulocaudini in the rio São Francisco basin. In spite of this new record, Lophiobrycon still has a restricted distribution in headwater streams draining the southeastern portion of the Brazilian crystalline shield (Fig. 3). The sharing of fish species that have a relatively restricted distribution, such as *L. weitzmani*, between the uppermost tributaries of the São Francisco and Paraná rivers may be an evidence of historical relationships between these basins already proposed in previous studies (e.g., Ribeiro, 2006; Buckup, 2011; Camelier, Zanata, 2014). Unfortunately, tissue samples of the specimens from the rio São Francisco basin were not available for molecular analyses, thus the age of the split between these populations of *L. weitzmani* could not be estimated.

The molecular clock results indicated the origin of the Glandulocaudini during the late Miocene (14.1 Mya) and the estimated diversification dates in the group were within the Neogene (Miocene and Pliocene) to Pleistocene (10.8-1.4 Mya) (Fig. 2). Several authors have already discussed the biogeography of the Glandulocaudini species (e.g., Weitzman et al., 1988; Menezes, Weitzman, 1990; Ribeiro, 2006; Ribeiro et al., 2006; Menezes et al., 2008) and most of the them suggested that the initial diversification of the group took place in upland areas of the ancient Brazilian
material examined. All from Brazil. Glandulocauda caerulea: rio Iguaçu basin: MNRJ 19537, 5, 34.4-40.8 mm SL. MZUSP 97666, 5, 21.9-40.8 mm SL. MZUSP 97664, 5, 26.6-41.8 mm SL. MZUSP 97665, 2, 30.1-46.5 mm SL. MZUSP 97666, 3 + 1 c&s, 34.3-38.7 mm SL. MZUSP 117479, 4, 28.9-34.1 mm SL. Glandulocauda melanopleura: rio Guarapuava basin: MZUSP 84412, 10, 19.2-37.2 mm SL. MZUSP 87567, 23, 18.2-36.1 mm SL. MZUSP 87571, 43 + 1 c&s, 29.5-44.0 mm SL. MZUSP 115244, 20 + 12 mol, 33.0-39.4 mm SL. rio Itanhaem basin: MZUSP 108577, 2, 29.7-36.2 mm SL. MZUSP 108621, 8, 24.3-31.9 mm SL. MZUSP 108724, 1, 54.0 mm SL. MZUSP 111017, 22 + 2 c&s + 10 mol, 14.5-57.4 mm SL. rio Itatinga basin: DZSJR 6613, 2, 26.2-26.6 mm SL. rio Ribeira de Iguape basin: MZUSP 79429, 3, 37.5-48.9 mm SL. rio Tietê basin: LBP 4507, 10 + 7 mol, 40.5-45.0 mm SL. MZUSP 26891, 3, 43.4-52.3 mm SL. MZUSP 28849, 10, 26.9-32.7 mm SL. MZUSP 35242, 8, 1 c&s, 33.8-39.1 mm SL. MZUSP 74333, 10 + 1 c&s, 25.2-30.2 mm SL. MZUSP 86967, 3, 43.6-57.5 mm SL. MZUSP 86984, 2, 24.9-44.0 mm SL.
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