Identification of distinct evolutionary units in allopatric populations of *Hypostomus* cf. *wuchereri* Günther, 1864 (Siluriformes: Loricariidae): karyotypic evidence
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Few chromosomal reports are available for the endemic fish fauna from coastal basins in northeastern Brazil, and regional biodiversity remains partially or completely unknown. This is particularly true for Loricariidae, the most diverse family of armored catfishes. In the present work, allopatric populations of *Hypostomus* cf. *wuchereri* (Siluriformes: Loricariidae) from two basins in Bahia (northeastern Brazil) were cytogenetically analyzed. Both populations shared 2n = 76 chromosomes, a karyotype formula of 10m+18sm+48st/a (FN = 104) and single terminal GC-rich NORs on the second metacentric pair. Nevertheless, microstructural differences were detected by C-banding, fluorochrome staining and chromosomal digestion with restriction enzymes (*Alu*I, *Bam*HI, *Hae*III, and *Dde*I). The population from Una River (Recôncavo Sul basin) showed conspicuous heterochromatin blocks and a remarkable heterogeneity of base composition (presence of interspersed AT/GC-rich and exclusively AT- or GC-rich sites), while the population from Mutum river (Contas River basin) presented interstitial AT-rich C-bands and terminal GC/AT-rich heterochromatin. Each enzyme yielded a specific band profile per population which allowed us characterizing up to five heterochromatin families in each population. Based on the present data, we infer that these populations have been evolving independently, as favored by their geographic isolation, probably representing cryptic species.
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Introduction

The ichthyofauna from coastal hydrographic systems in Eastern Brazil presents a remarkable biogeographic significance. The main basins in this region (Paraguaçu, Contas, Jequitinhonha, Doce, Paraíba do Sul, Ribeira de Iguape, Itajai, and Jacuí) as well as several other small drainages show high rates of endemism (Ribeiro et al., 2006). In fact, the number of recently described or reevaluated species is getting higher and higher as long as taxonomic analyses focusing on these basins increase, confirming the richness of regional and often threatened new species (Sarmento-Soares et al., 2009; Zanata & Camelier 2009; Cetra et al., 2010).

On the other hand, biodiversity studies depend on information about intra and interpopulation variability levels and characterization of evolutionarily significant units. In this sense, cytogenetic analyses represent a useful tool for conservation genetics, mainly of taxonomically controversial groups, once they can reveal population polymorphisms, discriminate cryptic species and cannot be replaced by DNA studies (Allendorf & Luikart, 2007). However, in spite of the great number of chromosomal reports in fishes from South and Southeastern Brazil and, more recently, from Amazon basin, little is known about the cytogenetic features of species from Northeastern region (Medrado et al., 2008; Jacobina et al., 2009).

Hypostomus is one of the most species-rich genera within the order Siluriformes, encompassing from 117 to 130 species. Such imprecise species number is because Aphanotorulus, Isorineloricaria, and Squaliforma are recognized as valid genera by Ferraris Jr. (2007), while Armbruster (2004, 2007) refer to them as a synonym for Hypostomus. Ever since, new species have been continuously described, reinforcing the remarkable diversity of forms in this genus, which composes a dominant fish group in virtually all Brazilian rivers (Jerep et al., 2007; Zawadski et al., 2008).

The species Hypostomus wuchereri was identified by Günther in 1864 from “rivers of Brazil”. Although the type locality is undefined, it is thought that the author actually referred to Paraguaçu River basin, in Bahia, Northeastern Brazil (Reis et al., 2003). Nonetheless, the lack of more detailed inventories hinders the definition of its real distribution, so far being restricted to reports from Paraguaçu and São Francisco basins (cited as Hypostomus cf. wuchereri by Garavello & Garavello, 2004).

Therefore, the goal of the present work was to analyze cytogenetically two populations of Hypostomus cf. wuchereri from closely related coastal basins in Bahia, Brazil (Contas and Recôncavo Sul), providing new insights about the diversity and evolution of the regional ichthyofauna and biogeographic and evolutionary studies in the region.

Material and Methods

Thirteen specimens (7 males, 1 female and 5 immature juveniles) of Hypostomus cf. wuchereri were collected in Mutum River (13º43’18”S 39º51’20”W), Contas River basin, Municipality of Jequié, and six individuals (1 male and five juveniles) were collected in Una River (13º21’55”S 39º04’35”W), Recôncavo Sul basin, Municipality of Valença, both in Bahia State, Northeastern Brazil. Voucher specimens were identified by Claudio Zawadzki (Universidade Estadual de Maringá-UEM) and deposited in the ichthyological collection at NUPELIA -UEM, Maringá, PR, Brazil (NUP 9813).

Mitotic chromosomes were obtained according to Bertollo et al. (1978). The mitotic stimulation followed the procedure described by Molina (2001) using a commercial bacterial and fungal antigen (Munolan®). The cells were dropped onto glass slides, air dried and stained with Giemsa at 5% for conventional analyses.

The nucleolus organizer regions were detected by silver nitrate staining - AgNORs (Howell & Black, 1980). The pattern of heterochromatin distribution was determined by C-banding (Sumner, 1972). The GC- and AT-rich sites were visualized by sequential fluorochrome staining, using distamycin, chromomycin A₃ (CMA₃) and 4´-6-diamidino-2-phenylindole (DAPI), respectively (Schweizer, 1980). The in situ digestion with restriction endonucleases was performed according to Mezzanotte et al. (1983), using the following enzymes Alu I (5’-AG CT - 3’) at 0.4 U/μl for 4 h, Bam HI (5’-G GTCC - 3’) at 0.5 U/μl for 15 h, Hae III (5’-GG CC - 3’) at 0.6 U/μl for 14 h, and Dde I (5’- C TNAG - 3’) at 2U/μl for 4 h. An ideogram representing the karyotype and showing the digestion pattern of heterochromatic regions was constructed using the software EasyIdio v. 3.0 (Diniz & Xavier, 2006), based on the pair measurements and chromosomal bands.

The metaphase spreads were analyzed in a photomicroscope Olympus BX51 and the best spreads were digitalized using the software Image-Pro® Plus v. 6.2 (Media Cybernetics). Based on the arm ratio, the chromosomes were classified into: metacentric (m), submetacentric (sm), subtelocentric (st) and acrocentric (a), according Levani et al. (1964). The fundamental numbers of arms (FN) was estimated taking into account that m/sm chromosomes are bi-armed and st/a elements are one-armed.

Results

All specimens of Hypostomus cf. wuchereri shared a modal number of 2n = 76, with a karyotype formula of 10m+18sm+48st/a (FN = 104) independently on the population (Fig. 1).

Silver nitrate staining revealed single NORs located at terminal regions on long arms of the second metacentric pair in both populations (Fig. 1, detail). Usually, the NOR size was heteromorphic between homologous and coincident to secondary constrictions.

On contrary, the heterochromatin distribution differed between populations. The specimens from Mutum River showed more conspicuous C-bands at terminal portions of a submetacentric pair (8th) and three acrocentric pairs (21st, 26th, and 29th) besides the interstitial region of four acrocentric pairs (16th, 18th, 28th, and 31st) (Fig. 2). The sample from Una
River presented five pair of acrocentric chromosomes (17th, 21st, 24th, 26th, and 31st) bearing large terminal blocks and an acrocentric pair (30th) with a subtle interstitial C-band (Fig. 3). Centromeric and NOR-associated heterochromatin was not identified in the studied populations (Figs. 1-2-3).

After enzyme digestion, the population from Mutum River presented distinct heterochromatin types, divided into five groups: the heterochromatic block from pair 8 was digested by all tested enzymes; C-bands from pairs 18, 21, and 28 were digested by Alu I, Dde I, and Bam HI; those from pairs 16 and 31 were digested by Bam HI; the heterochromatin from pair 26 was digested by Alu I and Bam HI; and the heterochromatic segment from pair 29 remained undigested, independently on the enzyme (Table 1, Fig. 2).

The specimens from Una River revealed three heterochromatin classes after enzyme digestion: the C-bands from pairs 26, 30, and 31 were digested by all enzymes; that from pair 24 and the terminal portion of the heterochromatic block in a chromosome from pair 17 were digested by Dde I; the C-band from pair 21 and the central region of the heterochromatic block in a chromosome from pair 17 were digested by Dde I and Bam HI (Table 1, Fig. 3).

As for the euchromatic regions, the population from Mutum River, the enzymes Hae III and Dde I resulted in a
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**Fig. 2.** Chromosomal pairs of *Hypostomus* cf. *wuchereri* from Mutum River showing the C-bands and the digestion profiles using *Alu* I, *Hae* III, *Dde* I, and *Bam* HI.
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**Fig. 3.** Chromosomal pairs of *Hypostomus* cf. *wuchereri* from Una River showing the C-bands and the digestion profiles using *Alu* I, *Hae* III, *Dde* I, and *Bam* HI.

A large amount of bands throughout chromosomes while the target sequence of *Alu* I has proved to be homogeneously spread through genome. In the population from Una River, the target sequences of both *Hae* III and *Dde* I were uniformly distributed through euchromatin but *Alu* I yielded a banding profile. The digestion using *Bam* HI revealed no differences between both populations (data not shown).

Both populations were also distinguished according to base-specific fluorochrome staining. The specimens from Mutum River presented AT-rich sites (DAPI+) in the interstitial heterochromatin detected by C-banding from pairs 16, 18, 21, 28, 29, and 31. On the other hand, the terminal heterochromatic blocks from pair 16 presented interspersed CMA, and DAPI staining (Fig. 4a). The population from Una River presented CMA+ and DAPI+ interspersed blocks in the heterochromatin of pairs 17, 21, 24, and 31, besides exclusively AT-rich segments (pairs 20, 25, 28, 34, and 35) and GC-rich sites (pair 29), revealing a remarkable heterogeneity in base composition (Fig. 4b).

**Discussion**

A high diploid number with several acrocentric chromosomes, as reported for *H. cf. wuchereri*, can be regarded as a derived feature within this genus once 2n = 54/ FN = 108 seems to represent the plesiomorphic condition for Loricariidae (Artoni & Bertollo, 2001). Based on this assumption, centric fissions should have played a major role in the karyotype evolution of *H. cf. wuchereri*, thereby increasing the basal diploid values and the number of one-armed chromosomes. A similar situation is observed in other
congeneric species, showing that, in spite of their wide chromosomal variation (2n = 54 to 2n = 84), 2n = 76 is the most frequent condition in Hypostomus, being observed in 25.8% of analyzed species (Bitencourt, 2010).

The nucleolar organizer regions (NORs) are also variable in both number and position in Hypostomus. In the case of the studied species, the presence of single NORs diverges from the common pattern in Hypostomus and points towards the maintenance of the plesiomorphic condition in Loricariidae and fishes in general (Artoni & Bertollo, 2001). Nonetheless, similarly to the great majority of cytogenetic reports in this genus, the NOR size was usually heteromorphic between homologous in H. cf. wuchereri (Fig. 1, detail). Such polymorphism is frequently reported in species bearing single NORs and are thought to result from duplications/deletions or unequal crossovers, as hypothesized by several authors (Galetti, 1998; Affonso et al., 2002).

Heterochromatin analysis was particularly efficient to compare the chromosomal structure of the studied populations. Specimens from Mutum River presented some chromosomes with interstitial heterochromatin and less evident C-bands while H. cf. wuchereri from Una River presented conspicuous terminal C-bands (Fig. 2-3). Artoni & Bertollo (2001) showed that some species of the tribe Hypostominii with high diploid numbers usually bear interstitial heterochromatic segments over several acrocentric chromosomes and, inversely, species with low 2n values carry small amounts of heterochromatin located at either terminal or centromeric regions. However, the C-banding pattern observed in H. cf. wuchereri (high diploid number) suggests that the heterochromatin distribution within Hypostominae is more diversified than previously thought.

The differentiated location of heterochromatic blocks in the studied species might be derived from inversions and/or transposition of originally terminal heterochromatin of some acrocentric chromosomes to interstitial position or viceversa, following the non-random chromosomal arrangement during interphase (Schweizer & Loidl, 1987; Bitencourt, 2010). This behavior would explain the equilocal distribution of heterochromatin between non-homologous chromosomes in the population from Mutum River, as also proposed for Hypostomus sp. E and Hypostomus sp. F by Artoni & Bertollo (1999).

It should be pointed out that the population from Mutum River is morphologically and cytogenetically similar to specimens of Hypostomus aff. unae from Preto do Criciúma River, within the same subbasin (Bitencourt, 2010). Nonetheless, the presence of two chromosomal pairs bearing conspicuous heterochromatic blocks in the population of H. cf. wuchereri (pairs 21 and 26) allows differentiating both species, reinforcing the relevance of chromosomal bandings in the characterization of specific markers.

Besides facilitating the chromosomal pairing, the in situ digestion with four restriction endonucleases (Alu I, Hae III, Bam HI, and Dde I) also revealed different families of repetitive DNA. The weakly stained regions correspond to heterochromatin loss caused by enzyme digestion whereas conspicuous bands indicate undigested regions lacking target sequences and/or presenting differential chromatin conformation and protein associations (Gosálvez et al., 1987; Burkholder & Weaver, 1977).

The chromosomal treatment using the selected restriction enzymes yielded a remarkable heterogeneity between both populations of Hypostomus cf. wuchereri, indicating that they carry heterochromatin families composed of distinct highly repetitive DNA sequences. A few similarities were observed between the studied populations like the heterochromatin of pairs 26, 30, and 31 from the Una River sample and the pair 8 from Mutum River specimens, which were digested by all enzymes (Fig. 5).

Another peculiarity in the enzymatic digestion observed in H. cf. wuchereri from Una River refers to the heteromorphic bands between homologous chromosomes of the 17th pair after using Bsm HI, which digested only the central portion of the heterochromatic blocks of one homologous (Fig. 3). This result reveals the remarkable heterochromatin heterogeneity between the analyzed populations. Moreover, differences in euchromatin regions were also detected, mainly using Hae III, Dde I, and Alu I (data not shown).

A contradictory situation was observed in relation to the activity of Hae III. Since this enzyme acts over GC repeats, it was expected that the GC-rich heterochromatin (CMA3+) from pairs 17, 21, and 24 in Una River samples would be digested but they remained intact (Figs. 3-4b). Gosálvez et al. (1987) stated that the effects of enzymatic digestion on chromosomes are explained either by the presence/absence of target sequences or by their ability in assessing these sequences what can be hindered by chromatin configuration or by the small size of specific targets. In this sense, it is possible that these constraints have been responsible for the non-digestion of such heterochromatic blocks by Hae III.

The available reports show that GC-rich regions are
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widespread in *Hypostomus* and, although rare in fish, DAPI+ signals are also identified in some species of this genus (Artoni *et al*., 1998; Artoni & Bertollo, 1999; Kavalco *et al*., 2004). Usually, NORs in *Hypostomus* are CMA3+, as observed in three populations of *H. nigromaculatus* (Rubert *et al*., 2008), *Hypostomus* sp. 2 - rio Perdido NUP 4249 (Cereali *et al*., 2008) and in the present study.

On the other hand, the interstitial AT-rich segments in the population from Mutum River are located in equivalent regions of distinct chromosomal pairs which, most likely, were distributed to equilocal sites by specific models of heterochromatin dispersion within a morphological class such as acrocentrics (Schweizer & Loidl, 1987; Artoni & Bertollo, 1999; Affonso & Galetti, 2005). In the case of specimens from Una River, the heterochromatin seems to have undergone a unique evolutionary pathway once interspersed AT- and GC-rich regions are present in several chromosomes, an unusual condition in lower vertebrates. The origin of this pattern is unclear but it might putatively be determined by the insertion of GC-rich segments into formerly AT-rich heterochromatin. Once established, this heterogeneous sequence could be dispersed to equidistant regions over several chromosomal pairs, as previously described in other fish species (Mantovani *et al*., 2000). In *Hypostomus*, a similar mechanism of heterochromatin dispersal has been suggested among non-homologous chromosomes based on their distribution in the nucleus, resulting in concert evolution of this heterochromatin class (Artoni & Bertollo, 1999).

The remarkable microstructural differentiation amongst the studied samples of *H. cf. wuchereri* is likely to reflect their unique evolutionary pathways, giving rise to distinctive families of repetitive DNA. Such divergence would be favored by the geographical isolation between both basins and fixed in each population by differential selective pressures or else drift effects. These data are in accordance with previous available reports, such as distribution ranges of some fish groups and identification of endemic species, indicating the occurrence of biogeographic units and/or subprovinces.

![Fig. 4. DAPI and CMA3 stained chromosomal pairs from Mutum (a) and Una (b) Rivers, showing AT and GC-rich sites, respectively. The NOR-bearing pair is highlighted.](image-url)
throughout eastern Brazilian coastal basins that should be considered prior implementation or expansion of conservation units (Ribeiro, 2006; Cetra et al., 2010).

Actually, multiple and successive events of shortening and expansion on tectonic plates have affected the continental margin of Brazil and might have favored either the splitting or connectivity among adjacent drainages (Lundberg et al., 1998; Pamponet et al., 2008). Hypothetically, the last marine transgression over the continent could have separated formerly connected coastal populations, thereby promoting allopatric diversification (Beheregaray et al., 2002). A similar evolutionary scenario was observed in Hoplias malabaricus (Characiformes: Erythrinidae), in which differential heterochromatin contents indicated a genetic separation between two coastal basins in Bahia State (Jacobina, 2008).

Although the role of heterochromatin in speciation is controversial once it seems not to affect fertility (King, 1987), some authors propose that heterochromatin changes might lead to diversification in certain animal groups (Pathak et al., 1973; Hamilton et al., 1992; Hatanaka et al., 1998). Recently, Molina et al. (2008) showed that different C-banding patterns in a single chromosomal pair were related to a set of morphological traits and population structure in Leporinus elongatus (Characiformes: Anostomidae), indicating that heterochromatin can be associated with phenotypic differentiation.

Analogously, we can infer that H. cf. wuchereri populations have been evolving independently in each basin and are likely to represent cryptic forms in which the distinctive heterochromatic blocks in several chromosomes from each population reinforce their degree of isolation.
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Fig. 5. Representative ideogram of chromosomal pairs in Hoplostomus cf. wuchereri showing the banding pattern after digestion using Alu I, Ban HI, Hae III, and Dde I. (a) population from Una River, (b) population from Mutum River.
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