Phylogenetic relationships of the family Tarumaniidae (Characiformes) based on nuclear and mitochondrial data

Dahiana Arcila¹,², Paulo Petry³ and Guillermo Ortí⁴,¹

Characiformes is an order of freshwater fishes that includes many commercially important and emblematic species from South America and Africa, such as the popular piranhas, hatchetfishes, African tiger fishes and tetras. The order is split into two suborders with a total of 24 families, 282 genera and ca. 2,100 species. Here, we present an expanded phylogeny of characiform fishes, including data for 520 species and three genes (12S, 16S and RAG1), and the recently described family Tarumaniidae, which has not been examined by previous molecular analysis. Although our genetic coverage is limited to three gene fragments, the tree inferred based on maximum likelihood and Bayesian inference supports the monophyly of all characiform families and is largely congruent with results from recent studies that sampled less taxa but more genes. Also in agreement with a morphological hypothesis, our results strongly support the sister-group relationships between the family Tarumaniidae and Erythrinidae. Based on our results and that of the other molecular analyses, we propose a revised circumscription of the superfamily Erythrinioidea to include the families Tarumaniidae and Erythrinidae only.
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Characiformes es un orden de peces de agua dulce que incluye un gran numero de especies emblemáticas y de importancia comercial en Sur América y África como lo son las populares pirañas, los peces voladores, los peces tigre de África y los tetras. El orden se divide en dos subórdenes con un total de 24 familias, 282 géneros y cerca de 2100 especies. Aquí, presentamos una filogenia expandida de Characiformes, que incluye datos de 520 especies, tres genes (12S, 16S y RAG1) y la recientemente descrita familia Tarumaniidae, la cual no ha sido examinada en previos análisis moleculares. Aunque nuestra cobertura genética está limitada a tres genes, el árbol inferido basado en máxima verosimilitud e inferencia bayesiana apoya la monofilia de todas las familias de Characiformes y es en gran medida congruente con los resultados de estudios recientes que examinaron menos especies pero más genes. También de acuerdo con una hipótesis morfológica, nuestros resultados apoyan firmemente las relaciones de grupos hermanos entre las familias Tarumaniidae y Erythrinidae. Con base en nuestros resultados y el de otros estudios moleculares, proponemos una circunscripción revisada de la superfamilia Erythrinioidea que incluye solo a las familias Tarumaniidae y Erythrinidae.

Palabras claves: Amazonia Central, Erythrinioidea, Peces de agua dulce, Ostariophysi, Tarumania.

Introduction

Characiformes are confined to freshwater basins in the Neotropical (South to Central America) and Paleotropical (Africa) regions (Eschmeyer et al., 2017). The highest diversity of characiforms occurs in South America, with ca. 1,600 species in 20 families, compared to 500 species in four families that live in Africa. The past 10 years have seen considerable progress in resolving the phylogeny of characiforms using molecular data. Oliveira et al. (2011) presented the relationships of 211 species based on three nuclear and two mitochondrial genes, proposing a revised classification for the family Characidae. Arcila et al. (2017) inferred a phylogenomic tree based on 1,051 exon markers obtained from 110 characiform species along with 115 otophysan outgroups, supporting the monophyly of the order. Despite substantial differences in the datasets examined, these recent studies produced largely congruent results (Oliveira et al., 2011; Arcila et al., 2017).

The discovery of the so-called “mystery fish” took the ichthyology community by surprise when a juvenile specimen was first collected along a tributary of the Amazon...
over 15 years ago. Because of its distinct morphology with an anguilliform body shape, the apparent capacity for aerial breathing, and its multi-chambered swimbladder, early assessments concluded that the species was undescribed and its placement among Neotropical taxa remained unclear (de Pinna et al., 2017). Since its discovery, ca. 85 additional individuals have been collected and last year the species was formally described (Tarumania walkerae de Pinna, Zuanon, Rapp Py-Daniel & Petry, 2017) in a new monotypic family (Tarumaniidae). The original description also includes a first attempt to resolve its phylogenetic placement based on the examination of 128 morphological characters and 35 species, supporting its sister group relationships with the characiform family Erythrinidae, in the superfamily Erythrinioidea (de Pinna et al., 2017). Three undisputed morphological synapomorphies based on the round caudal fin, reduced corynoid and reduction of the caudal fin rays along with other circumstantial characters strongly supported the closest relationships between Tarumaniidae and Erythrinidae (de Pinna et al., 2017). The restricted distribution of Tarumaniidae to tributaries of the Rio Negro, Central Amazon has made collecting tissue samples for molecular analyses a major challenge, being among the few fish families without sequence data available in any public database. Assessing the phylogenetic placement of Tarumaniidae in a comprehensive phylogenetic context is critical to develop a framework for studying adaptations of fishes that survive in extreme environments such as temporary freshwater isolated pools and to shed light on the evolution of highly derived morphological adaptations such as the anguilliform body shape, aerial breathing and multi-chambered swimbladder (apparently unique among fishes).

In this study, we provide a first attempt to place the family Tarumaniidae in a phylogenetic framework based on analyses of DNA data (two mitochondrial and one nuclear gene fragments) and a dense taxonomic sampling. We analyze its placement in a large data matrix including 520 species of characiforms, using both maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses. Although a few aspects of characiform phylogeny remain poorly resolved, the new phylogenetic tree is consistent with previous hypothesis and strongly supports Tarumaniidae as the sister group of Erythrinidae.

**Material and Methods**

**Taxon sampling and DNA extractions.** The diversity of characiform fishes is represented in this study by 520 species including all 24 valid characiform families and 202 (out of 284) genera (S1 – Available only as online supplementary file accessed with the online version of the article at http://www.scielo.br/ni). Tissue samples of Tarumania were obtained from an adult specimen deposited at Instituto Nacional de Pesquisas da Amazônia, Manaus, Brazil (INPA 21603, Paraná do Prato, Anavilhanas, Rio Negro, Municipality Novo Airão, AM, 20 Aug 2001). DNA was extracted using the DNeasy tissue kit (Qiagen, Valencia, CA, USA) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Double-stranded DNA was synthesized via PCR using universal primers for two mitochondrial (ribosomal 16S and 12S rRNA subunits; Kocher et al., 1989; Palumbi et al., 1991) and a nuclear marker (recombination-activation gene 1 or RAG-1; Lopez et al., 2004). The PCR products were electrophoresed in 1.5% low melting point agarose gels using a tris-acetate buffer for quality control and submitted for purification and sequencing in both directions to High Throughput Sequencing Solutions (HTSeq.org), University of Washington, Seattle, Washington. Sequences were assembled using Sequencer v4.8 (Gene Codes Corporation, Ann Arbor, MI, USA) and aligned manually with Geneious v8.1.8. All sequences produced by this study have been deposited [GenBank: MH667495 (12S), MH667496 (16S), MH667497 (RAG-1)]. Additional sequence data examined herein were previously published in other studies (e.g., Oliveira et al., 2011; Melo et al., 2014; Thomaz et al., 2015; Arcila et al., 2017; Lavoue et al., 2017) and retrieved from GenBank (S1 – Available only as online supplementary file accessed with the online version of the article at http://www.scielo.br/ni).

**Phylogenetic analyses.** Maximum likelihood tree searches were conducted with RAxML v8.2.10 (Stamatakis, 2006) executing ten separate searches with different starting trees under the GTRGAMMA model. Bootstrap analyses using 1000 pseudo replicates were performed to assess the statistical support of clades resulting from the phylogeny. For each gene, preliminary analyses were conducted using RAxML to detect possible cases of GenBank data contamination or species misidentification. When a representative of one species had an identical sequence to another, that sequence was excluded for downstream analyses. For the concatenation analyses, we used a partitioning scheme with four blocks: one block for the mitochondrial 12S and 16S markers, and three partitions for each of the codon position of RAG1. Following recent results based on morphology (Fink, Fink, 1981) and phylogenomics (Arcila et al., 2017), all trees were rooted with the African citharinoids. Bayesian analysis were carried out using MrBayes v3.2.5 (Ronquist et al., 2012) using two independent runs, with 20 Markov Chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) chains for each run. The same partitioned model implemented in RAxML was used in MrBayes. The heating parameters were set as “temp = 0.1” and “nswaps = 5” to improve the mixing of the MCMC chains. The runs were terminated after 10 million generations and checked for stationarity based on ESS values for all parameters being greater than 200. Parameter states were sampled every 1,000 generations (10,000 trees saved for each run). The majority rule 50% consensus tree was summarized from the sampled trees after discarding the burn-in samples before reaching stationarity. All trees obtained in this study are available at Figshare repository (doi: 10.6084/m9.figshare.5852844).

Topology tests were conducted to assess whether the monophyly of the traditionally recognized superfamily Ery-
The Erythrinidea proposed by Buckup (1998) is consistent with the new data. We used RAxML to obtain maximum likelihood phylogenies constrained to enforce Buckup’s Erythrinidea hypothesis. The unconstrained ML tree (supporting the non-monophyly of Erythrinidea), was compared to the ML topology obtained by enforcing the monophyly of each circumscription of Erythrinidea challenged by our results. For each of the analyses, the best trees of 10 independent searches were selected. To evaluate the differences in likelihood scores between constrained and unconstrained tree topologies, the site likelihood scores were extracted using RAxML and compared with the AU (approximately unbiased) test implemented in the program Consel (Shimodaira, 2002).

Results and Discussion

In this study, we present an expanded phylogeny for characiform fishes based on maximum likelihood and Bayesian analyses of 520 characiform species – including all valid families – to assess the phylogenetic placement of Tarumaniidae. Despite the modest amount of markers examined, higher-level relationships among major lineages of Characiformes resolved by our analyses (Fig. 1) are similar to those reported by previous multi-locus or phylogenomic studies (Oliveira et al., 2011; Arcila et al., 2017). We find strong support for the monophyly of all characiform families. The major discrepancy between our results and previous ones is the placement of the families Alestidae + Hepsetidae more closely related to Ctenoluciidae + Lebiasinidae (Fig. 1), but this placement was supported by low bootstrap values (45%) in our study.

The multi-locus trees as well as the individual gene trees inferred with mitochondrial (concatenated 12S and 16S) and nuclear (RAG1) data resolve Tarumaniidae as the sister group to Erythrinidae with strong bootstrap support (98 to 100%, Fig. 1; see also online supplementary files S1, S2 and S3 – Available only as online supplementary file accessed with the online version of the article at http://www.scielo.br/ni). However, our results do not support the monophyly of the superfamly Erythrinidea following the circumscription proposed by Buckup (1998), which include the families Lebiasinidae, Erythrinidae, Hepsetidae and Ctenoluciidae, and more recently Tarumaniidae (de Pinna et al., 2017). This circumscription of Erythrinidea proposed by Buckup (1998) based on 80 morphological characters and 27 species also was strongly rejected by the AU test (P-value = 0.00003).

Other studies suggested a sister group relationship between Lebiasinidae and Ctenoluciidae and a clade containing Chalceidae and Characidae (among other families) but excluding Erythrinidae (Oliveira et al., 2011; Arcila et al., 2017). Hepsetidae, on the other hand has been shown to be closely related to Alestidae. The placement of the Erythrinidae + Tarumaniidae clade among other families of characiforms is poorly resolved, but our study and three recent comprehensive molecular phylogenies suggest a close relationship of these two families with a big clade containing Anostomoidea, Serrasalmidae, Hemiodontidae, Parodontidae, and Cynodontidae (Oliveira et al., 2011; Arcila et al., 2017; Mirande, 2018). Further analyses with genomic datasets and deeper taxonomic sampling will be needed to settle this question. Based on our results and those of previous studies we suggest that the superfamly Erythrinidea should be restricted to include the families Tarumaniidae and Erythrinidae.

The phylogenetic hypothesis supported in this study implies that air breathing evolved independently at least three times in characiform fishes that are able to survive low oxygen levels in the water (Graham, 1997). In addition to Tarumaniidae, other families with air-breathing species are Lebiasinidae and Erythrinidae. Lebiasinidae includes around 67 species and 7 genera, with two known air breathing species (*Piabucina festae* and *Lebiasina bimaculata*), while Erythrinidae has 18 species and three genera, also with only two known air-breather (*Erythrinus erythrinus* and *Hoplerythrinus unitaeniatus*). *Hoplias*, on the other hand, is the most speciose erythrinid genus with 13 species, none
of which are air-breathers but all of them are otherwise well adapted to live in hypoxic environments (Moraes et al., 1996; Graham, 1997). Further studies are needed to resolve the relationships among erythrinids and lebiasinids, and other taxa to improve our understanding of the evolution of air breathing and adaptation to hypoxic conditions among characiform fishes.

Much work is still needed to resolve characiform phylogeny in general. For example, interrelationships among the families of two major clades that include (i) Cynodontidae, Parodontidae, Serrasalmidae, Hemiodontidae and families of Anostomoidea (this clade may be sister to Erythrinoidae), and (ii) Chalceidae, Iguanodectidae, Triportheidae, Gasteropelecidae, Bryconidae, Acestrorhynchidae and Characidae remain uncertain.
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